PGA RESEARCH ARCHIVE

VARIETY DEVELOPMENT & EVALUATION





TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. 2003 MH60 Size Cntrl Chipping AAFRD 2003
- 2. 2004 Chippers2004b MH60
- 3. 2004 Chipping MH60Report
- 4. 2004 Executive Summary Potato Variety Evaluationuation
- 5. 2004 Lutein Yellow Fleshed Poster
- 6. 2004 MH60 Size Cntrl Chipping July
- 7. 2004 Michele PGA Aeroponics Presentation
- 8. 2005 Carotenoid Yellow Flesh Varieties AAF FR
- 9. 2005 Lutein Yellow Fleshed Poster
- 10. 2006 Lutein ACAAF 2005 Progress Report
- 11. 2006 Market Opportunity Assessment Lutien Potatoes AAF Nov
- 12. 2006 Potato Minute Lutein
- 13. 2007 Lutein Presentation AAF
- 14. 2008 PAA Meeting Lutein ppt
- 15. 2011 Final Report Greenhouse Mini Tuber
- 16. 2013 Aeroponics Seed Potato Evaluation AAF rev
- 17. 2013 Greenhouse Mini Tuber Final Report
- 18. 2013 Potato Variety Development AAF 2013
- 19. 2013 Potato Variety Development Final Report
- 20. 2014 Potato Variety Evaluation Report Brooks
- 21. 2015 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 22. 2016 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 23. 2016 Coded Chipper Nitrogen Response Report
- 24. 2016 Creamer Coded AB Variety Development Report
- 25. 2016 Potato Variety Development FF Report
- 26. 2016 Potato Variety Development FM Report
- 27. 2017 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 28. 2017 AAFC Annual Performance Report AB
- 29. 2017 AAFC Annual Performance Report Variety Trial AB
- 30. 2017 Chipper AB Variety Development Report
- 31. 2017 Creamer Coded AB Variety Development Report
- 32. 2017 Potato Variety Development FF Brooks Report
- 33. 2017_Potato_Variety_Dev_FM Coded Report
- 34. 2017 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 35. 2017 AAFC Annual Performance Report AB
- 36. 2017 AAFC Annual Performance Report Variety Trial AB
- 37. 2017 Chipper AB Variety Development Report
- 38. 2017 Creamer Coded AB Variety Development Report
- 39. 2017 Potato Variety Development FF Brooks Report
- 40. 2017 Potato Variety Development FM Coded Report
- 41. 2018 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 42. 2019 AAFC Cultivars Report Brooks
- 43. 2020 AAFC Virtual Field Day Brooks ppt



Crop Diversification Centre South S.S. #4 Brooks, Alberta Canada T1R 1E6 Telephone 403/362-1300 Fax 403/362-1306

July 21, 2003

Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 – 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Attention: Vern Warkentin, Executive Director

Re: MOU for "Effect of MH60 for size control in a Chipping Variety" project

Dear Vern;

Thank you for your e-mail advising me that the PGA is willing to fund our project entitled "Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety" in 2003. As a formality, we like to set up a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with each cooperator for externally funded projects. Please review the enclosed MOU. If the terms of the MOU are acceptable, please sign both copies and return an original to me. If you would prefer to propose alternate terms, please contact me at 403-362-1314 and we can discuss the terms further. An invoice will be issued under separate cover. Thank you.

Sincerely

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Agronomist

RECEIVED JUL 2 3 2003

Project New: X Renewal:

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Between:

Potato Growers of Alberta (hereafter referred to as "PGA")

and

Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development (hereafter referred to as "AAFRD")

Project Title: Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety

<u>Objectives:</u> 1. To determine the effect of MH60 applications on Norvalley potatoes in southern Alberta; and

2. To establish the correct stage of tuber development for MH60 application to attain an optimal size profile.

STATEMENT OF WORK

Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development is willing to undertake this study for the PGA, who hereby agrees to contribute toward the costs of researching the information required as described in the research proposal.

PERIOD OF WORK

The research project will commence in July, 2003. A progress report will be provided to the PGA by December 31, 2003, and a full report will be sent when all of the storage data has been analyzed.

BASIS OF PAYMENT

The sponsor of the project, the PGA, will provide \$5,375 upon finalization of this memorandum to AAFRD, to cover the following estimated yearly costs:

| Casual Manpower (on an as need basis): | \$4,685 |
|--|---------|
| Materials and Supplies | \$100 |
| GST and Overhead | \$590 |

The Budget can be adjusted and used at the discretion of the project manager.

Payment of research project expenditures will be made from funds made available to AAFRD up to the maximum amount of funds received from the sponsor.

If requested, AAFRD will provide a record of revenue and expenditure upon project completion or depletion of funds. Any remaining funds after completion or termination of the project can be used for research at the discretion of the project manager.

RESPONSIBILITY OF PROJECT MANAGER

The project manager for this study is Dr. Michele Konschuh. She will provide all reports to AAFRD and the sponsor.

The project manager will authorize expenses and submit them to the appropriate AAFRD department for processing payment.

The project manager is not eligible for any manpower funds herself.

AMENDMENTS OR TERMINATION

This Memorandum of Understanding may be amended by mutual consent of the parties as evidenced by an exchange of letters.

Either AAFRD or the PGA may terminate this Memorandum of Understanding by providing two weeks notice in writing to the other party.

NOTICES AND REPRESENTATIVES

Notices for all purposes of or incidental to this Memorandum of Understanding shall be effectively given if delivered personally, or sent by registered or certified mail to the representatives of the parties designated as follows:

| Potato Growers of Alberta | Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development: | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Mr. Vern Warkentin | Dr. Christine Murray | | |
| Executive Director | Branch Head, CDCS | | |
| Potato Growers of Alberta Crop Diversification Cent | | | |
| 6008 – 46 th Avenue | S.S. #4 | | |
| Taber, AB T1G 2B1 | Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 | | |

Information generated from the project may be used by the Department of Agriculture, Food & Rural Development and the PGA.

The sponsor, the PGA, relinquishes ownership of any materials, supplies and assets purchased with project funds to the AAFRD which assigns control to the project manager's departmental division.

The parties affirm their acceptance of the terms of this Memorandum of Understanding by signing below.

Copies bearing original signatures of this Memorandum will be kept by each party.

Dr. Michele Konschuh, Project Manager

21, 2003 Date

I agree that the project manager named above may supervise this project.

ranch Head, CDCS Dr. Christine Murray

Mr. Vern Warkentih, Executive Director Potato Growers of Alberta

July 21/03 Date

July 23/03 Date



Crop Diversification Centre South S.S. #4 Brooks, Alberta Canada T1R 1E6 Telephone 403/362-1300 Fax 403/362-1306

June 18, 2003

Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 – 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Attention: Board of Directors

Re: Application for Funding "Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety"

Dear Board Members:

I attended a meeting June 12 hosted by Tony Mrak (UAP) where Keith Lockhart of Crompton Corp. (formerly Uniroyal) spoke about the usefulness of MH60 for size control in potatoes. Crompton has already commissioned a trial with Alberta Agriculture to study the effect of MH60 on Russet Burbank size profiles. At the meeting, it became apparent that growers with chipping varieties are also very interested in this product. Lawrie Wilson of Frito Lay has agreed to perform quality assessments if we conduct a trial on a chipping variety through the PGA. Norvalley was suggested as it is grown by Frito Lay and Old Dutch growers. Crompton has agreed to provide product for the study.

Enclosed are 10 copies of the funding application for our project entitled "Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety". The trial will be conducted in a commercial field of Norvalley potatoes in the Taber or Vauxhall area. We are requesting a contribution of \$5,375 from the PGA for 2003. A second year of data would also be required. Please contact me if you have any questions (403-362-1314).

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely.

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Agronomist

approved'

Project Proposal

۰.

Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety

Prepared for:

Board of Directors Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 – 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh and Simone Dalpé Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development Crop Diversification Centre South SS #4 Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

June 16, 2003

I. BACKGROUND

A significant quantity of chipping potatoes are grown in southern Alberta, but little research has been conducted locally on these varieties. Tubers of many of these varieties can reach undesirable sizes before the crop reaches physiological maturity. The potato chip industry favors uniform tuber size and growers are docked for oversize tubers.

The use of maleic hydrazide as a foliar applied sprout inhibitor has been well documented. Weis et al. (1980) studied maleic hydrazide applied to Russet Burbank potatoes in Wisconsin and reported that maleic hydrazide was an effective sprout inhibitor on tubers from treatment dates in July and August. Weis et al. (1980) also reported an increase in yield of U.S. #1 tubers and a reduction in malformed tubers. Yada et al. (1991) applied MH60SG on Kennebec and Norchip potatoes in Ontario and reported that foliar applied MH had no apparent effect on yield, was effective in suppressing sprout growth, and had no effect on sugar content of potatoes newly harvested or after 6 months of storage. They also reported that no consistent difference was found between the color of chips made from potatoes from untreated and MH-treated plants. Crompton Corporation is advocating the use of maleic hydrazide (MH60) for controlling tuber size. This product is expected to allow smaller tubers to bulk up while preventing larger tubers from becoming too large.

The purpose of the proposed research is to compare MH60 applications at several stages of tuber development to determine if the product can effectively alter the size profile of a chipping variety of potatoes grown in southern Alberta. Total yield, grade, specific gravity, % defects and chip color will be assessed with the help of a commercial processor. Tubers will be stored after harvest and periodically assessed for sprout control as well.

References:

а ₁

- Schaupmeyer, C. A. 1992. Potato Production Guide for Commercial Producers. Alberta Agriculture Agdex 258/20-8. pp. 20-21.
- Weis, G. G., J. A. Schoenemann and M. D. Groskopp. 1980. Influence of time of application of maleic hydrazide on the yield and quality of Russet Burbank potatoes. Am. Potato J. 57: 197-204.
- Yada, R. Y., R. H. Coffin, M. K. Keenan, M. Fitts, C. Dufault and G. C. C. Tai. 1991. The effect of maleic hydrazide (potassium salt) on potato yield, sugar content and chip color of Kennebec and Norchip cultivars. Am. Potato J. 68: 705-709.

II. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- To determine the effect of MH60 applications on Norvalley potatoes in southern Alberta. Total yield, yield profile, specific gravity, %hollow heart, and internal defects will be assessed.
- To establish the correct stage of tuber development for MH60 application to attain an optimal size profile. MH60 will be applied at three developmental stages and compared with no MH60 application. Size profiles will be compared for each treatment.

III. WORK PLAN

A crop of Norvalley potatoes will be planted and managed commercially in southern Alberta. Approximately 1 acre will be used for each treatment (6 rows x length of the field). Treatments will be applied when tubers have sized to 1 to 1.5" (treatment #2), 2" (treatment #3) and two weeks before regular top-killing (treatment #4). We will harvest five samples from each treatment area, each measuring 50' x 2 rows. Total yield will be estimated based on these digs. Samples of tubers will be provided to Frito-Lay in Taber for lab analysis and grading. Tubers will also be graded at Brooks and stored for 8 months. Shrinkage and sprout control will be assessed.

Treatments will be applied as follows:

1. Check; no MH60

*

- 2. MH60 applied when tubers are 1 to 1.5" in diameter
- 3. MH60 applied when tubers are 2" in diameter
- 4. MH60 applied two weeks before desiccating

The trial should be conducted for two to three consecutive years to allow for differences in environmental conditions between years.

IV. TIME-FRAME AND REPORTING

July: MH60 application to Treatment #2

- August: MH60 application to Treatment #3 MH60 application to Treatment #4
- September: Harvest Grading

October to May: Storage assessments

June: Data analysis Report writing

Interim progress will be reported verbally or by e-mail as requested. Michele Konschuh, Ph. D. (Potato Research Agronomist) will act as Project Leader.

V. BUDGET

| Description | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|-----------|------------|
| | | |
| Field familiarization & staking treatment areas (2 people x | 125 | 250 |
| 1 day) | | |
| Monitoring stage of tuber development (3 visits x 0.5 day x | | |
| 1 person) | 125 | 185 |
| Materials & supplies (stakes, bags, tags) | | 100 |
| Treatment #2 (1 to 1.5" tubers): | | |
| Tuber samples, applying MH60 (2 people x 0.5 day) | 125 | 125 |
| Treatment #3 (2" tubers): | | |
| Tuber samples, applying MH60 (2 people x 0.5 day) | 125 | 125 |
| Treatment #4 (14 days before desiccating): | | |
| Tuber samples, applying MH60 (2 people x 0.5 day) | 125 | 125 |
| Travel (9 field visits, 150 km round trip @0.35, lunches) | | 1,500 |
| Harvest (4 people x 2 days) | 125 | 1,000 |
| Grading (4 people x 2 days) | 125 | 1,000 |
| Sprout checks (4 assessments x 1 person x 0.5 day) | 125 | 250 |
| Shrinkage (1 person x 1 day) | 125 | 125 |
| | | |
| Data analysis and report writing (incl.) | | |
| Overhead and GST (5% OH + 7% GST) | | 590 |
| Total | | \$5,375 |

<u>Note:</u> The budget does not include compensation for time committed to the project by crop scouts, processors, cooperators, or professional AAFRD staff.

An invoice will be mailed out for the total cost of the project once a memorandum of understanding has been signed by both parties.

Contact Information:

е. 11

> Michele Konschuh Potato Research Agronomist AAFRD, Crop Diversification Centre South S.S. #4 Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 Ph. 403-362-1314; Fax 403-362-1306

Lawrie Wilson

Frito Lay Canada 5904 – 52 Avenue Taber, AB T1G 1X3 Ph. 403-223-3574 ; Fax 403-223-9401

Effect of Royal MH60 for Size Control in Chipping Potatoes – 2nd Year

Michele Konschuh and Simone Dalpé

Background

Royal MH60 is a plant growth regulator. When applied to healthy growing plants, the active ingredient, maleic hydrazide, is absorbed by the plant and will affect plant growth by stopping cell division, but not cell expansion. Through such action, Royal MH controls sprout development in potatoes. In addition to sprout control, Royal MH can help reduce storage losses and improve potato quality through a number of additional effects on the potato. Royal MH may improve grade, reduce the number of late season set potatoes, reduce volunteers and reduce shrinkage. Both the extent and number of these benefits obtained will depend on several factors such as variety and local growing conditions.

Anecdotal information from North Dakota indicates that MH60 may allow potatoes to reach physiological maturity (higher specific gravity) without producing an excess of oversize tubers.



Project Plan and Treatments

The study was conducted in a commercial field of chipping potatoes (Frito Lay variety9) in the Rolling Hills area. Royal MH60SG[®] (maleic hydrazide) was applied to 6 row strips at different rates two weeks before desiccation. The smallest tubers that were expected to size up measured 1.5 to 2" in diameter. Tuber yield, grade and quality were assessed for each treatment. Commercial grade and quality assessments will be conducted by FritoLay. Storage samples will be assessed for shrinkage and sprout control after 4 and 8 months. •Control – no Royal MH60SG®

•33 % – Royal MH60SG[®] (1.88 kg/ha) applied two weeks before desiccation; August 5, 2004

•67 % – Royal MH60SG[®] (3.78 kg/ha) applied two weeks before desiccation; August 5, 2004

•100 % – Royal MH60SG[®] (5.65 kg/ha) applied two weeks before desiccation; August 5, 2004

Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, S.S. #4, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

Purpose

• To compare several rates of MH60 application to determine if the product can effectively alter the size profile and quality of Chipping potatoes grown in southern Alberta; and

| A |
|-----|
| Thi |
| and |
| con |
| |

• To determine whether lower than recommended rates of MH60 result in any beneficial effects.



to top-kill (smallest tubers were 2" in diameter).



cknowledgements

is project was supported through funding by the Potato Growers of Alberta Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, and in-kind tributions by Kanegawa Farms Ltd., AMPDF, Frito Lay and Crompton Co.

Specific Gravity

| te MH60 plied | Specific Gravity |
|------------------|---------------------|
| % | 1.0808 |
| % | 1.0818 |
| 0% | 1.0806 |
| ne | 1.0816 |

Specific gravity was not greatly affected by the MH60 application



Observations

• Timing of Royal MH60 application on potatoes is critical for size control in tubers (2003 results). The best results obtained in 2003 indicated that MH60 should be applied when the smallest tubers likely to size up reach 2" in diameter or two weeks prior to desiccation. In 2004, the crop reached these stages at the same time, so rates of application were examined instead of timing.

• Cut rates of MH60 applied two weeks prior to desiccation did not affect total or marketable yield of FL1879 potatoes..

• Application of the registered rate (100 %) of MH60 two weeks prior to desiccation increased both total and marketable yield of tubers.

• Neither specific gravity nor chip scores were affected by application of MH60 two weeks before desiccation, regardless of rate of application.

• The effect of MH60 application on stored potato quality (sprouts and chip scores) will be determined this winter.

This poster is based on preliminary data from the 2004 field season. The data has not yet been statistically analyzed. Commercial data was not available at the time of printing. Storage data (shrinkage and sprout inhibition) will be collected through the winter months. A full report will be available through the PGA by spring. Data from at least two years will be evaluated before any general recommendations will be made.

Background

One of the key areas of research that the Alberta potato industry identified in industry-wide priority setting meetings in 2003 and 2004 is breeding for new potato varieties. This was reiterated in National industry consultation meetings held in 2011. For about 40 years now, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada managed a potato breeding program in Western Canada focused on breeding and selecting varieties that would perform well under our environmental conditions. Alberta Agriculture facilitated the process by conducting regional trials, disease resistance trials, agronomic trials, culinary and storage trials with promising new varieties. In recent years, reductions in government staff and budgets put pressure on the support provided by both levels of government. The nature of potato breeding and selection has shifted. Industry participants are exploring varieties for different end-uses, such as gourmet and functional food uses. The potato breeding programs in Canada were consolidated into a National program in 2004 and there is now one National Potato Breeder based in New Brunswick. By necessity, less emphasis is directed at varieties best suited for Western Canada. Varieties from breeding programs in Europe and the United States are often being assessed by industry stakeholders.

Regional trials of potato varieties in Western Canada were funded in part by industry money collected through the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. This system was unique to Western Canada and served established industry stakeholders well. Newcomers to the industry were not easily able to participate. Even established stakeholders questioned whether they received sufficient value for the fees. The shift to an accelerated release mechanism moved the responsibility for the evaluations to industry and provides broader access to stakeholders initially. However, the window for evaluation of varieties is much narrower than in the Consortium and less data is available for decision makers.

Over the last 15 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked with individual stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. Growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. The challenge is often that impartial comparisons of the material with standards varieties are not available. Each stakeholder would have the responsibility to obtain seed, sign agreements, engage researchers, or evaluate varieties independently. Many are not equipped to conduct small-scale evaluations well and seed is not available for larger-scale evaluations. Breeder's seed also has higher tolerances for virus loads and producers evaluating this material on farm put the remainder of the crop at risk.

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Chipping tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Fresh market tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. Including agronomy in the evaluations allowed us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they consider producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD was well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre (AITC) in Lethbridge, AB and in demonstration plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCN) in Brooks, AB in 2014.

Project Overview

Potato variety evaluation trials were conducted at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre (AITC) in Lethbridge to provide data from an irrigated site in Alberta. Standard varieties were included to represent early French fry, full-season French fry, early chipper, full-season chipper, fresh market red, fresh market yellow classes. Sufficient potatoes were planted to provide replicated data from AITC and to host a demonstration field day at CDCS in 2014.

Material for these trials was provided by AAFC Potato Breeding Program and by industry stakeholders either through the AAFC Accelerated Release Program or by sourcing varieties from European, U.S. or other breeding programs. All import requirements were the responsibility of the stakeholder requesting evaluation.

At AITC, we set up a nitrogen response trial with moderate and reduced levels of nitrogen fertility. Stakeholders indicated whether or not they required fertility information and provided

sufficient seed (in-kind) and funds to include these evaluations. Some accommodations were made to ensure that all client sponsors found value in the data provided.

The leveraged funding from industry also provided resources for the regional evaluation of AAFC material prior to release to industry. Without funding from this project, there would not have been an opportunity to observe the breeding program cultivars in Alberta in 2014.

Variety trials were set up as randomized complete blocks. Guard rows were planted to minimize edge effects. Four replicate rows (6m) were harvested. The agronomic trials were set up as split plot designs with nitrogen level as the main plot and varieties as sub plots.

Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A field day was hosted at CDCS in August to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to irrigated growing conditions in Alberta. There is no substitution for first-hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

Objectives:

A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), creamer and other markets;

B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;

C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and

D. To develop agronomic information on nitrogen response to support potato growers interested in producing new varieties.

E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model that takes the industry beyond the current project.

Project Team Members

Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, Brooks, AB

- Dr. Michele Konschuh, Potato Research Scientist Project Lead
- Seasonal Technologists

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Potato Research Centre, Fredericton, NB

- Dr. Benoit Bizimungu, Plant Breeder
- Technologists

Executive Summary

In 2014, the first year of the trial, funding from 9 industry stakeholders plus the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA) was leveraged to conduct replicated potato variety trials in southern Alberta. The trial was conducted under pivot irrigation at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Lethbridge, AB. More than 100 potato varieties were evaluated in 2014. Data collected was adjusted where possible to ensure that clients were provided with information useful for their organizations. A limited amount of agronomic data was also provided at the request of client sponsors.

Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A few potato cultivars submitted by clients were intended for the French fry market. French fry varieties must yield well and have good fry characteristics. Specific gravity of the potatoes is an indirect measure of fry colour. In lieu of submitting additional cultivars, one client elected to evaluate several nitrogen fertilizer strategies for two varieties.

Eight chipping potato varieties were included in 2014. Old Dutch Foods, as well as seed growers and variety development firms provided chippers for evaluation. Chipping potatoes are graded by size rather than weight. As with French fry cultivars, good fry colour is essential and specific gravity is a good indirect measure of chip colour. Typically, chipping potatoes required less N than French fry cultivars and a comparison at a lower rate of N was requested for seven of the chipping entries. Chip colour scores were provided for varieties evaluated as chippers.

Fresh market potatoes were included in the 2014 trial as well. Although the fresh market sector of Alberta's potato industry is the smallest segment, there is a lot of growth potential even if we simply replace imported potatoes with locally produced ones. Sixteen fresh market cultivars and 2 checks were evaluated in 2014. Five entries were evaluated on a moderate rate of N, 5 entries were evaluated at a lower rate of N and 6 entries were evaluated at both rates to determine whether or not the varieties respond well to reduced N. Culinary data was provided as requested. For table potatoes, potatoes were evaluated as baked and boiled to determine the best fit for marketing purposes.

A special category of fresh market potatoes is the creamer potato market, made popular by the Alberta based Little Potato Company. Creamer potatoes are not smaller versions of other fresh market varieties; the varieties are selected for high tuber set and small tuber size intentionally to satisfy this market. These potatoes are prepared with the skin on and may be served with limited additional preparation. As such, skin set and tuber appearance are critical. Flavour is also very important for this class of potatoes. Forty creamer cultivars were included in the trail in 2014 and spacing was adjusted to reflect the special nature of this type of crop.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) has been involved in potato breeding for over 40 years. The National Potato Variety program includes selections that might be suitable for the French fry, chipping, or table market, including the creamer category. Industry participants are encouraged to view selections after one or two years of regional testing and to "pick up" the varieties for further testing. Without regional testing in Alberta and knowledge of how the cultivars perform in our growing environment, industry stakeholders would be hard-pressed to make selections. AAFC supplied test material for replicated trials and included entries suitable for all industry sectors. In 2014, 11 chipping cultivars, 13 French fry cultivars and 13 fresh market cultivars were evaluated along with relevant check material from eastern and western sources at AITC.

A field day was hosted at CDCS in August to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to irrigated growing conditions in Alberta. There is no substitution for first-hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

Customer specific reports were generated and provided. Client confidentiality was respected by coding entries prior to releasing reports more widely.

Lutein in Yellow-Fleshed Potatoes

Michele Konschuh¹, Qin Chen⁴, Tricia McAllister², Simone Dalpé¹, Tina Lewis² and Norm Janssen³ Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South¹, S.S. #4, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6; AAFRD, Crop Diversification Centre North²; AAFRD, Agri-Food Investment; Agricuture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge Research Centre⁴

Background

•Carotenoid pigments, such as lutein produce the flesh color in yellow-fleshed potatoes.

•Carotenoids are known to protect against a variety of chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease and certain cancers.

•Dietary lutein intakes of 3 to 6 mg per day have been connected with reduced risk of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and cataract formation (leading causes of blindness as people age).

•We must provide good reasons for people to include potatoes as part of a healthy diet.

Objectives

- 1. To determine concentrations of total carotenoids and lutein and zeaxanthin in yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta;
- 2. To determine whether growing location (Brooks vs Edmonton) has an impact on carotenoid content in potato tubers;
- 3. To determine the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin, during storage of potato tubers; and during cooking or processing of potatoes.

Project Plan and Treatments

•Grew 20 yellow-fleshed potato varieties and two checks (Yukon Gold and Russet Burbank) at CDCN (rain fed) and CDCS (irrigated) in 2004.

•Composite samples were used to measure the yellow flesh color and total carotenoid, lutein and zeaxanthin levels.

•To provide information on carotenoid stability with respect to storage and processing or cooking:

•5 promising processing varieties and 5 promising fresh market varieties were stored for 4 months.

•Lutein and total carotenoids were measured before and after processing or boiling.

Flesh Color and Carotenoid Content

Table 3: Flesh color intensity (chroma) and concentration of carotenoids (lutein, zeaxanthin = Zea, and total carotenoids; μ g per g FW) in yellow-fleshed potato tubers grown at two locations in Alberta.

| | CDCS (Brooks) | | | CDCN (Edmonton) | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|-----|-----------------|-------|--------|-----|-------|
| Variety | Chroma | Lutein | Zea | Total | Chrom | Lutein | Zea | Total |
| Russet Burbank | 30.3 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 31.3 | 9.3 | .0. | 21.0 |
| Innovator | 41.9 | 22.7 | 1.4 | 90.0 | 43.6 | 23.5 | 1.5 | 85.0 |
| Baby Boomer | 42.3 | 17.6 | 0.6 | 69.0 | 44.6 | 25.3 | 1.2 | 86.0 |
| Amandine | 42.6 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 44.7 | 24.0 | 2.3 | 78.0 |
| Provento | 43.1 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 45.6 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 115.0 |
| Cherie (red) | 43.2 | 27.2 | 0.9 | 109.0 | 42.9 | 31.0 | 1.8 | 133.0 |
| Adora | 43.5 | 17.7 | 1.5 | 83.0 | 44.0 | 18.5 | 1.7 | 71.0 |
| Velox | 44.8 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 77.0 | 46.2 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 54.0 |
| Sante | 45.0 | 27.2 | 2.2 | 120.0 | 47.4 | 36.2 | 2.1 | 142.0 |
| Agat | 45.5 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 46.2 | 29.0 | 1.5 | 93.0 |
| Red Scarlett | 45.5 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 47.2 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 60.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 46.6 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 65.0 | 46.0 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 59.0 |
| Fabula | 46.8 | 17.0 | 1.3 | 93.0 | 48.0 | 23.9 | 2.4 | 115.0 |
| Cecile (red) | 47.5 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 195.0 | 49.5 | 32.4 | 1.0 | 128.0 |
| Sinora | 47.6 | 28.2 | 0.9 | 111.0 | 50.2 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 54.0 |
| Penta | 47.6 | 27.5 | 0.8 | 116.0 | 47.8 | 26.3 | 1.5 | 93.0 |
| Mozart red) | 48.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 48.6 | 31.3 | 1.0 | 96.0 |
| Rosara (red) | 48.2 | 21.7 | 0.6 | 146.0 | 51.2 | 24.8 | 2.2 | 125.0 |
| Piccolo | 50.2 | 23.6 | 1.0 | 110.0 | 53.3 | 21.5 | 1.4 | 102.0 |
| Satina | 50.7 | 54.0 | 3.3 | 227.0 | 51.0 | 50.8 | 4.2 | 110.0 |
| Victoria | 51.1 | 22.5 | 0.9 | 124.0 | 49.6 | 21.9 | 2.1 | 110.0 |
| Island Sunshine | 53.6 | 45.0 | 1.2 | 240.0 | 53.0 | 34.3 | 0.5 | 133.0 |



Figure 1: Concentration (μ g / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellow-fleshed potatoes at harvest (post-harvest), and after four months storage (post-storage).







Figure 3: Concentration (μ g / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellow-fleshed potatoes at harvest (before storage), after four months storage (stored), and after frying out of storage.





Alberta Agriculture Food and Rural Development Crop Diversification Division

Fresh Market Varieties



Observations

• Total carotenoid concentration in yellow-fleshed potatoes ranged from 35 to $240 \ \mu g/100 \ g FW (1 \ mg = 1000 \ \mu g).$

• In most varieties, lutein made up to one third of the total carotenoid content. Zeaxanthin concentration was negligible in the varieties studied.

Lutein concentration ranged from 9.5 (check) to over 50 µg/100 g FW (Satina).
Carotenoid stability in storage differed by variety, but in all cases, less lutein was recovered from stored tubers than from freshly harvested tubers.

More lutein was recovered from three of the five varieties after cooking.
The quantity of lutein extracted from fried samples was much greater than from stored potatoes.

• Two additional years of funding will enable us to determine the effect of growing location (Edmonton, Lacombe, Brooks) and time of harvest on lutein concentration in 10 varieties.

<section-header>



Conclusions

•Lutein concentration is correlated with variety, but can be influenced by growing location, storage and cooking or frying.

•A seven ounce potato may contribute between 20 and 50% of the lutein in a supplemented multi-vitamin.

Acknowledgements

•Funding for the project was provided by Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development (NIF), Potato Growers of Alberta, Maple Leaf Potatoes, McCain Foods Ltd., Lamb-Weston, HZPC Americas, Parkland Seed Potatoes Ltd., and Solanum International .

•Special thanks to Darcy Driedger and Marivic Hansen for carotenoid analyses.

Project New: Renewal: X

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Between:

Potato Growers of Alberta (hereafter referred to as "PGA")

and

Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development (hereafter referred to as "AAFRD")

Project Title: Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety

- <u>Objectives:</u> 1. To determine the effect of MH60 applications on chipping potatoes in southern Alberta; and
 - 2. To establish the correct stage of tuber development for MH60 application to attain an optimal size profile.

STATEMENT OF WORK

Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development is willing to undertake this study for the PGA, who hereby agrees to contribute toward the costs of researching the information required as described in the research proposal.

PERIOD OF WORK

The research project will commence in July, 2004. A progress report will be provided to the PGA by December 31, 2004, and a full report will be sent when all of the storage data has been analyzed.

BASIS OF PAYMENT

The sponsor of the project, the PGA, will provide \$5,375 upon finalization of this memorandum to AAFRD, to cover the following estimated yearly costs:

| Casual Manpower (on an as need basis): | \$4,685 |
|--|---------|
| Materials and Supplies | \$100 |
| GST and Overhead | \$590 |

The Budget can be adjusted and used at the discretion of the project manager.

Payment of research project expenditures will be made from funds made available to AAFRD up to the maximum amount of funds received from the sponsor.

If requested, AAFRD will provide a record of revenue and expenditure upon project completion or depletion of funds. Any remaining funds after completion or termination of the project can be used for research at the discretion of the project manager.

RESPONSIBILITY OF PROJECT MANAGER

The project manager for this study is Dr. Michele Konschuh. She will provide all reports to AAFRD and the sponsor.

The project manager will authorize expenses and submit them to the appropriate AAFRD department for processing payment.

The project manager is not eligible for any manpower funds herself.

AMENDMENTS OR TERMINATION

This Memorandum of Understanding may be amended by mutual consent of the parties as evidenced by an exchange of letters.

Either AAFRD or the PGA may terminate this Memorandum of Understanding by providing two weeks notice in writing to the other party.

NOTICES AND REPRESENTATIVES

Notices for all purposes of or incidental to this Memorandum of Understanding shall be effectively given if delivered personally, or sent by registered or certified mail to the representatives of the parties designated as follows:

| Potato Growers of Alberta | Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development: | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Mr. Vern Warkentin | Dr. Christine Murray | | | |
| Executive Director | Branch Head, CDCS | | | |
| Potato Growers of Alberta | Crop Diversification Centre South | | | |
| 6008 – 46 th Avenue | S.S. #4 | | | |
| Taber, AB T1G 2B1 | Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 | | | |
| | | | | |

Information generated from the project may be used by the Department of Agriculture, Food & Rural Development and the PGA.

The sponsor, the PGA, relinquishes ownership of any materials, supplies and assets purchased with project funds to the AAFRD which assigns control to the project manager's departmental division.

The parties affirm their acceptance of the terms of this Memorandum of Understanding by signing below.

Copies bearing original signatures of this Memorandum will be kept by each party.

Dr. Michele Konschuh, Project Manager

april 13/04 Date

I agree that the project manager named above may supervise this project.

Dr. Christine Murray, Branch Head, CDCS

<u>April 13/04</u> Date

Mr. Vern Warkentin, Executive Director Potato Growers of Alberta

April 16/04 Date



Crop Diversification Centre South S.S. #4 Brooks, Alberta Canada T1R 1E6 Telephone 403/362-1300 Fax 403/362-1306

January 15, 2004

RECEIVED JAN 1 6 2004

Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 – 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Attention: Board of Directors

Re: Application for Funding "Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety"

Dear Board Members:

I am writing this letter in lieu of a full funding application for the second year of our project. In 2003, the Board approved our application for funding for the project entitled "Effect of MH60 for Size Control in a Chipping Variety". The trial was conducted in a commercial field of Norvalley potatoes near Taber. Some interesting data was generated and a progress report will be submitted to the PGA once the storage data has been analyzed. I hope to present a summary of our 2003 findings at a PGA breakfast in the near future. We would like to conduct the trial for a second year to ensure that the observations we made are generally applicable and not simply the result of a particular year. We may shift the application dates somewhat based on the 2003 findings. We are requesting a contribution of \$5,375 from the PGA for 2004. Please contact me if you need any clarification (403-362-1314).

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Agronomist



Taber, April 16 2004.

Michele Konschuh Potato Research Agronomist Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development Crop Diversification Centre South Brooks

Re: "Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits"

Dear Michele

We are pleased to advise that the Board of the Potato Growers of Alberta has approved your application in the amount of, \$2,000.00, and the funds are available to meet the timelines specified in your application.

When requesting the funds for the project, please provide an invoice that specifies the amount, GST and to whom payable.

We appreciate your commitment and dedication to the potato industry.

Yours truly,

Alfonso Parra Technical Director

AAFRD-IDS – New Initiatives Fund (NIF)

Confine information to the space provided.

Project Title: The title should be brief and descriptive (Max. 15 words Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits.

Project Abstract: Briefly provide a summary of the proposed project

This project involves growing yellow-fleshed potato varieties in two locations in Alberta and analyzing them for flesh color intensity, total carotenoid content and concentrations of lutein and zeaxanthin. Promising lines will also be assessed after storage and cooking or processing to determine the stability of carotenoid compounds in potato tubers. Lutein and zeaxanthin are two carotenoid compounds associated with reduced incidence of age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation. Potato varieties with significant concentrations of

| Submitter Information: Project Manager | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Name: Michele Konschuh | Title: Potato Research Agronomist | | |
| Branch: Crop Diversification Centre South | Division: Crop Diversification Division | | |
| Sector: Industry Development Sector | Tel: 403-362-1314 | | |
| E-Mail: Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca | | | |

Baseline Project Information:Project Start Date: (month/year) 04/04Project Let

Project Length: (months) 11

| Project Budget: Bring amounts forward from attached budget worksheet | | |
|--|----------|--|
| Manpower | \$18,000 | |
| Contract Services | \$4,500 | |
| Equipment Rental | \$ | |
| Other Costs | \$1,400 | |
| Contingency | \$2,390 | |
| Total Project Costs | \$26,290 | |
| Less: Total Amount (Cash Only) Requested from Other Sources | \$4,500 | |

Amount Requested from AAFRD-IDS

\$21,790 **\$400**

Total Amount of In-Kind Contributions

Branch Head Section: To be completed by Project Manager's Branch Head
Name of Branch Head: Christine Murray

Comments: Briefly provide any comments regarding the proposed project.

I support this project. It is aligned with the Functional Foods/Natural Health Products key initiative; it is preliminary work for a new opportunity for the Alberta crop. The team assembled has the necessary knowledge and skills to conduct the required research. An important aspect of the research is the analysis of the potatoes for the content of the carotenoids, which is proposed to be in conjunction with the Food Processing Centre Group at Brooks. It is of great benefit to be able to use or develop in-house analysis techniques for this purpose, as it is cost efficient compared to paying for technique development at other labs. It also increases the information that can be used in the future when working with external partners.

Project Goals and Objectives:

- 1. Introduction: Briefly describe the overall purpose/goal/final outcome of the proposed project.
- Yukon Gold, a yellow-fleshed potato cultivar developed by Canadian potato breeders (Johnston & Rowberry 1981) has found a niche in North America and has opened the door to acceptance of yellow-fleshed potatoes by consumers. The impetus to develop new, improved yellow-fleshed cultivars has now expanded beyond the goal of simply developing novelty types for specialty markets (Lu et al. 2001). The yellow color of the potato flesh is imparted by carotenoids and Lu et al. (2001) reported that both individual and total carotenoid contents were positively correlated to yellow-flesh intensity in potato Carotenoids may protect against a variety of chronic diseases including tubers. cardiovascular disease (Gaziano et al. 1995) and certain cancers (Colditz et al. 1985). Perhaps the clearest link between specific carotenoids and a health outcome is that for lutein and zeaxanthin with age-related macular degeneration (AMD), the leading cause of visual impairment and blindness in the U.S (Snodderly 1995). Lutein and zeaxanthin are two carotenoids that circulate in human blood plasma and are concentrated in the macula region of the eye. Consumption of foods rich in lutein and zeaxanthin is inversely related to AMD (Seddon et al. 1994) and short-term feeding of foods rich in lutein and zeaxanthin can substantially increase pigment density in the eyes of human subjects (Hammond et al. 1997). Conversely, people with macular degeneration have been found to have lower levels of zeaxanthin and lutein than people without which supports the premise that these antioxidants provide some protection (Bliss 2003). Recent feeding studies have also shown that although spinach is rich in carotenoids, other foods may contain a more bioavailable source of the compounds (Bliss 2003).
- As of 2000, there was no dietary reference intake for lutein in the U.S. (Food and Nutrition Board / Institute of Medicine). It is widely believed, however, that health benefits would accrue as a result of increasing lutein consumption in the U.S. from the estimated average intake of 1.3 mg/day (Chug-Ahuja et al. 1993). Studies at the University of Florida looking at the effect of dietary lutein supplements of 2.4 mg/day showed an increase in serum lutein concentration and an increase in macular pigment density. Total carotenoid content in white-fleshed potato varieties ranges from15 to 185 μ g/100 g fresh weight, while yellow fleshed varieties can exceed 500 μ g/100g fresh weight of lutein and up to 1.4 mg/100 g fresh weight of total carotenoids (Lu et al. 2001). A typical baked potato serving is approximately 200g; so yellow-fleshed potatoes could supply a significant percentage of dietary lutein.

2. Key Objectives/Deliverables: In point form, indicate the main objectives of the project.

- □ To determine concentrations of total carotenoids and lutein and zeaxanthin in yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta.
- □ To determine whether growing location (Brooks versus Edmonton) has an impact on total carotenoid content in potato tubers.
- □ To determine the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin, during storage of potato tubers with significant concentrations of carotenoids.
- □ To determine the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin, during cooking or processing of varieties with significant concentrations of carotenoids.

3. Impact on Alberta's Agriculture/Food Industry: Describe the potential impact the project will have on the growth of the industry. Also, provide an indication of the scope of the industry potentially impacted by the project (i.e. small or large segment of the industry impacted).

The project is preliminary in nature. If the project determines that yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta are significant sources of dietary carotenoids, additional study could attempt to link health claims to yellow-fleshed potato products. This would impact the table, processing and seed sectors of the potato industry. Table potato packers may be able to use the information as a marketing tool. Processors could use the information to develop and market healthier snack food. Seed growers would be positioned to supply seed potato for both table and processing markets.

Overall, the scope of the industry potentially impacted by the trial is small, however, this project opens up an avenue for the industry to be proactive when it comes to market responsiveness, diversity and industry competitiveness. There has been a significant amount of bad press associated with potatoes as a result of the popularity of the Atkins diet, the prevalence of Type II diabetes in our population, and the current focus on glycemic indexing. Potatoes are good sources of other nutrients, and highlighting other attributes would be a more effective strategy than refuting claims in the popular press.

4. Benefits to Industry Development Sector: Briefly describe the potential benefits to the Industry Development Sector. Refers to individual growth (intellectual capacity & leadership) and organizational capacity.

This project represents a deviation from the type of projects normally conducted in the Potato Research Agronomy program. The area of nutritional content and functional foods is of key concern to Albertans and the potato industry has identified functional foods, consumer attitudes and healthy fast foods using potatoes as research priorities. The project would provide me with an opportunity for academic growth in branching into a new area of research. The project represents forward thinking on the part of Industry Development Sector and shows our understanding and support of concerns facing the potato industry in the province. Also, the project involves cooperating with private companies, testing facilities and the federal government. The project will allow me to hone my project management skills including organization and communication skills.

5. Uniqueness: The uniqueness of a project is related to the number of others with a similar objective that have been done or are currently underway in Alberta, Canada and North America.

Brown et al. (1993) published a study looking at carotenoid content in orange-fleshed potato varieties. A 2001 report published by USDA researchers makes the link between lutein in potatoes and eye health. Their study assessed two registered varieties and eleven diploid clones for carotenoid content and composition and indicated that tuber flesh color could be used as an indicator of carotenoid content for breeding and selection purposes. A 2002 report concluded that carotenoids and carotenoid esters are quantitatively significant compounds in potatoes. A 2003 article on USDA research noted that although some vegetables, such as spinach, are very high in lutein, other food sources may represent significant sources due to greater availability of the lutein. Clinical trials are underway at the University of Alberta comparing two Alberta potato varieties with respect to glycaemic index. No Albertan or Canadian projects on lutein in potatoes came to light

Project Strategy

AAFRD-IDS - New Initiatives Fund (NIF)

Describe the project strategy, including objectives/outcomes, deliverables, milestones/dates and individuals responsible.

Summary of Project Strategy

Our strategy is to grow approximately 20 registered or nearly registered varieties of yellowfleshed potatoes in replicated trials at two locations in Alberta, an irrigated site in Brooks and a dry land site in Edmonton. Yield, size profiles, and specific gravity will be determined for all samples. Composite samples will be analyzed for total carotenoid concentration, and lutein and zeaxanthin concentrations using HPLC. Yellow flesh color will be assessed using a Hunter Colorimeter. Approximately five promising varieties will be analyzed again after storage and cooking or processing to determine how stable the carotenoid compounds are in potato tissue and how suitable the varieties are for various market applications.

| Objective/Outcome | Deliverables | Milestones/Dates | Responsible |
|--|--|---|---|
| 1. Source of potatoes for analysis | Will grow sufficient potatoes in a replicated trial to provide tissue for analysis after harvest, storage and processing. Two locations. | Potatoes harvested by October 2004 | Michele Konschuh & Simone Dalpé, Tricia McAllister & Tina Lewis |
| 2. Carotenoid analyses of potato varieties after harvest | Potatoes will be sent to testing facility for analysis Data from commercial testing facility | Potatoes sent to testing facility by November 2004 Data expected by December 2004 | Simone Dalpé and Tina Lewis |
| 3. Promising varieties selected for storage and processing analyses | Data from carotenoid analyses and field data will be used to select several promising varieties to continue working with | Identification of at least five promising varieties by January 2005 | Michele Konschuh, Qin Chen, Tricia McAllister, Norm Janssen |
| 4. Measurements of yellow flesh color intensity | Hunter colorimeter determinations of yellow flesh color for all varieties | Quantitative data by February 2005 | Simone Dalpé |
| 5. Carotenoid analyses of promising varieties after storage and cooking or | Stored potatoes will be analyzed then cooked or processed to determine carotenoid stability. Potato tissue sent to testing facility for | Potatoes sent January 2005 Data expected February 2005 | Simone Dalpé |
| 6. Trial report | Summary of the work conducted in the study will be compiled into a trial report. | Trial Report by April 2005 | Michele Konschuh, Qin Chen, Tricia McAllister |

Project Team

AAFRD-IDS - New Initiatives Fund (NIF)

Identify individuals (internal/external) that will be contributing directly to the project.

| Person Vears | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Name | Division/Institution* | Required | Role/Responsibility | | |
| Project Manager Michele | Crop Diversification / CDCS | 0.1 | Project coordination, oversee production of potatoes for sampling | | |
| Konschuh Oin Chen | ΔΔΕC | 0.05 | Assist with selection of promising | | |
| | Lethbridge Research Centre | 0.03 | varieties | | |
| Tricia McAllister | Crop Diversification / CDCN | 0.1 | Oversee production of potatoes for sampling at CDCN | | |
| Simone Dalpé | Crop Diversification/ CDCS | 0.1 | Plant and manage potato production, grade potatoes, arrange for samples to be sent to testing facility, arrange for storage and processing of | | |
| Tina Lewis | Crop Diversification / CDCN | 0.1 | Plant and manage potato production, grade potatoes, arrange for samples to be sent to testing facility, arrange for storage and processing of | | |
| Norm Janssen | Agri-Food Investment Division / Production Investment | 0.05 | Provide perspective on potential commercialization opportunities | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | × | | | |
| | | | | | |

* For individuals from outside of AAFRD, indicate proper business name and address.

Budget Worksheet

AAFRD-IDS – New Initiatives Fund (NIF)

State the amount being requested in each category. Only indicate amounts where cash will be expended. Account for *In-kind Contributions* in item "E" of the worksheet (see page 5).

A. Manpower

| | Name/Title | Address | Person Years Required | Salary/Wage Amount Requested |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Professional | | | | |
| Technical | HPLC Technologist | CDCS Brooks, AB | 0.2 | 8,000 |
| Graduate Student | | | | |
| Other (Specify) Casual Labour | Field Assistant | CDCS Brooks, AB | 0.2 | 5,000 |
| Other (Specify) Casual Labour | Field Assistant | CDCN Edmonton, AB | 0.2 | 5,000 |
| | | TOTAL A | State Longitude State | \$18,000 |

Note: Employees of public institutions are not eligible for wages, honoraria, or other compensation from project funds. Include in-kind contributions of such employees on project team worksheet.

B. Contract Services (amounts for contracts other than manpower identified above)

| Name | Description of Contract Services | Rate | Total Cost |
|------|---|---|------------|
| FPDC | Facility fees for HPLC analysis of carotenoid content of potato tissue (60 samples) – not including manpower | \$150/day 2 samples/day estimated | \$4,500 |
| | | | \$ |
| | | | \$ |
| | | TOTAL B | \$4,500 |

C. Equipment Rental

| Name | Description of Equipment | Rate | Total Cost |
|------|--------------------------|------|------------|
| | | | \$ |
| | | | 990 A |

| | \$ |
|---------|----|
| | \$ |
| TOTAL B | \$ |

D. Other Costs

i. Travel (includes travel and accommodation costs)

a. Project Travel

| Traveller's Name | |
|------------------|------------------|
| Destination(s) | |
| Number of Trips | |
| Mode of Travel | |
| Purpose | |
| | |
| | Cost (Line 1) \$ |

ii. Materials/Supplies (if you have more than six items, please attach a list)

| Description of Items to be Purchased | Quantity | \$ Per Unit | Cost |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| Bags, stakes, tags for plot work | 2 sites | 200/site | 400 |
| Seed potato | 20 varieties | 50 | 1,000 |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Sub-Total (Line 2) | \$1.400 |

iii. Computer Cost (relates to purchase of software; purchase of hardware not eligible for funding)

| | \$ |
|--------------------|----|
| | \$ |
| Sub-Total (Line 3) | \$ |

iv. Miscellaneous Costs

| | \$ |
|-------------------|----|
| Sub-Total (Line4) | \$ |

| | | | NIF Application # - |
|------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | TOTAL C (Line 1 + 2 +3+4) | \$1,400 |
| F. | Contingency (include 10% of the total budget for | or unexpected costs) | |
| L . | | si unexpected costs) | |
| | | TOTAL E | \$2,390 |

8 / **x**

| | TOTAL PROJECT COST (A+B+C+D+E) | \$26,290 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|
|--|--------------------------------|----------|

E. Other Funding Sources (Support applied for, granted, or promised for this project from sources other than AAFRD-IDS New Initiatives Fund)

2 1

٠

| In-Kind Contributions (i.e. use of facilities, materials/supplies a | and services provi | ded) |
|--|--------------------|--------|
| Name (No Abbreviations) & Description of Contribution | Confirmed (Y/N) | Amount |
| Name: Parkland Seed Potatoes Address: Box 5581, Lacombe, AB Description: Will provide seed potato of up to eight yellow- fleshed varieties for inclusion in the study | N | \$400 |
| Name: Address: Description: | | \$ |
| Name: Address Description: | | \$ |
| Name: Address: Description: | | \$ |
| Name: Address: Description: | | |
| Total In-Kin | d Contributions | \$400 |

| Name of Organization* | Confirmed (Y/N) | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Potato Growers of Alberta | N | \$2,000 |
| Maple Leaf Potatoes | N | \$1,000 |
| McCain Foods Ltd. | N | \$1,000 |
| Lamb-Weston | N | |
| Little Potato Company | N | \$500 |
| | | |
| Total Amount (Cash Only) Requested fi | com Other Sources (A+B+C+D+E) | \$4,50 |

* For organizations from outside of AAFRD, indicate proper business name and address.

AEROPONIC SEED POTATO PRODUCTION – PIP200 EVALUATION (2012-2014)

MICHELE KONSCHUH AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Agriculture and Rural Development

ALBERTA SEED POTATOES

- Alberta is the largest seed exporter in Canada
- Early generation seed begins with disease-free tissue culture plantlets
- Certified mini-tubers are produced in greenhouses
- These tubers are multiplied for several generations in the field prior to being sold to produce commercial crops
- Costs of mini-tuber production have been increasing and yield has not
- Competitors were selling mini-tubers at prices dangerously close to our COP
PROJECT

- After a literature search and consultations with seed growers, a proposal was developed to address productivity from a number of angles
- The research committee favored an evaluation of a novel aeroponic system, the Vital Farms PIP100
- Eventually, we were able to bring a modified version, the PIP200, to our facility for evaluation
- Three rounds of production were planned
- Field comparisons between aeroponic and conventional mini-tubers were included in the project



VITAL FARMS PIP200

PIP200 CONTROL SYSTEM



STOCK TANKS



TISSUE CULTURED PLANTLETS



TRANSPLANTS READY FOR SYSTEM



NEWLY PLANTED



APPROXIMATELY 2 WEEKS AFTER TRANSPLANTING



ROOT DEVELOPMENT





TUBER COMPARTMENT

INVISIBLE POTATO HILL

TUBER DEVELOPMENT





APPROXIMATELY 8 WEEKS AFTER TRANSPLANTING



TUBER PRODUCTION POTENTIAL



MINI-TUBERS PER PLANT WINTER 2012





FIELD EVALUATION 2013

FIELD EVALUATION 2013



FIELD EVALUATION – WINTER CROP



TUBER SET – WINTER CROP



SPRING CROP





TUBER PRODUCTION POTENTIAL

MINI-TUBERS PER PLANT SPRING CROP 2013



FIELD EVALUATION 2014



FIELD EVALUATION – SPRING CROP



TUBER SET – SPRING CROP



SUMMER CROP





TUBER PRODUCTION POTENTIAL

MINI-TUBERS PER PLANT SUMMER CROP



FIELD EVALUATION 2014



FIELD EVALUATION



FIELD EVALUATION – SUMMER CROP



TUBER SET – SUMMER CROP



BONUS ROUND 2014

SINGLE VARIETY - RUSSET BURBANK



VITAL FARMS PIP200

BONUS ROUND (RUSSET BURBANK)



ECONOMIC EVALUATION

- Compared variable costs for similar sized facility
- Conventional (included plantlets, fertilizers, disinfectants, potting mix, plastic, other materials and manpower)
- If 2 to 3 tubers per plant; COP = \$0.71 to \$0.43/tuber
- Aeroponic (included plantlets, fertilizers, disinfectants, felt, plastic, rock wool, nozzles, other materials and manpower)
- If 15 to 20 tubers per plant; COP = \$0.35 to \$0.27/tuber
SUMMARY

- We have grown four rounds of seed potato in the PIP200, including over 17 different potato varieties
- Each crop cycle has yielded better results than the previous round
- There is still more to learn to optimize the production for specific varieties
- We haven't yet realized the full potential of the system, but in the fourth cycle, we reached a level of tuber production that I believe is economically feasible
- The PIP150 is a more cost-effective option for growers
- Vital Farms is also working with a greenhouse manufacturer on integrating the system with a greenhouse frame

THANK YOU

- Funding for the project was provided by ACIDF, PGA and Ag & Food Council; in-kind contributions were provided by Alberta seed growers
- This has been one of the most interesting projects I have had the opportunity to work on
- In my opinion, our role as Government of Alberta is to mitigate risks to producers
- I am willing to share what I have learned
- The report will be available on the PGA website
- Northbright has incorporated many suggestions in design modifications
- Michele Konschuh

403-362-0689 (cell)

Agriculture Q

The Catalyst



Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits

> Final Report Project #: 2004-008



Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits

> New Initiatives Fund – 2004/2005 June 30, 2005

> > Dr. Michele Konschuh Tricia McAllister Simone Dalpé Tina Lewis Dr. Darcy Driedger Norm Janssen AAFRD

Dr. Qin Chen Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge

]

Table of Contents

ALC: NO

| Abstract | 4 |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 4 |
| Objectives | 5 |
| Methods | 5 |
| Results | 8 |
| Conclusions | 13 |
| Presentation to Industry | 13 |
| ndustry Reaction | 13 |
| Summary | 14 |
| Sponsors | 14 |
| References | 15 |
| Appendix | 16 |
| Figure A1: Plot plan for lutein screening trial 2004 | 16 |
| Table A1: Description, characteristics and resistance or susceptibilities of yellow-fleshed potato varieties and check varieties used in the trial | 17 |
| Figure A2: Estimated yield and grade (ton/ac) of each variety of yellow-fleshed potatoes grown at CDCS in Brooks | 18 |
| Figure A3: Estimated yield and grade (ton/acre) of each variety of yellow-fleshed potatoes grown at CDCN in Edmonton | 18 |
| Table A2: Specific gravity of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown at CDCS (Brooks) and CDCN (Edmonton) | 19 |

Tables and Figures

| Table 1: Yellow-fleshed potato varieties included in the lutein screening trial |
|--|
| Table 2: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop at CDCS to prevent early blight and late blight development |
| Table 3: Flesh color intensity (chroma) and concentration of carotenoids (lutein, zeaxanthin = Zea, and total carotenoids; µg per g FW) in yellow-fleshed potato tubers grown at two locations in Alberta |
| Figure 1: Concentration (µg / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellow-fleshed potatoes at harvest (post-harvest), and after four months storage (post-storage) |
| Figure 2: Concentration (µg / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellow-fleshed potatoes at harvest (before storage), after four months storage (stored), and after cooking out of storage |
| Figure 3: Concentration (µg / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellow-fleshed potatoes at harvest (before storage), after four months storage (stored), and after frying out of storage |
| |



Abstract

Twenty yellow-fleshed potato varieties were grown alongside two check varieties at two Alberta locations; a dry-land site near Edmonton and an irrigated site near Brooks. Tuber flesh color (chroma) was measured after harvest and ranged from 30 in the Russet Burbank check (off-white flesh) to over 50 in several yellow-fleshed varieties. There was very high correlation between locations with respect to tuber flesh color, indicating that flesh color is a variety specific trait not easily influenced by growing location. Total carotenoids, lutein and zeaxanthin were extracted from tubers after harvest, and again after 4 months storage at 8°C for some varieties. Total carotenoid content ranged from 35 to 240 µg per 100 g FW and was positively correlated with tuber flesh color. Lutein concentrations in the same variety were correlated but not consistent between growing locations. Environmental conditions experienced at each site were quite different and it was not clear whether the differences observed were a result of latitude, soil type, environmental conditions or simply tuber size and maturity. Lutein accounted for approximately 1/3 of the total carotenoid content in many varieties and ranged from 9.3 µg per 100 g FW in the Russet Burbank check to over 50 µg per 100 g FW in the deep yellow-fleshed variety, Satina. Zeaxanthin was present in low concentrations and did not contribute significantly to total carotenoid content in the varieties studied.

Five fresh market varieties (Agata, Cecile, Island Sunshine, Piccolo and Satina) were selected for storage and cooking stability analyses. Another five potential processing varieties (Innovator, Sante, Satina, Sinora and Victoria) were selected for storage and frying stability analyses. Less lutein was extracted from stored potatoes than from freshly harvested potatoes, but the decrease in lutein concentration depended on the potato variety. More lutein was recovered from cooked potato than from stored potato for three of the fresh market varieties. Similarly, the concentration of lutein extracted from fried samples was much higher than from stored samples for all of the processing varieties studied. A reduction in moisture content only accounted for a portion of the increase observed. Although the reason for greater lutein concentrations after cooking and frying was not determined, we have put forward some possible explanations.

Introduction

Yukon Gold, a yellow-fleshed potato cultivar developed by Canadian potato breeders (Johnston & Rowberry 1981) has found a niche in North America and has opened the door to acceptance of yellow-fleshed potatoes by consumers. The impetus to develop new, improved yellow-fleshed cultivars has now expanded beyond the goal of simply developing novelty types for specialty markets (Lu et al. 2001). The yellow color of the potato flesh is imparted by carotenoids and Lu et al. (2001) reported that both individual and total carotenoid contents were positively correlated to yellow-flesh intensity in potato tubers. Carotenoids may protect against a variety of chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease (Gaziano et al. 1995) and certain cancers (Colditz et al. 1985). Perhaps the clearest link between specific carotenoids and a health outcome is that for lutein and zeaxanthin with agerelated macular degeneration (AMD), the leading cause of visual impairment and blindness in the U.S (Snodderly 1995). Lutein and zeaxanthin are two carotenoids that circulate in human blood plasma and are concentrated in the macula region of the eye. Consumption of foods rich in lutein and zeaxanthin

is inversely related to AMD (Seddon et al. 1994) and short-term feeding of foods rich in lutein and zeaxanthin can substantially increase pigment density in the eyes of human subjects (Hammond et al. 1997). Conversely, people with macular degeneration have been found to have lower levels of zeaxanthin and lutein than people without, which supports the premise that these antioxidants provide some protection (Bliss 2003). Recent feeding studies have also shown that although spinach is rich in carotenoids, other foods may contain a more bio-available source of the compounds (Bliss 2003).

As of 2000, there was no dietary reference intake for lutein in the U.S. (Food and Nutrition Board / Institute of Medicine). It is widely believed, however, that health benefits would accrue as a result of increasing lutein consumption in the U.S. from the estimated average intake of 1.3 mg/day (Chug-Ahuja et al. 1993). Studies at the University of Florida looking at the effect of dietary lutein supplements of 2.4 mg/day showed an increase in serum lutein concentration and an increase in macular pigment density. Total carotenoid weight, while yellow fleshed varieties can exceed 500 µg/100g fresh weight of lutein and up to 1.4 mg/100 g fresh weight of total carotenoids (Lu et al. 2001). A typical baked potato serving weight of total carotenoids (Lu et al. 2001). A typical baked potato serving weight of total carotenoids (Lu et al. 1061). A typical baked potato serving weight of total carotenoids (Lu et al. 2001). A typical baked potato serving weights approximately 170 g; so yellow-

This trial involved screening several registered or near-registered yellowfleshed potato varieties for flesh color, total carotenoid content and concentrations of lutein and zeaxanthin to determine whether any of the varieties would supply a good source of dietary lutein. Further we wanted to establish the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein, during storage and processing for some of the most promising lines. Identification of yellowfleshed varieties with significant carotenoids, erone concentrations would allow the potato industry in Alberta to market products, fresh and processed, as the potato industry in Alberta to market products. fresh and processed, as

Objectives

•To determine concentrations of total carotenoids and lutein and zeaxanthin in yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta.

•To determine whether growing location (Brooks versus Edmonton) has an impact on total carotenoid content in yellow-fleshed potato tubers.

•To determine the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin, during storage of potato tubers with significant concentrations of carotenoids.

•To determine the stability of carotenoids, especially lutein and zeaxanthin, during cooking or processing of varieties with significant concentrations of carotenoids.

spouleM

The trial was conducted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) in Brooks, AB, and the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in Edmonton, AB. Twenty different yellow-fleshed varieties were included in the trial (Table 1). Two check varieties were also included as known comparisons. Three companies agreed to provide seed for the trial, and each company was asked for input in variety selection. Early generation seed (E2 or E3) of each variety was used for this trial. Seed was cut, if necessary, to ensure seed pieces of no more than 70 to 85 g, suberized, and planted 30 cm apart in 6 m rows spaced 90 cm apart. Each variety was grown in a randomized complete block design with four replicates (see plot plan).

| Table 1: | Yellow-fleshed | potato varieties | included in a | the lutein |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| screening | g trial. | | | |

| Variety | Seed Supplied By |
|------------------|------------------------|
| Agata | Solanum International |
| Adora | HZPC Americas |
| Amandine | Solanum International |
| Baby Boomer | Solanum International |
| Cherie | Solanum International |
| Fabula | HZPC Americas |
| Innovator | HZPC Americas |
| Island Sunshine | Parkland Seed Potatoes |
| Mozart | HZPC Americas |
| Piccolo | Solanum International |
| Provento | Parkland Seed Potatoes |
| Red Scarlet | HZPC Americas |
| Rosara | Solanum International |
| Russet Burbank | Check |
| Cecile (RZ94-83) | HZPC Americas |
| Sante | Parkland Seed Potatoes |
| Satina | Solanum International |
| Sinora | Parkland Seed Potatoes |
| Velox | Solanum International |
| Victoria | HZPC Americas |
| Yukon Gold | Check |

At CDCS the plots were managed following the guidelines for the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program. Potatoes were planted approximately 12 to 14 cm deep using a two-row wheel planter on May 19, 2004 at CDCS. The plots were hilled prior to emergence and were irrigated at CDCS to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Eptam (2.0 L/ac) was applied pre-planting (April 15), Lorox (1.8 L/ac) was applied pre-emergent (June 8) and Prism (24 g/ac) was applied post-emergent (June 23) to control weeds. Foliar fungicides were applied approximately every 2 weeks during the growing season to prevent early blight and late blight from developing (Table 2). Insecticides were applied July 15 (Sevin, 0.5 L/ac) and July 30 (Admire, 80 mL/ac) to control Colorado Potato Beetles. Reglone (1 L/ac) was applied August 27 to desiccate the plots. All treatments at CDCS were harvested mechanically September 9 and 10.

| ete R | əpisignuə | notteoilqqA to etsQ |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 0.250 L/ac | Quadris | SS enut |
| 0.60 kg/ac | Dithane DG Rainshield | 8 չիսև |
| 36 01\J 8.8 | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 91 Yiul |
| 0.75 L/ac | Bravo 500 | July 30 |
| 0.60 kg/ac | Dithane DG Rainshield | St tauguA |
| 0.250 L/ac | Quadris | YS tauguA |

Table 2: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop at CDCS to prevent early blight and late blight development.

At CDCN, plots were managed as dry-land plots following the guidelines for the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program. Potatoes were planted approximately 12 to 14 cm deep using a two-row wheel planter on May 17, 2004. Plots were hilled May 25, prior to emergence, but difficult conditions at hilling resulted in some dragging and damaged tubers. Lorox (1.8 L/ac) weeds. Foliar fungicide (Bravo, 1 L/ac) was applied approximately every 2 weeks during the growing season to prevent early blight and late blight from weeks during the growing season to prevent early blight and late blight from weeks during the growing season to prevent early blight and late blight from weeks during the growing season to prevent early blight and late blight from somfall September 31 to desiccate the plots. Harvest was delayed by early was applied August 31 to desiccate the plots. Harvest was delayed by early were applied August 31 to desiccate the plots. Harvest was delayed by early were harvested September 16.

Tubers were weighed to obtain yield estimates and graded into small, medium, large and deformed categories. Medium tubers (48 to 88 mm in diameter) were weighed to obtain estimates of marketable yield. Yield estimates have been presented in ton/acre although small plot trials do not always accurately reflect commercial yield potential (Appendix). A sample of 25 marketable tubers was washed and used to determine specific gravity by the weight-in-air over weight-in-water method. Each of these tubers was then cut longitudinally to assess brown center, hollow heart and other internal defects. Also, a composite sample of marketable tubers of each variety from each location was ubmitted to the Food Science lab at CDCS for measurement of flesh color (chroma) and analysis of total carotenoids, lutein and zeaxanthin. Samples of each variety were stored at 8°C for analysis of carotenoid stability in storage and lutein concentration after cooking or processing.

Field data were statistically analyzed using GLM and Duncan's Multiple Range Test ($p \le 0.05$; SAS). Lab analyses were based on composite samples, so no statistics were applied.

Once initial assessments were complete, five varieties were selected (high lutein content, good yield and grade, and low to moderate specific gravity; see Appendix) for fresh market varieties. These potatoes were peeled, diced and either frozen or cooked then frozen. Another five varieties were selected (high lutein content, good yield and grade, and high specific gravity; see Appendix) for potential processing varieties. Eight tubers were used to cut fries, half of the fry strips from each potato were frozen, the other half were processed into and zeaxanthin. The samples were analyzed for total carotenoids, lutein to the post-harvest samples to determine stability of carotenoids during storage. The samples frozen after cooking/frying were compared to the post-harvest samples to show stability of carotenoids during storage. The samples to show stability of carotenoids during to the post-harvest samples to show stability of carotenoids during storage. The samples to show stability of carotenoids during to ecoking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during cooking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during to ecoking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during to ecoking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during to before cooking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during to ecoking/frying samples to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be to be to be to be a to be a stability of carotenoids during to be to be to be a samples to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be to be a samples to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a samples to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a samples to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a sample to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a sample to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a sample to show stability of carotenoids during to be to be a sample to show stability of carotenoids during to be before

slitened lenoimtun leitnetop enimeted of enedia ni nworg selleinev otstop bentell-wolley to treatop bionetones to treamszesza

Flesh color was measured using a HunterLab ColorQuest color measurement instrument. Tubers were prepared for color analysis by dicing into 1 cm cubes and using approximately 250 mL for color measurement. Measurements were made in triplicate. Chroma was measured using the CIELCh color scale, D65 illuminant and a 10° observer angle. Chroma is a measure of color intensity. Previous unpublished work in our lab indicated that chroma is the best indicator of yellowness in white and yellow-fleshed potatoes.

The composite sample provided for carotenoid analysis was diced and frozen (approximately 1 cm cubes). Carotenoid analysis was based on the method of Lu et al. (2001). Carotenoids were extracted from a 100 g sub-sample with 100 mL tetrahydrofuran containing 0.05% butylated hydroxytoluene, 2 g sodium carbonate and 3 g Celite 545 as a filter aid. The mixture was blended for 1 minute and vacuum filtered through Whatman 1 filter paper. The filter cake and paper were extracted a second time with fresh extraction solvent and the filtrates combined. Most of the yellow color was removed from the potatoes during the first extraction. The combined filtrate was evaporated on a rotary evaporator to remove the tetrahydrofuran. The concentrated extract was transferred quantitatively with a small amount of methanol to a separatory funnel containing 50 mL saturated aqueous sodium chloride. The aqueous solution was extracted repeatedly with methylene chloride until all the yellow color was removed. Generally, two extractions were sufficient. The methylene chloride extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The extract was then evaporated to dryness. The residue was re-dissolved in mobile phase containing beta-apo-8'-carotenal as an internal standard to a final volume of 25 mL (fried samples were diluted to 50 mL). The extract was filtered though a 0.45 µm filter into an HPLC vial.

Analysis of carotenoids was performed on an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatography system using a Phenomenex Synergi Hydro RP column (4.6 x 250 mm) and diode-array detection at 450 nm. The mobile phase consisted of 70% acetonitrile, 15% methanol, 15% methylene chloride, 0.05% butylated hydroxytoluene and 0.01% N,N-diisopropylethylamine. Elution was isocratic at 0.8 mL/min. Linearity and retention times were verified with lutein and zeaxanthin standards. Carotenoid concentrations were calculated relative to the internal standard concentration.

Results

Composite samples of marketable potatoes from each location were used to determine the concentration of lutein and zeaxanthin per 100 g fresh weight of tuber. These samples were also used to determine flesh color intensity (chroma) and total carotenoid concentration from each potato variety at each location. The data for CDCS and CDCN are reported in Table 3.

| | (notnor | DCN (Equ | ວ | | (syloo | CDCS (Br | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|
| listoT | 562 | Lutein | Chroma | IstoT | 69Z | niətu.J | Chroma | Variety |
| 21.0 | 0.0 | 6'3 | 31.5 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 3.61 | 30,3 | Burbank Russet |
| 0.28 | 9°L | 53 .5 | 43.6 | 0.06 | 4.I | 22.7 | 41.9 | Innovator |
| 0.88 | 1.2 | 52 [.] 3 | 9,44 | 0.68 | 9.0 | 9.71 | 45.3 | Baby Boomer |
| 0.87 | 5.3 | 54'0 | 7.44 | 32'0 | 0.0 | 6'6 | 45.6 | enibnemA |
| 0'911 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 46.6 | 0.87 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 43.1 | Provento |
| 133.0 | 8.1 | 31.0 | 45'8 | 0.601 | 6.0 | 2.72 | 43.2 | Cherie (red) |
| 0.17 | ۲.۲ | ð.8f | 0.44 | 0.68 | 9'L | 2.71 | 43.5 | Adora |
| 64.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 46.2 | 0.77 | 0.0 | 9.61 | 44.8 | xoleV |
| 145.0 | 2.1 | 36.2 | 4.74 | 150.0 | 5'5 | 2.72 | 46.0 | Sante |
| 0'88 | 9°L | 59'0 | 46.2 | 0'96 | 0.0 | 53 .5 | 49.5 | tegA |
| 0.08 | 0.0 | £,81 | 47.2 | 0.47 | 0.0 | 9.71 | 6.64 | Red Scarlett |
| 0.63 | 2.0 | 9.01 | 46.0 | 0.28 | 0' ¢ | 15.6 | 46.6 | Yukon Gold |
| 0.311 | 5.4 | 53.9 | 48.0 | 0'£6 | £.1 | 0'21 | 8.84 | Fabula |
| 128.0 | 0.1 | 32.4 | 9'67 | 0'961 | 0.0 | 9.04 | 6.74 | Cecile (red) |
| 0.43 | 0.0 | 8.71 | 50.2 | 0,111 | 6'0 | 2.82 | 9.74 | Binora |
| 83 .0 | 8.r | 56.3 | 8.74 | 0.911 | 8.0 | 27.5 | 9.74 | Penta |
| 0'96 | 0.1 | 31.3 | 48.6 | 62.0 | 0.0 | 8.81 | 48.0 | Mozart red) |
| 125.0 | 2,2 | 54.8 | 51.2 | 146.0 | 9'0 | 21.7 | 48.2 | Rosara (red) |
| 102.0 | 41 | 21.5 | 6.63 | 0.011 | 0.1 | 23.6 | 50.2 | Piccolo |
| 0.011 | 4.2 | 50.8 | 61.0 | 0.722 | 3.3 | 24'0 | 2.03 | Satina |
| 0.011 | 2,1 | 21.9 | 9'6† | 154.0 | 6'0 | 22.5 | 1.18 | Victoria |
| 133.0 | 6 .0 | 34.3 | 0.63 | 540.0 | 5.1 | 46.0 | 9.63 | bnsisi enirianu2 |
| | | 1 | 7 | | 1 | - | 7 | |

Table 3: Flesh color intensity (chroma) and concentration of carotenoids; mcg per g FW) in yellow-fleshed potato tubers grown at two locations in Alberta.

Chroma is the measurement of color most closely aligned with our perception of color intensity. The chroma of tuber flesh ranged from 30 in the Russet Burbank check (considered off-white) to over 50 in several of the yellow-fleshed varieties (the higher the number, the more yellow the appearance of the flesh). Yellow flesh color is a heritable trait (Lu et al. 2001) and is characteristic of a particular variety. Although there was very good correlation between chroma values from samples obtained at the two locations ($r^2 = 0.925$), the values from CDCS and CDCN were not identical. Growing conditions and size at the time of harvest may influence the chroma values for each variety as well.

In the literature, it is noted that individual and total carotenoid content are positively correlated with flesh color. We found that flesh color was more closely correlated with total carotenoids $(r^2 = 0.46)$ than with lutein $(r^2 = 0.30)$, and even then, the correlation was positive but not all that strong. The correlations were stronger for samples from CDCS than from CDCN and may relate to tuber maturity. Certainly, carotenoids other than lutein and zeazanthin contribute to yellow flesh color and compounds other than lutein say is also influence the chroma of potato tubers.

Total carotenoid concentration in yellow-fleshed potatoes ranged from 35 to 240 μg / 100 g FW. Lutein, zeaxanthin, and several other carotenoids

tinened lenotition leatinetion entimeted of the manage of the stretter stretter and the stretter of control of the stretter of control of the stretter of control of the stretter of the stret

have been reported to be present in yellow-fleshed tubers (Brown et al. 1993, Lu et al. 2001, Brethaupt and Bamedi 2002). Lutein and zeaxanthin specifically, have been linked to improved eye health in clinical studies (Bruno and Medeiros 2000, Moeller et al. 2000, Krinsky et al. 2003). Lutein was present in appreciable amounts in all of the varieties examined, even the non-yellow check, Russet Burbank. However, zeaxanthin was present in very low quantities and did not contribute significantly to the total carotenoid concentration in the tubers. In most varieties, lutein made up to ¹/₂ of the total carotenoid content. It was beyond the scope of this trial to identify other carotenoid compounds present in the potato tubers. Lutein concentration ranged from 9.3 μ g / 100 g FW in the Russet Burbank check to over 50 μ g / 100 g FW in the variety Satina. Again, there was a strong correlation $(r^2 = 0.925)$ in lutein concentration between locations, but the numbers were not identical. There may be an influence of growing location (environment, latitude, soil type, etc.) or the size and maturity of the tubers harvested on lutein concentration, but variety seemed to be the greatest influencing factor. Total carotenoid concentration was correlated between CDCN and CDCS samples, but the correlation for total carotenoid ($r^2 = 0.57$) was not as strong as that for lutein $(r^2 = 0.62)$. This suggests that compounds other than lutein may be more variable from one location to another.

Based on the lutein data (Table 3), yield data, tuber shape and specific gravity data (Appendix); five varieties were selected to move forward as potential fits for fresh market. Varieties with high lutein, moderate to low specific gravity, high yields of marketable or small potatoes, and pleasant tuber shapes were selected for fresh market interest. These varieties, Agata, Cecile, Island Sunshine, Piccolo, and Satina, were compared to Yukon Gold, a well-known yellow-fleshed variety sold in the fresh market, for storage and cooking data collection.

Based on the lutein data (Table 3), yield, tuber shape and specific gravity data (Appendix); five varieties were selected to move forward as potential fits for the processing industry. Varieties with high lutein, oblong to oval tuber shape, good marketable yield and high specific gravity were selected for processing interest. These varietie, Innovator, Sante, Satina, Sinora, and Victoria, were compared with Russet Burbank, a widely used French fry processing variety, for storage and frying data collection.

Lutein, zeaxanthin and total carotenoids were determined again after approximately four months in storage. Carotenoid stability varied with variety, and in all cases, less total carotenoid and less lutein were recovered from tubers after storage. Differences may be related to the physiology of specific varieties (including size and maturity at harvest) or may relate to variability in dormancy and shrinkage in storage. The storage lutein data for the fresh market and processing varieties are shown in Figure 1.

Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits



Figure 1: Concentration (µg / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellowfleshed potatoes at harvest (post-harvest), and after four months storage (post-storage).

Total carotenoids, lutein and zeaxanthin were extracted from samples that had been cooked out of storage (Figure 2). These potatoes were diced, boiled, frozen and used for carotenoid extraction. As noted, less lutein was recovered from stored potatoes than from freshly harvested potatoes. After cooking, lutein recovery differed with variety (Figure 2). In Satina and Piccolo, less lutein recovered after cooking than before. In Island Sunshine, Cecile, lutein was recovered after cooking than before. In Island Sunshine, Cecile, Sukon Gold and Agata, more lutein was recovered after cooking than before. This trend was observed for total carotenoids as well regardless of whether concentration was expressed in terms of fresh weight of tuber tissue, so the differences cannot be explained on the basis on moisture content alone.



Figure 2: Concentration (µg / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellowfleshed potatoes at harvest (before storage), after four months storage (stored), and after cooking out of storage.

slitened lenoithtun leitnetop enimeted of chedla in nworg seiteriou oletop beitselt-wolley to tretionot dionetors to themssessa

μ

Total carotenoids, lutein, and zeaxanthin were also extracted from samples that had been fried out of storage (Figure 3). These potatoes were sliced into fry strips, blanched, frozen, fried and used for lutein extraction. As already noted, less lutein was recovered from tubers after storage than after harvest. However, the quantity of lutein extracted from fried samples was much higher than from stored samples for all varieties fried. This trend was also observed for total carotenoid concentrations. This can in part, be accounted for because of changes in moisture content as a result of frying, but was true of all varieties expect for Sante, even when expressed in terms of dry weight of tuber tissue.



Figure 3: Concentration (μ g / g FW) of lutein extracted from yellowfleshed potatoes at harvest (before storage), after four months storage (stored), and after frying out of storage.

The greater recovery of lutein after cooking or frying may be explained by a number of possible rationales:

1. Because lutein is lipophillic (soluble in solvents, not water), heating as a result of cooking or frying and cell disruption may increase the quantity of lutein extracted by the same method; or

2. Some other carotenoid compounds (for example lutein epoxides and lutein esters) may be converted to lutein during the cooking or frying process; or

3. The reduction in water concentration in the tissues as a result of cooking and frying may result in less interference during the extraction process and allow for greater extraction efficiency.

It was, however, not within the scope of this project to determine which explanation best fits the data.

Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits

Conclusions

Total carotenoid content in the yellow-fleshed potatoes studied ranged from 35 to 240 µg per 100 g FW and can be influenced by the growing location, storage and cooking or frying. The concentration of lutein in yellow-fleshed potatoes depended on the variety, among other factors, and ranged from 9.3 for all varieties studied, but lutein concentrations were still significant after for all varieties studied, but lutein concentrations were still significant after anombs at 8°C. Lutein recovery after cooking or frying was greater than tecovery from raw stored potatoes for most varieties studied. When boiled of fried, an average size yellow-fleshed potato can contribute five times the dietary lutein, and eight times the total cantenoid content found in more common potato varieties.

Presentation to Industry

Preliminary data was presented in a Power Point slide show at a breakfast meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta in Taber, Alberta February 22, 2005. A second presentation of data is being arranged for a Potato Growers of Alberta area meeting in Edmonton August 18, 2005 and a poster version of the results will be prepared for the Potato Growers of Alberta Annual Meeting in November. A copy of this report will be provided to all of our industry sponsors a once it is approved.

Industry Reaction

The reaction from industry so far has been positive. Potato production has remained static in Alberta while acres of potatoes are being reduced in other growing areas. This project is being perceived as an effort to add value to firesh potato sales, and to provide a marketing angle to processors and packers alike. The data from the MIF project allowed us to move forward with the concept. We applied to Ag & Food Council this spring for funds to allow us to explore the impact of growing location and time of harvest on several varieties for two additional years. We have industry funds from several interested parties as well. The information generated in the MIF project and the two-year from which to initiate a marketing study. It is not clear how industry intends to use the information to advantage. A collective effort between industry from which to initiate a marketing study. It is not clear how industry intends to use the information to advantage. A collective effort between industry information in different ways.

13

Summary

The color of yellow-fleshed potatoes is imparted by carotenoids. Carotenoids are anti-oxidant compounds that may protect against a variety of chronic diseases and certain cancers. Lutein and zeaxanthin are two specific carotenoid compound associated with a reduced incidence of age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation. This project involved screening twenty yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in two Alberta locations, and analyzing them for tuber flesh color intensity, total carotenoid content and concentrations of lutein and zeaxanthin. Total carotenoid content ranged from 35 to 240 μ g per 100 g FW and was positively correlated with tuber flesh color intensity. Lutein accounted for approximately ½ of the total carotenoid content in many varieties and ranged from 9.3 μ g per 100 g FW in the Russet Burbank (off-white) check to over 50 μ g per 100 g FW in the variety Satina (deep-yellow). Zeaxanthin was present in low concentrations and did not contribute significantly to the total carotenoid content in the varieties studied.

Five promising fresh market varieties (Agata, Cecile, Island Sunshine, Piccolo and Satina) were selected for storage and cooking analyses. Five varieties (Innovator, Sante, Satina, Sinora and Victoria) were selected as promising processing varieties for storage and frying stability analyses. Less lutein was extracted from stored potatoes than from freshly harvested potatoes, but the decrease in lutein concentration depended on the potato variety. More lutein was recovered from cooked potato than from stored potato for three of the fresh market varieties. Similarly, the concentration of lutein extracted from fried samples was much higher than from stored samples for all of the processing varieties studied. A reduction in moisture content only accounted for a portion of the increase in lutein concentration observed. Although the reason for greater lutein concentrations after cooking and frying was not determined, we have put forward some possible explanations. Potato varieties with significant concentrations of lutein and zeaxanthin may be marketed in the future as functional foods.

Sponsors

AAFRD New Initiatives Fund Potato Growers of Alberta Con Agra Limited (Lamb-Weston) Maple Leaf Potatoes McCain Foods Ltd. Solanum International HZPC Americas Corp.

Parkland Seed Potatoes

References

Bliss, R.M. 2003. Scientists link nutrition and eye health. Agric-Res. 51: 4-7.

Breithaupt, D.E. and A. Bamedi. 2002. Carotenoids and carotenoid esters in potatoes (Solanum tubersosum L.); New insights into an ancient vegetable. J. Agric. Food Chemistry. 50: 7175-7181.

Brown, C.R., C. G. Edwards, C.-P. Yang and B.B. Dean. 1993. Orange flesh trait in potato: Inheritance and carotenoid content. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 118: 145-150.

Bruno, R.S. and D.M. Medeiros. 2000. Lutein, zeaxanthin, and age-related macular degeneration. J. Nutraceuticals, Functional and Medical Foods. 3: 79-85.

Chug-Ahuja, J.K., J.M. Holden, M.R. Forman, A.R. Mangels, G.R. Beecher and E. Lanza. 1993. The development and application of a sarotenoid database for fruits, vegetables, and selected multicomponent foods. J. Amer. Dietary Assn. 93: 318-323.

Colditz, G.A., L.G. Branch, R.J. Lipnick, W.C. Willett, B. Rosner, B.M. Posner and C.H. Hennekens. 1985. Increased green and yellow vegetable intake and lowered cancer deaths in an elderly population. Amer. J. Clinical Nutr. 41: 32-36.

Gaziano, J.M., J.E. Manson, L.G. Branch, G.A. Colditz, W.C. Willett and J.E. Buring. 1995. A prospective study of consumption of carotenoids in fruits and vegetables and decreased cardiovascular mortality in the elderly. Ann. Epidemiol. 5: 255-260.

Hammond, B.R. Jr., E.J. Johnson, R.M. Russell, N.I. Krinsky, K.-J. Yeum, R.B. Edwards and D.M. Snodderly. 1997. Dietary modification of human macular pigment density. Invest. Ophthlamol. Vis. Sci. 38: 1795-1801.

J. 58: 241-244. J. 58: 241-244.

Krinsky, N.I., J.T. Landrum and R.A. Bone. 2003. Biologic mechanisms of the protective role of lutein and zeaxanthin in the eye. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 23: 171-201.

Lu, W., K. Haynes, E. Wiley and B. Clevidence. 2001. Carotenoid content and color in diploid potatoes. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 126: 722-726.

Moeller, S.W., P.F. Jaques and J.B. Blumberg. 2000. The potential role of dietary xanthophylls in cataract and age-related macular degeneration. J. Amer. College Nutr. 19: 5225-5275.

Seddon, J.M., U.A. Ajani, R.D. Sperduto, N. Blair, T.C. Burton, M.D. Farber, E.S. Gragoudas, J. Haller and D.T. Miller. 1994. Dietary carotenoids, vitamin A, C and E, and advanced age-related macular degeneration. JAMA. 272: 1413-1420.

Supdderly, M.D. 1995. Evidence for protection against age-related macular degeneration by carotenoids and anti-oxidant vitamins. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 62(Suppl.): 1448S-1461S.

zifiened lenoithtun leitnetod enimeteb of shedla ni nworg zeitensv otstog benzelt-wolley to inetnoo bionetonso to inemzzezza

Appendix

m St 5 4 2 ŝ ø ส 19 Yukon Gold Amandine RZ94-83 Guand Sinora Cherie Rosara 4016 Penta 4018 4015 4017 4019 Satina 4020 4021 4022 Ŧ 8 3 00 8 9 5 Island Sunshine Baby Boomer Red Scarlet Gamperd Victoria Piccolo Fabula Agata Adora Sante 4006 4012 4007 4008 4009 4010 4011 4013 1005 4014 Velox 17 4 3 Ø œ 17 13 30 16 **Russet Burbank** Baby Boomer Gunner Provento nnovato Piccolo Mozart Victoria Mozart Penta Agata 3018 3019 3020 4002 4004 3022 3021 4001 3017 4003 5 33 8 9 5 16 ង φ Island Sunshine Russet Burbank Red Scarlet Amandine Provento Innovator Rosara Adora Sinora 3008 3009 3010 Satina 3012 3013 3015 3016 3014 3011 3007 ø Ś œ ŝ 19 ~ ÷ 2 15 Yukon Gold Yukon Gold Guand 78 m RZ94-83 Rosara Piccolo Cherie Cherie Fabula 2019 2021 2022 2020 Sante 3001 3002 Velox 3003 3004 3006 3005 17 5 12 2 2 ន ß 9 sland Sunshine Baby Boomer Guard Amandine Victoria Mozart Fabula Adora 2012 Sinora Satina 2010 2009 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Agata 2018 2017 4 17 4 18 ÷ 19 13 9 Russet Burbank Red Scarlet Guand RZ94-83 Provento Innovator Mozart Penta Penta Sante 2003 1021 2001 2002 Velox 2005 2006 1022 2004 2007 2008 2 ŝ 9 15 ÷ ĉ ដ Island Sunshine **Russet Burbank** Baby Boomer Yukon Gold Amandine Fabula Piccolo Cherie Sante 1014 1016 1019 Satina 0.5 1011 1012 1013 1015 1018 1017 1020 Е С σ 4 18 19 21 ø 16 2 13 20 Red Scarlet Dano Clumbrd Innovator RZ94-83 Provento 8 8 Sinora Rosara Victoria Agata 1002 1003 1004 Adora 1006 Velox 1001 1005 1009 1010 1007 1008 L Z ε ç 9 ۷ 8 6 01 11 15

Lutein Screening Trial 2004 - Field 71

Figure A1: Plot plan for lutein screening trial 2004

22 cultivars x 4 reps

6 metre rows, 20 hills/plot row, 30 cm plant spacing

16

17

Passar 1

| | Cuitivar | Adora | Agata | Amandine | Baby Boomer | Cecile | Cherie | Fabula | Innovator | tstand Sunshine | Mozart | Penta | Piccolo | Provento | Red Scarlett | Rosara | Russet Burbank | Sante | Satina | Sinora | Velox | |
|-------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Maturity | earty | earty | earty | mid-season | | early | mid-season | early | very late | | mid-season | medium early | mid-season | early | first early | very late | mid-season | medium early | earty | first early | |
| | Skin Color | light yellow | smooth, yellow | pale yellow | yellow | deep red | red | yellow | reddish brown | buff, flaky | | yellow / red eyebrows | yellow | yellow | red | Ped | russet, netted | buff | yellow | yellow | yellow | vellow |
| Descript | Flesh Color | pale yellow | yellow | pale yellow | yellow | bright yellov | pale yellow | pale yellow | cream | yellow | | yellow | yellow | yellow | pale yellow | yellow | white | light yellow | deep yellow | light yellow | light yellow | vellow |
| ion | Tuber Shape | oblong | oval/long | long | round | v oval/long | oval/long | oval | oval/long | round | | round | oval/long | round/oval | oval | oval/long | long | oblong | oval | round/oval | oval/long | oval/long |
| | Eyes | shallow/medium | shallow | very shallow | medium | shallow | very shallow | shallow | shallow | deep | | shallow/medium | shallow | shallow | shallow | shallow | shallow | shallow | shallow/medium | shallow/medium | very shallow | shallow |
| | Yield Potential | high | high | high | high | | high | very high | high | high | | high | high | very high | high | high | high | very high | very high | high | high | high |
| Characteris | Storage | | very good, short dormancy | | good | | good | | good, can recondition | very good, long dormancy | | good, good dormancy | good dormancy | | | medium | good | good | poog | pooß | medium | boog |
| tics | Utilization | boil, bake | boil, bake | | bake, wedges | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake, fry | boil, bake | | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake | boil, bake, fry | boil, bake, fry | boil, bake | fries, chips | boil, bake, fry | boil, bake, fry |
| | Comon Scab | MR | MR | ₽ | 찌 | | MR | MR | MR | MR | | MR | R | MR | MR | ת | R | MR | | MS | MR | s |
| | Hollow Heart | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ת | | | HŖ | | MR | |
| | Blackleg | MS | MR | | | | | | | | | SW | | MR | | ת | HR | SW | MR | | HR | |
| Re | Fusarium | 70 | MR | | | | | | | MR | | | | SW | | | R | MR | | | | |
| sist | Rhizoctonia | | | | | | | | | | | MR | | | | MR | | | Ħ | | MR | |
| ance | Late Blight | | MR | 찌 | s | | MS | 찌 | R | HR(1) | | MR | s | MR | MS | ת | | ¥ | MR | MR | MR | R |
| OF . | PVA | | | | | | | HR | | | | ѫ | | Ħ | | | | Ħ | | | | |
| Sus | PVS | | | | | | | | MR | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cept | PVX | ᅍ | | | | | | ע | MR | | | ᆔ | | Ħ | | | | Ħ | | ת | | MR |
| ibilit | PVY | MR | | s | | | | ת | MR | S | | s | | ת | MR | | R | Ħ | | 찌 | | 2 |
| ies | PLRV | MR | MR | s | | | | ĦŖ | | s | | MR | | 찌 | MR | | | 푔 | | ₹ R | | ס |
| | Wart | | Ħ | | | | | Ħ | HR | | | s | | 퓻 | HR | | | 퓠 | 찌 | | MR | ₹ |
| | Nematodes | R(G) | R(A) | | | | | | | | | R A | | S | | | | 퓠 | | | | 2 |
| | Metribuzin | Ī | 찌 | Ī | | | ſ | | s | | | | | Ŗ | | | | s | | | | |

Table A1: Description, characteristics and resistance or susceptibilities of yellow-fleshed potato varieties and check varieties used in the trial

Pellan

-

_

Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits

Graded Yield - CDCS



Figure A2: Estimated yield and grade (ton/ac) of each variety of yellow-fleshed potatoes grown at CDCS in Brooks.



Figure A3: Estimated yield and grade (ton/acre) of each variety of yellow-fleshed potatoes grown at CDCN in Edmonton.

Assessment of carotenoid content of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown in Alberta to determine potential nutritional benefits

| rooks) and CDCN (Edmonton). | encs (B |
|--|-----------|
| Specific gravity of yellow-fleshed potato varieties grown at | :SA eldeT |

| Variety | SOGO | CDCN |
|------------------|--------|--------|
| Agata | 390.1 | 1.031 |
| Adora | 270.1 | 840.1 |
| enibnemA | 270.1 | 1.041 |
| Baby Boomer | 760.1 | 1.051 |
| Cherie | 870.1 | 1.028 |
| Fabula | 990. r | 1.044 |
| Innovator | r80.r | 090.1 |
| enidenus brielel | ۲60.۲ | 1.040 |
| Mozart | 920.1 | 840.1 |
| Piccolo | 180.1 | 740.1 |
| Provento | 870.1 | 1.052 |
| Red Scarlet | 070.1 | 1.045 |
| Rosara | ۲۲۵.۲ | 1.048 |
| Russet Burbank | 160.1 | £90.1 |
| Cecile (RZ94-83) | 870.1 | 840.1 |
| Sante | 280.1 | 730.1 |
| enite2 | 180.1 | 1.046 |
| Sinora | 480.1 | 1.043 |
| xoleV | 480.1 | 690. r |
| Victoria | 870.t | 1.046 |
| Yukon Gold | 680.1 | 820.1 |

Lutein in Yellow-Fleshed Potatoes – 2005 Lab Data Update

Michele Konschuh¹, Tricia McAllister², Simone Dalpé¹, Tina Lewis² and Darcy Driedger³ Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development Crop Diversification Centre South¹, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6; Crop Diversification Centre North²; Food Processing Development Centre³



Parkland Seed Potatoes Ltd., Solanum International, Edmonton Potato Growers and The Little Potato Company.

•Special thanks to Marivic Hansen and Cindy Dykstra for flesh color and carotenoid analyses.

Figure 3: Concentration (µg / 100g FW) of lutein extracted from yellowfleshed potatoes harvested at 130 days after planting (DAP) at CDCS in Brooks, AB, CDCN in Edmonton, AB, and in a commercial field in Lacombe.

| | CDC | CS (Bro | oks) | CDCN (Edmonton) | | | Lacombe | | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| riety | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | |
| ita | 97.9 | 69.1 | 79.1 | 38.6 | 63.6 | 40.4 | 158.0 | 34.9 | |
| ile | 81.4 | 84.7 | 89.6 | 151.8 | 218.3 | 137.0 | | 160.0 | |
| ovator | 130.2 | 94.9 | 139.2 | 78.8 | 156.4 | 58.6 | 58.9 | 103.5 | |
| nd Ishine | 196.2 | 77.9 | 64.3 | 102.8 | 86.7 | 58.2 | 132.6 | 90.9 | |
| colo | 84.7 | 34.4 | 107.6 | 112.3 | 116.2 | 85.2 | 283.3 | 126.3 | |
| te | 112.3 | 108.2 | 157.8 | 128.6 | 202.3 | 100.9 | 179.7 | 163.0 | |
| na | 160.9 | 192.5 | 140.1 | 186.1 | 257.4 | 173.9 | 187.2 | 192.4 | |
| ora | 64.7 | 17.1 | 53.1 | 65.9 | 78.7 | 46.1 | 47.4 | 23.1 | |
| oria | 186.1 | 179.7 | 177.3 | 202.8 | 242.5 | 136.8 | 220.6 | 240.9 | |
| on d | 113.7 | 38.3 | 124.3 | 69.1 | 136.8 | 80.1 | 116.8 | 95.9 | |

•Although lutein concentration can be influenced by growing location and time of harvest, chroma, total carotenoid and lutein are determined most through genetics. •Satina and Victoria had consistently higher lutein than other varieties in 2005.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

(Advancing Canadian Agriculture and Agri-Food Program [ACAAF] Progress Report March 2006)

The color of yellow-fleshed potatoes is imparted by carotenoids. Carotenoids are antioxidant compounds that may protect against a variety of chronic diseases and certain cancers. Lutein is a specific carotenoid compound associated with a reduced incidence of age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation. This project involved growing ten yellow-fleshed potato varieties in three Alberta locations, harvesting at three different times and analyzing them for tuber flesh color intensity, total carotenoid content and lutein concentration. Total carotenoid content ranged from 17 to 250 µg per 100 g FW and was positively correlated with tuber flesh color intensity, especially when tubers were harvested at 100 days after planting. Lutein accounted for approximately 25% of the total carotenoid content in many varieties and ranged from 3.2 µg per 100 g FW in one variety (Sinora) check to over 50 µg per 100 g FW in the variety Satina. Lutein concentration was determined most by variety, but varied with time of harvest and between locations. Satina and Victoria had consistently higher concentrations of lutein than most of the varieties studied. An average serving of Satina potatoes would provide approximately 100 µg of dietary lutein. Potato varieties with significant concentrations of lutein may be marketed in the future as functional foods.

Market Opportunity Assessment for Lutein in Potatoes in Alberta

Prepared for:

Dr. Michele Konschuh and Functional Foods/Natural Health Products Theme Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development

Prepared by: Dean Dyck, P. Ag. Financial Business Analyst Market Opportunities and Innovation Division

November 2006

Table of Contents

| Tat | ble of Contents | . 2 |
|------------|---|-----|
| А. | Disclaimer and Acknowledgements | . 3 |
| В. | Definition or description of new idea/product/concept | . 3 |
| С. | Executive Summary | . 3 |
| | Trends | . 3 |
| | Strengths | . 4 |
| | Weaknesses | . 4 |
| | Opportunities | . 4 |
| | Threats | . 5 |
| Crit | ical Issues and Risks | . 5 |
| D . | Key Market Drivers | . 5 |
| | Global Market and Industry Trends | . 5 |
| | Potato Production | . 6 |
| | Utilization | . 7 |
| | Pricing | . 7 |
| Е. | Consumer Analysis and Opportunities | . 8 |
| | What Do Consumers Really Want? | . 8 |
| | What About Potatoes? | . 9 |
| | Factors Influencing Purchase of Potatoes | 11 |
| | Market trends, size and potential | 12 |
| F . | Competitive Advantage | 14 |
| | Production | 14 |
| | Profitability | 15 |
| Ass | sumptions | 15 |
| Sin | nulation Outputs | 15 |
| Bre | ak Even Selling Price | 16 |
| Bre | ak Even Yield | 16 |
| | Analysis | 16 |
| G. | Legal and Regulatory Issues | 16 |
| | Health Claims – U.S. | 16 |
| | Health Claims - Canada | 17 |
| Н. | Conclusion | 17 |
| Re | ferences | 18 |

÷

A. Disclaimer and Acknowledgements

The information contained within this report contains summaries of several papers, studies, opinions and research sources pertaining to opportunities for lutein in potatoes. The author wishes to thank the following people for their assistance in the preparation of this report:

- Dr. Michele Konschuh, Potato Research Scientist, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development
- Patricia McAllister, Seed Potato Specialist, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development
- Shirzad Chunara, Health Claims Research Assistant, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development
- Darcy Driedger, Program Manager, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development
- Alfonso Parra, Technical Director, Potato Growers of Alberta

B. Definition or description of new idea/product/concept

Lutein (LOO-teen) is one of over 600 known naturally occurring carotenoids. Found in green leafy vegetables such as spinach and kale, lutein is employed by organisms as an antioxidant and for blue light absorption.

Lutein was found to be present in a concentrated area of the macula, a small area of the retina responsible for central vision. The hypothesis for the natural concentration is that lutein helps protect from oxidative stress and high-energy light. Various research studies have shown that a direct relationship exists between lutein intake and pigmentation in the eye. Several studies also show that an increase in lutein concentration in the macular region of the retina decreases the risk for eye diseases such as Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD).

Lutein is a natural part of human diet when fruits and vegetables are consumed. For individuals lacking sufficient lutein intake, fortification via vitamin tablets or lutein-fortified foods is available. As recently as 1996, lutein has been incorporated into dietary supplements. While no recommended daily allowance currently exists for lutein as for other nutrients, positive effects have been seen at supplemented levels of 6 mg/day. The only definitive side effect of excess lutein consumption is the same observed for β -carotene overdose, namely bronzing of the skin (carotenodermia). The normal levels of lutein found in a daily vitamin are 0.25mg.

C. Executive Summary

Trends

The baby boomer demographic will continue to shape the market by adopting healthier eating habits. Add to this the younger busy family shoppers, who have strong concerns and interests as well as higher household income. Thus, the link between nutrition and health/wellness, although not completely understood by consumers, will continue to influence the market.

- Trends indicate that nutrition continues to be an important factor for the majority of Canadians when making food choices. Since many of the purchase decisions are made while shopping, having readily accessible food and nutrition information at the point of purchase would assist consumers in making choices.
- Potato consumption is declining in Canada, noticeably in the fresh and frozen sectors. However, chips and processed potato sectors are increasing as consumers look for "spontaneous" purchases.

Strengths

- Yellow fleshed potato varieties can be competitively grown in Alberta with high lutein content.
- The target markets the "baby boomer" generation are likely to purchase a product with health benefits.

Weaknesses

- > Consumers may not be entirely aware of the benefits of lutein.
- Lack of profitability at the farm gate may hinder production and therefore marketing of yellow fleshed varieties. In particular, storage losses and high grade outs hinder profitability in the short and long run.

| - pportunities | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------|------------|---|
| | Time Frame | Impact | Likelihood | Strategies |
| Market the benefits of yellow fleshed potato varieties to "baby boomers" who eat more potatoes and are concerned with health issues such as AMD. | 1 – 2 years | High | | A media campaign that is designed to address "maintaining health", specifically the benefits of lutein and AMD; have more promotional materials available. |
| Promote the benefits of the yellow fleshed varieties. | 1 – 2 years | High | | Effective merchandising ideas and point of purchase (POP) displays, promotional plans and customer support. |
| Determine the level of interest and significant factors in consumers decision to purchase potatoes. | 1 – 2 years | High | | Commission consumer point of sale (POS) surveys on purchase decisions on yellow fleshed |

Opportunities

| | varieties such as |
|--|-------------------------|
| | attributes, willingness |
| | to pay, etc. |

Threats

| | Impact | Likelihood | Strategies |
|---|--------|------------|--|
| Yellow fleshed potatoes must compete with white and red potatoes, pasta and white rice for market share. | | | Have uniformity in labeling; effective product placement in grocery stores; and product consistency in texture and quality. |
| Many consumers are not sure what "healthy" really means. | | | Have effective marketing campaigns targeting the benefits of lutein and potatoes. |
| Farm gate profitability may hinder supply in the short run. | | | Address grade outs to increase yields and income at farm gate. |

Critical Issues and Risks

1. Industry actions

Labeling, product placement and product consistency are critical to the success of this project. All industry players must work together to achieve these goals.

2. Consumer education

Nutrition is not ranked high on the scale of "first to mind" in purchasing potatoes. An assertive education process highlighting the benefits of yellow fleshed potatoes would need to be undertaken.

3. Farm gate profitability

If yellow fleshed varieties cannot be grown at a profit, growers will not switch to these varieties. Thus, the ability to supply potential market demand will be compromised. As this market is relatively small and there may be only one or two small to medium sized fresh market wholesalers, there may be only a few growers that will enter into yellow fleshed potato production. In other words, the market may sort this problem out.

D. Key Market Drivers

Global Market and Industry Trends

Lutein is classified as a carotenoid and competes with other carotenoids. A 2005 study by BCC, Inc. concluded that lutein has been the big marketing success in recent years. Until the end of the 1990s, lutein was mainly used to color egg yolks and partly for broiler skin. After 2000, a new application developed in

supplements when it was demonstrated that lutein could help to reduce agerelated macular degeneration disease. A new outlet in supplements was created and pushed lutein's market value up to \$139 million in 2004, compared to \$64 million in 1999. It is anticipated that strong growth will continue, and thus, lutein's market will grow at an average annual growth rate (AAGR) of 6.1% through the forecast period.

| Product | 2004 | 2009 | AAGR% 2004-2009 | Percent of Total, 2004 | Percent of Total, 2009 | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Astaxanthin | 234.0 | 257 | 1.9 | 26.4 | 25.1 | | | |
| Beta-carotene | 242.0 | 253 | 0.9 | 27.3 | 24.7 | | | |
| Lutein | 139.0 | 187 | 6.1 | 15.7 | 18.3 | | | |
| Canthaxanthin | 148.0 | 156 | 1.1 | 16.7 | 15.2 | | | |
| Others* | 123.9 | 170 | 6.5 | 14.0 | 16.6 | | | |
| Total | 886.9 | 1,023 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | |

| Global Carot | enoid Market | by Product | Туре, | through | 2009 |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|-------|---------|------|
| | 2) | Millione) | | | |

*Includes Lycopene, Annatto, Zeaxanthin, Apo-carotenal and Apo-carotenal-ester. Source: BCC, Inc.

Potato Production

Total potato production in Alberta increased rapidly until 2003 and has decreased since that time. While designated seed potato acres have remained relatively constant, table potato acreage continues to drop due to lack of profitability. However, there is room for growth since table potato acreage has averaged over 4,000 acres prior to 2003.

| Designation | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Processed | 12800 | 24616 | 32563 | 34877 | 37296 | 40960 | 38077 | 38508 | 36633 |
| Seed | 10250 | 10886 | 12037 | 12595 | 14644 | 13690 | 11062 | 10531 | 11878 |
| Table | 5100 | 4698 | 4331 | 3883 | 3241 | 4125 | 3508 | 2567 | 1575 |
| Total | 28150 | 40200 | 48931 | 51355 | 55181 | 58775 | 52647 | 51606 | 50086 |

Alberta Potato Acreage 1998 – 2006

Source: Potato Growers of Alberta

Alberta Potato Acreage 1998 – 2006 Percent of Total Acres

| Designation | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Processed | 45% | 61% | 67% | 68% | 68% | 70% | 72% | 75% | 73% |
| Seed | 36% | 27% | 25% | 25% | 27% | 23% | 21% | 20% | 24% |
| Table | 18% | 12% | 9% | 8% | 6% | 7% | 7% | 5% | 3% |

Source: Potato Growers of Alberta

Utilization

Intended uses of both fresh and processed potatoes in Alberta have seen fluctuations over the years. As with the acreage, it is apparent that the trend is toward more processed potatoes, while the fresh potato market has stayed remarkably constant.



Intended Utilization of Potatoes, Alberta Fresh and Processed

Pricing

The following chart shows the wholesale (packer) FOB potato prices in Alberta. These prices do not reflect the prices received at the farm gate. However, some general observations can be made. Red and yellow varieties, which are exclusively fresh market, have seen substantial price increases since 2003. This can be attributed to a number of factors:

- An increase in demand as these varieties are seen as a "healthier" alternative by consumers. Russet potatoes have shown price increases to a lesser extent.
- Production restrictions in the United States through the United Fresh Produce Association.
- Competition from other parts of North America that produce the Russet Burbank variety for the processing market.
- A decrease in the volume of fresh market russet potatoes. The Russet Norkotah is grown exclusively for table production but are less palatable than many other varieties when consumed as a baked potato, especially after being held in cold storage for long periods. Along with the current low-carb diet fad, Russet Norkotah's out-of-storage quality issues may be contributing to the slow decline in overall fresh potato consumption.



Source: InfoHort, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

E. Consumer Analysis and Opportunities

What Do Consumers Really Want?

Consumers choose foods at the grocery store for a variety of reasons. Many studies indicate that the top five most important factors that consumers consider when buying food products are as follows, in order of significance:

- 1. Freshness/Quality
- 2. Price
- 3. Nutrition
- 4. Taste

5. Brand

Other factors include sale/promotion/coupons, convenience, food safety and functional properties.

The baby boomer demographic will continue to shape the market by adopting healthier eating habits. Add to this the younger busy family shoppers, who have strong concerns and interests as well as higher household income. Thus, the link between nutrition and health/wellness, although not completely understood by consumers, will continue to influence the market.

Trends indicate that nutrition continues to be an important factor for the majority of Canadians when making food choices. Since many of the purchase decisions are made while shopping, having readily accessible food and nutrition information at the point of purchase would assist consumers in making choices.

What About Potatoes?

According to a paper completed by Statistics Canada, per capita potato consumption in Canada peaked in 1997 and has stabilized around 74 kg per person since that time. Specifically, consumption of fresh potatoes has been showing a gradual decline, as has consumption of frozen potato products. However, consumption of other processed potato products, such as pressed potato chips and dehydrated product, is increasing.


Canadian Per Capita Consumption of Potatoes and Potato Products

In addition, potatoes have lost market share to its direct starch substitutes – rice and pasta – since 2001.



Food Consumed, By Commodity Potatoes and Substitutes This can be attributed to a number of factors:

- Increased consumer demand for greater varieties and more convenience in all products;
- Little consumer recognition of potato varieties and uses;
- More health conscious consumers concerned about the carbohydrates in potatoes;
- Potatoes are a "planned purchase" to go with a prepared meal (typically one of the four protein groups). Consumers who buy "spontaneously" will not purchase potatoes.

A recent study completed by Compas Inc. for the Ontario Potato Board of 1002 Canadians identified some key findings in potato consumption.

- 1. Canadians under age 55 years are less likely to eat potatoes often than are those over age 55
 - Respondents over age 55 consumed potatoes 2.4 times in the three days preceding the survey.
 - Canadians under 55 years of age consumed potatoes 1.8 times a week. This group also tends to consume pasta and rice more frequently than do older Canadians.
- 2. Taste versus nutrition
 - Most respondents (42%) said they eat potatoes more often than other foods because of the taste.
 - Only 10% of respondents said they eat potatoes more often than other foods for health reasons.
- 3. Timing
 - 75% of respondents said they eat potatoes at least two to three times a week.
 - Only 3% reported eating potatoes once or twice a year.
- 4. In what form do Canadians like to eat their potatoes?
 - Boiled (28%), mashed (25%), baked (25%), fried (11%) and potato chips (5%)
- 5. The regions where Canadians consume potatoes at least two to three times per week:
 - Atlantic Canada 87%
 - Quebec 78%
 - Western Canada 74%
 - Ontario 70%

Factors Influencing Purchase of Potatoes

Given the factors above, what does this mean for the future potential of marketing yellow fleshed potatoes for lutein qualities?

1. Demand

Unfortunately, overall fresh potato consumption is falling as younger families with higher household income purchase less fresh potatoes. Two main drivers are

Yellow potatoes 74,781 1,394,448

Median values of consumption

| White potatoes | \$ 11,346,340 |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Red potatoes | \$ 4,491,260 |
| Yellow potatoes | \$ 680,053 |

Over the ten year time period, the yellow potato market will average a significant increase in demand of \$680,000. This translates into an increase of \$346,000 over current market size or an average annual growth rate (AAGR) of 7.1%. It is important to note that the growth targets are quite aggressive for the yellow fleshed varieties and it is assumed that they will take market share from red and white varieties.

Given these assumptions, a market of this size could possibly attract one or two smaller wholesalers. These wholesalers would probably be existing companies who have the facilities to add fresh yellow potatoes in their product line. They would also have the facilities to handle yellow potatoes and a direct line to the retailer.

F. Competitive Advantage

Production

In 2004, Dr. Michele Konschuh of Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development undertook a research study of twenty yellow fleshed varieties in the province. This study demonstrated that a number of these varieties can be grown successfully in Alberta with high lutein content.

Specific results:

- Of the twenty different varieties grown in Alberta, total carotenoid concentration in yellow-fleshed potatoes ranged from 35 to 240 mcg/100 g FW.
- > In most varieties, lutein made up to 1/3 of the total carotenoid content.
- > Zeaxanthin concentration was negligible in the varieties.
- Lutein concentration ranged from 9.5 (check) to over 50 mcg/100 g FW (Satina).
- A number of varieties have processing potential: Innovator, Sante, Satina, Sinora, and Victoria
- Five varieties have potential to market as fresh: Agata, Island Sunshine, Piccolo, RZ94-83 (Cecile), and Satina.
- Lutein concentration is correlated with variety, but can be influenced by growing location, storage and cooking or frying.
- A seven ounce potato may contribute between 20 and 50% of the lutein in a supplemented multivitamin.

Profitability

There are two critical production decision rules for owner/operators of farms. The first is the "rule for the short run": production should continue if projected revenue would at least cover variable costs. That is, gross margin must be positive. The second rule is the "rule for the long run": production should continue if all costs could be covered. In other words, return to management must be positive.

Another useful rule is Net Present Value. Net present value (NPV) is a standard method for evaluating competing long-term projects in capital budgeting. It measures the excess or shortfall of cash flows, in present value (PV) terms, once financing charges are met. All projects with a positive NPV should be undertaken.

Using a ten year simulation technique, we can estimate average gross margin and return to management over the time period as well as the net present value. Prices and yields will be input as distributions. The discount rate for NPV is assumed at 7%, a relatively conservative figure.

Assumptions

| | Minimum | Maximum | Most likely |
|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| Selling price in year 1 (\$/tonne) | \$60.00 | \$130.00 | \$110.00 |
| Yield (tonne/acre) | 10 | 19 | 17 |
| Storage loss (on-farm) | 5% | 15% | 10% |
| Grade out at packer | 15% | 30% | 20% |

The table below indicates that both gross margin, return to management and net present value are negative over the ten year time period using the given assumptions.

Simulation Outputs

| | Per Acre |
|----------------------|------------|
| Net Present Value | (\$20,776) |
| Gross Margin | (\$543) |
| Return to Management | (\$2,243) |

A useful risk analysis tool is the "breakeven analysis". This analysis provides the producer with a yield and price that would be needed so that gross margin or return to management is zero. The following tables show the breakeven price and yields for yellow skinned potatoes with the given production costs.

Break Even Selling Price

| | Per tonne |
|----------------|-----------|
| Cash costs | \$90.03 |
| Economic costs | \$190.03 |

Break Even Yield

| | Tonnes per acre |
|----------------|-----------------|
| Cash costs | 13.91 |
| Economic costs | 29.37 |

Analysis

Profitability presents a significant barrier to increasing the supply of yellow fleshed potatoes. The high break even prices and yields to cover economic costs (which include land rent, water rates, and interest) are a major concern. With current prices at least \$30/tonne below the break even, the incentive for growers to plant yellow potatoes is negligible both in the short and long term.

There are two main reasons:

- High production costs, which typically come in between \$2,500 to \$3,000 per acre for irrigated potatoes; and
- A "double hit" for producers that typically lose 10% from storage losses at the farm gate and a further 20% at the packer for grade out.

Addressing these two major risks is paramount in the success of this project. Growers who have minimal debt on both their major capital items – land, machinery and buildings – are more apt to plant the yellow fleshed varieties. Locating and contracting with these growers will be essential. Reducing or eliminating the grade out percentage would take away the "double hit" that producers experience in selling their product and reducing their income.

G. Legal and Regulatory Issues

Health Claims – U.S.

Carotenoids, specifically lutein and zeaxanthin, have FDA approval pending because of epidemiologic data. A recent decision by the FDA rejected the qualified health claim petition for Xangold® Lutein Esters and age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation. In their decision, the comments were supportive of a qualified health claim regarding lutein and certain eye diseases, but considered the subject of the petitioner's proposed claim too restrictive. Most commented that the available evidence for a relationship between lutein and eye diseases involved the unesterified, "free" form of lutein, not the esterified form. The thirteen comments indicated that the subject of any authorized claim should be lutein and/or lutein-containing foods instead of lutein esters.

Health Claims - Canada

In Canada, product labels can only make a content claim (i.e.) x mg of lutein per serving. There can be no mention of the "benefits" of lutein such as AMD on the label.

H. Conclusion

Marketing yellow fleshed potato varieties for the fresh market does have potential in Alberta. The size of the market indicates that one or possibly two small to medium size wholesalers can add these varieties to their existing product line. A direct link to the grocer and an aggressive marketing campaign highlighting the health benefits may achieve growth targets. Targeting the "baby boomers" should be a first step as they are the likely demographic to purchase these potatoes.

Grower profitability may be a limiting factor as prices are below cost of production and growers will not switch if there is no evidence of short or long term profitability.

References

Hine, Susan, James Pritchett, and Susan Meyer "San Luis Valley Potato Study" *Agribusiness Marketing Report* Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Colorado State University January 2003

Piche, Leonard C. and Alicia C Garcia. "Factors Influencing Food-Buying Practices Of Grocery Shoppers In London, Ontario" *Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research* Winter 2001

Richards, T.J., A. Kagan, and X.M. Gao. "Factors Influencing Changes in Potato and Potato Substitute Demand." *Agricultural and Resource Economic Review* 26(April 1997):52-66

Statistics Canada. "Potatoes: Changing Production, Changing Consumption" Catalogue no. 21-004-XIE June 2005

WERA027: Potato Variety Development *Western Association of Agricultural Experimental Directors* http://www.nimss.umd.edu/homepages/home.cfm?trackID=7117

Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lutein#note_11a

Lutein content of yellow-fleshed potatoes grown in Alberta

Functional Foods are defined as foods that contain health-promoting compounds beyond calories, minerals and nutrients. A class of compounds called carotenoids imparts the color of yellow-fleshed potatoes. Carotenoids are anti-oxidant compounds that may protect against a variety of chronic diseases and certain cancers. Lutein is a specific carotenoid compound associated with a reduced incidence of agerelated macular degeneration and cataract formation. Research initiated in 2004 by AAFRD scientist, Dr. Michele Konschuh, is aimed at making a functional food claim for yellow-fleshed potato varieties as a way of promoting potatoes to consumers. Twenty potato varieties were grown at CDCN (Edmonton) and CDCS (Brooks) and screened for lutein and zeaxanthin, another carotenoid compound. Potatoes were stored, boiled or fried, and assessed for lutein once again. Results from the first year of the study confirmed that lutein is present in yellow-fleshed potatoes grown in Alberta, even after storage, and that lutein is not destroyed during the boiling or frying process. Additional work was needed to establish the quantity of lutein available in a serving of Alberta-grown yellow-fleshed potatoes.

Ag & Food Council agreed to augment industry funding to further study lutein in yellow-fleshed potatoes in 2005 and 2006. The current project involves growing ten yellow-fleshed potato varieties in three Alberta locations, harvesting at three different times and analyzing them for tuber flesh color intensity, total carotenoid content and lutein concentration. Armed with this information, we envision that partners from the potato industry will use the information to promote potatoes to health conscious consumers and retailers. While yellow-fleshed potatoes may not be the richest source of lutein, the knowledge that potatoes contain another health promoting compound may encourage potato consumption or provide good reasons to continue including potatoes in a balanced diet.

Results are now available from the 2005-growing season. Total carotenoid content ranged from 17 to 250 mcg per 100 g FW and was positively correlated with tuber flesh color intensity, especially when tubers were harvested 100 days after planting. Lutein accounted for approximately 25% of the total carotenoid content in many varieties and ranged from 3.2 mcg per 100 g FW in one variety (Sinora) to over 50 mcg per 100 g FW in the variety Satina. Lutein concentration was influenced most by variety, but varied with time of harvest and between locations. Satina and Victoria had consistently higher concentrations of lutein than most of the varieties studied. An average serving of Satina potatoes would provide approximately 100 mcg of dietary lutein. The trial will be repeated in 2006 to provide additional data to support a functional food claim. Potato varieties with significant concentrations of lutein may be marketed in the future as functional foods.

The Potato Growers of Alberta, ConAgra Foods, HZPC Americas, Parkland Seed Potatoes, Solanum International, Edmonton Potato Growers and The Little Potato Company provided the industry funding for the 2005-2006 research project.

Lutein – What is it? Why are we talking about it at a potato meeting?

Michele Konschuh, Tricia McAllister, Simone Dalpé, Tina Lewis and Darcy Driedger

Background

- Lutein is a carotenoid compound associated with a reduced incidence of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and cataract formation (leading causes of blindness as people age).
- Carotenoids are anti-oxidant compounds that may also protect against a variety of chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease and certain cancers.
- The color of yellow-fleshed potatoes is imparted by carotenoids.

A Little More Background

- Dietary lutein intakes of 3 to 6 mg (1 mg = 1000 mcg) have been correlated with reduced risk of AMD and cataract formation.
- Lutein supplements in multi-vitamin pills range from 250 to 600 mcg/pill.
- We want to provide good reasons for people to include **potatoes** as part of a healthy diet.

Preliminary Work (2004)

- Discussed concept with stakeholders.
- Proposals to AF and PGA for preliminary project.
- Requested variety recommendations and seed from stakeholders.
- Trial was conducted at CDCN (Edmonton) and CDCS (Brooks).
- FPDC Lab analyzed flesh color, and concentrations of lutein, zeaxanthin and total carotenoids.

Sound Concept

- Total carotenoid concentration in yellow-fleshed potatoes ranged from 35 to 240 mcg/100 g FW.
- In most varieties, lutein made up to 1/3 of the total carotenoid content.
- Zeaxanthin concentration was negligible in the varieties we studied.
- Selected 5 fresh market and 5 processing varieties from 20.
- Determined stability of carotenoid compounds in storage and after cooking and frying.

Lutein (mcg/100g FW)

| Variety (2004): | CDCN | CDCS |
|-----------------|------|------|
| Agata | 29.0 | 23.5 |
| Cecile | 32.4 | 40.6 |
| Innovator | 23.5 | 22.7 |
| Island Sunshine | 34.3 | 45.0 |
| Piccolo | 21.5 | 23.6 |
| Sante | 36.2 | 27.2 |
| Satina | 54.0 | 50.8 |
| Sinora | 17.3 | 28.2 |
| Victoria | 21.9 | 22.5 |
| Yukon Gold | 10.6 | 12.6 |
| Russet Burbank | 9.3 | 13.5 |

Processing Varieties









Fresh Market Varieties





Lutein Cooking Stability



Lutein Frying Stability



Stability of Lutein

- Lutein concentration up to 60 mcg/100 g FW.
- Carotenoid stability in storage differed by variety, but in all cases, some lutein was lost during storage.
- More lutein was recovered from 3 of 5 varieties after cooking.
- The quantity of lutein extracted from fried samples was much greater than from stored potatoes.

So What?

- Results from the 2004 study provided information that would allow us to include "contains lutein" on packaging.
 - We needed to establish the quantity of lutein in an average serving (consistency, reproducibility) for use in marketing strategies.
- Ag & Food Council and others provided financial assistance for field trials in 2005 2006.

2005 - 2006

- This project involved growing 10 potato varieties in three locations in Alberta;
- Harvested at three different times;
- Analyzed for flesh color and concentration of lutein and total carotenoids.

Lutein (mcg/100 g FW)

| 2005 | CDC | CS (Bro | ooks) | (E | CDCN dmonto | on) | I | .acomb | e |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Variety | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP |
| Agata | 22.0 | 13.6 | 19.8 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 28.7 | 6.2 | - |
| Cecile | 13.0 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 21.7 | 36.1 | 27.9 | | 27.5 | - |
| Innovator | 26.5 | 16.5 | 35.8 | 15.3 | 33.6 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 21.9 | - |
| Island Sunshine | 38.3 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 21.8 | 14.8 | 25.5 |
| Piccolo | 14.0 | 5.0 | 18.9 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 50.0 | 28.1 | - |
| Sante | 20.4 | 14.8 | 36.5 | 19.6 | 30.6 | 22.8 | 29.2 | 26.6 | - |
| Satina | 31.1 | 34.6 | 32.9 | 38.8 | 55.7 | 40.7 | 38.2 | 40.0 | - |
| Sinora | 13.0 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 4.8 | - |
| Victoria | 30.3 | 23.3 | 31.6 | 29.8 | 39.9 | 25.4 | 34.0 | 40.6 | 16.2 |
| Yukon Gold | 22.0 | 6.1 | 31.8 | 12.4 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 19.9 | 17.2 | - |

Lutein (mcg/100 g FW)

| 2006 | CDC | CS (Bro | ooks) | (E | CDCN dmonto | on) | Ι | lacomb | e |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Variety | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP |
| Agata | 40.2 | 13.6 | 19.8 | 29.0 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 18.5 | 16.0 |
| Agria | 52.7 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 53.7 | 36.1 | 27.9 | 39.0 | 86.6 | 39.3 |
| Cecile | 42.3 | 16.5 | 35.8 | 20.4 | 33.6 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 50.5 | 34.8 |
| Innovator | 9.8 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 27.0 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 22.7 | 36.8 | 23.2 |
| Island Sunshine | 81.2 | 5.0 | 18.9 | 46.6 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 47.9 | 35.0 |
| Piccolo | 5.1 | 14.8 | 36.5 | 12.6 | 30.6 | 22.8 | 10.4 | 42.6 | 25.4 |
| Satina | 46.5 | 34.6 | 32.9 | 46.3 | 55.7 | 40.7 | 18.2 | 41.7 | 28.5 |
| Sinora | 49.2 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 26.1 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 57.3 | 27.8 |
| Victoria | 35.9 | 23.3 | 31.6 | 20.3 | 39.9 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 54.2 | 15.1 |
| Yukon Gold | 31.6 | 6.1 | 31.8 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 31.0 | 25.3 | 15.2 |

2005 - 2006 Results

- Lutein concentration is variety dependent, and is influenced by growing location, environmental conditions and time of harvest.
- Lutein concentrations varied from 3.2 mcg to over 80 mcg per 100g FW.
- Agria, Satina, Island Sunshine and Victoria consistently showed higher concentrations of lutein than other varieties.
- A 7 oz. potato may contribute between 20 and 50% of the lutein in a supplemented multivitamin.

What Next?

- Business Development people completed a Market Opportunity Assessment.
- Stakeholders have been invited to access AF funds to pursue a marketing opportunity.
- Need to screen additional varieties for greater lutein concentration.
- Develop variety specific agronomic information.
- Conduct storage studies to improve stability of lutein in stored potatoes.
- Additional research must be led by industry.

Acknowledgements

- Funding for the project was provided by Ag & Food Council, Potato Growers of Alberta, Con Agra, HZPC Americas, Parkland Seed Potatoes Ltd., Solanum International, Edmonton Potato Growers, Little Potato Company and AF.
- Special thanks to Cindy Dykstra and Marivic Hansen for carotenoid analyses.

Dr. Michele Konschuh

Alberta Agriculture and Food Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, Alberta T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax michele.konschuh@gov.ab.ca

Lutein Content of Yellow-Fleshed Potatoes Grown in Alberta

Michele Konschuh, Tricia McAllister, and Darcy Driedger

Background

- Lutein is a carotenoid compound associated with a reduced incidence of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and cataract formation (leading causes of blindness as people age).
- Carotenoids are anti-oxidant compounds that may also protect against a variety of chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease and certain cancers.
- The color of yellow-fleshed potatoes is imparted by carotenoids.

A Little More Background

- Dietary lutein intakes of 3 to 6 mg (1 mg = 1000 mcg) have been correlated with reduced risk of AMD and cataract formation.
- Lutein supplements in multi-vitamin pills range from 250 to 600 mcg/pill.
- We want to provide good reasons for people to include **potatoes** as part of a healthy diet.

Preliminary Work (2004)

- Discussed concept with stakeholders.
- Proposals to AF and PGA for preliminary project.
- Requested variety recommendations and seed from stakeholders.
- Trial was conducted at CDCN (Edmonton) and CDCS (Brooks).
- FPDC Lab analyzed flesh color, and concentrations of lutein, zeaxanthin and total carotenoids.

Sound Concept

- Total carotenoid concentration in yellow-fleshed potatoes ranged from 35 to 240 mcg/100 g FW.
- In most varieties, lutein made up to 1/3 of the total carotenoid content.
- Zeaxanthin concentration was negligible in the varieties we studied.
- Selected 5 fresh market and 5 processing varieties from 20.
- Determined stability of carotenoid compounds in storage and after cooking and frying.

Lutein (mcg/100g FW)

| Variety (2004): | CDCN | CDCS |
|-----------------|------|------|
| Agata | 29.0 | 23.5 |
| Cecile | 32.4 | 40.6 |
| Innovator | 23.5 | 22.7 |
| Island Sunshine | 34.3 | 45.0 |
| Piccolo | 21.5 | 23.6 |
| Sante | 36.2 | 27.2 |
| Satina | 54.0 | 50.8 |
| Sinora | 17.3 | 28.2 |
| Victoria | 21.9 | 22.5 |
| Yukon Gold | 10.6 | 12.6 |
| Russet Burbank | 9.3 | 13.5 |

Processing Varieties









Fresh Market Varieties





Lutein Cooking Stability


Lutein Frying Stability



Stability of Lutein

- Lutein concentration up to 60 mcg/100 g FW.
- Carotenoid stability in storage differed by variety, but in all cases, some lutein was lost during storage.
- More lutein was recovered from 3 of 5 varieties after cooking.
- The quantity of lutein extracted from fried samples was much greater than from stored potatoes.

So What?

- Results from the 2004 study provided information that would allow us to include "contains lutein" on packaging.
 - We needed to establish the quantity of lutein in an average serving (consistency, reproducibility) for use in marketing strategies.
- Ag & Food Council and others provided financial assistance for field trials in 2005 2006.

2005 - 2006

- This project involved growing 10 potato varieties in three locations in Alberta;
- Harvested at three different times;
- Analyzed for flesh color and concentration of lutein and total carotenoids.

Lutein (mcg/100 g FW)

| 2005 | CDC | CS (Bro | ooks) | (E | CDCN dmonto | on) | L | .acomb | e |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Variety | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP | 80 DAP | 100 DAP | 130 DAP |
| Agata | 22.0 | 13.6 | 19.8 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 28.7 | 6.2 | - |
| Cecile | 13.0 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 21.7 | 36.1 | 27.9 | | 27.5 | - |
| Innovator | 26.5 | 16.5 | 35.8 | 15.3 | 33.6 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 21.9 | - |
| Island Sunshine | 38.3 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 21.8 | 14.8 | 25.5 |
| Piccolo | 14.0 | 5.0 | 18.9 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 50.0 | 28.1 | - |
| Sante | 20.4 | 14.8 | 36.5 | 19.6 | 30.6 | 22.8 | 29.2 | 26.6 | - |
| Satina | 31.1 | 34.6 | 32.9 | 38.8 | 55.7 | 40.7 | 38.2 | 40.0 | - |
| Sinora | 13.0 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 4.8 | - |
| Victoria | 30.3 | 23.3 | 31.6 | 29.8 | 39.9 | 25.4 | 34.0 | 40.6 | 16.2 |
| Yukon Gold | 22.0 | 6.1 | 31.8 | 12.4 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 19.9 | 17.2 | - |

Lutein (mcg/100 g FW)

| 2006 | CDC | CS (Bro | ooks) | (E | CDCN dmonto | on) | Ι | lacomb | e |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Variety | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP | 85 DAP | 95 DAP | 120 DAP |
| Agata | 40.2 | 13.6 | 19.8 | 29.0 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 18.5 | 16.0 |
| Agria | 52.7 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 53.7 | 36.1 | 27.9 | 39.0 | 86.6 | 39.3 |
| Cecile | 42.3 | 16.5 | 35.8 | 20.4 | 33.6 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 50.5 | 34.8 |
| Innovator | 9.8 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 27.0 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 22.7 | 36.8 | 23.2 |
| Island Sunshine | 81.2 | 5.0 | 18.9 | 46.6 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 47.9 | 35.0 |
| Piccolo | 5.1 | 14.8 | 36.5 | 12.6 | 30.6 | 22.8 | 10.4 | 42.6 | 25.4 |
| Satina | 46.5 | 34.6 | 32.9 | 46.3 | 55.7 | 40.7 | 18.2 | 41.7 | 28.5 |
| Sinora | 49.2 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 26.1 | 15.0 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 57.3 | 27.8 |
| Victoria | 35.9 | 23.3 | 31.6 | 20.3 | 39.9 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 54.2 | 15.1 |
| Yukon Gold | 31.6 | 6.1 | 31.8 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 31.0 | 25.3 | 15.2 |

2005 - 2006 Results

- Lutein concentration is variety dependent, and is influenced by growing location, environmental conditions and time of harvest.
- Lutein concentrations varied from 3.2 mcg to over 80 mcg per 100g FW.
- Agria, Satina, Island Sunshine and Victoria consistently showed higher concentrations of lutein than other varieties.
- A 7 oz. potato may contribute between 20 and 50% of the lutein in a supplemented multivitamin.

What Next?

- Business Development people completed a Market Opportunity Assessment.
- Stakeholders have been invited to access AF funds to pursue a marketing opportunity.
- Need to screen additional varieties for greater lutein concentration.
- Develop variety specific agronomic information.
- Conduct storage studies to improve stability of lutein in stored potatoes.
- Additional research must be led by industry.

Acknowledgements

- Funding for the project was provided by Ag & Food Council, Potato Growers of Alberta, Con Agra, HZPC Americas, Parkland Seed Potatoes Ltd., Solanum International, Edmonton Potato Growers, Little Potato Company and AF.
- Thank you to Simone Dalpé and Tina Lewis for technical support.
- Special thanks to Cindy Dykstra and Marivic Hansen for carotenoid analyses.

Dr. Michele Konschuh

Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, Alberta T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax michele.konschuh@gov.ab.ca

For Administrative Use Only



Full Research Project Final Report

- This report must be a stand-alone report, *i.e.*, must be complete in and of itself. Scientific articles or other publications cannot be substituted for the report.
- One electronic copy and one signed original copy are to be forwarded to the lead funding agency on or before the due date as per the investment agreement.
- A detailed, signed statement of expenses incurred during the entire funding period of the project must be submitted along with this report.
- For any questions regarding the preparation and submission of this report, please contact the representative of the funding agency.

Section A: Project overview

- 1. Project number: 2011F046R
- 2. Project title: Improving greenhouse mini-tuber production
- 3. Research team leader: Michele Konschuh
- 4. Research team leader's organisation: Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development
- 5. Project start date: 2012/03/01
- 6. Project completion date: 2015/01/31
- 7. Project final report date: 2015/01/23

Section B: Non-technical summary (max 1 page)

This project involved evaluating the Vital Farms Potato Incubator (PIP200), an aeroponic (media-free) seed potato production system designed to optimize the yield and quality of seed potato mini-tubers. It is assumed that producers using the conventional approach for the past 20 years or so may have already reached the potential of the traditional greenhouse production system and a change in production approach may be required to remain competitive. There are a

number of advantages to producing nuclear seed potatoes in such a system over conventional approaches. A number of constraints have also been identified. Over the $2\frac{1}{2}$ -year span of the trial, 17 different varieties were evaluated in the system over four cropping cycles. The mean yield of nuclear seed potatoes produced in the first cycle of evaluation of the aeroponic system was 3.8 tubers per plantlet and improved to almost 19 tubers per plantlet by the third round, depending on the variety. A bonus round was facilitated by a seed grower and we had the opportunity to evaluate the system with a single potato variety for the fourth cycle. In the fourth round of production, 16 marketable Russet Burbank tubers were produced per plant and another 6000 tubers (5 per plant) were harvested that hadn't yet reached marketable size. A partial economic assessment was conducted. An economically feasible level of production is attainable based on the observations made during this project; however the full potential of the PIP-200 system has not been witnessed yet. An estimated 15 to 20 tubers per plantlet reduces the variable costs of production sufficiently to allow for a capital investment in an aeroponic system. Little information is available for producing North American varieties in such a system and more research may be required to optimize production with this system or a modified model (PIP-150) before this method of production is widely adopted by seed potato producers in Alberta or other parts of North America. Much was learned throughout the evaluation and this may direct future research on the topic.

Section C: Project details

1. Project team (max ¹/₂ page)

Michele Konschuh acted as the project lead and was the key research and technical person throughout the project. Michele assisted with assembly and installation of the PIP-200, prepared fertilizer solutions, transplanted plant material, adjusted settings as required and harvested potatoes. Seasonal staff were employed to assist with harvesting tubers, cleaning the equipment before each reset and with the field evaluation of each crop.

Nick Savidov was initially included as a resource person for the project, but was unable to participate fully as a result of a reassignment within the department and a change in work location. Some aeroponics expertise was accessible via the supplier and additional expertise was developed during the project.

2. Background (max 1 page)

Seed potato production in Canada uses a limited generation system, which means that seed passing inspection must advance to a lower class with each generation of production. Nuclear seed (highest generation) must be produced from disease-free parent stock in a protected environment (a growth room, greenhouse or screen-house; Kirkham, 2003). In Alberta, the nuclear generation is typically produced from plantlets propagated through tissue culture and transplanted into a greenhouse for mini-tuber production. Although tissue-culture propagation allows rapid multiplication of seed stocks, it is labour intensive and costly. Costs of growing media, labour, utilities and other inputs have been steadily increasing, but customers are reluctant to pay higher prices for mini-tubers.

One of the key issues facing the high generation segment of the seed potato industry in Alberta is that of rising costs of production. In particular, greenhouse production costs have been steadily rising and no local research has been conducted in the area of mini-tuber production for many years. A literature review revealed that some advances have been made in production systems in other parts of the world. If other production areas are using alternate production techniques, Alberta mini-tuber producers may be at risk if they cannot improve cost of production efficiencies.

Alternative production approaches need to be evaluated to determine if they will work with locally relevant varieties under the conditions prevalent in our growing region. In 2011, the Research Committee of the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA) indicated a desire to support the evaluation of a commercial aeroponic system that had been developed. The manufacturers of this technology were willing to work with us to facilitate an evaluation of the technology in Alberta.

The project involved evaluating the Vital Farms Potato Incubator (PIP200), an aeroponic seed potato production system designed to optimize the yield and quality of seed potato mini-tubers. In addition we planned to determine the effect of light, potato variety and nutrient solution on the yield of mini-tubers within the context of the system.

3. Objectives and deliverables (max 1 page)

The project involved evaluating the Potato Incubator (PIP200), an aeroponic seed potato production system designed to optimize the yield and quality of seed potato mini-tubers. Further, we intended to determine the effect of light, potato variety and nutrient solution on the yield of mini-tubers within the context of the system. The specific objectives were:

- To evaluate the 'Potato Incubator[™]' (PIP200) for production of mini-tubers of Alberta potato varieties;
- To compare yield of seed potato grown on different nutrient solutions;
- To evaluate the effect of pre-treating tissue culture plantlets with a shorter (12 h) photoperiod on the yield and size of mini-tubers produced; and
- To evaluate the costs of production (COP) for nuclear tubers using an aeroponic system.

The product supplied included only one zone for irrigation and fertilizer. As such, only one nutrient solution could be evaluated at any given time. Plant material was supplied by growers as an in-kind contribution. There was no opportunity to pre-treat plantlets with different photoperiods with 6 to 8 suppliers and independent delivery dates. In lieu of nutrient evaluation and photoperiod adjustments, we evaluated different varieties, and the effect of harvesting tubers at different target diameters. There was also some exploration of planting methods in one round of production.

4. Research design and methodology (max 4 pages)

The evaluation was conducted in collaboration with Dr. Michele Konschuh (Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development) in the Greenhouse Research and Production Complex at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, Alberta.

The project start was delayed until relevant contracts were signed by Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development and NorthBright Technologies Inc., and the system was manufactured and shipped to Alberta. A research bay was reserved for the project in the Brooks Greenhouse Research and Production Complex. Existing benches and irrigation lines were removed and stored to ensure there was adequate space for installation of the Vital Farms PIP-200. The Potato Incubator (PIP-200) arrived in Brooks in August, 2012. The installation required a frame to be assembled in the greenhouse research bay. The frame was assembled in 1-2 days. The rest of the installation proved to be much more time-consuming than expected and training could not begin until the installation was complete. Staff from the supplier, NorthBright Technologies, were onhand to assist with installation. It took approximately two weeks of turning wrenches, running rivet guns, plumbing and installing plastic "root bags" that house the roots and return flow to the system to partially complete the installation. The installation was modified to accommodate supply tanks within the greenhouse bay. A total of 17 hanging gutters were installed, each with room for 71 plantlets. The system accommodated approximately 1200 potato plants in a 60 m^2 space. A short training video was presented by way of training. Plantlets could not be transplanted to the system while the supplier was on site because the installation was incomplete. There was an additional delay due to breakage of one UV glass for the sanitation system. A representative from the supplier repaired the UV system, ensured that the system was operational, and programmed initial settings. Some training was provided with respect to the computerized controls. Installation was completed in October 2012.

Round 1

Varieties were selected by 7 seed growers as shown in the Appendix (Table A-1), but many producer labs shut down during the summer and fall, so an alternate provider was arranged. Plantlets for the first round of evaluation were supplied by Tina Lewis of Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development. Timing of the first round was not ideal, but did allow us to begin the learning process and work some kinks out. In the first round, 14 different varieties of seed potato plantlets were planted into 17 gutters. Three varieties (Sangre, Russet Burbank and Shepody) were planted in side by side gutters. Primarily, we were able to evaluate variety responses to the system. With the duplicated varieties we evaluated the effect of harvesting two sizes of tubers (Shepody), the effect of insufficient humidity during plantlet establishment (Sangre) and the effect of delayed transfer to the system (Russet Burbank).

Plantlets were transplanted into rock wool blocks in October and transferred into the PIP-200 between October 31 and November 14, 2012. Harvesting of tubers began November 25 for the earliest varieties and continued at 4 to 7 day intervals until February 14, 2013. Plant survival was noted. The industry standard for nuclear tubers in Alberta is a 20mm minimum diameter. Anecdotal information from other growing regions and some Alberta seed growers indicates that smaller tubers will also produce a crop, but vigor may be affected. Tuber number, total yield and yield of seed potato tubers over 20mm was documented for each variety at each harvest event. When the crop was removed from the greenhouse, tubers remaining on the plant were graded into four size categories (< 10mm, 10 - 20mm, 20 - 30mm and > 30mm).

During the first round of production, Dr. Konschuh (Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development) worked with the staff of the Canadian Food Inspection Agency to ensure that seed produced in the PIP-200 was certified. A Quality Control Manual was developed by Dr.

Konschuh for the facility and will be shared with interested growers to facilitate adoption of this new seed potato production system.

Field Evaluation 2013

A seed source comparison was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. A sample of tubers from the first round of evaluation were planted in the spring of 2013 along with conventionally produced tubers to determine whether or not there are differences in field vigor. Conventional tubers were provided by grower sponsors. The rest of the mini-tubers produced in the first round were provided to grower sponsors for individual on-farm evaluations.

Fertility (190 lbs/ac N) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs/ac N; 361 lbs/ac P, 1930 lbs/ac K) and broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs/ac of 30-0-0 and 100 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties from each source (aeroponic and conventional) were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6, 2013) to control weeds. Conventional nuclear tubers were provided by each participant, but size of tubers was determined by the supplier. Whole seed was used for all cultivars. The nuclear tubers were planted approximately 8.5 to 11 cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter June 6, 2013. Inrow spacing was 25 cm spacing in 5 m rows spaced 90 cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled July 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac | |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac | |

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the 2013 potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

Emergence data was taken every two days following hilling. The Julian date when 50% of the plants had emerged was recorded and full emergence (100%) was recorded as the date when all plants expected to emerge had emerged. Stand was recorded once full emergence had been reached.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 11 to encourage skin set and facilitate mechanical harvest. Stem counts were taken following desiccation, just prior to harvest. The mean number of stems per plant was calculated by dividing the stand by the total number of stems in each row. The mean number of tubers per stem was calculated by dividing the total number of tubers from each row by the number of stems per row. Tubers were harvested September 23 & 24 with a one-row Checci harvester for yield and grade data.

Aeroponic tubers were stored for variable lengths of time depending on when they were harvested. Conventional tubers were harvested in the fall and stored through the winter.

Round 2

In the second round of evaluation, plantlets of 10 different varieties were provided by 6 seed producers (Appendix, Table A-2). Plantlets were transplanted into rock wool blocks in late March and early April and transferred into the PIP-200 between April 10 and 14, 2013. Some varieties (Russet Burbank, Shepody, and Sangre) were provided by more than one producer and this allowed for the evaluation of different planting methods using the same varieties. One gutter each of Russet Burbank and Shepody was planted using small baskets to suspend the rock wool in the root bags. One gutter of each was planted using toothpicks to suspend the rock wool plug half-way into the root bag. And one gutter of each was planted using the felt to separate the tubers from the root bag, but the felt was cut to allow better penetration access of roots to the nutrient mist. One gutter of Sangre was used to harvest at a target size of 22mm and the adjacent gutter of Sangre was harvested at a target diameter of 25mm to determine the effect of harvest size on total yield and number of tubers. Harvesting of tubers began May 2 for the earliest varieties and continued at 4 to 7 day intervals until July 16, 2013.

Round 3

In the third round of evaluation, plantlets of 6 different varieties were supplied by 4 producers (Appendix, Table A-3). Two producers were unable to obtain seed potato plantlets as seasonal labs had shut down before orders were placed. Plantlets were transplanted into rock wool blocks in early August and transferred into the PIP-200 between August 12 and 19, 2013. Only 11 of the 17 gutters were planted in the third round. Inadvertently, this allowed for the evaluation of planting density within the greenhouse bay and some replication within varieties.

In the third round, strategies learned in the first two rounds of evaluation were employed from the outset. Biological thrip control was introduced as soon as plants had been transplanted. Fungicides were applied at regular intervals throughout the growth cycle to prevent early and late blight. Irrigation scheduling was adjusted to enhance root establishment and was adjusted as the plants grew. However, with only one irrigation zone, it was still not possible to control irrigation independently for each variety. A compromise was necessary.

EC was monitored and the fertilizer solution was partially refreshed every two weeks or so. Harvesting began September 19 for the earliest variety and continued once every 6 to 7 days until December 16. Harvesting was less frequent in this round to reduce stress on the plants.

Field Evaluation 2014

A sample of tubers from the second and third rounds of evaluation were planted in the spring of 2014 along with conventionally produced tubers to determine whether or not there were differences in field vigor. Conventional tubers were provided by grower sponsors and were not limited to 20mm diameter tubers. The rest of the mini-tubers produced in each production cycle were provided to grower sponsors for independent on-farm evaluations.

Fertility (157 lbs/ac N) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 113 lbs/ac P, 1650 lbs/ac K) and broadcast fertilizer (294 lbs/ac of 34-17-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties from each source (aeroponic and conventional) were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 12, 2014) to control weeds. Conventional nuclear tubers were provided by each participant, but size of tubers was determined by the supplier. Whole seed was used for all cultivars. The nuclear tubers were planted approximately 10 to 13 cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter May 21, 2014. Inrow spacing was 25 cm spacing in 5 m rows spaced 90 cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled July 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide was applied July 24 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|--|
| July 10 | Dithane | 0.91 kg/ac | |
| July 24 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 13 | Dithane | 0.91 kg/ac | |

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the 2014 potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

Emergence data was taken every two days following hilling. The Julian date when 50% of the plants had emerged was recorded and full emergence (100%) was recorded as the date when all plants expected to emerge had emerged. Stand was recorded once full emergence had been reached.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied August 29 to encourage skin set and facilitate mechanical harvest. Stem counts were taken following desiccation, just prior to harvest. The mean number of stems per plant was calculated by dividing the stand by the total number of stems in each row. The mean number of tubers per stem was calculated by dividing the total number of tubers from each row by the number of stems per row. Tubers were harvested September 15 & 16 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Aeroponic tubers were stored for variable lengths of time depending on when they were harvested. Conventional tubers were harvested in the fall and stored through the winter.

An economic assessment was planned to allow producers to determine whether the additional production of tubers justifies the costs of the system, supplies and greenhouse requirements.

Bonus Round

Seed growers expressed curiosity about whether the yield per plant would be improved when a single variety or similar varieties were grown in the single zone system as proposed. One seed grower arranged to sponsor an additional round of production to allow us to address the issue. Russet Burbank plantlets were provided for a bonus round of production in the spring of 2014. NorthBright ensured that any necessary repairs and adjustments were made to the system prior to planting the bonus round. Plantlets were received March 31, 2014 and were transplanted into rockwool plugs April 3 to 6th. The plantlets were introduced to the PIP200 April 14 to 16th. Strategies learned in the previous rounds were applied. Irrigation settings were adjusted based on crop responses. Nutrient solution was partially replenished every two weeks to limit salt build-up. A high volume fan was introduced to improve air circulation within the bay. Preventative maintenance reduced the number of alarm events to once every three weeks or so.

None of the alarm events interrupted the irrigation system. Tubers at least 20mm in diameter were harvested every 4 to 7 days between May 13 and August 19, 2014.

5. Results, discussion and conclusions (max 8 pages)

Round 1

In the first round of evaluation, varieties differed in their response to the system (Table 1). Plantlet survival was less than ideal for several varieties. There was only one zone supplied with the PIP-200, so all varieties were irrigated on the same schedule. A comparison of nutrient regimes was not possible with a one-zone system. The irrigation settings initially programmed by the Vital Farms staff were not suitable for the varieties and the environmental conditions in our greenhouse. Plants grew well for the first month or so, but seemed to hit a wall. Root establishment was poor in the first round and plants showed signs of nutrient deficiencies and drought after one month even when irrigation was increased. Misting the tuber area relieved drought symptoms. Some varieties also developed symptoms of edema, typically a sign that the plants cannot get rid of excess moisture.

An average of 70% of the plants survived for the full length of the first round. It was determined that this may be related to the type of fabric supplied to separate the root chamber from the tuber chamber as well as to not optimizing the irrigation schedule for each variety. The fabric supplied to separate the tuber area from the root bag was heavier than specified. Different fabric was ordered for the second round. Insufficient information was provided in the manual regarding irrigation settings and plant nutrition targets.

Exposing Sangre plantlets to low humidity prior to planting into the system appeared to affect the final productivity of the plants. Approximately 30% fewer tubers of marketable size were harvested from plantlets exposed to sub-lethal low humidity.

Harvesting larger Shepody tubers (25mm diameter rather than 20mm diameter) resulted in an approximately 40% reduction in final tuber count. As mini-tubers are sold by count rather than by weight, this is a significant finding. Additional work may be required to establish relevant pricing for different size classes of mini-tubers.

Delaying planting by one week into the PIP-200 had no significant effect on the final number or yield of tubers harvested (Russet Burbank).

The mean yield of marketable tubers was 3.9 per plant (surviving) in the first round. Although this is comparable to or better than current mini-tuber production levels in conventional potting mix, a yield of 10 to 30 tubers per plant was expected. Total yield of 3 to 10 tubers per plants was observed, but plants did not survive long enough for all tubers set to reach marketable size. Modifications to the fabric and the irrigation schedule were made for the second round of evaluation.

| Variety | Plantlet | Total | Total Yld | Mkt | Number | per |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|------|
| | survival | Number | (g) | Number | Plant | |
| Agata | 45% | 216 | 941 | 109 | | 6.8 |
| Agria | 87% | 328 | 2390 | 242 | | 5.3 |
| Atlantic | 58% | 187 | 1157 | 135 | | 4.6 |
| Dakota Pearl | 35% | 147 | 544 | 59 | | 5.9 |
| Imola | 46% | 356 | 2159 | 212 | | 10.8 |
| Musica | 56% | 404 | 2692 | 268 | | 10.1 |
| Ranger Russet | 90% | 331 | 2230 | 171 | | 5.2 |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 24) | 99% | 403 | 2500 | 241 | | 5.8 |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 30) | 100% | 396 | 2320 | 244 | | 5.6 |
| Sangre (humid) | 82% | 634 | 3299 | 333 | | 10.9 |
| Sangre (dry) | 97% | 416 | 1995 | 192 | | 6.0 |
| Satina | 89% | 525 | 2647 | 263 | | 8.3 |
| Shepody (20mm) | 86% | 560 | 3469 | 379 | | 9.2 |
| Shepody (30mm) | 68% | 422 | 2639 | 241 | | 8.8 |
| Stampede Russet | 79% | 240 | 2290 | 135 | | 4.3 |
| Umatilla Russet | 73% | 331 | 2465 | 188 | | 6.4 |
| Viking | 54% | 172 | 991 | 117 | | 4.5 |

Table 1: Yield of seed potatoes from varieties planted in the PIP-200 (October 2012 to February 2013).

Field Evaluation 2013

The 2013 field evaluation of aeroponic and conventional nuclear seed potatoes resulted in some differences that were likely a result of dormancy and tuber size rather than seed source (Table A-4). The number of plants in each row (stand) was affected by seed source for 4 varieties, Imola, Ranger Russet, Satina and Shepody. There was no significant difference in total yield (ton/ac) for any varieties except Ranger Russet. There were no significant differences in the number of tubers produced per plant for varieties other than Russet Burbank. Russet Burbank has a long natural dormancy and the tubers produced in the first round of the aeroponic system had not been in storage long enough for the tubers to overcome this dormancy in time to produce a reasonable crop. Production of the two seed crops in a similar time frame would allow us to eliminate the length of time in storage (and dormancy) as a factor.

Round 2

In the second round of production, varieties again differed in their response to the system. Initial plantlet survival was much better in the second round and root establishment was much improved, but insect pressure, environmental conditions (shade cloth, air movement, and temperature settings) and fertility challenges affected results in the second round (Table 2).

Changing the fabric and the initial irrigation schedule for the second round improved rooting and access to the fertilizer solution. An average of 83% of the plants survived for the full length of the second round. Using hydroponic baskets or suspending the rock wool plugs in the mist chamber was evaluated along with thinner felt with cuts below each rock wool plug. Rooting

was acceptable in all cases and there was no clear advantage to utilizing the more complicated planting methods for root establishment.

| Variety | Plantlet | Total | Total Yld | Mkt | Mkt Number | |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|------------|--|
| | survival | Number | (g) | Number | per Plant | |
| Agria | 100% | 447 | 3834 | 308 | 4.3 | |
| Atlantic | 58% | 606 | 4798 | 426 | 6.3 | |
| Goldrush | 35% | 431 | 2523 | 306 | 12.2 | |
| Innovator | 93% | 425 | 2679 | 311 | 4.7 | |
| Ivory Russet | 83% | 599 | 5451 | 420 | 7.1 | |
| Russet Burbank (Basket) | 93% | 587 | 4854 | 418 | 6.3 | |
| Russet Burbank (Toothpick) | 96% | 421 | 3834 | 295 | 4.3 | |
| Russet Burbank (Cut felt) | 96% | 406 | 3113 | 307 | 4.5 | |
| Russet Burbank (South) | 97% | 759 | 5978 | 534 | 7.7 | |
| Sangre (22mm) | 73% | 574 | 3313 | 386 | 7.4 | |
| Sangre (25mm) | 82% | 483 | 3366 | 313 | 5.4 | |
| Satina | 86% | 642 | 4732 | 451 | 7.4 | |
| Shepody (Toothpick) | 79% | 519 | 4200 | 311 | 5.6 | |
| Shepody (Basket) | 90% | 567 | 4413 | 345 | 5.4 | |
| Shepody (Cut felt) | 85% | 593 | 4037 | 359 | 6.0 | |
| Stampede Russet | 87% | 371 | 2732 | 279 | 4.5 | |
| Stampede Russet (2) | 70% | 507 | 3321 | 323 | 6.5 | |

Table 2: Yield of seed potatoes from varieties planted in the PIP-200 (April 2013 to July 2013).

Harvesting larger tubers (25 mm diameter rather than 22 mm diameter) from a round variety (Sangre) resulted in an approximately 30% reduction in marketable tuber count. The total weight of tubers produced per plant was similar, but fewer tubers were produced when the tubers were larger at harvest. As mini-tubers are sold by count rather than by weight, this is a significant finding. Additional work may be required to establish relevant pricing for different size classes of mini-tubers.

The mean yield of marketable tubers was 6.3 per plant (surviving) in the second round. Although this is a good yield compared to current mini-tuber production levels (1 to 5) in conventional potting mix, the yield still fell far short of the 10 to 30 tubers per plant projected. The total number of tubers per plant ranged from 6 to 17, but challenges with settings did not allow all tubers to reach marketable size before the crop was pulled. Modifications to the greenhouse settings and the irrigation schedule were made for the third round of evaluation.

Round 3

By the third round of evaluation, the system itself had developed a few issues. The supplier was unable to address the issues in a timely fashion as most technical expertise and replacement parts were in Europe. As a result, the tuber misting pump was used to replace a damaged pump for irrigating the roots and the tuber misting system could not be used during this round. One glass tube in the UV disinfection system was damaged during the cleaning procedure and a replacement was not available. Two out of three of the UV tubes were functioning for this round, but the system was not full and the disinfection was deemed adequate. In mid-October,

the computer reported a fault with the EC sensor equipment. Replacing one and then both sensors did not correct the fault. Recalibrating the sensors did not correct the fault. To address the deficiency, the fertilizer was added manually to the mixing tank and EC was monitored manually each day. pH continued to be monitored and adjusted automatically. The manual adjustment of the EC, rather than frequent automated monitoring, may have contributed to early senescence of the crop, although this may also be expected as a result of the shorter days and lower light intensities at this time of year.

Plant survival was higher (87%) in the third round (Table 3), although not as high as desired. Fungal and insect pests were better controlled in this round. Root growth was impressive early on, but roots browned and struggled later in the cycle. The yield of tubers ranged from 6 to 13 tubers per surviving plant in the third round, with a mean of 10.4. Although this is a good yield compared to current mini-tuber production levels (1 to 5) in conventional potting mix, and the best yield from the system so far, the yield still fell in the low end of the 10 to 30 tubers per plant range suggested by the supplier.

It became apparent during this evaluation that more production information is required to reach the full potential of the PIP-200 aeroponic seed potato production system. The current manual indicates that adjustments to the irrigation schedule and EC settings may be required depending on the time of year, the nutritional requirements of the crop and the stage of growth. There is, however, no indication as to when these adjustments should be made or what to base the decision to adjust settings on. Some literature information is now becoming available based on other aeroponic system in other settings (Chang et al., 2011, Chang et al., 2012 and Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2013). I believe that guidelines are required with respect to greenhouse settings, troubleshooting with the system and management of the crop. Likely, we have not reached the full potential of the PIP-200, by the end of our evaluation period.

| Variety | Plantlet | Total | Total Yld | Mkt | Mkt Number |
|------------------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|------------|
| | survival | Number | (g) | Number | per Plant |
| Dakota Pearl | 82% | 584 | 3207.7 | 364 | 7.5 |
| Imola | 94% | 850 | 7780.0 | 690 | 10.6 |
| Ranger Russet | 87% | 1160 | 8660.9 | 797 | 12.9 |
| Ranger Russet 2 | 85% | 874 | 6105.4 | 608 | 10.1 |
| Russet Burbank 1 | 93% | 1201 | 8017.0 | 903 | 13.7 |
| Russet Burbank 2 | 75% | 818 | 5245.9 | 586 | 11.1 |
| Satina | 86% | 883 | 6520.5 | 692 | 11.3 |
| Umatilla 1 | 87% | 806 | 5518.1 | 575 | 9.3 |
| Umatilla 2 | 87% | 633 | 4082.4 | 431 | 7.0 |
| Umatilla 3 | 96% | 693 | 4354.5 | 455 | 6.7 |
| Umatilla 4 | 89% | 1027 | 6459.6 | 685 | 10.9 |

Table 3: Yield of seed potatoes from varieties planted in the PIP-200 (August 2013 to December 2013).

Field Evaluation 2014

The 2014 field evaluation of aeroponic and conventional nuclear seed potatoes resulted in some differences that were likely a result of tuber size rather than seed source (Table A-5). Conventional seed of Russet Burbank, Sangre and Sheody was supplied as E1 seed rather than

nuclear seed, so a fair comparison with these varieties was not possible. Many of the seed pieces supplied by seed growers exceeded the 20mm diameter that was used to harvest aeroponic seed potatoes. The number of plants in each row (stand) was not significantly different for any varieties except Stampede Russet, regardless of the season in which the aeroponic tubers were grown. Stampede Russet tubers did not store as well as many other varieties and may require higher humidity or different storage conditions to ensure sprouting in the spring. There was no significant difference in total yield (ton/ac) for most varieties. There were significant differences between conventional seed of Russet Burbank, Sangre and Shepody, but the conventional material supplied was not nuclear class. Conventional Stampede Russet seed out-yielded aeroponic seed and may be related to the poor condition of the aeroponic seed coming out of storage. There were no significant differences in the number of tubers produced per plant for any of the varieties studied. Likely aeroponic and conventional seed of similar size would result in similar yield and number of tubers.

One observation by an astute seed grower was that varieties with longer natural dormancy could be produced in the earlier crop cycle if two aeroponic crops were grown per year. Varieties with shorter dormancy could be grown in the later crop cycle. By selecting the crop cycle to address the inherent dormancy of each variety, all varieties should store until spring and sprout as required when planted in the field.

Bonus Round

Plant survival was higher (89%) in the bonus round, although not as high as desired. Fungal and insect pests were well controlled in this round. Root growth was impressive early on, and good root development was a strong indication of plant survival and potential yield. The yield of marketable tubers was 15.7 per surviving plant in the third round, and the yield of all tubers was 19.6 per plant introduced. The crop was removed from the system in late August because the lease had expired, but many of the plants were still actively producing tubers and smaller tubers harvested would likely have sized up given more time. Tuber production was quite linear (Appendix Figure A-1).

Advantages of Aeroponic Production using the PIP200

Evaluation of the PIP-200 gave our industry a novel insight into the potential of using an aeroponic production system to produce a greater number of tubers per plant. There are some clear advantages to using a system like the PIP-200:

- The number of plants required to produce seed for the next generation should be reduced.
- Tubers are harvested at an ergonomic height.
- Hill depth can be adjusted to increase the number of tubers produced.
- Tubers are harvested at a marketable size.
- Continuous harvest allows the labour costs to be distributed more evenly throughout the cycle.
- Tubers are harvested free of potting mix and are clean and attractive.
- Water and fertilizer are recirculated and the amount of each required is less in this system than in a comparable sized greenhouse using potting mix.
- No potting mix is required, so costs and disposal of potting mix are also not an issue.

• With supplemental heat and light, it is possible to produce 2 crops of nuclear potatoes per year. A third crop is possible, but logistically more challenging because of dormancy issues and lapsed certification of the stock plants.

Drawbacks of Aeroponic Production using the PIP200

There are some drawbacks to the current configuration of the PIP-200. These drawbacks have been brought to the attention of the supplier and a number of them have been addressed through design modifications and the introduction of the PIP-150, a blend of the best features of the prototype and the PIP-200:

- There is insufficient information yet available to assist growers with choosing irrigation schedules and EC settings.
- The current configuration would allow one variety or a group of similar varieties to be grown in each cycle, but it does not have the flexibility to grow multiple varieties with different agronomic profiles. Additional zones are required.
- The adjustable hill depth is a nice feature, but the adjustments were time consuming, awkward, and risked plant damage. No information was available to direct these adjustments.
- The provision for adjusting the angle of access to the lower gutter did not add sufficient value to justify the additional expense and weight of the actuators installed. The addition of this feature allowed root material and occasionally stolons to become wedged into mechanical parts and made the PIP-200 difficult to clean.
- Replacement parts and technical assistance was too far away. A ready supply of replacements parts may need to be supplied with the equipment or stocked nearby. A trouble-shooting guide in the manual would also enable producers to address minor concerns without delay. Operating the system without the benefit of all the features it was designed with can limit the productivity of the crop and requires much more frequent monitoring.
- A preventative maintenance schedule and the installation of some pre-filters would circumvent many of the alarm events that were experienced. A service or maintenance contract may also be a valuable investment.

Challenges of Aeroponic Production in General

There are some challenges inherent with an aeroponic production approach that are independent of the PIP-200 system:

- Tubers are harvested at different times and need to be stored. Storage temperatures cannot be ramped down as gradually for each harvest unless multiple storages are available.
- Storing tubers from cycles until the following spring may provide challenges for shorter dormancy varieties.
- There were concerns raised that not all tubers will perform equally well as the physiological ages will differ. This may also be true of conventionally produced tubers as not all of the tubers harvested in either system were set at the same time.

Many of these challenges can be addressed with additional research. If producers in Alberta or other parts of Canada are interested in adopting aeroponic technology for nuclear seed production, some targeted research should provide enough information to overcome the constraints identified.

Economic Evaluation

It is difficult to conduct a full economic comparison between conventional and aeroponic seed potato production. The project was conducted in a modern research greenhouse with many features and amenities that were not required for the project. Utilities (heat, electricity and water) are covered by Alberta Infrastructure and there are no provisions to itemize the costs for a portion of the facility. Producers who produce nuclear seed potato already own greenhouses, and it is difficult to determine whether the greenhouses they own would suffice for aeroponic production or whether a capital investment would be required. If one cycle of aeroponic was produced annually, likely no additional heat or lighting would be required. Less water and fertilizer would be used. No potting mix would be necessary. Additional costs would be incurred for plastic, nozzles and other consumable or wear items used in the PIP-200 system. Also, if two aeroponic cycles were produced, some supplemental heat and lighting would be required for the shoulder seasons (early spring and late fall). In a conventional system, a much larger number of plantlets are required in the beds. For this comparison, I calculated expenses for a $60m^2$ facility, however, in both systems, an economy of scale would be likely in a larger facility. I estimated that producers plan potato plantlets approximately 8cm apart in 15cm deep beds. I estimated that 6 beds (2m x 4m) would fit in a 60m² greenhouse and allow for walkways for planting, watering, inspection and harvesting of the crops. The aeroponic system is housed in a research greenhouse bay that measures approximately $6.8 \text{m x} 8.8 \text{m or roughly} 60 \text{ m}^2$.

The estimated variable costs of production for producing the seed potatoes in the PIP-200 aeroponic system are itemized in Table 4. The estimated variable costs for producing seed potatoes in a conventional bed-planted greenhouse are itemized in Table 5. Approximately 1200 plantlets were planted in the aeroponic system. If 10 tubers per plant were produced in each cycle, the nuclear tubers would cost \$0.54 per tuber in variable costs alone. If the potential yield of 15 to 20 tubers per plantlet was realized, the variable cost per tuber would decrease dramatically to \$0.35 and \$0.27. In the conventional system, a yield of 3 tubers per plantlet would cost \$0.47 per tuber. A yield of 2 tubers per plant would cost \$0.71 per tuber in variable costs. These costs do not include amortized costs of the greenhouses, the aeroponic equipment, storage facilities or expenses common to both operations, such as storage utility costs and facility inspection charges. As with all production systems, the economics is heavily affected by yield of the system.

Yield of nuclear tubers in other aeroponic systems differs by variety and ranged from 2 to 15 marketable tubers per plantlet in one recent publication (Chang et al. 2011) to as high as 71 tubers per plantlet in another (Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2012). These and other authors identify that yield is dependent on variety, environmental settings and nutrients supplied to the plants. Costs per tuber reported in South America range from \$0.07 to \$0.25 per tuber depending on the production system (Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2013), but labour and some of the other costs are lower in other countries and it is difficult to compare directly with our estimates.

| Item | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Cost/cycle |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Potato plantlets | 0.60 | 1448.40 | 724.20 |
| Fertilizers | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| Disinfectants | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| Wecult discs | 0.50 | 1200 | 600 |
| Plastic | 80 | 960 | 480 |
| Felt | 300 | 300 | 150 |
| Rock wool plugs | 160 | 480 | 240 |
| Nozzles | 0.60 | 600 | 300 |
| Manpower (per day) | 170 | 7480 | 3740 |
| Other materials | 100 | 200 | 100 |
| Total | | 12868.40 | 6434.20 |

Table 4: Variable costs for the production of seed potatoes using the PIP-200 aeroponic system.

Table 5: Variable costs for the production of seed using a conventional potting mix bed approach in a greenhouse.

| Item | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Cost/cycle |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Potato plantlets | 0.60 | 4500 | 4500 |
| Fertilizers | 100 | 200 | 200 |
| Disinfectants | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Potting mix | 14 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Plastic | 80 | 160 | 160 |
| Other materials | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Manpower (per day) | 170 | 3740 | 3740 |
| Total | | 10,600 | 10,600 |

The PIP-200 system provided was adapted to a research bay in a research greenhouse complex and cost approximately \$160,000 including delivery, installation and training. The system has the capacity to operate additional gutters, so a modest additional cost would be required to expand the system. At a moderate rate of production, the aeroponic system would not confer an advantage to producers with conventional facilities. The variable costs per tuber in each system are similar, and the capital investment cannot be justified at this level of production. If we assume we have the yields achieved in the Bonus Round, the cost per tuber decreases dramatically. At 20 tubers per plantlet, the variable cost decreases to less than half of the current variable cost of production per tuber. This level of production is attainable with some experience operating the system.

Assuming a selling price of \$0.90 per nuclear tuber and 2 tubers per plant, a conventional producer would generate \$13,520 in gross revenues in one cycle in a 60m² facility. After variable costs, that leaves \$2,900 toward fixed costs and profit. Assuming the same selling price per tuber, the aeroponic system, producing 16 tubers per plantlet in a 60m2 facility would generate \$34,762 in gross returns with two production cycles per year. After variable costs, that leaves \$21,894 toward fixed costs and profit.

Clearly, the productivity of the system is of utmost importance in both systems to generate a return on investment. It is assumed that producers using the conventional approach

for the past 20 years or so have already reached the potential of the current production system. The full potential of the PIP-200 system has not been witnessed yet. Additional work with this system or the PIP-150 may move us past the tipping point.

6. Literature cited

Provide complete reference information for all literature cited throughout the report.

- Chang, D.C., I.C. Cho, J-T. Suh, S.J. Kim and Y.B. Lee. 2011. Growth and yield response of three aeroponcially grown potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) to different electrical conductivities of nutrient solution. Am. J. Potato Res. 88:450-458.
- Chang, D.C., C.S. Park, S.Y. Kim and Y.B. Lee. 2012. Growth and tuberization of hydroponically grown potatoes. 2012. Potato Research. 55:69-81.
- Mateus-Rodriguez, J.R., S. de Haan, I. Barker, C. Chuquillanqui, and A. Rodriguez-Delfin. 2012. Response of three potato cultivars grown in a novel aeroponics system for mintuber seed production. Acta Hort. 947:361-367.
- Mateus-Rodriguez, J., S. de Haan, J.L. Andrade-Piedra, L. Maldonado, G. Hareau, I. Barker, C. Chuquillanqui, V. Otazu, R. Frisancho, C. Bastos, A.S. Pereira, C.A. Medeiros, F. Motesdeoca, and J. Benitez. 2013. Technical and economic analysis of aeroponics and other systems for potato mini-tuber production in Latin America. Am. J. Potato Res. 90:357-368.
- 7. Benefits to the industry (max 1 page; respond to sections *a*) and *b*) separately)
 - a) Describe the impact of the project results on Alberta's agriculture and food industry (results achieved and potential short-term, medium-term and long-term outcomes).

This project was initiated to provide information to early generation seed potato producers regarding the costs of production for one pre-commercial aeroponic seed potato production system, the Vital Farms PIP-200. Seven seed potato producers participated directly in the project by providing plantlets for each evaluation cycle. Seed potato tubers in excess of those required for field assessments were provided to producers for independent evaluation. There has been great interest in the project from the potato industry and the greenhouse industry as this is the first pre-commercial system of its kind in North America. The reaction by most has been positive, although producers are aware that the system is less forgiving than their current production approach. As a result, many are cautiously optimistic about eventual modifications to their production systems. Many individuals toured the system throughout the 16 months it has been in operation at the Centre. At the time this report was prepared, though, one Alberta seed producer had already purchased a PIP-200 system and has produced two seed potato crops. This report and subsequent results of the field evaluations will be shared with the Potato Growers of Alberta and producers to assist with decision making.

b) Quantify the potential economic impact of the project results (*e.g.*, cost-benefit analysis, potential size of market, improvement in efficiency, etc.).

The Vital Farms PIP-200 is a commercial aeroponic system designed to enhance production of nuclear class seed potatoes. While aeroponic seed potato production is somewhat more widely used in China, Korea, some South American countries and other regions, this type of production is fairly new to North America and this project is the first to provide information in a North American context. Little is known about the challenges facing producers who choose to adopt this new approach. The best fit for this system may well be to fast-track production of new varieties, or to produce seed of specific varieties with production issues in the current production system. Because the system only replaces one year in a seven year flush through approach to seed potato production, a small number of producers could supply all of the nuclear tubers required to satisfy our current markets. If costs of production can be further reduced, adoption of this technology may allow Alberta seed producers to pursue new markets.

The system is more expensive and complicated than the conventional bed-planting approach to nuclear seed potato production. It is my opinion that the two systems represent opposite ends of a continuum. There are many options that fall between conventional greenhouse production and aeroponics with a commercial system. At this time, widespread adoption in North America is unlikely. There are some considerations that the supplier may need to address to improve the likelihood of producers choosing this system over developing an intermediate approach. The development of the PIP-150 model may reduce costs and address some of the drawbacks identified here. Additional research may improve the production figures sufficiently to make this a natural choice for other producers as well. As this was the only system of this kind available for evaluation, it may spur on additional research to improve the return on investment for nuclear seed potato producers.

8. Contribution to training of highly qualified personnel (max 1/2 page)

There was only one highly qualified person involved directly in the project. Participation in the trial allowed for the development of some aeroponic expertise by this individual.

9. Knowledge transfer/technology transfer/commercialisation (max 1 page)

a) Scientific publications (*e.g.*, scientific journals); attach copies of any publications as an appendix to this final report

None to date.

b) Industry-oriented publications (*e.g.*, agribusiness trade press, popular press, etc.) attach copies of any publications as an appendix to this final report

Hein, T. 2014. Alberta's Aeroponic Potato Project; Evaluating new seed potato production technology. In: Potatoes in Canada, Spring 2014, pp. 10 - 12.

Konschuh, M. 2014. \$900 Million Potato Industry Thriving. The Profile, International Agriculture Committee of the Calgary Stampede, 22: p. 30.

Zienkiewicz, M. 2014. A new way to grow. In: SpudSmart, Fall 2014, pp. 6 - 10.

Zienkiewicz, M. 2014. The final frontier: Research in space brings home real benefits to agriculture and the seed industry. Explore the possibilities. Seed Germination, September 2014, pp. 30 - 33.

c) Scientific presentations (*e.g.*, posters, talks, seminars, workshops, etc.)

Konschuh, M.N. 2014. Aeroponic Seed Potato Production – PIP200 Evaluation (2013). Presentation at Potato Association of America Annual General Meeting, Spokane, WA, July 28 – 31, 2014.

d) Industry-oriented presentations (*e.g.*, posters, talks, seminars, workshops, etc.)

M.N. Konschuh and N. Savidov. 2012. Aeroponic Seed Potato Production – PIP200 Evaluation (2012). Annual Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta, Red Deer, AB, November 13 – 16. Poster

M.N. Konschuh. 2012. Potatoes in the Mist: Evaluating the PIP200. Grower Open House, CDCS, Brooks, AB, December 10, 2012.

M.N. Konschuh and N. Savidov. 2013. Aeroponic Seed Potato Production – PIP200 Evaluation (2013). Annual Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta, Calgary, AB, November 19 - 21. Poster

Konschuh, M.N. 2014. Aeroponic Seed Potato Production – PIP200 Evaluation (2012-2014). Presentation at Potato Growers of Alberta Annual General Meeting, Red Deer, AB, November 18.

e) Media activities (*e.g.*, radio, television, internet, etc.)

Beecher, J. 2012. Aeroponics for potatoes. In: Brooks Bulletin. September 19, 2012.

Dumont, M. 2012. CDC South scientist uses aeroponics in seed potato process. In: Brooks and County Chronicle. September 23, 2012. p. A4.

Brown, R. 2012. CDC South opens doors for look at potato incubator. In: Brooks Bulletin. December 11, 2012. p. B1.

Dumont, M. 2014. Aeroponic research proves boon to potato seed industry. In: Brooks and County Chronicle. January 22, 2014.

f) Any commercialisation activities or patents

These are being pursued by the supplier.

N.B.: Any publications and/or presentations should acknowledge the contribution of each of the funders of the project.

Section D: Project resources

- 1. Statement of revenues and expenditures:
 - a) In a separate document certified by the organisation's accountant or other senior executive officer, provide a detailed listing of all cash revenues to the project and expenditures of project cash funds. Revenues should be identified by funder, if applicable. Expenditures should be classified into the following categories: personnel; travel; capital assets; supplies; communication, dissemination and linkage; and overhead (if applicable).
 - b) Provide a justification of project expenditures and discuss any major variance (*i.e.*, $\pm 10\%$) from the budget approved by the funder(s).

Note that we were not charged to lease space in the Brooks Research and Production Greenhouse Complex, so \$15,000 of the requested funds were not required.

2. Resources:

Provide a list of all external cash and in-kind resources which were contributed to the project.

| Total resources contributed to the project | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source | Amount | Percentage of total project cost | | | |
| Agriculture Funding Consortium | 44,789 | 21.0% | | | |
| Other government sources: Cash | 0 | 0% | | | |
| Other government sources: In-kind | 56,581 | 26.0% | | | |
| Industry: Cash | 103,512 | 48% | | | |
| Industry: In-kind | 10,950 | 5% | | | |
| Total Project Cost | 215,832 | 100% | | | |

| External resources (additional rows may be added if necessary) | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Government sources | | | | | |
| Name (only approved abbreviations please) | Amount cash | Amount in-kind | | | |
| Agriculture and Rural Development | 0 | 56,581 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Industry sources | | | | | |
| Name (only approved abbreviations please) | Amount cash | Amount in-kind | | | |
| Potato Growers of Alberta | 45,000 | See below | | | |
| Potato Growers of Alberta via CAAP | 54,762 | 0 | | | |
| Chedzoy Farms | 0 | 1,135 | | | |
| Hoogland Farms | 0 | 3,400 | | | |
| Haenni Farms | 0 | 1,510 | | | |
| Sandhills Seed Potato | 0 | 945 | | | |

| Solanum International | 0 | 375 |
|-------------------------|------|-------|
| Meyer Seed Potato Farms | 3750 | 3,585 |
| | | |

Section E: Research Team Signatures and Employers' Approval

The team leader and an authorised representative from his/her organisation of employment MUST sign this form.

Research team members and an authorised representative from their organisation(s) of employment MUST also sign this form.

By signing as representatives of the research team leader's employing organisation and/or the research team member's(s') employing organisation(s), the undersigned hereby acknowledge submission of the information contained in this final report to the funder(s).

| Team Leader | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | Research Scientist | | |
| Michele Konschuh | Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | January 23, 2015 | | |
| | | | |
| Team Leader's Employer's Approval | | | |
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | Branch Director | | |
| Darcy Driedger | Food and Bio-Industrial Crops Branch | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Team Leader's Organisation

Research Team Members (add more lines as needed)

| 1. Team Member | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | Senior Research Scientist | | |
| Nick Savidov | Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development | | |
| | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Team Member's Employer's Approval | | | |
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | Director | | |
| Hong Qi | Bio-Industrial Opportunities Branch | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 2. Team Member | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | | | |
| Team Member's Employer's Approval | | | |
| Name: | Title/Organisation: | | |
| | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |
| | | | |

Section F: Suggested reviewers for the final report

Provide the names and contact information of four potential reviewers for this final report. The suggested reviewers should not be current collaborators. The Agriculture Funding Consortium reserves the right to choose other reviewers. Under *Section 34* of the *Freedom of Information and Protection Act (FOIP)* reviewers must be aware that their information is being collected and used for the purpose of the external review.

Reviewer #1

| Name: | Becky Hughes | | | |
|----------------|---|--------|--|--|
| Position: | Head, Northern Horticultural Research/SPUD Unit | | | |
| Institution: | New Liskeard Agricultural Research Station, University of | Guelph | | |
| Address: | P.O. Box 6007, 340 Armstrong St., New Liskeard, ON PO |)J 1P0 | | |
| Phone Number: | 705-647-8525 ext. 222 | | | |
| Fax Number: | 705-647-9315 | | | |
| Email Address: | bhughes@uguelph.ca | | | |
| Reviewer #2 | | | | |
| Name: | Helen Tai | | | |
| Position: | Research Scientist | | | |
| Institution: | Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada | | | |
| Address: | 850 Lincoln Road, P.O. Box 20280, Fredericton, NB E3E | 3 4Z7 | | |
| Phone Number: | 506-460-4519 | | | |
| Fax Number: | 506-460-4377 | | | |
| Email Address: | helen.tai@agr.gc.ca | | | |
| | | | | |

Reviewer #3

| Name: | Peter Van der Zaag | |
|----------------|--|---------|
| Position: | | |
| Institution: | Sunrise Potato Storage Ltd. | |
| Address: | 5546 County Road 15, R.#. #3, Alliston, ON | L9R 1V3 |
| Phone Number: | 705-435-1028 | |
| Fax Number: | 705-435-2626 | |
| Email Address: | peter@sunrisepotato.com | |

Reviewer #4

| Name | | Sachid Madan | |
|---|-----|--|---------|
| | | | |
| Position: | | Director | |
| Institution: | | Technico Technologies Inc. | |
| Address: | | 12 Airport Road, Hoyt, NB | E5L 2K5 |
| Phone Numb | er: | 506-687-4272 | |
| Fax Number: | | 506-687-4218 | |
| Email Addres | ss: | sachid.madan@technituber.co | om.au |
| Institution: Address: Phone Numb Fax Number: Email Addres | er: | Technico Technologies Inc. 12 Airport Road, Hoyt, NB 506-687-4272 506-687-4218 sachid.madan@technituber.co | E5L 21 |

Appendix

| Variety | Seed Selected By |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Agata | Solanum International |
| Agria | Chedzoy Farms |
| Atlantic | Chedzoy Farms |
| Dakota Pearl | Hoogland Farms |
| Imola | Hoogland Farms |
| Musica | Solanum International |
| Ranger Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 24) | Sandhills Seed Potato |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 30) | Meyer Seed Potato Farms |
| Sangre (humid) | Meyer Seed Potato Farms |
| Sangre (dry) | Haenni Farms |
| Satina | Hoogland Farms |
| Shepody (20mm) | Meyer Seed Potatoes |
| Shepody (30mm) | Haennis Farms |
| Stampede Russet | Sandhills Seed Potatoes |
| Umatilla Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Viking | Hoogland Farms |

Table A-1: Seed potato varieties included in the first round of evaluation (October 2012 to February 2013).

Table A-2: Seed potato varieties included in the second round of evaluation (April 2013 to July 2013).

| Variety | Seed Supplied By |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Agria | Chedzoy Farms |
| Atlantic | Chedzoy Farms |
| Goldrush | Hoogland Farms |
| Innovator | Haenni Farms |
| Ivory Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Basket) | Hoogland Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Toothpick) | Hoogland Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Cut felt) | Sandhills Seed Potato |
| Russet Burbank (South) | Meyer Seed Potato Farms |
| Sangre (22mm) | Meyer Seed Potato Farms |
| Sangre (25mm) | Hoogland Farms |
| Satina | Haenni Farms |
| Shepody (Toothpick) | Meyer Seed Potatoes |
| Shepody (Basket) | Hoogland Farms |
| Shepody (Cut felt) | Sandhills Seed Potatoes |
| Stampede Russet | Sandhills Seed Potatoes |
| Stampede Russet (2) | Haenni Farms |

| Variety | Seed Supplied By |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dakota Pearl | Haenni Farms |
| Imola | Hoogland Farms |
| Ranger Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Ranger Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 24) | Haenni Farms |
| Russet Burbank (Oct 30) | Haenni Farms |
| Satina | Hoogland Farms |
| Umatilla Russet | Chedzoy Farms |
| Umatilla Russet | Chedzoy Farms |
| Umatilla Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| Umatilla Russet | Hoogland Farms |
| | |

Table A-3: Seed potato varieties included in the third round of evaluation (August 2013 to December 2013).

Table A-4:2013 Field evaluation of Winter 2012/2013 (A) aeroponic crop compared to
conventional (C) seed tubers produced in greenhouses.

| Variety | Source | Stand | Total Yld (ton/ac) | Tubers per Plant |
|----------------|--------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Agata | А | 17.5 bcd | 13.7 ab | 6.44 b-f |
| Agata | C | 19.8 ab | 21.4 ab | 8.4 ab |
| Imola | А | 14.8 e | 9.2 b | 5.3 def |
| Imola | C | 19.5 ab | 14.5 ab | 6.5 b-f |
| Musica | А | 20.0 a | 21.0 ab | 7.8 a-d |
| Musica | С | 20.0 a | 26.7 ab | 10.2 a |
| Ranger Russet | А | 15.8 de | 7.4 b | 4.9 ef |
| Ranger Russet | C | 19.3 abc | 32.7 a | 6.6 b-f |
| Russet Burbank | А | 18.8 abc | 6.5 b | 1.0 g |
| Russet Burbank | C | 20.0 a | 18.9 ab | 7.9 a-d |
| Sangre | А | 17.3 b-e | 8.5 b | 5.5 c-f |
| Sangre | С | 19.8 ab | 15.5 ab | 8.1 abc |
| Satina | А | 16.8 cde | 15.0 ab | 6.8 b-e |
| Satina | С | 19.8 ab | 17.1 ab | 8.2 ab |
| Shepody | А | 17.3 b-e | 10.3 ab | 5.6 ef |
| Shepody | С | 20.0 a | 14.9 ab | 5.0 ef |
| Viking | А | 17.3 b-e | 15.0 ab | 4.4 ef |
| Viking | С | 18.3 a-d | 15.0 ab | 4.0 f |



Figure A-1: Seed potato yield from an aeroponic Russet Burbank crop grown in the PIP-200 in 2014. 1200 plants were grown in a $60m^2$ facility over 3.5 months.
| Variety | Source | Stand | Total Yld (ton/ac) | Tubers per Plant |
|-----------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Agria | A2 | 18.5 a | 9.8 c-f | 4.0 ab |
| Agria | С | 20.0 a | 11.2 cde | 4.3 ab |
| Atlantic | A2 | 20.0 a | 13.3 bc | 4.7 ab |
| Atlantic | С | 19.8 a | 16.8 ab | 5.6 ab |
| Goldrush | A2 | 16.8 a | 9.2 c-f | 4.5 ab |
| Goldrush | С | 20.0 a | 12.1 cd | 4.0 ab |
| Imola | A3 | 19.0 a | 10.3 c-f | 4.2 ab |
| Imola | С | 20.0 a | 13.3 bc | 5.0 ab |
| Innovator | A2 | 18.5 a | 1.9 gi | 1.4 b |
| Innovator | С | 19.5 a | 2.5 g | 0.9 b |
| Ivory Russet | A2 | 16.5 a | 6.5 f | 2.6 b |
| Ivory Russet | С | 19.3 a | 7.1 ef | 2.2 b |
| Ranger Russet | A3 | 20.0 a | 9.4 c-f | 3.9 ab |
| Ranger Russet | С | 20.0 a | 9.4 c-f | 3.8 ab |
| Russet Burbank | A2 | 20.0 a | 8.8 def | 3.0 b |
| Russet Burbank | A3 | 19.8 a | 7.8 ef | 2.8 b |
| Russet Burbank | С | 20.0 a | 18.7 a | 7.0 ab |
| Sangre | A2 | 19.0 a | 10.9 cde | 6.4 ab |
| Sangre | С | 20.0 a | 17.0 ab | 7.1 ab |
| Satina | A2 | 18.5 a | 11.1 cde | 4.0 ab |
| Satina | A3 | 20.0 a | 10.9 cde | 4.8 ab |
| Satina | C | 19.0 a | 12.2 cd | 12.8 a |
| Shepody | A2 | 17.8 a | 7.0 ef | 3.1 b |
| Shepody | C | 20.0 a | 17.0 ab | 4.7 ab |
| Stampede Russet | A3 | 4.0 b | 2.2 g | 2.5 b |
| Stampede Russet | С | 17.5 a | 7.6 ef | 4.0 ab |
| Umatilla | A3 | 20.0 a | 8.4 def | 3.4 b |
| Umatilla | С | 20.0 a | 8.9 c-f | <u>3.9 ab</u> |

Table A-5:2014 Field evaluation of Spring (A2) and Summer (A3) 2013 aeroponic cropcompared to conventional (C) seed tubers produced in greenhouses.

Aeroponic Seed Potato Production – PIP200 Evaluation (2013)

Michele Konschuh

Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB, T1R 1E6



Food and Bio-Industrial **Crops Branch**

Purpose

- The purpose of this study is to evaluate the 'Potato Incubator™' an aeroponic production system designed to optimize the yield and quality of seed potato mini-tubers.
- The effect of potato variety, tuber size at harvest and nutrient solution on the yield of mini-tubers will also be determined within the context of this system.





Objectives

- To evaluate the 'Potato Incubator™' for production of mini-tubers of Alberta potato varieties;
- To compare yield of seed potato harvested at different size cut-offs;
- To evaluate the costs of production (COP) for 3. nuclear tubers using and aeroponic system; and
- 4. To verify that the subsequent yield potential of mini-tubers produced in the Potato Incubator™ is equal or superior to that of mini-tubers produced in potting mix.







Status and Results to Date

- Incubator assembled and installed by end of September, 2012.
- First crop: October 2012 to January 2013. Harvested 3 to 10 tubers per plant (mean 6.8).
- Planted at CDCS spring 2013 adjacent to conventional nuclear tubers.
- Second crop: April 2013 to July 2013. Harvested 6 to 17 tubers per plant (mean 9.5).
- Third crop: August 2013 to December 2013. Still harvesting. Best crop yet.
- Field evaluations of second and third crop planned for summer of 2014.
- Variety differences apparent.





Acknowledgements

This project was supported financially by Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund Ltd., Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, and the Potato Growers of Alberta and through in-kind contributions from seed potato growers.









Prepared for the Potato Growers of Alberta AGM, November 19 - 21, 2013, Calgary, AB



Canadian Agricultural Adaptation Program (CAAP)

Project Reporting Form

The following information is to assist you with CAAP reporting requirements. If you have any questions regarding any of the sections or bullets below contact your CAAP Project Officer.

- As per the Contract Agreement, *Interim reports* require sign-off by the project manager and *Final financial reports* must be signed off by an external accountant and by two executive officers/directors of the applicant, for the full report. See also the Financial Tracking template.
- Each and every Interim Report and the Final Performance Report shall be supported by documents, including invoices, statements, and receipts as the Council may require.
- Changes to estimated project costs, movement between cost elements, project timelines, and partner revenues often occur. CAAP pre-approval is required for material changes that affect initial project objectives, budget and/or extension of the project's term. Please contact your Project Officer as soon as you become aware of any changes.
- CAAP funding must be acknowledged on all press releases, public announcements and promotional materials related to the project/activity, and with consent of Council. Logos are available from our office at (855) 469-3714 or by email at caap-pcaa@agfoodcouncil.com. Copies of all such materials must be provided to CAAP prior to release to ensure that proper acknowledgement has been expressed.

| Project Title | Improving greenhouse mini-tuber production | | | | |
|----------------------|---|-----------|--------|--|--|
| Legal Organization | Potato Growers of Alberta | | | | |
| Contact Person | Terence Hochstein Contact E-mail Terence@albertapotatoes.ca | | | | |
| Contact Phone Number | 403-223-2262 | Project # | AB1170 | | |

| Project Start Date | April 2012 | Project End Date | December 2013 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Report Due Date | December 2013 | Reporting Period | September 2013 to |
| | | included in report | December 2013 |
| Funds requested for this period | | \$30,000 | |

| Project | The project involves evaluating the Potato Incubator (PIP200), an aeroponic | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summary/Objectives | seed potato production system designed to optimize the yield and quality of | | | | |
| | seed potato mini-tubers. Further, we intend to determine the effect of light, | | | | |
| | potato variety and nutrient solution on the yield of mini-tubers within the | | | | |
| | context of the system. The specific objectives are: | | | | |
| | • To evaluate the 'Potato Incubator TM ' for production of mini-tubers of | | | | |
| | Alberta potato varieties; | | | | |
| | • To compare yield of seed potato grown on different nutrient solutions; | | | | |
| | • To evaluate the effect of pre-treating tissue culture plantlets with a shorter | | | | |
| | (12 h) photoperiod on the yield and size of mini-tubers produced; and | | | | |
| | • To evaluate the costs of production (COP) for nuclear tubers using an | | | | |
| | aeroponic system. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Progress To-Date | In the third round of evaluation, plantle | ts of 6 different varieties were supplied | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | by 4 producers (Table 3). Two produce | ers were unable to obtain seed potato | | | |
| | plantlets as labs had shut down for the s | season before orders were placed. | | | |
| | Plantlets were transplanted into rock we | ool blocks in early August and | | | |
| | transferred into the PIP-200 between A | ugust 12 and 19, 2013. Only 11 of the | | | |
| | 17 gutters were planted in the third rou | nd. Inadvertently, this allowed for the | | | |
| | evaluation of planting density within th | e greenhouse bay and some replication | | | |
| | within varieties. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | In the third round, strategies learned in | the first two rounds of evaluation were | | | |
| | employed from the outset. Biological t | hrip control was introduced as soon as | | | |
| | plants had been transplanted. Fungicide | es were applied at regular intervals | | | |
| | throughout the growth cycle. Irrigation scheduling was adjusted to enhance | | | | |
| | root establishment and was adjusted as | the plants grew. However, with only | | | |
| | one irrigation zone, it was not possible | to control irrigation independently for | | | |
| | each variety. A compromise was neces | sary. EC was monitored and the | | | |
| | fertilizer solution was partially refreshe | d every two weeks or so Harvesting | | | |
| | began September 19 for the earliest var | iety and continued once every 6 to 7 | | | |
| | days until December 16 Harvesting w | as less frequent in this round to reduce | | | |
| | stress on the plants | as feed frequent in this found to feddee | | | |
| | succes on the prime. | | | | |
| | Table 3: Seed potato varieties inclu | uded in the third round of evaluation | | | |
| | (August 2013 to December 2013). | | | | |
| | Variety | Seed Supplied By | | | |
| | Dakota Pearl | Haenni Farms | | | |
| | Imola | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | Ranger Russet | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | Ranger Russet | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | Russet Burbank (Oct 24) | Haenni Farms | | | |
| | Russet Burbank (Oct 30) | Haenni Farms | | | |
| | Satina | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | Umatilla Russet | Chedzov Farms | | | |
| | Umatilla Russet | Chedzoy Farms | | | |
| | Umatilla Russet | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | Umatilla Russet | Hoogland Farms | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | An economic assessment was pl | anned to allow producers to determine | | | |
| | whether the additional production of tu | bers justifies the costs of the system, | | | |
| | supplies and greenhouse requirements. | | | | |
| | By the third round of evaluation | n, the system itself had developed a few | | | |
| | issues. The supplier was unable to ac | ldress the issues in a timely fashion as | | | |
| | most technical expertise and replaceme | ent parts are in Europe. As a result, the | | | |
| | tuber misting pump was used to repla | ace a damaged pump for irrigating the | | | |
| | roots and the tuber misting system cou | Ild not be used during this round. One | | | |
| | glass tube in the UV disinfection sys | tem was damaged during the cleaning | | | |
| | procedure and a replacement was not | provided. Two out of three of the UV | | | |
| | tubers were functioning for this round | I, but the system was not full and the | | | |
| | disinfection was deemed adequate. In | mid-October, the computer reported a | | | |
| | fault with the EC sensor equipment. R | Replacing one and then both sensors did | | | |

not correct the fault. Recalibrating the sensors did not correct the fault. To address the deficiency, the fertilizer was added manually to the mixing tank and EC was monitored manually each day. pH continued to be monitored and adjusted automatically. The manual adjustment of the EC, rather than frequent automated monitoring, may have contributed to early senescence of the crop, although this may also be expected as a result of the shorter days and lower light intensities at this time of year.

Table 6: Yield of seed potatoes from varieties planted in the PIP-200 (August2013 to December 2013).

| Variety | Plantlet | Total | Total | Mkt | Number |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| | survival | Number* | Yld (g) | Number | per Plant |
| Dakota | | | | | |
| Pearl | 82% | 364 | 3207.7 | 364 | 6.3 |
| Imola | 94% | 850 | 7780 | 690 | 12.7 |
| Ranger | | | | | |
| Russet | 87% | 1160 | 8660.9 | 797 | 18.7 |
| Ranger | | | | | |
| Russet 2 | 85% | 874 | 6105.4 | 608 | 14.6 |
| Russet | | | | | |
| Burbank 1 | 93% | 1201 | 8017 | 903 | 18.2 |
| Russet | | | | | |
| Burbank 2 | 75% | 818 | 5245.9 | 586 | 15.4 |
| Satina | 86% | 883 | 6520.5 | 692 | 14.5 |
| Umatilla 1 | 87% | 806 | 5518.1 | 575 | 13.0 |
| Umatilla 2 | 87% | 633 | 4082.4 | 431 | 10.2 |
| Umatilla 3 | 96% | 693 | 4354.5 | 455 | 10.2 |
| Umatilla 4 | 89% | 1027 | 6459.6 | 685 | 16.3 |

*Total number only includes tubers harvested as of December 16. Final tuber harvest will include tubers of all size categories and will increase the total number of tubers.

Plant survival was higher (87%) in the third round, although not as high as desired. Fungal and insect pests were better controlled in this round. Root growth was impressive early on, but roots browned and struggled later in the cycle. The yield of tubers ranged from 6 to 18 tubers per surviving plant in the third round, with a mean of 13.6. Although this is a good yield compared to current mini-tuber production levels (1 to 5) in conventional potting mix, and the best yield from the system so far, the yield still fell in the low end of the 10 to 30 tubers per plant suggested by the supplier.

It became apparent during this evaluation that more production information is required to reach the full potential of the PIP-200 aeroponic seed potato production system. The current manual indicates that adjustments to the irrigation schedule and EC settings may be required depending on the time of year, the nutritional requirements of the crop and the stage of growth. There is, however, no indication as to when these adjustments should be made or what to base the decision to adjust settings on. Some literature information is now becoming available based on other aeroponic system in other settings (Chang et al., 2011, Chang et al., 2012 and Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2013). I believe that guidelines are required with respect to greenhouse settings, troubleshooting with the system and management of the crop. Likely, in the 16

| months of evaluation to date, we have not reached the full potential of the PIP-200. |
|--|
| Evaluation of the PIP-200 gave our industry a novel insight into the |
| potential of using an aeroponic production system to produce a greater number |
| of tubers per plant. There are some clear advantages to using a system like the |
| PIP-200: |
| • The number of plants required to produce seed for the next generation should be reduced. |
| • Tubers are harvested at an ergonomic height. |
| • Hill depth can be adjusted to increase the number of tubers produced. |
| • Tubers are harvested at a marketable size. |
| • Continuous harvest allows the labour costs to be distributed more evenly throughout the cycle. |
| • Water and fertilizer is recirculated and the amount required is less in this |
| system than in a comparable sized greenhouse using potting mix. |
| • Tubers are harvested free of potting mix and are clean and attractive. |
| • No potting mix is required, so costs and disposal of potting mix are also not an issue. |
| • With supplemental heat and light, it is possible to produce 2 crops of |
| nuclear potatoes per year. A third crop is possible, but logistically |
| more challenging because of dormancy issues and lapsed certification |
| of the stock plants. |
| There are some drowheals to the average configuration of the DID |
| 200 These drawbacks have been brought to the attention of the supplier |
| and a number of them have been addressed through design modifications |
| and the introduction of the PIP-150 a blend of the best features of the |
| prototype and the PIP-200: |
| • There is insufficient information vet available to assist growers with |
| choosing irrigation schedules and EC settings. |
| • The current configuration would allow one variety or a group of similar |
| varieties to be grown in each cycle, but it does not have the flexibility to grow multiple varieties with different agronomic profiles. |
| • The adjustable hill depth is a nice feature, but the adjustments were time |
| consuming and awkward. No information is available to direct these adjustments. |
| • The provision for adjusting the angle of access to the lower gutter did not |
| add sufficient value to justify the additional expense and weight of the actuators installed. The addition of this feature allowed root material and occasionally stolons to become wedged into mechanical parts and |
| made the PIP-200 difficult to clean. |
| • Replacement parts and technical assistance was too far away. A ready |
| supply of replacements parts may need to be supplied with the |
| equipment or stocked nearby. A trouble-shooting guide in the manual |
| would also enable producers to address minor concerns without delay. |
| Operating the system without the benefit of all the features it was |
| designed with can limit the productivity of the crop and requires much more frequent monitoring. |
| • A preventative maintenance schedule and the installation of some pre- |

| filters would circumvent many of the alarm events that were |
|--|
| experienced |
| experienced. |
| There are some challenges inherent with an aeroponic production |
| approach that are independent of the PIP-200 system: |
| • Tubers are harvested at different times and need to be stored. Storage |
| temperatures cannot be ramped down as gradually for each harvest |
| unless multiple storages are available. |
| Storing tubers from cycles until the following spring may provide challenges for shorter dormancy varieties. |
| • There were concerns raised that not all tubers will perform equally well |
| as the physiological ages will differ. This may also be true of |
| conventionally produced tubers as not all of the tubers harvested in |
| either system were set at the same time. |
| |
| Many of these challenges can be addressed with additional research. If |
| aeropopic technology for nuclear seed production, some targeted research |
| should provide enough information to overcome the constraints identified |
| should provide enough mormation to overcome the constraints identified. |
| Gross economic evaluation: |
| It is difficult to conduct a full economic comparison between |
| conventional and aeroponic seed potato production. The project was |
| conducted in a modern research greenhouse with many features and amenities |
| that were not required for the project. Utilities (heat, electricity and water) are |
| covered by Alberta Infrastructure and there are no provisions to itemize the |
| costs for a portion of the facility. Producers who produce nuclear seed potato |
| areanhouses they own would suffice for aeronopic production or whether a |
| capital investment would be required. If one cycle of aeroponic was produced |
| annually, likely no additional heat or lighting would be required. Less water |
| and fertilizer would be used. No potting mix would be necessary. Additional |
| costs would be incurred for plastic, nozzles and other consumable or wear |
| items used in the PIP-200 system. Also, if two aeroponic cycles were |
| produced, some supplemental heat and lighting would be required for the |
| shoulder seasons (early spring and late fall). In a conventional system, a much |
| larger number of plantlets are required in the beds. For this comparison, I |
| estimated that producers are planting potato plantlets approximately 8cm apart in 15 cm doop hade. Lostimated that (hade ($2m = 4m$) model of ($2m = 2m$) |
| In 15cm deep beds. I estimated that 6 beds (2m x 4m) would fit in a 60m ² |
| barvesting of the crops. The aeroponic system is housed in a research |
| greenhouse hav that measures approximately 6 $\text{Rm} \times 2$ Rm or roughly 60 m^2 |
| Of course, in both systems, an economy of scale may be likely in a larger |
| facility. |
| |
| The estimated variable costs of production for producing the seed |
| potatoes in the PIP-200 aeroponic system are itemized in Table 7. The |
| estimated variable costs for producing seed potatoes in a conventional bed- |
| planted greenhouse are itemized in Table 8. Approximately 1200 plantlets |
| were planted in the aeroponic system. If 10 tubers per plant were produced in |

each cycle, the nuclear tubers would cost \$0.54 per tuber in variable costs alone. If the potential yield of 15 to 20 tubers per plantlet was realized, the variable cost per tuber would decrease dramatically to \$0.35 and \$0.27. In the conventional system, a yield of 3 tubers per plantlet would cost \$0.47 per tuber. A yield of 2 tubers per plant would cost \$0.71 per tuber in variable costs. These costs do not include amortized costs of the greenhouses, the aeroponic equipment, storage facilities or expenses common to both operations, such as storage utility costs and facility inspection charges.

Yield of nuclear tubers in other aeroponic systems differs by variety and ranged from 2 to 15 marketable tubers per plantlet in one recent publication (Chang et al. 2011) to as high as 71 tubers per plantlet in another (Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2012). These and other authors identify that yield is dependent on variety, environmental settings and nutrients supplied to the plants. Costs per tuber reported in South America range from \$0.07 to \$0.25 per tuber depending on the production system (Mateus-Rodriguez et al. 2013), but labour and some of the other costs are lower in other countries and it is difficult to compare directly with our estimates.

| Item | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Cost/cycle |
|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Potato plantlets | 0.60 | 1448.40 | 724.20 |
| Fertilizers | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| Disinfectants | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| Wecult discs | 0.50 | 1200 | 600 |
| Plastic | 80 | 960 | 480 |
| Felt | 300 | 300 | 150 |
| Rock wool plugs | 160 | 480 | 240 |
| Nozzles | 0.60 | 600 | 300 |
| Manpower (per | 170 | 7480 | 3740 |
| day) | | | |
| Other materials | 100 | 200 | 100 |
| Total | | 12868.40 | 6434.20 |

Table 7: Variable costs for the production of seed potatoes using the PIP-200 aeroponic system.

Table 8: Variable costs for the production of seed using a conventionalpotting mix bed approach in a greenhouse.

| Item | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Cost/cycle |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Potato plantlets | 0.60 | 4500 | 4500 |
| Fertilizers | 100 | 200 | 200 |
| Disinfectants | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Potting mix | 14 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Plastic | 80 | 160 | 160 |
| Other materials | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Manpower (per day) | 170 | 3740 | 3740 |
| Total | | 10,600 | 10,600 |

The PIP-200 system provided was adapted to a research bay in a

| | research greenhouse complex and cost approximately \$160,000 including delivery, installation and training. The system has the capacity to operate additional gutters, so a modest additional cost would be required to expand the system. At the current rate of production, the aeroponic system would not confer an advantage to producers with conventional facilities. The variable costs per tuber in each system are similar, and the capital investment cannot be justified at this level of production. If some additional work is conducted and we approach the target yields reported by the supplier, the cost per tuber decreases dramatically. At 20 tubers per plantlet, the variable cost decreases to about half of the current variable cost of production per tuber. This level of production seems attainable with some experience operating the system. Assuming a selling price of \$0.90 per nuclear tuber, a conventional producer would generate \$20,250 in gross revenues in one cycle in a 60m ² facility. After variable costs, that leaves \$9,650 toward fixed costs and profit. Assuming the same price per tuber, a more optimized aeroponic system, producing 20 tubers per plantlet in a 60m2 facility would generate \$43,200 in gross returns with two production cycles per year. After variable costs, that leaves \$30,330 toward fixed costs and profit. Clearly, the productivity of the system is of utmost importance in both systems to generate a return on investment. It is assumed that producers using the conventional approach for the past 20 years or so have already reached the potential of the current production system. The full potential of the PIP-200 system has not been witnessed yet. Additional work with this system or the PIP-150 may move us past the tipping point. |
|--|--|
| | Chang, D.C., I.C. Cho, J-T. Suh, S.J. Kim and Y.B. Lee. 2011. Growth and yield response of three aeroponcially grown potato cultivars (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) to different electrical conductivities of nutrient solution. Am. J. Potato Res. 88:450-458. Chang, D.C., C.S. Park, S.Y. Kim and Y.B. Lee. 2012. Growth and tuberization of hydroponically grown potatoes. 2012. Potato Research. 55:69-81. Mateus-Rodriguez, J.R., S. de Haan, I. Barker, C. Chuquillanqui, and A. Rodriguez-Delfin. 2012. Response of three potato cultivars grown in a novel aeroponics system for min-tuber seed production. Acta Hort. 947:361-367. Mateus-Rodriguez, J., S. de Haan, J.L. Andrade-Piedra, L. Maldonado, G. Hareau, I. Barker, C. Chuquillanqui, V. Otazu, R. Frisancho, C. Bastos, A.S. Pereira, C.A. Medeiros, F. Motesdeoca, and J. Benitez. 2013. Technical and economic analysis of aeroponics and other systems for potato mini-tuber production in Latin America. Am. J. Potato Res. 90:357-368. |
| Technology Transfer Activities To-Date | A poster was presented at the PGA annual general meeting in Calgary in November. An open house was hosted in December 2012. Growers attending a field day in August 2013 were also toured through the system. Another open house was not conducted in October 2013 as there was no new information to share with growers who had already seen the system. Individual requests were accommodated. |

| Research Plan for Upcoming Years/Next Steps | The third round of evaluation is complete. Additional product development work appears to be required before any firm recommendations can be made. Field evaluation of the second and third round of tubers harvested from the system will take place in 2014 at CDCS. | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------|--------|--|
| | The proposed | l timelines for | the remainder | of the year are: | | |
| | Activities (Describe each activity and any sub- activities)Anticipated ActivityAnticipated ActivityDeliverables/Outputs (For each activity listed, show what will be produced)Who is undertaking the activities | | | | | |
| | Data analyses and report writingNovember 2013February 2014Final report for project; industry presentationsAlb Agr and and manufacturerData analyses and report writingOngoingJuly 2014Trouble shooting guideAlb Agr and Feedback for and Feedback for manufacturer | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | V Lucase | | 6 | T | 16 | |
| Short-Term | A Improv | tive products | | Improved knowled | ige of | |

| Short-Term | Х | Improved knowledge of potential | Improved knowledge of |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Outcomes (As | | innovative products, processes, | solutions/strategies |
| indicated in original | | technologies | analyzed/tested to address |
| application) | | | issues/opportunities |
| | | | |

| Actual Short – | Improved knowledge of aeroponic seed potato production |
|------------------|---|
| Term Outcomes | • Some practical information has been generated and will form part of a |
| (if applicable): | trouble-shooting guide for producers. |
| | • Made a number of suggestions to streamline set up and planting in the |
| | system |

| Long-Term Outcomes | Х | Reduced production or processing costs | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Improved product quality | |
| | Х | X Improved market share | |
| | Х | X Preserving market share | |
| | | Other: | |
| Actual Progress towards Long- | | | |
| Term Outcomes: (if applicable) | | | |

| Any significant changes, | The system is more expensive and complicated than the | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| challenges? | conventional bed-planting approach to nuclear seed potato | | | | | |
| | production. At this time, widespread adoption in North | | | | | |
| | America is unlikely. There are some considerations that the | | | | | |
| | supplier may need to address to improve the likelihood of | | | | | |
| | producers choosing this system over developing one of their | | | | | |
| | own. The development of the PIP-150 model may reduce | | | | | |
| | costs and address some of the drawbacks identified here. At | | | | | |
| | the time this report was prepared, though, one Alberta seed | | | | | |
| | producer has already purchased a PIP-200 system and | | | | | |
| | produced his first crop. Additional research may improve the | | | | | |

| production figures sufficiently to make this a natural choice for other producers as well. As this was the only system of this kind available for evaluation, it may spur additional research on to improve return on investment for nuclear seed potato producers. |
|---|
| |



Agriculture and Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada



Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund Ltd.

Cindy Sulderitsch Finance Coordinator Food & Bio-Industrial Crops Branch Agriculture & Rural Development 307 J.G. O'Donoghue Building 7000 113 Street Edmonton AB. T6H 5T6

Michele Konschuh Agriculture and Rural Development Crop Development Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB. T1R 1E6 June 26, 2013

Perence Hochstein Executive Director Potato Growers of Alberta 6008-46 Ayonue Taber, AB 71G 2B1

RE: Year 3 payment for proposal 2011F047R "Potato Variety Development in Alberta"

Michele, thank you for your interim report and the financials. The results of the due diligence has enable ACIDF to ACIDF's cheque #5024 payable to **Government of Alberta** for \$10,000.00 to Cindy.

Terence, with this letter ACIDF as lead funder, is asking the Potato Growers of Alberta at their earliest convenience, to release their year 2-payment of \$10,000.00 as per the schedule below. Please make the cheque payable to the following and send it to Cindy.

Government of Alberta Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development Food Crops Branch Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB. T1R 1E6

asper Susan Chump.

#5116

Brooks, AB. T1R 1E6 Subject to the Agreement, the maximum possible amount granted for the Activity will be \$74,000.00 divided between the funding agencies:

ACIDF Total: \$59,000

Year 1 (2011): \$ 19,000 Year 2 (2012): \$ 20,000 Year 3 (2013): \$ 10,000 Holdback: \$ 10,000

PGA Total: \$15,000

Year 1 (2011): \$ 5,000 Year 2 (2012): \$ 5,000 Year 3 (2013): \$ 5,000

A Holdback of \$ 10,000.00 will be paid to ARD upon accepted receipt of Final Performance Report and detailed final budget due fifty (50) days before the end date of the Activity, or January 20 2014.

This grant, according to a ruling from the regional GST authority, does not contain a GST component. In simple terms, you don't have to submit GST when you collect the grant.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact our office.

Sincerely,

Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund Ltd. 5030 50 St, Agriculture Bldg. LACOMBE, AB T4L 1W8 (403) 782-8034 FAX (403) 782-5514 e-mail: info@acidf.ca web: www.acidf.ca

Bonnie Spragg Chairperson, ACIDF Ltd



8. Project Overview (max. 2 pages)

a) Background

Over the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked with individual stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. The growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders. Also, there is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers. Industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage.

The purpose of this research project is to pool resources and evaluate potential varieties, from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. Including agronomy in the evaluations allows us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they weigh options of producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD is well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting.

b) Objectives of the Project

Objectives:

A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), gourmet and other markets;

B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;

C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and

D. To develop agronomic information on plant density and nitrogen requirements to support potato growers interested in producing new varieties.

E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model that takes the industry beyond the current project.

c) Key Results Expected

Each stakeholder will receive information about varieties of interest relative to standard varieties in each class. Typically, we provide emergence, stand, vigour, and maturity data as well as yield by size category, specific gravity, defects and deformities, and culinary evaluations. For additional fees, stakeholders can enter varieties into trials looking at plant density levels and a reduced level of nitrogen. Local production data will support adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A field day will be hosted each year at both locations to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to rain-fed and irrigated growing conditions. There is no substitution for first hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

As part of the proposed trial, we will work with stakeholders to develop a variety development mechanism for Alberta that takes us beyond the current project approach. The project is, in essence, a transition strategy to move the industry from a federal breeding program approach to a broader, more inclusive evaluation system.

9. Progress to Date (max. 2 pages)

a) Provide a concise report of the results achieved to date. It should contain a summary of the data collected and any preliminary conclusions made. The report should clearly state whether the results expected under the action plan for the proceeding year have been achieved. If they have not been achieved, please provide explain. Please also include all changes/modifications that have been made to the original plans and provide clear explanation for the changes.

The second year of the project was a good one. Industry participation increased and results were collected as planned. Reporting has been delayed as a result of staffing changes at ARD.

This year, thirteen potato industry stakeholders submitted a total of 55 varieties to be evaluated in the Potato Variety Development trail at Brooks. The test entries included 16 French fry varieties, 13 chipping varieties, 17 fresh market varieties and 9 gourmet varieties; 40 grown at a full rate of N, 34 grown at a reduced rate of N, and 13 grown with little or no supplemental N (some varieties were entered in more than one category).

Two replicates of 70 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) cultivars were grown as well. These cultivars included 15 French fry, 13 chipping and 42 fresh market clones including some cultivars with novel traits such as low glycemic index, functional food and high antioxidant compounds.

A field day was held in conjunction with the Potato Growers of Alberta Summer Meeting at the Crop Diversification Centre North in Edmonton in early August. Demonstration rows of 56 AAFC cultivars were dug for industry stakeholders to evaluate first hand.

A field day was also hosted at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks August 24. We had excellent weather and a good turn-out for the field day. Participants had an opportunity to visit the trial plots in the field and demo rows were dug of each AAFC and each private variety in the trial.

Potatoes were harvested in the fall and graded into size categories relevant for each intended end-use. Postharvest culinary evaluations were conducted by the Food Science lab as requested by the clients. Some varieties were collected by clients after grading to be placed into long-term storage for chip and fry colour evaluations by industry.

Raw data was provided to AAFC to assist with decisions about which cultivars to include in the 2012 Accelerated Release Program. Raw data was provided to Little Potato Company to facilitate decision making for 2013.

Data for all private entries will be analyzed and several reports will be compiled. Each client will be provided with a report summarizing the findings for their entries relative to standard varieties. A full report will also be compiled and entries will be coded so that each sponsor can be provided with a full data set of all entries. Data from the AAFC plots will also be provided to each sponsor along with a link to the Accelerated Release web site for possible uptake by industry. (www.agr.gc.ca/potato-cultivars) These reports will be forwarded to you for your reference.

Industry participants were been invited to provide feedback on the first year of the trial and how the trial can be improved to provide additional value. Some changes were made to the trial in the second year to accommodate industry suggestions. We anticipated getting lists of entries and seed potato from sponsors much sooner in the second and third years of the trial as all paperwork is in place and people now know what to expect. Getting finalized lists of entries and seed for test varieties proved to be slow in the second year of the trial, similar to the first year. Reduced N treatments increased in the second year. Some participants required also special plant density, early desiccation, and different grading guidelines. This added significantly to the manpower

requirements. A surcharge for these specific requests will be considered for the third year of the trial.

Feedback from participants in the second year of the trial has been constructive.

Some changes within the industry may affect utilization of the trials in the third year. Likely only a demonstration will be grown in Edmonton due to some changes in availability of technical support there. Prairie Gold Potatoes purchased the packing house and dehydration facility from Agristar Inc. and Cavendish Farms purchased Maple Leaf Potatoes. These companies will be approached to participate.

10. Research and Action Plans for Upcoming years (max. 1 page)

| Specify by | calendar year | | |
|-------------|--|------|---|
| 2013 Work P | lan: | | |
| April/May: | Seed prep | | |
| | Planting | | |
| May/June: | Hilling | | |
| | Irrigation pipe | | |
| | Emergence data | | 0 |
| June: | 2012 data analyses, report writing | | |
| 12 | Stakeholder meeting | | |
| July: | Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required | | |
| | In-season data collection (time to flowering, vigor) | | |
| August: | Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required | | |
| | Maturity data | | |
| | Field Day at CDCN | | |
| | Harvest of early-season varieties | | |
| Contract | Field Day at CDCS | | |
| September: | Desiccation of full-season varieties | | (|
| Octobory | Harvest full-season varieties | | |
| October: | Grading | | |
| November | Post-narvest evaluations (cuinary) | | |
| November: | Data analyses, report writing | | |
| lanuary: | Final Report | | |
| January | | | |

11. Technology Transfer Plan (max. 1 page)

a) Please indicate all completed and future activities relating to the Technology Transfer Plan for this project.

The potato industry will have access to the project information in many ways. Growers and industry members were invited to see the varieties at field days in Edmonton (Crop Diversification Centre North) and Brooks (Crop Diversification Centre South) in August 2012. In Brooks, guests were invited to tour the evaluation plots and compare the unique performances of each variety in the field under local conditions.

Dr. Konschuh spoke at the North Area Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta about the trial and opportunities for potato industry stakeholders to participate. A poster was prepared for the Annual General Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta held in Calgary in November. Data will be collected, analyzed and presented in multiple reports to industry. Each sponsor will be provided with a client-specific report. Information will be available on the internet (PVMI website and PGA website) for easy access for growers. Implementation will be optimized during the three years of the project by direct feedback from industry and may be measured by the increase in uptake of local superior varieties.

12. Anticipated Research Budget by Year

Please complete budget for all years of the project, including the actuals for previous years of funding. Please also provide justification and details for each component of the budget (personnel, travel, capital assets, CDL and overhead)

| C | Year | Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/year |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|----------|-------|----------|------------|
| | 1 | ACIDF | Cash | 11,500 | 800 | 0 | 6,700 | 0 | 0 | 19,000 |
| | (2011- | | Cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2012) | Gov't | In- kind | 42250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,250 |
| | | | Cash | 21,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,640 | 0 | 24,000 |
| | | Industry | In- kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 |
| | Total | Year 1 | | 75,110 | 800 | 0 | 9,100 | 2,640 | 0 | 87,650 |
| | Carry | Over for y | ear 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | ACIDF | Cash | 12,500 | 56 | 0 | 7,444 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 |
| | (2012- | | Cash | 1,843 | 0 | 0 | 643 | | 0 | 2,486 |
| | 2013) | Gov't | In- kind | 42,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,250 |
| | | | Cash | 21,300 | 0 | 0 | 781 | 119 | 0 | 22,200 |
| | | Industry | In- kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,200 | 0 | 0 | 2,200 |
| | Total | Year 2 | | 78,893 | 56 | 0 | 11,068 | 119 | 0 | 89,136 |
| | Carry | Over for y | ear 2 | (1,843) | 744 | 0 | (1,968) | 2,581 | 0 | (486) |
| | <u>_</u> | | | | · | | | | | |
| | 3 | ACIDF | Cash | 12,500 | 800 | 0 | 6,700 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 |
| | (2013- | Gov't | Cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C |) 2014) | | In- kind | 42,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | Cash | 21,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 0 | 24,000 |
| | | Industry | In- kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 |
| | Total | Year 3 | | 76,050 | 800 | 0 | 9,100 | 2,700 | 0 | 88,650 |
| | 4 | ACIDF | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | (enter year) | Gov't | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | | | kind | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cash | | | 1 | | | | |
| - | | Industry | In- kind | | | | | | | |
| | Total | Year 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | ACIDF | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | (enter | | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | year) | Gov't | In- kind | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | | Industry | In- kind | | | | | | | |
| ĺ | Total | Year 5 | | | | | | | | A DOMAGN S |
| | | Grand 7 | Fotal | 227,210 | 2,400 | 0 | 27,300 | 8,040 | 0 | 264,950 |

*Communication, Dissemination, and Linkage

5 Form Revised: Mar 2011 **Details and Justification** (please provide complete details and justification for the budget for each of the following components:

| enen ej me jene m | 8 | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|
| | Amount | Details and Justification |
| | Requested for | |
| | calendar year | |
| | (2013) | |
| Personnel | 33,800 | Seasonal manpower required to plant, manage, harvest and |
| | | grade potato trial |
| Travel | 800 | Travel to assist with field day in Edmonton |
| Capital Assets | 0 | |
| CDL | 2,400 | Attend conference to present results; networking with |
| | | industry sponsors |
| Overhead | 0 | |

13. Funding Contribution

| Estimated Total Funds Requested for the Entire Duration of the Project | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source | Amount | Percentage of Total Project Cost | | | |
| ACIDF | 59,000 | 27.3 | | | |
| Other Government sources: Cash | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Other Government sources: In-kind | 127,500 | 47.8 | | | |
| Industry: Cash | 60,000 | 27.2 | | | |
| Industry: In-kind | 7,500 | 2.7 | | | |
| Total Project Cost | 264,950 | 100 | | | |

Sources of Funding Contributions

| Government Sources | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Name (no abbreviations please) | Amount Cash | Amount In-Kind | Confirmed (Y/N) |
| Alberta Agriculture Food and Rural Development | | 90,750 | N |
| Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada | | 36,000 | Y |
| | | | |

| Industry Sources | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Name (no abbreviations please) | Amount Cash | Amount In-Kind | Confirmed (Y/N) |
| Potato Growers of Alberta | 15,000 | 0 | Y |
| Potato Variety Management Institute | 3,000 | 450 | Y |
| Edmonton Potato Growers | 3,000 | 450 | Y |
| Solanum International | 3,000 | 450 | Y |
| Parkland Seed Potatoes | 3,000 | 450 | Y |
| Rockyview Seed Ltd. | 1,500 | 250 | N |
| Lamb Weston (ConAgra) | 3,000 | 450 | N |
| McCain Foods Ltd. | 3,000 | 450 | Y |
| Maple Leaf Potatoes | 6,000 | 750 | Y |

| Tuberosum Technologies | 3,000 | 450 | N |
|-----------------------------|-------|-----|---|
| Agristar Inc. | 3,000 | 450 | N |
| Old Dutch Foods | 4,500 | 600 | Y |
| Alberta Seed Producers Inc. | 3,000 | 450 | Y |

Part C **Regulatory Issues**

1. Environmental Assessment

Do you anticipate the project will have an impact on the environment? (Y/N) No If yes, has it been screened by Canadian Environmental Assessment Act? (Y/N, result?) Has it been screened by Alberta Environment Act? (Y/N, result?)

Have other actions been taken? (Y/N, result?)

2. Biotechnology Related Projects

Does this proposal involve biotechnology research? (Y/N) No

If yes, state any potential adverse impact the project results may have on:

- X food safety and human health:
- X environmental sustainability:

Does the research include transfer of DNA between unrelated organisms? (Y/N) No

If yes, state the common name of the source of the genetic material:

State the Latin name:

3. Certificates/Permits

| | Applied For | Attached | Not Required |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Animal Care Certificates | | | X |
| Human Health Certificates | | | X |
| Transgenic Crop Permits | | - | X |

Part D **Personal Data Sheet**

Please complete a Personal Data Sheet for the Team Leader AND any NEW Research Team Member (existing Team Members DO NOT need to complete a new form)

(Duplicate this sheet as required)

The personal information being collected is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. Name

| Ivame: | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Dr/Mr/Ms/Mrs. | Last | Konschuh | | First | Michele | | |
| Position / Organization / De | pt.: | | | | | | |
| Potato Research Scientist / Al | berta Ag | riculture and Ru | ral Developm | ent / Food | & Bio-Industrial Crops | | |
| Branch | - | | - | | - | | |
| Address: # 301 Horticultural | Station | Rd. E. | Brooks | AB | T1R 1E6 | | |
| Street /Box | | | City | Prov. | Postal Code | | |
| E-mail: | | | | | | | |
| michele.konschuh@gov.ab.ca | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Form Revised: Mar 2011

| Dhono | For | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Fax: | | | | |
| 405-502-1514 405-502-1500 | | | | | |
| rast experience relevant to project: (Poin | t iorm, concise.) | | | | |
| • As a Potato Research Scientist for Alb | erta Agriculture and | a Rural Development, conducted regional | | | |
| trials for the Agriculture and Agri-Food Pot | ato Breeding Progra | am for the past 11 years $(2000 - 2011)$ | | | |
| Conduct variety development trials for | r industry clients as | requested (Lamb Weston, Solanum | | | |
| International, Rockyview Seed Ltd., Parklar | nd Seed Potatoes, N | Iaple Leaf Potatoes, Old Dutch Foods, | | | |
| and Edmonton Potato Growers) | | | | | |
| Earth Renew OM Plus Product Evalua | tion (2007-2008) | | | | |
| • Application of polymer-coated urea (E | SN) in potato produ | uction in southern Alberta (2007-2010) | | | |
| • Use of green manure crops to reduce s | oil-borne pests and | diseases of potato crops in Alberta | | | |
| (2006-2010) | - | - | | | |
| • Lutein content of vellow-fleshed potate | oes grown in Alber | ta (2004-2006) | | | |
| Degrees / Certificates / Diplomas: | In | stitution: | | | |
| Institution Field Specialization | Degree/Diploma | Year | | | |
| U of Calgary Developmental Plant Phys | siology Ph. D. | 1995 | | | |
| U of Calgary Biological Sciences – Bota | anv B.Sc. | 1989 | | | |
| Publications and Patents: | | | | | |
| # of Refereed papers: 9 | onference proceedi | ngs: 10 | | | |
| Relevant Patents obtained: None | ther relevant citatic | ingo: 10 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other evidence of productivity during pa Harms, T.E. and M.N. Konschuh. 2010. Water sav configurations. Agricultural Water Management. http | st 6 years: (Point fo /ings in irrigated potato p://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j | orm, concise) o production by varying hill-furrow or bed-furrow j.agwat.2010.04.007 | | | |
| Bizimungu, B., D.R. Lynch, D.G. Holm, L.M. Kawa H. Wolfe, P. McAllister, R. Howard, H.W. Platt and early French fry processing and fresh market uses. Se | chuk, M. Konschuh , C d Q. Chen. 2009. Alta- ubmitted to Amer. J. Po | . Shaupmeyer, J. Wahab, D. Waterer, D. Driedger, Crown – A new russet potato cultivar suitable for otato Res. | | | |
| Bizimungu, B, DR Lynch, LM Kawchuk, Q Cher McAllister, R Howard and HW Platt. 2007. North attractive oval tubers resistant to late blight. Amer. J. | n, MN Konschuh , C nstar: A high-yielding, . Potato Res. 84: 437-44 | Shaupmeyer, J Wahab, D Waterer, H Wolfe, P white cold-storage chipping potato cultivar with 45. | | | |
| JT Calpas, MN Konschuh , CC Toews & JP Tewar greenhouses and field locations in Alberta, based on | ri. 2006. Relationships RAPD analysis. Can. J | among isolates of Botrytis cinerea collected from . Plant Path. 28: 109-124. | | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | | | |

Research Team Signatures and Employers Approval Form

Note: An authorized representative from the Research Team Leader's organization of employment must sign this form. Any NEW Research Team Members must also sign this form and an authorized representative from their organization of employment must also sign this form.

By signing as representatives of the applicant(s)' employing organization, the undersigned hereby verify acceptance of the terms and conditions specified in the Agriculture Research Funding Program Guidelines. They further agree to allow the applicant to devote time to the project and use the facilities of the organization to conduct the proposed research.

The personal information being collected is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. If you have any questions about the collection, contact ACIDF 403-782-8034 e-mail info@acidf.ca

Team Leader's Organization

Please print or type name on the first line and sign in blue ink

| Team Leader | |
|---|--|
| Name: | Title/Organization: |
| Michele Konschuh | Potato Research Scientist / Alberta |
| | Agriculture and Rural Development |
| Signature: | Date: |
| 2-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19- | |

Team Leader (Employer Approval)

| Name: | Title/Organization: |
|-------------|---|
| James Jones | Head, Food and Bio-Industrial Crops |
| | Branch / Alberta Agriculture and Rural |
| | Development |
| Signature: | Date: |
| | |

NEW Research Team Members' Organizations

| 1. Research Team Member's Name: | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Title: | Organization: | |
| Signature: | Date: | |

| Research Team Member's (Employer Approval) | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Name: | Title/Organization: | | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | | |

| 2. Research Team Member's Name: | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Title: | Organization: |
| Signature: | Date: |

| Research Team Member's (Employer Approval) | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Name: | Title/Organization: | | |
| Signature: | Date: | | |

| Year | Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/yea |
|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|---|
| 1 | ACIDF | Cash | 11,500 | 800 | 0 | 6,700 | 0 | 0 | 19,000 |
| 2011 | | Spent | 11,500 | 1,559 | | 8,510 | | | 21,569 |
| /2012 | | Cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gov't | Spent | 42,250 | • | | | | | 42,250 |
| | | In-kind | 42,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,250 |
| | Industry | Cash* | 18,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,640 | 0 | 20,000 |
| | | Spent | 26,322 | ····· | | 2,400 | 1,669 | | 30,391 |
| | | In-kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 |
| Total | Year 1 | • | 72,110 | 800 | 0 | 9,100 | 1,640 | 0 | 83,650 |
| | Spent | | 80,072 | 1,559 | 0 | 10,910 | 1,669 | 0 | 94,210 |
| 2 | ACIDF | Cash | 12,500 | 800 | 0 | 6,700 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 |
| 2012/ | | Spent | 12,500 | 56 | | 7,444 | | | 20,000 |
| 2013 | | Cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gov't | Spent | 1,843 | | | 643 | | | 2,486 |
| | | In-kind | 42,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,250 |
| | Industry | Cash | 21,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 0 | 22,200 |
| | | Spent | 21,300 | | | 781 | 119 | | 22,200 |
| | | In-kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,200 | 0 | 0 | 2,200 |
| Total | l Year 2 | | 76,050 | 800 | 0 | 9,100 | 2,700 | 0 | 86,650 |
| | Spent | | 77,893 | 56 | 0 | 11,068 | 119 | 0 | 89,136 |
| 3 | ACIDF | Cash | 12,500 | 800 | 0 | 6,700 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 |
| 2013/ | | Spent | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | Cash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gov't | Spent | | | | | | | |
| | | In-kind | 42,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cash | 21,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 0 | 24,000 |
| | Industry | Spent | | | | | · ····· | | |
| | | In-kind | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 |
| Total | al Year 3 | | 76,050 | 800 | 0 | 9,100 | 2,700 | 0 | 88,650 |
| 4 | ACIDF | Cash | | | | | | | |
| (enter | 17/15/1 | Spent | | S-1 - | | | | | |
| year) | | Cash | | | | | | | |
| | Gov't | Spent | | | | | | | *************************************** |
| | | In-kind | | | | | | | |
| | Industry | Cash | | | | | - | | |
| | | In-kind | | | | | | | |
| Total | Year 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | 1 | 1 | - | |

I hereby certify that the project costs incurred have been fully paid and the above statement of expenditure is correct.

Project Manager's Signature

Date

Financial Signing Authority

Date

receivership, or enjoys the benefit of any statute relating to bankrupt or insolvent debtors, or makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors;

- 2) an order is made or resolution passed for the winding up of the Applicant,
- 3) the Applicant is dissolved;
- 4) if, in the reasonable opinion of the Funders, the Applicant :
 - a) ceases to operate;
 - b) submits false or misleading information to the Funders;
 - c) does not commence the Activity as set forth in the Application;
 - d) does not actively work on the Activity, or;
 - e) has failed to meet or satisfy any obligation under the terms of this Agreement.
- B. Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in this Agreement the Funders may, without cause, terminate this Agreement upon providing ninety (90) days notice to the Applicant.
- C. If an Event of Default occurs prior to completion or termination of the Activity the Funders may give written notice of such default to the Applicant ("Notice of Default") and will be entitled to suspend any further payments to the Applicant following receipt of the Notice of Default by the Applicant while such Event of Default exists. If the Event of Default has not been remedied to the satisfaction of the Funders within ten (10) days following receipt of the Notice of Default by the Applicant, the Funders may exercise any or all of the following remedies:
 - terminate the further participation of the Funders in the Activity and any obligations of the Funders to make further payments of the Investment to the Applicant, such termination being without prejudice to or limitation of any other right or remedy of the Funders against the Applicant arising as a result of the Event of Default,
 - 2) require the Applicant to forthwith repay to the Funders all or any portion of the Investment monies paid to the Applicant that, in the opinion of the Funders, has not been used for the purpose of carrying out the Activity. Any such monies not so repaid within forty-five (45) days of receipt of notice of such requirement by the Applicant will be subject to an interest charge calculated at the rate of 5% per annum beginning forty-five (45) days from the date of notice until repaid.
 - 3) direct the Applicant, to forthwith provide the Funders with any and all reports as may be required pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.
- D. Upon termination, and provided that the Applicant has fully complied with the provisions of this Agreement, the Funders will pay to the Applicant the monies determined at the sole discretion of the Funders as being payable to the Applicant based on the work completed prior to the date of termination.

Page 8

E. If during the term of this Agreement the Applicant determines that the Activity should not be continued, then the Applicant shall consult with the Funders and may request that this Agreement be terminated. Upon such consultation the Funders may terminate this Agreement either unconditionally or upon such terms and conditions, not inconsistent with this Agreement, as the Funders and the Applicant agree.

11. Intellectual Property Rights

.

- A. Subject to Section 11 A. 1) all Intellectual Property developed by personnel of the Applicant or the personnel of a Collaborating Institution shall be vested in the Applicant or the Collaborating Institution as the case may be unless the intellectual property policies, terms of employment or collective agreement of or binding on that Applicant or Collaborating Institution do not permit such vesting in which event title shall be vested in the Creator. In either event all Intellectual Property will be subject to the following provisions:
 - 1) Where the intellectual property policies, terms of employment or collective agreement of or binding on that Applicant or Collaborating Institution require the vesting of title to the Intellectual Property in the Creator, the Creator shall either assign those rights to the Applicant or Collaborating Institution as the case may be or enter into an agreement with the Applicant or Collaborating Institution as the case may be to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Agreement with respect to such Intellectual Property which assignment or agreement will allow the Applicant to comply with its obligations herein.
 - 2) If the Applicant is, in addition to receiving the Investment from the Funders, receiving funding from industry contributors for the Activity, the Applicant shall not, without the consent of the Funders, grant to any such industry participant:
 - a) any rights in relation to the Intellectual Property that would interfere with the rights of the Funders or the obligations of the Applicant under this Agreement,
 - b) any rights to obtain ownership of or royalties from the Intellectual Property,
 - c) any right to use the Intellectual Property for which the Funders sponsor the cost of statutory protection.

The Applicant may grant an industry contributor a personal right to use Intellectual Property other than that referred to in c) immediately preceding for such purposes as the industry contributor deems fits.

3) The Applicant will use reasonable efforts to ensure, or where the Creator continues to be the owner thereof will cause the Creator to use reasonable efforts to ensure, that any Intellectual Property generated through the

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 9

Activity is used to the benefit of Alberta and Albertans. Efforts to commercialize Intellectual Property should be first directed to appropriate Alberta businesses or organizations with suitable expertise.

4) The Applicant agrees to use substantially all of the revenue generated from the Intellectual Property developed in the course of carrying out the Activity for agriculture and agri-food research and commercialization, where possible benefiting Alberta after deducting, without duplication:

a) royalties, fees, costs and charges to Creators pursuant to contracts, collective agreements or terms of employment binding on the Creators and the Applicant or Collaborating Institution,

b) royalties, fees, costs and charges to Creators pursuant to policies of the Applicant or Collaborating Institution not incorporated into the contracts, collective agreements or terms of employment referred to in a) immediately preceding; provided the same are policies that were formally approved or adopted by the governing board of such entity, are of general application to the Applicant or Collaborating Institution and were in place prior to the date of execution of this Agreement or are amendments to or substitutions for any such existing policy, are of the same general application and were approved or adopted by such governing board following the date of execution of this Agreement in which event the allowed deduction shall not exceed that which would have been allowed pursuant to the initial policy,

c) royalties, fees, costs and charges to other funders or sponsors of the Activity pursuant to contracts or agreements in existence at the date of execution of this Agreement and with respect to which the Applicant provided notice and details of to the Funders prior to the date of execution of this Agreement,

d) direct and reasonable out of pocket costs for protecting, maintaining, defending the Intellectual Property and for granting, performing or enforcing any assignment or licensing of the Intellectual Property,

e) amounts payable pursuant to policies of the Applicant or Collaborating Institution to support the general research or technology transfer activities of the Applicant or Collaborating Institution that are not supported by or reimbursed by any deductions made pursuant to the provisions of any earlier provision of this Section 11 A. 5), provided such policies are of general application to the Applicant or Collaborating Institution and were in place prior to the date of execution of this Agreement or are amendments to or substitutions for any such existing policy, are of the same general application and were approved or adopted following the date of execution of this Agreement in which event the allowed deduction shall not exceed that which would have been allowed pursuant to the initial policy.

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 10

- 5) The Applicant will advise the Funders of all research results through a regular reporting system, no less than twice each year and identify, to the extent possible, any Intellectual Property included in the research results that is capable of being statutorily protected and advise whether the Applicant will at its cost fund the seeking of such statutory protection. If the Applicant is not funding the seeking of such statutory protection, the Funders will have a right for a period of 90 days following receipt of any such report from the Applicant to elect, by notice to the Applicant, to fund the seeking of statutory protection for such Intellectual Property by, and in the name of, the Applicant.
- 6) If the Applicant has not actively pursued commercialization of any Intellectual Property within 3 years from the date that the Intellectual Property is protected by patent, plant breeders' rights, trademark, license or otherwise, the Applicant shall at the request of the Funders grant to the Funders an irrevocable, royalty free, non-exclusive, world wide, perpetual license to sublicense the Intellectual Property with 1/3 of the balance of revenue received by the Funders, after deduction of costs of the Funders in the nature of those referred to in Section 11. A. 4) d), payable to the Applicant. In such circumstances the Applicant and not the Funders shall be responsible for any continuing payments required pursuant to the provisions of Section 11 A. 4) other than d). Subject to the foregoing provisions of this Section such license shall be on terms and conditions as the Funders and the Applicant, each acting in good faith, agree.

12. Publication or Disclosure of Intellectual Property.

- A. The research results of the Activity shall be considered confidential information of the Applicant and each of the Applicant and the Funders shall maintain the same in confidence until disclosure, publication or release is otherwise permitted in accordance with this Agreement.
- B. The Applicant may at the expiration of the 90 day period referred to in Section 11 A. 5) disclose, publish or release research communicated to the Funders pursuant to that Section:
 - 1) that are not capable of statutory protection;
 - 2) that are capable of statutory protection but are identified in the report to the Funders pursuant to Section 11 A. 5) as research results for which the Applicant is not seeking statutory protection, unless the Funders within that 90 day period give notice to the Applicant that the Funders will fund the seeking of statutory protection for such Intellectual Property by, and in the name of, the Applicant. If the Funders give notice as provided in the foregoing sentence the Applicant will delay such disclosure, publication or release of those research results for a further 90 day period.
- C. In the event a graduate student of the Applicant works on the Activity and that student completes a thesis or education report relating to the Activity, the

Version 15 - January 2006

 a^{-2}

Page 11

student will own the copyright in that thesis or report. Notwithstanding anything otherwise contained in this Agreement delay in publication or defence of a thesis or education report will only be permitted in accordance with applicable policies of the Applicant.

13. Confidentiality

A. Subject to legislation applicable to the Applicant, the Applicant agrees not to disclose any confidential information about the affairs of the Funders, their operations, boards and committees, clients, or employees which it acquires in the undertaking of Activity.

14. Capital Items

A. Capital items purchased with the Investment become the property of the Applicant unless otherwise specified in Schedule "A".

15. Acknowledgment and Communications

- A. Contributions from the Funders to the Activity shall be acknowledged by the Applicant on all signs, publications, announcements and press releases of the Applicant dealing with the Activity or any part thereof. Each Funding Agency should be named unless that Funding Agency directs otherwise. Contributions of the Applicant to the Activity shall be acknowledged by the Funders on all signs, publications, announcements and press release of the Funders dealing with the Activity.
- B. Any and all public announcement in relation to the Activity or of any projects, products, or projects funded pursuant to this Agreement, where a ceremony is indicated and appropriate under the circumstances, shall be carried out in accordance with the reasonable requirements of each of the Applicant and the Funders.
- C. The Funders may disseminate and publish research results communicated to it pursuant to Section 11 A. 5) following the earlier of disclosure, publication or release of the same by the Applicant or the expiration of twelve (12) months following completion of the Activity.

16. Applicant Responsibility for Employees

A. Neither the Applicant nor any persons employed by the Applicant or retained as contractors and performing work on the Activity are or shall be considered at any time to be employees of the funding agencies or entitled to any of the rights and benefits of employees of the funding agencies.

Version 15 - January 2006

Page 12

- B. The Applicant shall ensure that appropriate employment withholdings are made for all of its employees according to any and all applicable laws and that the Applicant is in compliance with any and all laws, rules, orders and assessments howsoever arising which relate to its operations.
- C. In no way whatsoever shall any provision of this Agreement be construed or interpreted so as to make the Funders responsible or liable in any way whatsoever for the Applicant, its employees, agents or independent contractors.
- D. The Applicant shall cause its employees, agents, and independent contractors participating in the Activity to observe and be bound by each applicable restriction and obligation imposed upon the Applicant pursuant to this Agreement.

17. Indemnity

2 8

- A. Except as provided in Section 17 B., the Applicant shall be liable for and shall indemnify and save harmless the Funders or any individual Funder from any and all costs, damages, actions, suits claims or other proceedings arising directly or indirectly from any willful act or negligence in the performance or non-performance of the Agreement, or the Activity, by the Applicant, its employees, agents, or its third party contractors.
- B. The Applicant shall not be liable for any direct, consequential or other damage suffered by the Funders or others resulting from the development or use of the Intellectual Property or any invention, technology or product produced in the course of or using the research results. The Applicant does not warrant that the Intellectual Property or any part thereof or any aspect of the same will be capable of receiving statutory protection.

18. Addresses and Notice

- A. Any notices herein provided for or permitted to be given by the Funders to the Applicant or vice versa shall be sufficiently given if mailed, posted prepaid, addressed to, as the case may be,
 - 1) To the Funders' Representative on behalf of the Funders as follows:

Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund Ltd. Agriculture Building 5030-50 St Lacombe AB T4L 1W8 Attention: Project Manager

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 13

Phone: (403) 782-8034 Fax: (403) 782-5514 (e-mail: info@acidf.ca)

- B. To the Applicant:
 - 1) For All Matters:

Dr. M. Konschuh Agriculture and Rural Development Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticulture Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

Phone: (403)362-1314 Fax: (403)362-1306

- C. Funding provided by the Funders shall be made payable to the respective applicant organization at:
 - 1) For AF:

Minister of Finance, Alberta In care of **Agriculture and Rural Development** Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticulture Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

- D. Any notice which is delivered shall be deemed to have been received on the date of delivery. Any notice which is mailed shall be deemed to have been received seventy-two (72) hours after the date it is posted.
- E. If normal mail service is interrupted by strike, slow down, force majeure or any other cause after the notice has been sent, the notice sent by such impaired means of communication will not be deemed to be received until it has actually been received.
- F. The party sending a notice shall at all times attempt to utilize another service which has not been impaired or should personally deliver the notice in order to ensure prompt receipt thereof.
- G. Any of the parties to this Agreement may change its address for the purposes of this Agreement by providing written notice of a new address to the other party.

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 14

19. Successors and Assigns

- A. This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of the parties, their successors and approved assigns. The Applicant shall not assign or transfer all or any part of this Agreement without the express written consent of the Funders, which may not be unreasonably and arbitrarily withheld.
- B. As described in their respective organizational structures, all rights, assets and monies owed to individual funding agencies transfer to that agency's surviving institution or institutions on dissolution of that agency.

20. Complete Agreement

- A. This Agreement and attached schedules constitute the complete Agreement between the parties hereto. The provisions of this Agreement are not subject to or affected by the provisions of any other Agreement, representation, warranty, term, condition precedent, be they express or implied, not set out in this Agreement.
- B. The Applicant shall in its conduct of the Activity fully conform to and comply with the published Program Guidelines of the Funders pursuant to which the Application for Investment was submitted to, and approved by, the Funders, to the extent the same are consistent with, the terms of this Agreement.

21. Amendments

A. This Agreement may be amended by the parties by mutual consent. Any amendment to this Agreement must be in writing signed by all parties before that amendment is deemed to take effect.

22. Survival

- A. The parties acknowledge that the provisions of this Agreement shall survive payment of the Investment and shall not be merged therein or therewith.
- B. The covenants, indemnity, representations and warranties made by any party in or pursuant to this Agreement shall survive payment of the Investment, termination of this Agreement, or completion of the Activity, as the case may be, and shall continue in full force and effect, until satisfied by the terms of this Agreement.

23. General Provisions and Interpretation

Version 15 - January 2006

Page 15

- A. This Agreement shall be interpreted and governed by the laws in force in the Province of Alberta or which may be enacted or amended from time to time.
- B. In this Agreement the singular includes the plural and one gender includes all genders and neuter and vice versa unless the context otherwise requires.
- C. The headings in this Agreement are only for convenience of reference and do not form part of or affect the interpretation of this Agreement.
- D. Time shall always be considered of the essence in this Agreement.
- E. The Applicant agrees that any legal proceedings which exist or which may exist in the future as against the Funders shall be filed, brought forward, and carried on before the courts of the Province of Alberta.
- F. No member of the House of Commons or any Provincial Legislature shall be admitted to participate in any share or part of this Agreement or any benefit arising there from. No former public office holder who is not in compliance with the post employment provisions of the Conflict of Interest and Post Employee Cost for Public Office Holders shall derive a benefit from this Agreement.
- G. The Applicant shall ensure that the intent of any applicable federal and provincial environmental assessment and review procedures are followed in relation to the Activity.
- H. The provisions of this Agreement relating to information shall be subject to the Canada Access to Information Act and Privacy Act and the Alberta Freedom of Information Protection of Privacy Act.
- I. If any term, covenant or condition of this Agreement or the application thereof to any party or circumstance shall be invalid or unenforceable to any extent the remainder of this Agreement or application of such term, covenant or condition to a party or circumstance other than those to which it was held invalid or unenforceable shall not be affected thereby and each remaining term, covenant or condition of this Agreement shall be valid and shall be enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.
- J. No remedy conferred upon a party pursuant to this Agreement is intended to be exclusive of any other remedy available to it. Each remedy shall be cumulative and shall be in addition to every other remedy now or hereafter existing by law, in equity, or by statute.
- K. No consent or waiver expressed or implied by a party to or of any breach or default by any other party in the performance of its obligations pursuant to this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver to or of any other breach or default in the performance of the obligations of the Applicant. Failure on the part of a party to complain of any act or failure to act of another party or to declare that other party in default, irrespective of how long such failure continues, shall not constitute a waiver of rights under this Agreement.

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 16

24. **Acceptance of Agreement**

It is hereby acknowledged by the parties to this Agreement that they have reviewed this Agreement in its entirety and all terms and conditions contained herein are fully understood and acceptable to them.

The parties have therefore executed this Agreement, each by its duly authorized representative, on the respective dates shown below.

Date: 06/06/2011 ALBERTA CROP INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT FUND LTD. Name of authorized officer Office Held

Date: Mar 24/11

POTATO GROWERS OF ALBERTA

ON Name of authorized officer

EXEC. DIALCT Office Held

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Name of authorized officer y + funa Devlorment Office Held

I have read this Agreement and agree to comply with all obligations and requirements as outlined in this Agreement.

Dr. M. Konschuh, Principle Investigator

Version 15 - January 2006

Page 17

Project Name: Potato Variety Development in Alberta



File No.: 2011F047R

SCHEDULE "A" - PAYMENT SCHEDULE & TERMS

- A. Words in this Schedule shall have the same definitions as provided in the agreement to which this Schedule is attached as Schedule "A" (the "Agreement").
- B. The Activity shall begin on April 1, 2011 and end on November 31, 2013.
- C. Subject to the Agreement, the maximum possible amount granted for the Activity will be \$ 74,000.00 divided between the funding agencies:

| 1) | ACIDF | Total: | \$59,000 | Year 1 (2011): \$ 19,000 Year 2 (2012): \$ 20,000 Year 3 (2013): \$ 10,000 Holdback: \$ 10,000 |
|----|-------|--------|----------|---|
| 2) | PGA | Total: | \$15,000 | Year 1 (2011): \$ 5,000 Year 2 (2012): \$ 5,000 Year 3 (2013): \$ 5,000 |

D. Payable to the Applicant in the following installments:

- 1) \$24,000.00 upon execution of agreement.
- 2) Interim payments following interim reports and updated budgets as accepted by the Funders on or before the following dates:

April 15, 2012: \$25,000 April 15, 2013: \$15,000

 A Holdback of \$ 10,000.00 will be paid to ARD upon accepted receipt of Final Performance Report and detailed final budget due fifty (50) days before the end date of the Activity, or January 20 2014.

4) Unused funds from the investment or grant made under this Agreement, including any deductions for overhead or expenses based on the unused portion, must be returned to the Funders within ninety (90) days of the submission of the final report for the Activity.

- E. Initial and Interim Reports and Payments:
 - 1) The initial installment as described herein will be made in accordance with the terms, conditions, and requirements of the Agreement.
 - 2) The subsequent installments will be dependent on:

Version 15 - January 2006

Page 18

- a) The Applicant's request accompanied by the Activity report or milestone;
- b) Receipt of an accounting, prepared and certified to be accurate by the Applicant's accountant or other senior executive officer, of revenue and in kind contributions and expenditures (including Investment share) for the previous Investment installment, if any;
- c) Compliance with this Schedule and the Agreement.
- F. Reporting Requirements
 - 1) Final Report

A final report is required for each terminated or completed research project. The final report must be submitted a minimum of six weeks prior to the project end date indicated in Schedule A (part B) above. If the final report cannot be submitted six weeks prior to the end date, an end date extension must be requested from the Funders. The request letter must state the reason(s) for the delay. The final report for the Activity must encompass the following:

- a) an abstract of not more than 500 words, written in plain language and outlining the major findings, conclusions and benefits of the Activity.
- b) shall be in presentation format and supplied in both electronic and printed form. The printed copies shall be bound and presented in a method appropriate to the project and agreed to by the Funders in advance. The Applicant will supply a minimum of two (2) finished copies for each Funding Agency.
- c) shall detail the following:
 - Scientific/technical text which outlines the project's background and expected results; describes the research design, and analytical methods and materials; presents the short term, intermediate and long term results and benefits; draws conclusions and describes the implications for Alberta's agriculture and food industry and/or the advancement of the agricultural sciences,
 - targets achieved compared to those contemplated,
 - all the "Resources" used in the Activity, including a listing of all expenditures of the Investment certified by the Applicant's accountant or other senior executive officer, and a list of non-Investment fund sources, including non-cash in-kind contributions, and their use in the Activity. The financial report will be separate from the project technical report.
 - a full reporting of all scientific and agribusiness industry publications, conferences and symposia, and an outline of the proposed research program anticipated to be undertaken in the subsequent year.
 - the acknowledgment of the contribution of each of the Funders in publications and communication activities.

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 19

 a brief, non-technical final report may also be requested for designated projects. This report should outline specific applications of the research results for producers and/or extension personnel.

2. Interim Reports

An interim report shall occur mid-point between the initial and final payment or at each anniversary date for multi-year Activities, and will provide the Funders with a summary of the information dissemination activities conducted during the previous period, as well as an abbreviated progress report of work conducted since the latest annual review.

The interim reports will also provide the Funders with all press releases related to the project, and any changes to the Activity, which may be deemed material in nature.

3. Promotional Article

Upon completion of the project, the Applicant agrees to provide Funders with a brief article suitable for publication on the project, its impact and its value to Alberta's industry. The article becomes the property of the Funders for promotion of their respective agencies, publication in their newsletters and releases to public media. Project Name: Potato Variety Development in Alberta



File No.: 2011F047R

SCHEDULE "B" – FULL APPLICATION AND REVISED BUDGET AS APPROVED

Inserted as PDF document.

Version 15 – January 2006

Page 21
| Proposal Number | 2011F047R | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Name | Potato Variety Development in Alberta | |
| Abstract | The proposed research project will attempt to provide a transition strategy from the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Comnsortium approach to a braoder, more inclusive approach to benefit the greatest number of stakehodlers. Replicated cultivar evaluation trials will be conducted at two research stations each year to provide local data on potential potato varieties in the breeding pipeline. A field day will be planned at each location to allow industry stakeholders an opportunity to see first hand how the varieties compare to standard varieties in each class. A plant density and a nitrogen fertility component will be included for additional fees if there is sufficient interest from participants. The planting density component of the project will involve spacing plantlets at different in-row distances to determine whether the variety responds favorably to adjustments in plant density. The fertility component of the project will involve growing the varieties on 2 levels of nitrogen fertility to determine whether nitrogen rates can be reduced for newer cultivars. Field days will be hosted to allow participants and other industry stakeholders the opportunity to observe potato varieties first-hand. The project capitalizes on an economy of scale in evaluating a wide range of cultivars with potential for production in Alberta. Data relevant to trial sponsors and collaborators will be collected each year and shared with all sponsors. | |
| Keywords | potato varieties, new releases, accelerated release, potato agronomy | |
| Team Leader Name: | Michele Konschuh | |
| Team Leader Organization: | Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development | |
| Project Duration (Yrs) | 3 | |
| Project Start Date | 04/01/2011 | |
| Project End Date | 11/30/2013 | |
| Stand-Alone Project | Yes | |
| Background | Breeding for new potato varieties was identified in industry-wide priority setting meetings in 2003 and 2004 by the potato inustry. For about 40 years now, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada managed a potato breeding program in Western Canada focused on breeding and selecting varieties that would perform well under our environmental conditions. The potato breeding programs in Canada were consolidated into a National program in 2004 and there is now one National Potato Breeder. By necessity, less emphasis is directed at varieties best suited for Western Canada. The nature of potato breeding and selection has also shifted. Industry participants are exploring varieties for different end-uses, such as gourmet and functional food uses. Varieties from breeding programs in Europe and the United States are often being assessed. | |
| | Alberta Agriculture facilitated the regional evaluiation process by conducting regional trials, disease resistance trials, agronomic trials, culinary and storage trials with promising new varieties. This system was unique to Western Canada and served established industry stakeholders well. Over the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked individually with stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. The growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders. Also, there is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the | |

* _n

1

| · · · · | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
|) | environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers. Industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. The purpose of the proposed research project is to pool resources and evaluate potential varieties, from a |
| | range of sources, using a cooperative approach. Including agronomy in the evaluations allows us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they weigh options of producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD is well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting and mitigate risks to individual stakeholders. This proposal does not replace the Consortium as it is focused on Alberta. In addition, we intend to evaluate any material, regardless of the breeding origin, that might be well suited to our climate and end-uses. Support has been requested from many stakeholders, and we anticipate some flux in support between members depending on the year of the study. |
| | |
| Objectives and Deliverables | Objectives: A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), gournet and other markets; B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions; C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and D. To develop agronomic information on plant density and nitrogen requirements to support potato grower interested in producing new varieties. |
| | E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model to take the industry beyond the current project. |
| | Deliverables |
| | Each stakeholder will receive information about varieties of interest relative to standard varieties in each class. Information generated will failicitate decision making, and stakeholders will need to pursue commercialization opportunties independent of the trial. Typically, we provide emergence, stand, vigour, and maturity data as well as yield by size category, specific gravity, defects and deformities, and culinary evaluations. For additional fees, stakeholders can enter varieties into agronomic trials looking at three plant density levels and a reduced level of nitrogen. Local production data will support adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry. |
| | A field day will be hosted each year at both locations to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to rain-fed and irrigated growing conditions. There is no substitution for first hand observation of potato varieties in the field. |
| | As part of the proposed trial, we will work with stakeholders to develop a variety development mechanism for Alberta that takes us beyond the current project approach. The project is, in essence, a transition strategy to move the industry from a federal breeding program approach to a broader, more inclusive evaluation system. |
| Project Design and Methodology | Variety evaluation trials will be set up at the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in Edmonton and the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) in Brooks to provide data from rain-fed and irrigated production systems respectively. Standard varieties will be included to represent early French fry, full- season French fry, early chipper, full-season chipper, fresh market red, fresh market white and fresh market yellow classes. Two harvest dates are proposed, one in early to mid-August and one in mid September. Sufficient potatoes will be planted to provide replicated data as well as a demonstration for a field day at both locations. |
| | Material for these trials will be provided by stakeholders either through the Accelerated Release Program or by sourcing varieties from European, U.S. or other breeding programs. All import requirements will be |

the responsibility of the stakeholder requesting evaluation.

At CDCS, we will set up a nitrogen response trial with standard and reduced levels of nitrogen fertility. Stakeholders will indicate whether or not they require fertility information and must provide sufficient seed and funds to include these evaluations.

At CDCS, we also plan to evaluate the response of potato varieties to plant density changes. Potatoes will be planted at three in-row spacings (20, 25 and 30 cm proposed) to determine the response. Stakeholders must provide sufficient seed and funds to include these evaluations.

Variety trials will be set up as randomized complete blocks for each harvest date. Guard rows will be planted to minimize edge effects. Four replicate rows (6m) will be harvested and an additional row will be planted to allow for in-season sampling and demonstration.

The Agronomic trials will be set up as split plot designs. For the nitrogen response trials, nitrogen level will be the main plots and varieties will be the sub plots. For in-row spacing evaluations, each block of potatoes will be planted at the same density, but varieties will be randomized within each block and blocks of plant density will be randomized within the trial.

Data collected may include emergence data, stand count, time to flowering, yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples will be retained for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing at the stakeholders expense.

Proposed Varieties to evaluate and rationale:

• AAFC Accelerated release material - various rationales

• Alpine Russet – Excellent cold sweetening resistance producing reduced acrylamide levels, very long storage capability, high % No 1s, lower N requirement

• Yukon Gem – Superior disease resistance, higher yields than it's direct competitor Yukon Gold, increased scab resistance, good organic choice, excellent chip processing qualities

• Highland Russet – Accepted by McDonalds for breakfast patty, superior culinary qualities for fresh and processing

• A0008-1TE – Early dual purpose with high yields and % No 1s

 Classic Russet – Possible replacement for Norkotahs, early yields, high No 1s, culinary qualities both fresh and processing

• And Others....

Annual Work Plan: April/May: Seed prep Planting

May/June: Hilling Irrigation pipe Emergence data

July: Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required In-season data collection (time to flowering, vigor)

August: Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required Maturity data Field Day at CDCN Harvest of early-season varieties Field Day at CDCS

| 2 | |
|--|--|
| | September: Desiccation of full-season varieties |
| | Harvest full-season varieties |
| | October: Grading |
| | Post-harvest evaluations (culinary) |
| | |
| | November: Data analyses, report writing |
| | Stakeholder meeting |
| Contributions to Alberta's Agriculture and | Practical knowledge about new potato varieties will be the main contribution of this work. What differentiates this work is the cooperative approach proposed to maximize information to industry with limited resources. |
| Agri-r ood Knowledge | The project is not expected to generate any specific intellectual property through the work. The plant material will have come from various existing breeding programs and plant breeder's rights will have already been applied for by the owner. |
| | While potato producers have a vested interest in producing new varieties of potato, the entire value chain is often involved in the decision to adopt a new variety. A cooperative approach ensures that considerations of many stakeholders are taken into account as varieties are evaluated. The decision to commercialize a variety, though, may be undertaken by a small segment of the industry. |
| | We will be providing information critical to the decision making process for the potato industry to remain competitive. Our team involves people with expertise in the areas of plant breeding, variety development, marketing, agronomy and food science. This collaborative approach brings broad expertise to the project and allows the stakeholders to benefit more widely than from an independent evaluation of the varieties. |
| | The novel, unique or innovative aspect of the project is that we will attempt to engage competitors in a cooperative project to benefit the greatest number of stakeholders in the potato industry. The project utilizes the limited government resources available and leverages that for the greatest good. Potato varieties from other programs will be evaluated to see their suitability and usefulness to the Canadian market. This information is currently lacking due to the recent lack of a western regional research and breeding program. |
| Benefits to Alberta's Agriculture and Agri-Food Industry | With increasing pressure to enhance competitiveness, potato growers, packers and processors require varieties that produce good yield, and more importantly, lower costs of production. Replacement varieties with improved nutrient use efficiency, improved disease resistance, fewer defects, better storage potential or other desirable traits would reduce the cost of production and these efficiencies will ensure that our potato industry remains competitive. |
| | A number of clients have expressed interest in evaluating new varieties to see whether or not they are well- adapted to our growing region. Information on how varieties perform relative to standard varieties is important for decision making as well as for marketing new varieties. In fact, this type of information was a fundamental part of the former Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. |
| | The key benefit of this project is that individual stakeholders in the potato industry will realize an economy of scale when evaluating potato varieties and basic agronomy information in a cooperative way. In the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff have worked with many potato industry stakeholders independently to assess varieties relative to standard varieties. Each trial involves standards from each class of potatoes and guard rows. Often the results were made available to specific customers, but access by others was limited. Independent evaluations represent a financial burden for seed growers, small companies or large companies producing potatoes in a unique environment. The current approach will provide information to many stakeholders in the value chain and should move the industry forward on a more united front. |

The project is seen as a transition strategy from the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program to a broader, more inclusive model for evaluating and ultimately introducing new varieties. If stakeholders perceive value in the new approach, we anticipate that they will be prepared to provide ongoing funding in support of this approach in the future. The industry will have access to this information in many ways. To start with growers and industry Knowledge members will be invited to see the evaluation plots and compare on the ground the unique performances of **Transfer** Plan each variety in the field under local conditions. Data will be collected analysed and presented in several formats to industry including posters, papers and talks. Information will be available on the internet (PVMI website and PGA website) for easy access for growers. Implementation will be optimized during the three years of the project by direct feedback from industry and may be measured by the increase in uptake of local superior varieties. Dr. Michele Konschuh is a Research Scientist with ARD at CDC South, Brooks. She has extensive **Project Team** experience in potato agronomy and recently assumed responsibility for managing Alberta's seed potato Qualifications repository at CDC North, Edmonton. She works closely with all facets of the potato industry and liaises with her counterparts across in Canada in activities related to research and extension support for the potato industry. Michele has over 10 years of experience with the potato industry and has been involved in the evaluation of varieties for various segments of the industry. She will lead the project and provide agronomy advice, spearhead external fund-raising activities, assist with field trials, and participate in technology transfer activities with the potato industry aimed at encouraging the adoption of potato varieties with improved production profiles. Dr. Benoit Bizimungu recently assumed the leadership of the National Potato Breeding and Genetic Enhancement program with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, following the consolidation of eastern and western potato breeding projects. He is also leading a team of scientists working on the development of a low glycemic index and high fibre potato, as part of the Biopotato (ABIP) network. Benoit is very familiar with the needs of the Alberta potato industry from his former role as lead of the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. Dr. Jeanne Debons is the Executive Director of the Potato Variety Management Institute (PVMI) in Oregon. PVMI has succeeded in bridging the gap between potato breeding programs and industry adoption in the Pacific Northwest by providing a mechanism to manage and release varieties and to channel royalties collected into developing agronomic information and marketing materials. Jeanne's interpersonal skills and calm competence have played a large part in the success of PVMI. Jeanne has relevant expertise in addressing the gap between superior breeding material and industry adoption and she is willing to lend this expertise to Alberta on this project. Deb Hart is the Seed-Coordinator for the Potato Growers of Alberta. Deb worked with the Saskatchewan Seed Potato Growers Association prior to that. Deb is well respected in the seed potato industry in western Canada and is aware of the limitations of our current approach to variety development. Deb has excellent interpersonal skills and will help to plan and coordinate the field days to allow industry to experience the potato varieties first-hand.

Dr. Darcy Driedger is a Food Scientist with Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development. Darcy has been involved with the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program for approximately 10 years. Darcy is familiar with the parameters used by the potato industry to evaluate new varieties and has project experience with many of the industry stakeholders. Darcy and his staff have the expertise to evaluate potato varieties for specific end-uses and will provide meaningful information for stakeholders.

Ability to Complete Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development has the land base, plot scale equipment, grading and storage facilities required to conduct this work at both the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) and the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS). There is adequate technical support to oversee all aspects of the work described provided that sufficient seasonal labour is available.

CDCS is an irrigated crop research station. Using this location will allow us to manage the trials and obtain meaningful data on the response of potato varieties to plant density and nitrogen levels. CDCN is a rain fed station situated near the main seed potato production area of the province. Providing information on the performance of varieties under conditions at CDCN gives seed growers and some fresh market growers valuable information for their operations. Both centres have facilities suited to hosting industry field days and demonstrations.

The Food Science lab at CDCS is well equipped to conduct boil and bake analyses for fresh market varieties and they are set up for French fry and chip evaluation as well. The lab has equipment necessary for dicing, drying and extracting components from the potato tissue as well.

Budget Commentary Variety Development was identified as a research priority by the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA). Specifically, they identified a need for a database of variety production profiles and a breeding program that recognizes and addresses differences between production areas. A key element to the success of a project like this is having access to varieties for evaluation. We have the cooperation of the variety providers for our trial and we would not be able to proceed without that.

The contributions identified by the PGA and other industry stakeholders are unconfirmed contributions. Industry contributions are anticipated to take the form of a per-variety charge for evaluation and fertility and spacing trials and in-kind contributions of seed potatoes. Potential contributors include Lamb Weston, McCain Foods, Maple Leaf Potatoes, Old Dutch, Little Potato Company, Edmonton Potato Growers, Parkland Seed Potatoes, Rockyview Elite Tubers and others. The full proposal will be submitted to the PGA Research Committee concurrently to confirm their contribution and it will be circulated among other stakeholders to attract and confirm additional funding.

Letters of support have been requested and will be provided directly to ACIDF.

Anticipated Year 1

Budget By Year

| Туре | Personnel | Travel | Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
|-------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Cash | \$11,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$19,000.00 |
| Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Cash | \$21,360.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,640.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| Total: | \$75,110.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,640.00 | \$0.00 | \$87,650.00 |
| | Cash Cash In- Kind Cash In- Kind Total: | Cash \$11,500.00 Cash \$0.00 In- \$42,250.00 Kind \$21,360.00 In- \$0.00 Total: \$75,110.00 | Cash \$11,500.00 \$800.00 Cash \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$42,250.00 \$0.00 Cash \$21,360.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 Display="block">Total: \$75,110.00 \$800.00 | Cash \$11,500.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 Cash \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Cash \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$42,250.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Cash \$21,360.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total: \$75,110.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 | Cash \$11,500.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 \$6,700.00 Cash \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$42,250.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Cash \$21,360.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total: \$75,110.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 \$9,100.00 | Assets Cash \$11,500.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 \$6,700.00 \$0.00 Cash \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 In- Kind \$42,250.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Cash \$21,360.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$2,640.00 In- Kind \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$2,640.00 In- Kind \$75,110.00 \$800.00 \$0.00 \$9,100.00 \$2,640.00 | Assets Image: Second secon |

*Communication, Dissemination, and Linkage

Year 2

| Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Funding Consortium | Cash | \$12,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| Gov't | Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Govit | In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Industry | Cash | \$21,300.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| Industry | In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| | Total: | \$76,050.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$88,650.00 |
| *Communicati | on. Dissen | nination, and l | Linkage | | | | | |

Year 3

| Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Funding Consortium | Cash | \$12,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| Gov't | Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Gov't | In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Industry | Cash | \$21,300.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| Industry | In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| | Total: | \$76,050.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$88,650.00 |
| *Communicati | on, Dissen | nination, and I | Linkage | | | | | |

 Personnel
 Travel
 Capital Assets
 Supplies
 CDL*
 Overhead
 Grand Total

 \$227,210.00
 \$2,400.00
 \$0.00
 \$27,300.00
 \$8,040.00
 \$0.00
 \$264,950.00

| | *Communication, D | issemination | , and Linkage | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
| LOI Funding | Funding Consortium | Gov't Cash | Gov't In-kind | Industry Cash | Industry In-kind | Total Project Cost |
| Request | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$127,500.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$255,000.00 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Total Amount | Year | | Am | Requested | l From | FC | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Requested from Members of the | Year 1 | | \$19 | ,000.00 | | | | | |
| FC | Year 2 | | | \$20,000.00 | | | | | |
| | Year 3 | | | ,000.00 | | | | | |
| | Year 4 | | \$0.0 |)0 | | | | | |
| | Year 5 | | \$0.0 | 00 | | | | | |
| | Total Amount Requested I | From FC: | \$59 | .000.00 | | | | | |
| Funding | Source | Amount | | Percentage | e of To | tal | | | |
| Contribution and | Funding Consortium Cash | \$59.000 | .00 | 22.27% | | | | | |
| Sources | Gov't Cash | \$0.00 | | 0% | | | | | |
| | Gov't In-Kind | \$126.75 | 0.00 | 47.84% | | | | | |
| | Industry Cash | \$72,000 | .00 | 27.17% | | | | | |
| | Industry In-Kind | \$7,200.0 | 00 | 2.72% | | | | | |
| | Total Project Cost: | \$264,95 | 0.00 | 100% | | | | | |
| C | | | | | | / | | | |
| Government Sources | Name | | | Amount Cash | | Amount In-Kind | | Confirmed | |
| | Alberta Agriculture and Rural Develo | | | opment \$0.00 | | \$90,750.00 | | Yes | |
| | Agriculture and Agri-Food | \$0.00 | | \$36,000.00 | | Yes | | | |
| Industry Sources | Name | | An | nount Cash | Amou | nt In-Kind | Confi | med | |
| | Potato Variety Management | nt Institute | e \$3. | ,000.00 | \$ 450. | 00 | No | | |
| | McCain Foods Canada | | \$6 | 00.00 | \$750. | 00 | No | | |
| | Rockyview Seed Potatoes | | \$6 | ,000.00 | \$750. | 00 | No | | |
| | Potato Growers of Alberta | 1 | \$1 | 5,000.00 | \$0.00 | | No | | |
| | Little Potato Company | | \$6 | ,000.00 | \$ 750. | 00 | No | | |
| | Parkland Seed Potatoes | | \$6 | ,000.00 | \$750. | 00 | No | | |
| | Edmonton Potato Grower | S | \$3. | 00.00 | \$450. | 00 | No | | |
| | Agristar Inc. | | \$3. | 00.00 | \$450. | 00 | No | | |
| | Lamb Weston / ConAgra | | \$6 | .000.00 | \$750. | 00 | No | | |
| | Old Dutch | | | \$6,000.00 \$75 | | 00 | No | | |
| | Alberta Seed Potatoes Inc. | | | \$3,000.00 \$4 | | \$450.00 | | | |
| | Solanum International | | | \$3,000.00 \$4 | | \$450.00 | | No | |
| | Maple Leaf Potatoes | | \$6 | 00.00 | \$750. | 00 | Yes | | |
| Approvals and | Approval/Permit | | | Status | | | | | |
| Permits | Animal Care Approval | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Canadian Environmental A | t Ac | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Other | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Human Ethics Approval | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Transgenic Crop Permit | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alberta Environment Act | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| gested | Name | Susan Smith | 10011110000 |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| Reviewers | Position | Industry Specialist - Field Ve | getables and |
| | Institution | B.C. Ministry of Agriculture a | und Lands |
| | Address | | na hanna ana'na hana da an |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 604-556-3087 | |
| | Fax Number | | |
| | Email Address | Susan.L.Smith@gov.bc.ca | |
| | Name | Eugenia Banks | |
| | Position | Potato Specialist | |
| | Institution | OMAFRA | |
| | Address | | |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 519-826-3678 | |
| | Fax Number | | |
| | Email Address | eugenia.banks@ontario.ca | |
| | Name | Doug Waterer | |
| | Position | Associate Professor | |
| | Institution | University of Saskatechewan | |
| | Address | | |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 306-966-5860 | |
| | Fax Number | 306-966-5015 | |
| | Email Address | doug.waterer@usask.ca | |
| Project Team Leader | Dr. Michele Kor CDCS Alberta A 301 Horticultura Alberta T1R 1E 403-362-1314 michele.konschu | ischuh griculture and Rural Developi 1 Station Road East Brooks 5 403-362-1306 h@gov.ab.ca | nent Potato Research S |
| Project Team Members | Darcy Driedger | Agriculture and Rural Development | |
| | Deb Hart | Potato Growers of Alberta | |
| | Jeanne Debons | Potato Variety Management | |
| | | Institute | |

C

and Agri-Food Canada

Detailed Info:

Dr. Darcy Driedger Food Processing Development Centre Agriculture and Rural Development Program Manager, Food Science and Technology 301 Horticultural Station Road E Brooks AB T1R 1E6 403-362-1339 403-362-1326 darcy.driedger@gov.ab.ca Degrees Certificates/Diplomas: Ph.D. (Food Science and Technology), University of Alberta, 2000 M.Sc. (Foods and Nutrition), University of Manitoba, 1990 B.S.A. (Food Science), University of Manitoba, 1987 Publications and Patents:

Thomas, J.E., Bandura, M. Lee, E.L., Driedger, D. and Acharya, S. 2010. Biochemical monitoring in fenugreek to develop functional food and medicinal plant variants. New Biotechnol. (in press)

Iablokov, V., Sydora, B.C., Foshaug, R., Meddings, J., Driedger, D., Churchill, T. and Fedorak, R.N. 2010. Naturally occurring glycoalkaloids in potatoes aggravate intestinal inflammation in two mouse models of inflammatory bowel disease. Dig. Dis. Sci. 55:3078-3085.

Meng, X., Threinen, D., Hansen, M. and Driedger, D. 2010 Effects of extrusion conditions on system parameters and physical properties of a chickpea flour-based snack. Food Res. Int. 43:650-658.

Bandara, M., Lee, E.L., Driedger, D., Acharya, S. and Thomas, J.E. 2009. Genotype x environment effect on galactomannan content and seed yield of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Acta Hort. (submitted)

Bandara, M., Savidov, N. and Driedger, D. 2009. The impact of selected abiotic stresses on glucoraphanin content in field pepperweed (Lepidium campestre L.). Acta Hort. (ISHS) 841:323-327.

Bandara, M., Savidov, N. and Driedger, D. 2008. Evaluation of field pepperweed (Lepidium campestre L.) as a source for glucoraphanin production. Acta Hort. 765:165-172. Bandara, M., Scharff, F. and Driedger, D. 2008. Root yield and inulin quality of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) produced in southern Alberta. International Crop Science Congress, April 2008, Jeju. Korea.

Bizimungu, B, Lynch, D.R., Holm, D.G., Kawchuk, L.M., Konschuh, M., Wahab, J., Waterer, D., Driedger, D., McAllister, P., Howard, R. and Platt, H.W.2008. Other Evidence of Productivity:

Manage the Food Science and Technology program at the Crop Diversification Centre South. Product and technology development projects with industry clients result in about 6 new products on the market annually. Sales resulting from these projects exceed \$1 M.

2004-2010, Lead the establishment of an extrusion research facility at CDCS Brooks with permanent scientific and technical staff.

Deb Hart Potato Growers of Alberta Seed Coordinator 17507 Fort Road NW Edmonton AB T5Y 6H3 780-418-5-2305 780-422-6096 deb.hart@albertapotatoes.ca

| | Dr. Jeanne Debons |
|------------------|---|
| | Potato Variety Management Institute Executive Director |
| | 60380 Chickasaw Way Bend |
| | OK 97/02-9724 |
| | 341-318-1483 341-318-7301 |
| | Jeamens Centificates/Diplomoc: |
| | Ph D 1986 Botany and Plant Pathology Oregon State University |
| | These root bound and rank ranking of egon blace on versity |
| | M.Sc. 1980 Forest Pathology State University of New York |
| | B.Sc. 1979 Forest Biology State University of New York |
| | Publications and Patents: |
| | Presentations at regional meetings. including PGA annual meeting, on the role of PVMI in the future of the |
| | potato industry in the US and Canada. |
| | Other Evidence of Productivity: |
| | Executive Director of PVMI, Potato VAriety ManagementInstitute since creation in 2006. Was granted |
| | \$250 USDA Samil Business Development Grants to initiate and then further develop PVMI. Achieved a |
| | USDA ARS CREES Grant in 2008 to create an online system for MTA management and tracking. |
| | PVMI has collected enough maney via licenses and royalty collection that \$100K has been sent to |
| | research & breeding programs. Waiting on another \$100-150K to be sent at the end of this year. |
| | Fully updated and active website www.pvmi.org |
| | Helped organize the Potato Association of America meetings in Corvallis, OR in Augusut 2010. |
| | Dr. Benoit Bizimungu |
| | Potato Research Centre Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada National Potato Breeder and Gene |
| | Resources Curator |
| | 850 Lincoln Road, P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton |
| | NB E3B 4Z7 |
| | 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 |
| | benoit.bizimungu@agr.gc.ca |
| | Degrees Cernicates/Diplomas: |
| | Ph.D. 1995 Plant Breeding & Genetics University of Laval |
| | M.Sc. 1987 Plant Breeding & Genetics University of Laval |
| | B.Sc. 1984 General Agriculture National University of Rawanda |
| | Publications and Patents: |
| | 10 refereed publications, 7 patents (plant breeders rights), 22 proceedings, technical reports, etc. in the |
| | last 5 years |
| | Other Evidence of Productivity: |
| | released 6 potato varieties, currently hold 7 grants. 3 grants completed |
| Attached File(s) | 785 CV.doc |
| | Michele Konschuh CV.doc |
| a | |
| comments | No comments to load for this proposal. |
| | |



Project Name: Potato Variety Development in Alberta File No.: 2011F047R

SCHEDULE "C" – COLLABORATING INSTITUTIONS

Potato Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Variety Management Institute

Page 22

| | Proposal Number | 2011F047R |
|---|------------------------------|---|
|) | Name | Potato Variety Development in Alberta |
| | Abstract | The proposed research project will attempt to provide a transition strategy from the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Comnsortium approach to a braoder, more inclusive approach to benefit the greatest number of stakehodlers. Replicated cultivar evaluation trials will be conducted at two research stations each year to provide local data on potential potato varieties in the breeding pipeline. A field day will be planned at each location to allow industry stakeholders an opportunity to see first hand how the varieties compare to standard varieties in each class. A plant density and a nitrogen fertility component will be included for additional fees if there is sufficient interest from participants. The planting density component of the project will involve spacing plantlets at different in-row distances to determine whether the variety responds favorably to adjustments in plant density. The fertility component of the project will involve growing the varieties on 2 levels of nitrogen fertility to determine whether nitrogen rates can be reduced for newer cultivars. Field days will be hosted to allow participants and other industry stakeholders the opportunity to observe potato varieties first-hand. The project capitalizes on an economy of scale in evaluating a wide range of cultivars with potential for production in Alberta. Data relevant to trial sponsors and collaborators will be collected each year and shared with all sponsors. |
| | Keywords | potato varieties, new releases, accelerated release, potato agronomy |
| | Team Leader Name: | Michele Konschuh |
| | Team Leader Organization: | Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development |
|) | Project Duration (Yrs) | 3 |
| | Project Start Date | 04/01/2011 |
| | Project End Date | 11/30/2013 |
| | Stand-Alone Project | Yes |
| | Background | Breeding for new potato varieties was identified in industry-wide priority setting meetings in 2003 and 2004 by the potato inustry. For about 40 years now, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada managed a potato breeding program in Western Canada focused on breeding and selecting varieties that would perform well under our environmental conditions. The potato breeding programs in Canada were consolidated into a National program in 2004 and there is now one National Potato Breeder. By necessity, less emphasis is directed at varieties best suited for Western Canada. The nature of potato breeding and selection has also shifted. Industry participants are exploring varieties for different end-uses, such as gourmet and functional food uses. Varieties from breeding programs in Europe and the United States are often being assessed. |
| ý | | Alberta Agriculture facilitated the regional evaluiation process by conducting regional trials, disease resistance trials, agronomic trials, culinary and storage trials with promising new varieties. This system was unique to Western Canada and served established industry stakeholders well. Over the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked individually with stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. The growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders. Also, there is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the Page 1/12 |

environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers. Industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage.

The purpose of the proposed research project is to pool resources and evaluate potential varieties, from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. Including agronomy in the evaluations allows us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they weigh options of producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD is well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting and mitigate risks to individual stakeholders. This proposal does not replace the Consortium as it is focused on Alberta. In addition, we intend to evaluate any material, regardless of the breeding origin, that might be well suited to our climate and end-uses. Support has been requested from many stakeholders, and we anticipate some flux in support between members depending on the year of the study.

Objectives and Objectives: **Deliverables** A. To evalu

A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), gourmet and other markets;B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and

D. To develop agronomic information on plant density and nitrogen requirements to support potato growers interested in producing new varieties.

E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model to take the industry beyond the current project.

Deliverables

Each stakeholder will receive information about varieties of interest relative to standard varieties in each class. Information generated will failicitate decision making, and stakeholders will need to pursue commercialization opportunties independent of the trial. Typically, we provide emergence, stand, vigour, and maturity data as well as yield by size category, specific gravity, defects and deformities, and culinary evaluations. For additional fees, stakeholders can enter varieties into agronomic trials looking at three plant density levels and a reduced level of nitrogen. Local production data will support adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A field day will be hosted each year at both locations to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to rain-fed and irrigated growing conditions. There is no substitution for first hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

As part of the proposed trial, we will work with stakeholders to develop a variety development mechanism for Alberta that takes us beyond the current project approach. The project is, in essence, a transition strategy to move the industry from a federal breeding program approach to a broader, more inclusive evaluation system.

Project Design
andVariety evaluation trials will be set up at the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in Edmonton and
the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) in Brooks to provide data from rain-fed and irrigated
production systems respectively. Standard varieties will be included to represent early French fry, full-
season French fry, early chipper, full-season chipper, fresh market red, fresh market white and fresh
market yellow classes. Two harvest dates are proposed, one in early to mid-August and one in mid
September. Sufficient potatoes will be planted to provide replicated data as well as a demonstration for a
field day at both locations.

Material for these trials will be provided by stakeholders either through the Accelerated Release Program or by sourcing varieties from European, U.S. or other breeding programs. All import requirements will be the responsibility of the stakeholder requesting evaluation.

At CDCS, we will set up a nitrogen response trial with standard and reduced levels of nitrogen fertility. Stakeholders will indicate whether or not they require fertility information and must provide sufficient seed and funds to include these evaluations.

At CDCS, we also plan to evaluate the response of potato varieties to plant density changes. Potatoes will be planted at three in-row spacings (20, 25 and 30 cm proposed) to determine the response. Stakeholders must provide sufficient seed and funds to include these evaluations.

Variety trials will be set up as randomized complete blocks for each harvest date. Guard rows will be planted to minimize edge effects. Four replicate rows (6m) will be harvested and an additional row will be planted to allow for in-season sampling and demonstration.

The Agronomic trials will be set up as split plot designs. For the nitrogen response trials, nitrogen level will be the main plots and varieties will be the sub plots. For in-row spacing evaluations, each block of potatoes will be planted at the same density, but varieties will be randomized within each block and blocks of plant density will be randomized within the trial.

Data collected may include emergence data, stand count, time to flowering, yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples will be retained for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing at the stakeholders expense.

Proposed Varieties to evaluate and rationale:

• AAFC Accelerated release material - various rationales

• Alpine Russet – Excellent cold sweetening resistance producing reduced acrylamide levels, very long storage capability, high % No 1s, lower N requirement

• Yukon Gem – Superior disease resistance, higher yields than it's direct competitor Yukon Gold, increased scab resistance, good organic choice, excellent chip processing qualities

• Highland Russet – Accepted by McDonalds for breakfast patty, superior culinary qualities for fresh and processing

• A0008-1TE – Early dual purpose with high yields and % No 1s

• Classic Russet – Possible replacement for Norkotahs, early yields, high No 1s, culinary qualities both fresh and processing

• And Others....

Annual Work Plan: April/May: Seed prep Planting

May/June: Hilling Irrigation pipe Emergence data

July: Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required In-season data collection (time to flowering, vigor)

August: Weeding, fungicide, insecticide as required Maturity data Field Day at CDCN Harvest of early-season varieties Field Day at CDCS

| 5 | | September: Desiccation of full-season varieties Harvest full-season varieties |
|---|--|--|
| 0 | | October: Grading Post-harvest evaluations (culinary) |
| | | November: Data analyses, report writing Stakeholder meeting |
| | Contributions to Alberta's Agriculture and | Practical knowledge about new potato varieties will be the main contribution of this work. What differentiates this work is the cooperative approach proposed to maximize information to industry with limited resources. |
| | Knowledge | The project is not expected to generate any specific intellectual property through the work. The plant material will have come from various existing breeding programs and plant breeder's rights will have already been applied for by the owner. |
| | | While potato producers have a vested interest in producing new varieties of potato, the entire value chain is often involved in the decision to adopt a new variety. A cooperative approach ensures that considerations of many stakeholders are taken into account as varieties are evaluated. The decision to commercialize a variety, though, may be undertaken by a small segment of the industry. |
| | | We will be providing information critical to the decision making process for the potato industry to remain competitive. Our team involves people with expertise in the areas of plant breeding, variety development, marketing, agronomy and food science. This collaborative approach brings broad expertise to the project and allows the stakeholders to benefit more widely than from an independent evaluation of the varieties. |
| 0 | | The novel, unique or innovative aspect of the project is that we will attempt to engage competitors in a cooperative project to benefit the greatest number of stakeholders in the potato industry. The project utilizes the limited government resources available and leverages that for the greatest good. Potato varieties from other programs will be evaluated to see their suitability and usefulness to the Canadian market. This information is currently lacking due to the recent lack of a western regional research and breeding program. |
| | Benefits to Alberta's Agriculture and Agri-Food Industry | With increasing pressure to enhance competitiveness, potato growers, packers and processors require varieties that produce good yield, and more importantly, lower costs of production. Replacement varieties with improved nutrient use efficiency, improved disease resistance, fewer defects, better storage potential or other desirable traits would reduce the cost of production and these efficiencies will ensure that our potato industry remains competitive. |
| | | A number of clients have expressed interest in evaluating new varieties to see whether or not they are well- adapted to our growing region. Information on how varieties perform relative to standard varieties is important for decision making as well as for marketing new varieties. In fact, this type of information was a fundamental part of the former Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. |
| 0 | | The key benefit of this project is that individual stakeholders in the potato industry will realize an economy of scale when evaluating potato varieties and basic agronomy information in a cooperative way. In the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff have worked with many potato industry stakeholders independently to assess varieties relative to standard varieties. Each trial involves standards from each class of potatoes and guard rows. Often the results were made available to specific customers, but access by others was limited. Independent evaluations represent a financial burden for seed growers, small companies or large companies producing potatoes in a unique environment. The current approach will provide information to many stakeholders in the value chain and should move the industry forward on a more united front. |

The project is seen as a transition strategy from the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program to a broader, more inclusive model for evaluating and ultimately introducing new varieties. If stakeholders perceive value in the new approach, we anticipate that they will be prepared to provide ongoing funding in support of this approach in the future.

Knowledge Transfer Plan

The industry will have access to this information in many ways. To start with growers and industry members will be invited to see the evaluation plots and compare on the ground the unique performances of each variety in the field under local conditions. Data will be collected analysed and presented in several formats to industry including posters, papers and talks. Information will be available on the internet (PVMI website and PGA website) for easy access for growers. Implementation will be optimized during the three years of the project by direct feedback from industry and may be measured by the increase in uptake of local superior varieties.

Project Team Qualifications Dr. Michele Konschuh is a Research Scientist with ARD at CDC South, Brooks. She has extensive experience in potato agronomy and recently assumed responsibility for managing Alberta's seed potato repository at CDC North, Edmonton. She works closely with all facets of the potato industry and liaises with her counterparts across in Canada in activities related to research and extension support for the potato industry. Michele has over 10 years of experience with the potato industry and has been involved in the evaluation of varieties for various segments of the industry. She will lead the project and provide agronomy advice, spearhead external fund-raising activities, assist with field trials, and participate in technology transfer activities with the potato industry aimed at encouraging the adoption of potato varieties with improved production profiles.

Dr. Benoit Bizimungu recently assumed the leadership of the National Potato Breeding and Genetic Enhancement program with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, following the consolidation of eastern and western potato breeding projects. He is also leading a team of scientists working on the development of a low glycemic index and high fibre potato, as part of the Biopotato (ABIP) network. Benoit is very familiar with the needs of the Alberta potato industry from his former role as lead of the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium.

Dr. Jeanne Debons is the Executive Director of the Potato Variety Management Institute (PVMI) in Oregon. PVMI has succeeded in bridging the gap between potato breeding programs and industry adoption in the Pacific Northwest by providing a mechanism to manage and release varieties and to channel royalties collected into developing agronomic information and marketing materials. Jeanne's interpersonal skills and calm competence have played a large part in the success of PVMI. Jeanne has relevant expertise in addressing the gap between superior breeding material and industry adoption and she is willing to lend this expertise to Alberta on this project.

Deb Hart is the Seed-Coordinator for the Potato Growers of Alberta. Deb worked with the Saskatchewan Seed Potato Growers Association prior to that. Deb is well respected in the seed potato industry in western Canada and is aware of the limitations of our current approach to variety development. Deb has excellent interpersonal skills and will help to plan and coordinate the field days to allow industry to experience the potato varieties first-hand.

Dr. Darcy Driedger is a Food Scientist with Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development. Darcy has been involved with the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program for approximately 10 years. Darcy is familiar with the parameters used by the potato industry to evaluate new varieties and has project experience with many of the industry stakeholders. Darcy and his staff have the expertise to evaluate potato varieties for specific end-uses and will provide meaningful information for stakeholders.

Ability to Complete Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development has the land base, plot scale equipment, grading and storage facilities required to conduct this work at both the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) and the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS). There is adequate technical support to oversee all aspects of the work described provided that sufficient seasonal labour is available.

CDCS is an irrigated crop research station. Using this location will allow us to manage the trials and obtain meaningful data on the response of potato varieties to plant density and nitrogen levels. CDCN is a rain fed station situated near the main seed potato production area of the province. Providing information on the performance of varieties under conditions at CDCN gives seed growers and some fresh market growers valuable information for their operations. Both centres have facilities suited to hosting industry field days and demonstrations.

The Food Science lab at CDCS is well equipped to conduct boil and bake analyses for fresh market varieties and they are set up for French fry and chip evaluation as well. The lab has equipment necessary for dicing, drying and extracting components from the potato tissue as well.

Budget Commentary

Variety Development was identified as a research priority by the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA). Specifically, they identified a need for a database of variety production profiles and a breeding program that recognizes and addresses differences between production areas. A key element to the success of a project like this is having access to varieties for evaluation. We have the cooperation of the variety providers for our trial and we would not be able to proceed without that.

The contributions identified by the PGA and other industry stakeholders are unconfirmed contributions. Industry contributions are anticipated to take the form of a per-variety charge for evaluation and fertility and spacing trials and in-kind contributions of seed potatoes. Potential contributors include Lamb Weston, McCain Foods, Maple Leaf Potatoes, Old Dutch, Little Potato Company, Edmonton Potato Growers, Parkland Seed Potatoes, Rockyview Elite Tubers and others. The full proposal will be submitted to the PGA Research Committee concurrently to confirm their contribution and it will be circulated among other stakeholders to attract and confirm additional funding.

Letters of support have been requested and will be provided directly to ACIDF.

Anticipated Budget By Year

| i cui i | | 1 | | I | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
| Funding Consortium | Cash | \$11,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$19,000.00 |
| Gov't | Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Gov't | In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Industry | Cash | \$21,360.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,640.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| Industry | In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| | Total: | \$75,110.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,640.00 | \$0.00 | \$87,650.00 |

Year 2

| Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Funding Consortium | Cash | \$12,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| Gov't | Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Gov't | In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Industry | Cash | \$21,300.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| Industry | In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| | Total: | \$76,050.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$88,650.00 |
| *Communicati | on, Dissem | ination, and l | Linkage | I | | I | 1 | <u> </u> |

Year 3

| Source | Туре | Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Total/Year |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Funding Consortium | Cash | \$12,500.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$6,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| Gov't | Cash | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Gov't | In- Kind | \$42,250.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$42,250.00 |
| Industry | Cash | \$21,300.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 |
| Industry | In- Kind | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,400.00 |
| | Total: | \$76,050.00 | \$800.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,100.00 | \$2,700.00 | \$0.00 | \$88,650.00 |
| *Communication | n, Disserr | ination, and I | Linkage | | h., | 1 | L | L |

Budget Grand Total

| Personnel | Travel | Capital Assets | Supplies | CDL* | Overhead | Grand Total |
|--------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| \$227,210.00 | \$2,400.00 | \$0.00 | \$27,300.00 | \$8,040.00 | \$0.00 | \$264,950.00 |

| | | | 1 | | Normal Contraction | |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| OI Funding | Funding Consortium | Gov't Cash | Gov't In-kind | Industry Cash | Industry In-kind | Total Project Cost |
| equest | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$127,500.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$7,500.00 | \$255,000.00 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Total Amount Requested from | Year | | Amt | Requeste | d From | FC | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|---------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Members of the | Year 1 | | \$19, | 000.00 | | | | | |
| FC | Year 2 | | \$20, | 00.00 | | | | | |
| | Year 3 | | \$20, | 000.00 | | | | | |
| | Year 4 | | \$0.0 | 0 | | | | | |
| | Year 5 | | | \$0.00 | | | | | |
| | Total Amount Requested I | From FC: | \$59, | 000.00 | | | | | |
| Funding | Source | Amount | | Percentag | e of To | tal | | | |
| Contribution and Sources | Funding Consortium Cash | \$59,000.00 | | 00 22.27% | | | | | |
| Sources | Gov't Cash \$0.00 | | 0% | | | | | | |
| | Gov't In-Kind \$12 | | 0.00 | 47.84% | 7.84% | | | | |
| | Industry Cash | \$72,000. | \$72,000.00 2 | | 27.17% | | | | |
| | Industry In-Kind | \$7,200.00 | | 2.72% | | _ | | | |
| | Total Project Cost: | \$264,950 | 0.00 100% | | | | | | |
| Government | Name | | | Amount Cash A | | Amount Ir | -Kind | Confirmed | |
| Sources | Alberta Agriculture and Ru | aral Devel | opme | ent \$0.00 | | \$90.750.00 | | Yes | |
| | Agriculture and Agri-Food | ood Canada | | \$0.00 | | \$36,000.00 | | Yes | |
| Industry Sources | NI | | Amount Cook Amount In | | 4 T TZ' 1 | | | | |
| industry sources | Rame | nt Institute | Amount Cash Amount | | | In-Kind Confirmed | | | |
| | MaCain Foods Conside | | e \$3,000.00 \$450.00 | | 00 | | | | |
| | Reclam Foods Canada | | \$6,000.00 | | \$750.00 No | | INO No | | |
| | Rockyview Seed Folaloes | | 50,0 015 | | \$0.00 No | | INO No | | |
| | Little Detete Company | 1 | \$6,000.00 \$6,000.00 | | \$0.00 | 00 | No | | |
| | Darkland Soad Potatoon | | | | \$750.00 | | No | | |
| | Edmonton Potato Grower | 0 | \$3,000.00 \$750.0 \$3,000.00 \$450.0 | | 00 No | | | | |
| | A gristar Inc | 3 | \$3,000.00 \$450.00 \$3,000.00 \$450.00 | | 00 No | | | | |
| | L amb Weston / Con Agra | | \$5,000.00 \$450.0 \$6,000.00 \$750.0 | | 00 | No | | | |
| | Old Dutch | | \$6,000.00 \$750. \$6,000.00 \$750. | | 00 | No | | | |
| | Alberta Seed Potatoes Inc | | \$3,000.00 | | \$450.00 | | Yes | | |
| | Solanum International | | \$3,000.00 | | \$450.00 | | No | | |
| | Maple Leaf Potatoes | | \$6,000.00 \$ | | \$750. | \$750.00 | | Yes | |
| Approvals and | Approval/Permit | | | Status | .1 | | | | |
| Permits | Animal Care Approval | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Canadian Environmental A | ssessmen | Act | N/A | | | | | |
| | Other | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Human Ethics Approval | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Transgenic Crop Permit | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | Alberta Environment Act | | | N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Suggested | Name | Susan Smith | |
|-------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| Keviewers | Position | Industry Specialist - Field Ve | getables and Organics |
| | Institution | B.C. Ministry of Agriculture a | and Lands |
| | Address | | |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 604-556-3087 | , |
| | Fax Number | | |
| | Email Address | Susan.L.Smith@gov.bc.ca | |
| | Name | Eugenia Banks | |
| | Position | Potato Specialist | |
| | Institution | OMAFRA | |
| | Address | | |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 519-826-3678 | |
| | Fax Number | | |
| | Email Address | eugenia.banks@ontario.ca | |
| | | L | 1 |
| | Name | Doug Waterer | |
| | Position | Associate Professor | |
| | Institution | University of Saskatechewan | |
| | Address | | |
| | Country | Canada | |
| | Phone Number | 306-966-5860 | |
| | Fax Number | 306-966-5015 | |
| | Email Address | doug.waterer@usask.ca | |
| Project Team Leader | Dr. Michele Kor CDCS Alberta A 301 Horticultura Alberta T1R 1E 403-362-1314 michele.konschu | nschuh Agriculture and Rural Develop Il Station Road East Brooks 6 403-362-1306 nh@gov.ab.ca | ment Potato Research S |
| Project Team Members | Darcy Driedger | Agriculture and Rural Development | |
| | Deb Hart | Potato Growers of Alberta | |
| | Jeanne Debons | Potato Variety Management | |
| | | Institute | |

and Agri-Food Canada

Detailed Info:

Dr. Darcy Driedger Food Processing Develor

Food Processing Development Centre Agriculture and Rural Development Program Manager, Food Science and Technology

301 Horticultural Station Road E Brooks

AB T1R 1E6

403-362-1339 403-362-1326

darcy.driedger@gov.ab.ca

Degrees Certificates/Diplomas:

Ph.D. (Food Science and Technology), University of Alberta, 2000M.Sc. (Foods and Nutrition), University of Manitoba, 1990B.S.A. (Food Science), University of Manitoba, 1987

Publications and Patents:

Thomas, J.E., Bandura, M. Lee, E.L., Driedger, D. and Acharya, S. 2010. Biochemical monitoring in fenugreek to develop functional food and medicinal plant variants. New Biotechnol. (in press)

Iablokov, V., Sydora, B.C., Foshaug, R., Meddings, J., Driedger, D., Churchill, T. and Fedorak, R.N. 2010. Naturally occurring glycoalkaloids in potatoes aggravate intestinal inflammation in two mouse models of inflammatory bowel disease. Dig. Dis. Sci. 55:3078-3085.

Meng, X., Threinen, D., Hansen, M. and Driedger, D. 2010 Effects of extrusion conditions on system parameters and physical properties of a chickpea flour-based snack. Food Res. Int. 43:650-658.

Bandara, M., Lee, E.L., Driedger, D., Acharya, S. and Thomas, J.E. 2009. Genotype x environment effect on galactomannan content and seed yield of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Acta Hort. (submitted)

Bandara, M., Savidov, N. and Driedger, D. 2009. The impact of selected abiotic stresses on glucoraphanin content in field pepperweed (Lepidium campestre L.). Acta Hort. (ISHS) 841:323-327.

Bandara, M., Savidov, N. and Driedger, D. 2008. Evaluation of field pepperweed (Lepidium campestre L.) as a source for glucoraphanin production. Acta Hort. 765:165-172. Bandara, M., Scharff, F. and Driedger, D. 2008. Root yield and inulin quality of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) produced in southern Alberta. International Crop Science Congress, April 2008, Jeju. Korea.

Bizimungu, B, Lynch, D.R., Holm, D.G., Kawchuk, L.M., Konschuh, M., Wahab, J., Waterer, D., Driedger, D., McAllister, P., Howard, R. and Platt, H.W.2008.

Other Evidence of Productivity:

Manage the Food Science and Technology program at the Crop Diversification Centre South. Product and technology development projects with industry clients result in about 6 new products on the market annually. Sales resulting from these projects exceed \$1 M.

2004-2010, Lead the establishment of an extrusion research facility at CDCS Brooks with permanent scientific and technical staff.

Deb Hart Potato Growers of Alberta Seed Coordinator 17507 Fort Road NW Edmonton AB T5Y 6H3 780-418-5-2305 780-422-6096 deb.hart@albertapotatoes.ca Dr. Jeanne Debons Potato Variety Management Institute Executive Director 60380 Chickasaw Way Bend OR 97702-9724 541-318-1485 541-318-7561 jeannedebons@msn.com **Degrees Certificates/Diplomas:** Ph.D. 1986 Botany and Plant Pathology Oregon State University

M.Sc. 1980 Forest Pathology State University of New York

B.Sc. 1979 Forest Biology State University of New York

Publications and Patents:

Presentations at regional meetings, including PGA annual meeting, on the role of PVMI in the future of the potato industry in the US and Canada.

Other Evidence of Productivity:

Executive Director of PVMI, Potato VAriety ManagementInstitute since creation in 2006. Was granted \$250 USDA Samll Business Development Grants to initiate and then further develop PVMI. Achieved a USDA ARS CREES Grant in 2008 to create an online system for MTA management and tracking.

PVMI has collected enough maney via licenses and royalty collection that \$100K has been sent to research & breeding programs. Waiting on another \$100-150K to be sent at the end of this year.

Fully updated and active website www.pvmi.org

Helped organize the Potato Association of America meetings in Corvallis, OR in Augusut 2010.

Dr. Benoit Bizimungu Potato Research Centre Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada National Potato Breeder and Gene Resources Curator 850 Lincoln Road, P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton NB E3B 4Z7 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 benoit.bizimungu@agr.gc.ca **Degrees Certificates/Diplomas:** Ph.D. 1995 Plant Breeding & Genetics University of Laval

M.Sc. 1987 Plant Breeding & Genetics University of Laval

B.Sc. 1984 General Agriculture National University of Rawanda
Publications and Patents:
10 refereed publications, 7 patents (plant breeders rights), 22 proceedings, technical reports, etc. in the last 5 years
Other Evidence of Productivity:
released 6 potato varieties, currently hold 7 grants, 3 grants completed

Attached File(s) 785 CV.doc Michele Konschuh CV.doc

Comments No comments to load for this proposal.





Oct 17, 2008

Dr. Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture, Food & Rural Development 301 – Horticultural Station Rd. E. Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

Re: Effects of Green Manures on Verticillium Wilt, Rhizoctonia Solani, Scab, Root-Lesion Nematode, Soil Fertility and Yield of Potatoes

Dear Michele:

We are pleased to advise that after review of the Interim Project Report the Board of Directors of The Potato Growers of Alberta has approved continuing funding for your research project. This project was discussed at the Oct 15th, 2008 board meeting.

For the 2008 season the amount of \$20,000 plus GST is available to meet the timelines specified in your application. Funds will be submitted shortly as we have already received and invoice for this year's funding amount.

We appreciate your commitment and dedication to the potato industry.

Yours truly,

Edzo Kok Executive Director

/jb

Potato Variety Development in Alberta Project 2011F047R (2011-2013)

FINAL REPORT



Prepared for the Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund 5030 – 50 Street Agriculture Building Lacombe, AB T4L 1W8

By

Michele Konschuh¹, Darcy Driedger¹, Benoit Bizimungu², Jeanne Debons³ and Deb Hart⁴ ¹ Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 ² Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Potato Research Centre, 850 Lincoln Road, P.O. Box 20280,

² Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Potato Research Centre, 850 Lincoln Road, P.O. Box 20 Fredericton, NB

³ Potato Variety Management Institute,

⁴ Potato Growers of Alberta, Crop Diversification Centre North, 17507 Fort Road NW, Edmonton, AB

November 17, 2014

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | | 5 |
|--|------|-----|
| Project Overview | | 7 |
| Objectives: | | |
| Project Team Members | | 9 |
| Background | | 10 |
| AAFC National Potato Variety Evaluation | | |
| 2011 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 12 | |
| Results – Chipping Cultivars | 14 | |
| Results- French Fry Cultivars | 17 | |
| Results – Fresh Market Cultivars | 20 | |
| 2012 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 28 | |
| Results – Chipping Cultivars | 30 | |
| Results- French Fry Cultivars | | |
| Results – Fresh Market Cultivars | | |
| 2013 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 46 | |
| Results – Chipping Cultivars | 48 | |
| Results– French Fry Cultivars | 51 | |
| Results – Fresh Market Cultivars | 54 | |
| Conclusions | | 59 |
| French Fry Variety Evaluation | | 60 |
| 2011 | | 60 |
| Materials and Methods | 60 | |
| Results and Discussion | 62 | |
| 2012 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 67 | |
| Results and Discussion | 69 | |
| 2013 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 75 | |
| Results and Discussion | 77 | |
| Conclusions | | 80 |
| Chipping Variety Evaluation | | |
| 2011 | | |
| Materials and Methods | | |
| Results and Discussion | | |
| 2012 | | |
| Materials and Methods | 90 | |
| Results and Discussion | | |
| 2013 | | 100 |
| Materials and Methods | 100 | |
| Results and Discussion | 102 | |
| Conclusions | ···· | 109 |
| Fresh Market Variety Evaluation | | 111 |
| 2011 | | 111 |
| Materials and Methods | | _ |
| Results and Discussion – Graded into Size Categories | 114 | |

| Results and Discussion – Graded into Weight Categories | 126 | |
|--|-----|-----|
| 2012 | | 132 |
| Materials and Methods | 132 | |
| Results and Discussion | 134 | |
| 2013 | | 146 |
| Materials and Methods | 146 | |
| Results and Discussion | 148 | |
| Conclusions | | 157 |
| Creamer Variety Evaluation | | 159 |
| 2012 | | 159 |
| Materials and Methods | 159 | |
| Results and Discussion | 161 | |
| 2013 | | 168 |
| Materials and Methods | 168 | |
| Results and Discussion | 170 | |
| Conclusions | | 179 |
| Overall Results | | 180 |
| Conclusions | | 181 |
| References | | 181 |
| Presentations | | 182 |
| Acknowledgements | | 183 |

Executive Summary

This project was initially developed to pilot potato variety evaluation work as a cooperative effort between breeding programs, variety development companies, processors, packers and producers. The trials were conducted at two provincial research centres, the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) and the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in 2011. Internal restructuring limited the involvement of CDCN in 2012 and 2013 to demonstration plots. Interested parties supplied seed of test material and efforts were made to ensure that we could gather some agronomic data in the trial. Although optimizing N fertility for so many varieties was not practical, growing on 2 levels of N gave an indication as to the potential N-response of some of these newer cultivars. There are economies of scale involved in the cooperative approach to variety trials, but compromises were made to accommodate the majority of participants.

Participants were asked for Letters of Support, and the extent of participation each year of the trial was flexible. In the first year of the trial, funding from 13 industry stakeholders plus the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA) was leveraged. In 2012, we attracted one additional participant. Some changes within the potato industry in Alberta reduced participation in 2013 to 8 cooperators, plus the PGA, however, the level of funding from several participants increased. Over the three year project, industry support was very good.

Each year of the trial, there were potato cultivars intended for the French fry market. French fry varieties must yield well and have good fry characteristics. Specific gravity of the potatoes is an indirect measure of fry colour. In 2011, 11 French fry cultivars were compared to 1 check variety. In 2012, 10 French fry cultivars were evaluated relative to 2 check varieties. Only 1 French fry cultivar was evaluated in 2013. Two levels of N were provided to the French fry cultivars in each year of the trial as agronomic data is often limited for new varieties. McCain Foods, Lamb Weston, and Maple Leaf Potatoes all participated in 2011 and 2012. Maple Leaf Potatoes was acquired by Cavendish Farms in 2012, but Cavendish did not participate in 2013.

Chipping potatoes were included in each year of the trial as well. Old Dutch Foods, as well as seed growers and variety development firms provided chippers for evaluation. Chipping potatoes are graded by size rather than weight. As with French fry cultivars, good fry colour is essential and specific gravity is a good indirect measure of chip colour. In 2011 and 2013, 9 chipping cultivars were compared to 2 check varieties. In 2012, 8 chipping cultivars were evaluated relative to 3 check varieties. Typically, chipping potatoes required less N than French fry cultivars and a moderate or low rate of N was requested for specific entries.

Fresh market potatoes were included in the trial each year as well. Although the fresh market sector of Alberta's potato industry is the smallest segment, there is a lot of growth potential even if we simply replace imported potatoes with locally produced ones. To accommodate cooperator preferences, the fresh market potatoes were graded either by weight or size categories as requested in 2011. Thirteen cultivars were evaluated by size along with 3 check varieties and 9 cultivars were evaluated by weight along with 2 check varieties. In 2012 and 2013, all clients were satisfied with grading by size. Fifteen fresh market cultivars and 4 checks were evaluated in 2012 and 11 fresh market cultivars and 2 checks were evaluated as requested. For table potatoes, potatoes were evaluated as baked and boiled to determine the best fit for marketing purposes.

A special category of fresh market potatoes is the creamer potato market, made popular by the Alberta based Little Potato Company. Creamer potatoes are not smaller versions of other fresh market varieties; the varieties are selected for high tuber set and small tuber size intentionally to satisfy this market. These potatoes are prepared with the skin on and may be served with limited additional preparation. As such, skin set and tuber appearance are critical. Flavour is also very important for this class of potatoes. Nine creamer cultivars were included in the trail in 2012 at 2 levels of N, and 31 creamer cultivars were included in 2013. The Little Potato Company participated in the trail in 2012 at 2012 and 2013. Creamer potato entries were provided by other participants as well.

To ensure year-round supply of raw product for processors and packers, potato varieties must be stored for up to 11 months per year. Although this trial did not include a storage evaluation component, potatoes were offered to participants after harvest and grading to allow independent storage evaluation in commercially relevant facilities.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) has been involved in potato breeding for over 40 years. The National Potato Variety program includes selections that might be suitable for the French fry, chipping, or table market, including the creamer category. Industry participants are encouraged to view selections after one or two years of regional testing and to "pick up" the varieties for further testing. Without regional testing in Alberta and knowledge of how the cultivars perform in our growing environment, industry stakeholders would be hard-pressed to make selections. AAFC supplied test material for replicated trials at CDCS and demonstration trials at CDCN in each year of the trial and included entries suitable for all industry sectors. In 2011, 10 chipping cultivars, 7 French fry cultivars and 28 fresh market cultivars were evaluated along with relevant check material from eastern and western sources at CDCS and CDCN. In 2012, 9 chipping cultivars, 10 French fry cultivars and 36 fresh market cultivars, 7 French fry cultivars, and 18 fresh market cultivars were evaluated at CDCS along with relevant check varieties. A demonstration at CDCN included 70 cultivars in 2013.

One of the most interesting things we noted about the three-year trial, is that there were examples of AAFC material included in 2011 that was picked up by industry in 2012 and 2013. Some of the industry entries in all three years of the trial originated from the federal program and within the space of three years, seed supplies are being established and commercial production is anticipated. These releases have been identified throughout the report with a maple leaf. This type of flow-through and the engagement of all links in the value chain is the kind of positive outcome we hoped to achieve.

The potato industry in Alberta now has a model for how cooperative variety testing can work for them. The equipment, knowledge, and facilities are specialized and it is unlikely that an applied research association could easily step into this role. With a suitable location, specialized facilities and equipment, such as those at provincial research stations (CDCS, CDCN, etc.), an experienced coordinator with a competent technical staff could conduct these evaluations with industry funding in the future.

The framework of this trial formed the basis for an application to the Growing Forward 2 Science Cluster for potato variety evaluation work. Alberta will receive funding for an additional 4 years from this source. Eight stakeholders plus the Potato Growers of Alberta provided letters of support for the new project.

Project Overview

Potato variety evaluation trials were conducted at the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in Edmonton and the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) in Brooks to provide data from rain-fed and irrigated production systems respectively. Standard varieties were included to represent early French fry, full-season French fry, early chipper, full-season chipper, fresh market red, fresh market white and fresh market yellow classes. Sufficient potatoes were planted to provide replicated data from CDCS and to host a demonstration field day at both locations each year.

Material for these trials was provided by industry stakeholders either through the AAFC Accelerated Release Program or by sourcing varieties from European, U.S. or other breeding programs. All import requirements were the responsibility of the stakeholder requesting evaluation.

At CDCS, we set up a nitrogen response trial with standard and reduced levels of nitrogen fertility. Stakeholders indicated whether or not they required fertility information and provided sufficient seed and funds to include these evaluations. At CDCS, we also planned to evaluate the response of potato varieties to plant density changes, but few clients requested this information.

Variety trials were set up as randomized complete blocks. Guard rows were planted to minimize edge effects. Four replicate rows (6m) will be harvested and an additional row was planted to allow for inseason sampling and demonstration. The agronomic trials were set up as split plot designs with nitrogen level as the main plot and varieties as sub plots.

Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples were retained for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

The leveraged funding from industry also provided resources for the regional evaluation of AAFC material prior to release to industry. Without funding from this project, there may not have been any opportunity to observe the breeding program cultivars in Alberta over the past three years.

A field day was hosted each year at both locations to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to rain-fed and irrigated growing conditions. There is no substitution for first-hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

As part of the proposed trial, we will work with stakeholders to develop a variety development mechanism for Alberta that takes us beyond the current project approach. The project is, in essence, a transition strategy to move the industry from a federal breeding program approach to a broader, more inclusive evaluation system.

Objectives:

A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), creamer and other markets;

B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;

C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and

D. To develop agronomic information on nitrogen response to support potato growers interested in producing new varieties.

E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model that takes the industry beyond the current project.

Project Team Members

Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, Brooks, AB

- Dr. Michele Konschuh, Potato Research Scientist Project Lead
- Dr. Darcy Driedger, Food Scientist
- Simone Dalpé, Potato Technologist (2011 only)
- Tina Lewis, Seed Potato Technologist
- Seasonal Technologists

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Potato Research Centre, Fredericton, NB

- Dr. Benoit Bizimungu, Plant Breeder
- Technologists

Potato Variety Management Institute, Bend, OR

• Dr. Jeanne Debons, Executive director

Potato Growers of Alberta, Crop Diversification Centre North, Edmonton, AB

• Deb Hart, Seed Coordinator

Background

One of the key areas of research that the Alberta potato industry identified in industry-wide priority setting meetings in 2003 and 2004 is breeding for new potato varieties. This was reiterated in National industry consultation meetings held in 2011. For about 40 years now, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada managed a potato breeding program in Western Canada focused on breeding and selecting varieties that would perform well under our environmental conditions. Alberta Agriculture facilitated the process by conducting regional trials, disease resistance trials, agronomic trials, culinary and storage trials with promising new varieties. In recent years, reductions in government staff and budgets put pressure on the support provided by both levels of government. The nature of potato breeding and selection has shifted. Industry participants are exploring varieties for different end-uses, such as gourmet and functional food uses. The potato breeding programs in Canada were consolidated into a National program in 2004 and there is now one National Potato Breeder based in New Brunswick. By necessity, less emphasis is directed at varieties best suited for Western Canada. Varieties from breeding programs in Europe and the United States are often being assessed by industry stakeholders.

Regional trials of potato varieties in Western Canada were funded in part by industry money collected through the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. This system was unique to Western Canada and served established industry stakeholders well. Newcomers to the industry were not easily able to participate. Even established stakeholders questioned whether they received sufficient value for the fees. The shift to an accelerated release mechanism moved the responsibility for the evaluations to industry and provides broader access to stakeholders initially. However, the window for evaluation of varieties is much narrower than in the Consortium and less data is available for decision makers.

Over the last 10 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked with individual stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. Growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. The challenge is often that impartial comparisons of the material with standards varieties are not available. Each stakeholder would have the responsibility to obtain seed, sign agreements, engage researchers, or evaluate varieties independently. Many are not equipped to conduct small-scale evaluations well and seed is not available for larger-scale evaluations. Breeder's seed also has higher tolerances for virus loads and producers evaluating this material on farm put the remainder of the crop at risk.

This project did not replace the Western Potato Consortium as it focused on Alberta. In addition, we evaluated any material, regardless of the breeding origin, that might be well suited to our climate and end-uses. Support was requested from many stakeholders, and there was some flux in support between members depending on the year of the study.

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from
breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Chipping tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Fresh market tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. Including agronomy in the evaluations allowed us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they consider producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD was well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCS) in Brooks, AB in 2011, 2012 and 2013 and in demonstration plots at the Crop Diversification Centre North (CDCN) in Edmonton, AB in 2011, 2012 and 2013.

AAFC National Potato Variety Evaluation

2011

Materials and Methods

The AAFC cultivar evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (105 lbs./ac N; 214 lbs./ac P, 720 lbs./ac K), and broadcast fertilizer (350 lbs./ac of 34-17-0) incorporated at hilling. Cultivars were planted in two replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 13) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars and seed of test cultivars was provided by AAFC. Potatoes were planted May 18, 2011 approximately 12 to 14 cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30 cm spacing in 6 m rows spaced 90 cm apart. Seed was (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 8 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| July 18 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 2 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 23 | Dithane DG Rainshield | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: NPVT trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB August 18, 2011.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 6 and re-applied (1.0 L/ac) September 12 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 19 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of each cultivar were stored at 10° C until other (culinary, bruise tests, cold storage) analyses could be performed. Post-harvest analyses were conducted by AAFC and data may be available from the breeder.

For comparison purposes, cultivar data has been grouped by intended end-use category.

The cultivars included in the replicated trials at CDCS, plus other novel cultivars for specialty markets, were grown at CDCN in demo plots. Field days were conducted at both locations. Data was only collected from the CDCS plots for this report.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2011. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Atlantic E., b) Atlantic W., c) CV96044-3, d) F06014, e) F07013, f) F07022, g) F07025 not shown, h) F07027, i) F07031, j) F07032, k) F07033, l) Snowden E., m) Snowden W., and n) V1351-3.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2.

| 2011 Chippers | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 34.9 | 1.093 |
| Atlantic West | 32.3 | 1.092 |
| CV96044-3 | 23.2 | 1.082 |
| F06014 | 29.9 | 1.090 |
| F07013 | 27.1 | 1.083 |
| F07022 | 26.1 | 1.092 |
| F07025 | 25.5 | 1.096 |
| F07027 | 34.6 | 1.082 |
| F07031 | 32.5 | 1.090 |
| F07032 | 23.6 | 1.086 |
| F07033 | 28.6 | 1.089 |
| V1351-3 | 27.2 | 1.092 |
| Snowden East | 29.0 | 1.089 |
| Snowden West | 30.5 | 1.089 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 225 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2011 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 11.6 | 74.1 | 13.6 | 0.8 |
| Atlantic West | 14.4 | 73.4 | 10.0 | 2.1 |
| CV96044-3 | 40.8 | 57.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| F06014 | 21.5 | 75.4 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| F07013 | 12.5 | 78.9 | 8.5 | 0.0 |
| F07022 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07025 | 14.4 | 80.8 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| F07027 | 17.6 | 64.8 | 16.3 | 1.3 |
| F07031 | 16.7 | 66.3 | 16.5 | 0.5 |
| F07032 | 36.2 | 63.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F07033 | 24.6 | 74.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| V1351-3 | 20.0 | 71.9 | 8.1 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 15.4 | 81.0 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| Snowden West | 9.7 | 83.0 | 7.0 | 0.3 |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4.

| Table 4: | Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > | > 88mm, and |
|----------|--|---------------|
| deformed | tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. | Data shown is |
| the mean | of two replicates. | |

| 2011 | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Atlantic East | 1.8 | 23.7 | 9.1 | 0.4 |
| Atlantic West | 1.9 | 22.7 | 6.8 | 0.9 |
| CV96044-3 | 4.4 | 17.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| F06014 | 3.0 | 24.4 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| F07013 | 1.8 | 21.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| F07022 | 6.2 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07025 | 1.9 | 21.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| F07027 | 2.5 | 20.6 | 11.2 | 0.3 |
| F07031 | 1.8 | 20.7 | 9.9 | 0.2 |
| F07032 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F07033 | 3.1 | 24.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| V1351-3 | 1.4 | 21.5 | 4.2 | 0.1 |
| Snowden East | 2.6 | 20.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| Snowden West | 2.7 | 25.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in a few tubers of the Atlantic, CV96044-3, F07025, F07031, F07032, F07033, and one tuber of Snowden and F07013. F07025, F07031, and Snowden had a few tubers with internal necrosis. Subsamples of F06014, F07022, F07027, and V1351-3 were free of any internal defects.

Common scab lesions were noted on a few tubers of CV96044-3, F07013, F07022, F07025, F07027, F07032 and Snowden.

<u>Results– French Fry Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2011. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Shepody E., b) Shepody W., c) F07002, d) F07005, e) F07006, f) F07007, g) F07008, h) F07010, i) Ranger Russet, j) Russet Burbank E., and k) Russet Burbank W.,

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 225 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| 2011 French Fry | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Shepody East | 31.4 | 1.077 |
| Shepody West | 29.0 | 1.079 |
| F07002 | 25.4 | 1.089 |
| F07005 | 27.3 | 1.089 |
| F07006 | 22.3 | 1.092 |
| F07007 | 25.3 | 1.084 |
| F07008 | 21.9 | 1.085 |
| F07010 | 25.1 | 1.072 |
| FV13830 | 28.7 | 1.076 |
| Ranger Russet | 26.1 | 1.087 |
| Russet Burbank East | 28.3 | 1.082 |
| Russet Burbank West | 27.2 | 1.083 |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2011 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Shepody E | 22.9 | 70.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 |
| Shepody W | 24.7 | 68.7 | 1.1 | 5.4 |
| F07002 | 19.1 | 74.1 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| F07005 | 28.3 | 68.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| F07006 | 24.4 | 66.7 | 6.7 | 2.4 |
| F07007 | 48.6 | 49.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| F07008 | 48.6 | 50.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| F07010 | 19.3 | 77.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| FV13830 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ranger Russet | 34.0 | 63.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Russet Burbank E | 41.3 | 56.8 | 0.2 | 2.2 |
| Russet Burbank W | 31.3 | 64.4 | 1.0 | 5.4 |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7.

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| 2011 | Yield of <48 mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88 mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | (101/ac) | | (toll/ac) | (toll/ac) |
| Shepody E | 1.8 | 24.4 | 4.2 | 1.1 |
| Shepody W | 2.2 | 22.8 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| F07002 | 1.9 | 20.2 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| F07005 | 2.9 | 22.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| F07006 | 1.9 | 16.0 | 4.4 | 0.5 |
| F07007 | 6.4 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| F07008 | 5.6 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| F07010 | 1.9 | 21.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| FV13830 | 2.3 | 26.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Ranger Russet | 4.5 | 19.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Russet Burbank E | 6.1 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Russet Burbank W | 3.9 | 21.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in one or two tubers of the F07006 and F07008. Shepody E had one tuber with

internal necrosis. Subsamples of F07002, F07005, F07007, F07010, FV13830, Shepody W, Russet Burbank E and Russet Burbank W were free of any internal defects.

Common scab lesions were noted on one tuber in each subsample of F07002, F07005, F07006, F07008, F07010 and Shepody E.

<u>Results – Fresh Market Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2011. Photos of the yellow fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Yukon Gold, b) F07020, c) F07039, d) F07041, e) F07058, f) F07059, g) F07060, and h) F07061.





Figure 5. AAFC red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Chieftain, b) Norland, c), F07038, d) F07043, e) F07045, f) F07047, g) F07048, h) F07049, i) F07063 not shown, j) V1414-1, and k) WV5843-6.

Photos of the white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. AAFC white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Kennebec, b) CV96044-3, c) F06027, d) F06037, e) F07040 not shown, f) F07071, g) V1255-3, h) and i) WV3252-1.

Photos of the novelty fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. AAFC novelty fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Adirondak Blue, b) F06053, c) F06058, d) F07078, and e) F07081.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8.

| 2011 Fresh market | End Use† | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|-------|
| Yellow | | | |
| Yukon Gold | FM | 30.0 | 1.086 |
| F07020 | FM | 28.5 | 1.089 |
| F07039 | FM/CR | 28.4 | 1.076 |
| F07041 | FM | 24.0 | 1.079 |
| F07042 | FM/CR | 33.9 | 1.083 |
| F07058 | FM/CR | 28.3 | 1.083 |
| F07059 | FM | 29.0 | 1.080 |
| F07060 | FM | 26.2 | 1.080 |
| F07061 | FM/CH | 31.7 | 1.074 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| Chieftain | FM | 31.8 | 1.077 |
| Norland | FM | 32.3 | 1.070 |
| F07038 | FM/CR | 18.3 | 1.083 |
| F07043 | FM | 29.0 | 1.084 |
| F07045 | FM | 30.7 | 1.074 |
| F07047 | FM | 28.0 | 1.085 |
| F07048 | FM/CR | 25.4 | 1.080 |
| F07049 | FM/CR | 22.1 | 1.081 |
| F07063 | FM | 24.0 | 1.085 |
| V1414-1 | FM | 28.3 | 1.071 |
| WV5843-6 | FM | 30.9 | 1.063 |
| White | | | |
| Kennebec | FM | 31.9 | 1.081 |
| CV96044-3 | CH/FM/CR/GI | See Chipper data | |
| F06027 | FM/FF | 28.5 | 1.088 |
| F06037 | FM | 35.3 | 1.085 |
| F07040 | FM/CR | 16.8 | 1.077 |
| F07071 | FF/FM | 32.5 | 1.102 |
| V1255-3 | FM/GI | 27.4 | 1.079 |
| WV3252-1 | FM/CR/CH/GI | 19.0 | 1.105 |
| Novelty | | | |
| Adirondak Blue | FM/AO | 24.4 | 1.080 |
| F06053 | FM/AO/FFN | 19.0 | 1.071 |
| F06058 | FM/AO | 33.4 | 1.070 |
| F07078 | FF/FM/AO | 28.8 | 1.075 |
| F07081 | FM/FF/CH/AO | 23.6 | 1.082 |

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 225 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

 $^{+}$ AO = antioxidant, CH = chipper, CR = creamer, FF = French fry, FFN = functional food nutraceutical, FM = fresh market, GI = glycemic index, ST = starch

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2011 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | 10.8 | 71.0 | 17.2 | 1.0 |
| F07020 | 16.6 | 82.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| F07039 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07041 | 35.1 | 64.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| F07042 | 27.6 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| F07058 | 23.5 | 70.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| F07059 | 23.7 | 70.4 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| F07060 | 26.3 | 67.8 | 4.6 | 1.3 |
| F07061 | 26.1 | 68.4 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| Chieftain | 24.4 | 71.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
| Norland | 17.3 | 69.3 | 12.8 | 1.2 |
| F07038 | 36.7 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07043 | 22.1 | 73.1 | 4.0 | 0.7 |
| F07045 | 18.2 | 80.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| F07047 | 30.0 | 69.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| F07048 | 55.6 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F07049 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07063 | 25.8 | 73.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| V1414-1 | 28.1 | 65.4 | 5.9 | 0.5 |
| WV5843-6 | 39.8 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| White | | | | |
| Kennebec | 23.5 | 68.8 | 5.1 | 2.6 |
| CV96044-3 | See data for o | chipping varieties | | |
| F06027 | 34.4 | 65.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F06037 | 21.5 | 75.2 | 3.0 | 0.3 |
| F07040 | 75.9 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| F07071 | 17.3 | 79.6 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
| V1255-3 | 28.4 | 69.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| WV3252-1 | 40.5 | 59.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Novelty | | | | |
| Adirondak Blue | 61.2 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F06053 | 83.8 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| F06058 | 21.2 | 67.3 | 2.5 | 9.0 |
| F07078 | 33.4 | 64.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| F07081 | 26.9 | 72.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| 2011 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2011 | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | 1.8 | 24.4 | 4.2 | 1.1 |
| Yukon Gold | 1.2 | 18.7 | 9.7 | 0.5 |
| F07020 | 2.2 | 25.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| F07039 | 7.1 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07041 | 3.9 | 19.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| F07042 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| F07058 | 2.6 | 21.7 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| F07059 | 2.0 | 22.6 | 4.2 | 0.2 |
| F07060 | 2.7 | 20.3 | 3.0 | 0.3 |
| F07061 | 3.3 | 24.5 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| Chieftain | 2.6 | 25.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| Norland | 1.6 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 0.5 |
| F07038 | 3.9 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07043 | 2.0 | 13.6 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| F07045 | 2.4 | 27.5 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| F07047 | 4.2 | 23.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| F07048 | 9.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F07049 | 14.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07063 | 2.9 | 20.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| V1414-1 | 3.4 | 21.1 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| WV5843-6 | 6.3 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| White | | | | |
| Kennebec | 3.1 | 24.1 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| CV96044-3 | See data for ch | nipping varieties | | |
| F06027 | 4.2 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F06037 | 2.5 | 30.0 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| F07040 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F07071 | 2.0 | 27.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| V1255-3 | 3.4 | 23.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| WV3252-1 | 4.5 | 14.2 | 03 | 0.0 |
| Novelty | | | | |
| Adirondak Blue | 10.1 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F06053 | 13.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| F06058 | 2.5 | 23.4 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| F07078 | 4.6 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| F07081 | 2.4 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow

heart was noted in several tubers of Yukon Gold and F07047. F07059, F07060, F07063 and Norland subsamples each had one tuber with internal necrosis. Subsamples of all of the other varieties were free of any internal defects.

Common scab lesions (1%) were noted in at least one subsample of F06027, F07039, F07040, F07042, F07048, F07059, F07060, F07078, F07081, Norland, V1255-3, V1414-1, WV3252-1, and Yukon Gold.

2012

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility (225 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (60 lbs./ac N; 187 lbs./ac P, 810 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (280 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Andover, Atlantic, Snowden, Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet, Shepody, Adirondak Blue, Chieftain, Kennebec, Norland, Sangre and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 10) to control weeds. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) if necessary and suberized prior to planting. Potatoes were planted May 18, 2012 approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ "deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 4 with a disc hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) and August 15 (Decis, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 11: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2012 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| June 29 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| July 27 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |
| Aug 15 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |



Figure 8: NPVT trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 20, 2012.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied August 28 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 11 - 13 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of each cultivar were stored at 10°C until other (culinary, bruise tests, cold storage) analyses could be performed. Post-harvest analyses were conducted by AAFC and data may be available from the breeder.

Results shown are the means of two replicates. For comparison purposes, cultivar data has been grouped by intended end-use category.

The cultivars included in the replicated trials at CDCS, plus other novel cultivars for specialty markets, were grown at CDCN in demo plots. Field days were conducted at both locations. Data was only collected from the CDCS plots for this report.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2012. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9: AAFC **chipping** cultivars grown at the CDCS in 2012: a) Andover., b) Atlantic W., c) CV97065-1, d) F07026, e) F08011, f) F08021, g) F08022, h) F08809, i) Snowden E., j) Snowden W., k) V05217-1, l) V1687-2 and m) WV4479-1.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 225 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| 2012 Chipping | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Andover | 21.0 | 1.072 |
| Atlantic West | 27.9 | 1.085 |
| CV97065-1 | 23.6 | 1.073 |
| F07026 | 19.3 | 1.083 |
| F08011 | 28.5 | 1.075 |
| F08021 | 22.3 | 1.072 |
| F08022* | 3.6 | 1.063 |
| F08809* | 3.8 | 1.070 |
| Snowden East | 23.2 | 1.076 |
| Snowden West | 26.3 | 1.078 |
| V05217-1 | 26.5 | 1.069 |
| V1687-2 | 28.3 | 1.064 |
| WV4479-1 | 26.8 | 1.068 |

* Plants affected by herbicide.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 13. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2012 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Andover | 28.3 | 69.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Atlantic West | 25.8 | 65.9 | 6.4 | 1.9 |
| CV97065-1 | 26.0 | 73.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| F07026 | 38.5 | 61.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F08011 | 43.1 | 53.2 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| F08021 | 23.4 | 72.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 |
| F08022* | 44.6 | 52.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| F08809* | 52.8 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 40.4 | 58.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| Snowden West | 32.7 | 66.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| V05217-1 | 37.5 | 59.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| V1687-2 | 28.5 | 70.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| WV4479-1 | 38.1 | 59.0 | 2.3 | 0.6 |

Table 13: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

* Plants affected by herbicide.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 14.

Table 14: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| 2012 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2012 | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Andover | 2.4 | 17.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Atlantic West | 2.1 | 20.1 | 4.9 | 0.6 |
| CV97065-1 | 2.6 | 20.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| F07026 | 4.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F08011 | 5.4 | 20.1 | 2.2 | 0.7 |
| F08021 | 1.8 | 18.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| F08022* | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 5.7 |
| F08809* | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 4.2 | 18.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Snowden West | 4.1 | 21.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| V05217-1 | 4.2 | 20.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| V1687-2 | 2.8 | 24.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| WV4479-1 | 4.2 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 |

* Plants affected by herbicide.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart and/or brown center were noted in a couple of Atlantic tubers. Some tubers from most samples exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation. Common scab lesions were not noted for any cultivars.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2012. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10: AAFC **French fry** cultivars grown at the CDCS in 2012: a) CV96022-3, b) F07001, c) V07002 d) F07007, e) F08001, f) F08003, g) F08099, h) FV15223-09, i) Russet Burbank E, j) Russet Burbank W, k). Ranger Russet/Amisk, l).Shepody E, m) Shepody W, n) WV3667-1, and o) WV4993-1

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 15.

| Table | 15: | Estimated | total | yield | (ton/acre) | and | specific | gravity | for | each | French | fry | cultivar |
|---------|---------|------------|--------|--------|------------|-------|------------|----------|-------|--------|---------|-----|----------|
| grown | at CI | OCS in Bro | oks, A | AB (ap | proximate | ly 22 | 25 lbs./ac | nitroger | 1). I | Data s | hown is | the | mean of |
| two rep | olicate | es. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2012 French Fry | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| CV96022-3 | 25.0 | 1.099 |
| F07001 | 29.5 | 1.089 |
| F07002 | 19.7 | 1.091 |
| F07007 | 25.4 | 1.089 |
| F08001 | 21.1 | 1.092 |
| F08003 | 26.0 | 1.073 |
| F08099 | 26.2 | 1.080 |
| FV15223-09 | 26.8 | 1.094 |
| R. Burbank East | 25.3 | 1.090 |
| R. Burbank West | 29.5 | 1.087 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 29.7 | 1.098 |
| Shepody East | 17.4 | 1.081 |
| Shepody West | 22.0 | 1.089 |
| WV3667-1 | 23.9 | 1.101 |
| WV4993-1 | 25.2 | 1.091 |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 16. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2012 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CV96022-3 | 32.4 | 66.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| F07001 | 30.6 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| F07002 | 29.1 | 61.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| F07007 | 40.6 | 56.4 | 0.3 | 4.5 |
| F08001 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08003 | 23.4 | 73.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| F08099 | 78.0 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| FV15223-09 | 28.1 | 70.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| R. Burbank East | 57.2 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| R. Burbank West | 71.1 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 43.5 | 55.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Shepody East | 46.3 | 45.3 | 2.0 | 10.4 |
| Shepody West | 34.8 | 62.6 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| WV3667-1 | 48.5 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| WV4993-1 | 41.7 | 55.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 |

Table 16: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 17.

| 2012 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| CV96022-3 | 4.0 | 20.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| F07001 | 4.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| F07002 | 1.5 | 14.4 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| F07007 | 4.3 | 19.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| F08001 | 3.5 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08003 | 1.9 | 21.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| F08099 | 9.8 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| FV15223-09 | 2.7 | 23.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| R. Burbank East | 8.4 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| West | 7.3 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 5.7 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Shepody East | 4.4 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 |
| Shepody West | 2.8 | 17.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| WV3667-1 | 6.1 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| WV4993-1 | 4.7 | 18.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 |

Table 17: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in several tubers of WV3667-1. Some tubers from most samples exhibited stemend discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation. Internal pigmentation was noted for some F08001 tubers.

Common scab lesions were not noted tubers in these subsamples

<u>Results – Fresh Market Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2012. Photos of the yellow fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11: AAFC **yellow fresh market** cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012: a) CV05122-1, b) F06049, c) F07020, d) F7039, e) F7041, f) F7042, g) F07061, h) F08031, i) F08040, j) F08047, k) F08048, l) F08050, m) F08086, and n) Yukon Gold.



Photos of the red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12: AAFC red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012: a) CV99161-5, b) CV99256-2, c) F06051, d) F07038, e) F07043, f) F08037, g) F08039, h) F08051, i) F08052, j) F08053, k) F05084, l) F08055, m) F08056, n) F08061, o) F08075, p) F08087, q) Chieftain, r) Norland, and s) Sangre.

Photos of the white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13: AAFC white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012: a) F08008 and b) F08028, c) F08033, d) F08069, e) V115-3, and f) Kennebec.

Photos of the purple or blue cultivars are shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14: AAFC purple fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012: a) F06058, b) F08101 and b) Adirondak Blue.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 18.

| 2012 Fresh Market | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow | | | |
| CV05122-1 | FM | 29.7 | 1.087 |
| F06049 | FM | 23.4 | 1.092 |
| F07020 | FM | 22.7 | 1.089 |
| F07039 | FM | 22.7 | 1.075 |
| F07041 | FM | 24.3 | 1.086 |
| F07042 | FM | 16.4 | 1.080 |
| F07061 | FM | 29.9 | 1.076 |
| F08031 | FM | 36.3 | 1.077 |
| F08040 | FM | 30.1 | 1.084 |
| F08047 | FM | 26.0 | 1.097 |
| F08048 | FM | 23.6 | 1.082 |
| F08050 | FM | 32.0 | 1.086 |
| F08086 | FM | 28.1 | 1.085 |
| Yukon Gold | FM | 26.6 | 1.083 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| CV99161-5 | FM | 23.7 | 1.081 |
| CV99256-2 | FM | 24.6 | 1.086 |
| F06051 | FM | 19.1 | 1.078 |
| F07038 | FM | 22.6 | 1.084 |
| F07043 | FM | 30.2 | 1.086 |
| F08037 | FM | 26.3 | 1.092 |
| F08039 | FM | 36.3 | 1.082 |
| F08051 | FM | 26.7 | 1.079 |
| F08052 | FM | 12.7 | 1.083 |
| F08053 | FM | 28.7 | 1.079 |
| F08054 | FM | 22.1 | 1.076 |
| F08055 | FM | 26.2 | 1.086 |
| F08056 | FM | 26.6 | 1.076 |
| F08061 | FM | 20.9 | 1.073 |
| F08075 | FM | 27.0 | 1.081 |
| F08087 | FM | 29.2 | 1.076 |
| Chieftain | FM | 34.0 | 1.081 |
| Norland | FM | 30.7 | 1.071 |
| Sangre | FM | 29.3 | 1.080 |
| White/Russet | | 27.5 | 1.007 |
| F08008 | FM | 27.5 | 1.087 |
| F08028 | FM | 25.7 | 1.088 |
| F08033 | FM | 30.8 | 1.090 |
| F08069 | FM | 26.3 | 1.096 |
| V110-3 | FM | 26.6 | 1.081 |
| | FIM | 28.3 | 1.079 |
| Anu-Oxidant E06059 | EM/AO | 24.0 | 1.067 |
| FU0UJð E09101 | | 24.U 20.0 | 1.00/ |
| rvoivi Adirondal: Plua | | 29.U 10.2 | 1.084 |
| Autonuak Diue | FINI/AU | 10.3 | 1.078 |

Table 18: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 225 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 19. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| 2012 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow | | | | |
| CV05122-1 | 16.5 | 76.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 |
| F06049 | 36.4 | 61.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| F07020 | 39.4 | 60.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| F07039 | 61.3 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| F07041 | 38.8 | 60.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| F07042 | 67.8 | 29.1 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| F07061 | 32.9 | 63.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| F08031 | 20.3 | 77.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| F08040 | 42.8 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| F08047 | 41.7 | 56.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| F08048 | 33.4 | 65.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| F08050 | 35.5 | 61.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| F08086 | 56.1 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Yukon Gold | 19.2 | 74.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| CV99161-5 | 38.4 | 60.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| CV99256-2 | 43.9 | 55.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| F06051 | 74.7 | 24.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| F07038 | 54.6 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07043 | 24.7 | 74.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| F08037 | 54.7 | 45.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08039 | 26.9 | 67.7 | 5.0 | 0.4 |
| F08051 | 45.7 | 53.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| F08052 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08053 | 45.1 | 53.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| F08054 | 47.5 | 52.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F08055 | 41.3 | 57.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| F08056 | 45.2 | 50.5 | 3.5 | 0.8 |
| F08061 | 41.5 | 50.2 | 6.2 | 2.2 |
| F08075 | 30.1 | 64.3 | 5.1 | 0.5 |
| F08087 | 22.2 | 75.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Chieftain | 20.1 | 77.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Norland | 26.7 | 69.2 | 3.4 | 0.7 |
| Sangre | 29.2 | 66.2 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| White/Russet | | | | |
| F08008 | 39.7 | 58.8 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| F08028 | 44.0 | 55.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| F08033 | 25.8 | 70.7 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| F08069 | 46.6 | 52.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| V115-3 | 39.9 | 58.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Kennebec | 29.3 | 64.9 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| Anti-Oxidant | | | | |
| F06058 | 45.4 | 50.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| F08101 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adirondak Blue | 44.8 | 53.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 |

Table 19: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 20.

| 2042 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2012 | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | | | | |
| CV05122-1 | 2.8 | 22.4 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| F06049 | 3.5 | 18.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| F07020 | 4.3 | 17.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| F07039 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F07041 | 4.1 | 19.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| F07042 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| F07061 | 3.5 | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| F08031 | 2.4 | 31.4 | 2.0 | 0.3 |
| F08040 | 6.3 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| F08047 | 4.9 | 20.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| F08048 | 3.2 | 19.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| F08050 | 4.9 | 24.5 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| F08086 | 8.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Yukon Gold | 1.3 | 21.5 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| CV99161-5 | 5.3 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| CV99256-2 | 5.4 | 18.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| F06051 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| F07038 | 7.3 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F07043 | 3.0 | 26.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| F08037 | 8.8 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08039 | 3.1 | 27.3 | 5.2 | 0.2 |
| F08051 | 6.1 | 19.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| F08052 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08053 | 6.2 | 20.4 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| F08054 | 5.7 | 16.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| F08055 | 4.8 | 19.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| F08056 | 4 7 | 18.2 | 3.2 | 03 |
| F08061 | 2.9 | 13.3 | 4.0 | 0.6 |
| F08075 | 2.8 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 |
| F08087 | 2.0 | 25.9 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| Chieftain | 2.5 | 29.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Norland | 3.9 | 24.4 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| Sangre | 2.6 | 23.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| White/Russet | | | | |
| F08008 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| F08028 | 7.1 | 17.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| F08033 | 3.0 | 25.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| F08069 | 6.5 | 18.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| V115-3 | 5.6 | 19.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Kennebec | 2.7 | 22.1 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
| Anti-Oxidant | | | | |
| F06058 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| F08101 | 7.1 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adirondak Blue | 4.2 | 13.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

Table 20: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 225 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in one tuber of CV99256-2, Adirondak Blue and Kennebec. Brown centre was noted in one or more tubers of F06058, F07038, F07039, F07041, F08047, F08048, F08052, F08053 and F08056. Adirondak Blue and F08101 exhibited some purple pigmentation. Some tubers from most samples exhibited some stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation.

Rhizoctonia scurf (1 to 5%) was noted on most tubers. No seed piece treatments were applied in this trial.

2013

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility (235 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs./ac N; 361 lbs./ac P, 1930 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (132 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, Snowden, Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet, Shepody, Norland, Sangre and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) if necessary and suberized prior to planting. Potatoes were planted May 14, 2013 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 5 with a disc hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 21). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 21: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2013 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |


Figure 15: NPVT trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 30, 2013.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 4 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 12 - 13 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of each cultivar were stored at 10°C until other (culinary, bruise tests, cold storage) analyses could be performed. Post-harvest analyses were conducted by AAFC and data may be available from the breeder.

Results shown are the means of two replicates. For comparison purposes, cultivar data has been grouped by intended end-use category.

The cultivars included in the replicated trials at CDCS, plus other novel cultivars for specialty markets, were grown at CDCN in demo plots. Field days were conducted at both locations. Data was only collected from the CDCS plots for this report.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) Atlantic E., b) Atlantic W., c) F07026, d) F09020, e) F09026, f) FV12246-6, g) FV15079-10, h) FV15559-79, i) Snowden E., j) Snowden W., and k) V05073-2.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 22.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 28.4 | 1.096 |
| Atlantic West | 31.1 | 1.106 |
| F07026 | 20.5 | 1.095 |
| F09020 | 36.2 | 1.099 |
| F09026 | 19.0 | 1.087 |
| FV12246-6 | 36.5 | 1.100 |
| FV15079-10 | 25.6 | 1.080 |
| FV15559-79 | 23.8 | 1.088 |
| Snowden East | 28.9 | 1.094 |
| Snowden West | 26.0 | 1.102 |
| V05073-2 | 21.0 | 1.093 |

Table 22: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 235 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 23. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 25.0% | 59.0% | 11.0% | 5.0% |
| Atlantic West | 18.0 | 77.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| F07026 | 39.0 | 60.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| F09020 | 19.5 | 69.5 | 9.0 | 1.5 |
| F09026 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| FV12246-6 | 26.5 | 66.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| FV15079-10 | 29.0 | 67.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| FV15559-79 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 23.0 | 74.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Snowden West | 26.0 | 71.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| V05073-2 | 43.0 | 57.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |

Table 23: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 24.

Table 24: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| * | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Atlantic East | 1.8 | 17.4 | 7.3 | 1.9 |
| Atlantic West | 1.5 | 26.2 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| F07026 | 4.2 | 15.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| F09020 | 2.1 | 25.5 | 7.3 | 1.4 |
| F09026 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| FV12246-6 | 3.5 | 26.2 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| FV15079-10 | 2.8 | 19.6 | 3.23 | 0.0 |
| FV15559-79 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 2.5 | 23.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Snowden West | 2.9 | 20.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| V05073-2 | 4.7 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart and/or brown center were noted in a few tubers of the Atlantic, Snowden, F09026, and V05073-2. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation.

Common scab lesions were only noted on a few tubers of F07026.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) CV00031-3., b) CV04218-1., c) F07001, d) F09001, e) F09003, f) F09005, g) Russet Burbank E, h) Russet Burbank W, i) Ranger Russet/Amisk, j) Shepody E, k) Shepody W, and l) WV9120-2

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 25.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| CV00031-3 | 21.2 | 1.083 |
| CV04218-1 | 24.8 | 1.070 |
| F07001 | 30.4 | 1.080 |
| F09001 | 30.4 | 1.091 |
| F09003 | 27.8 | 1.078 |
| F09005 | 24.1 | 1.078 |
| R. Burbank East | 34.8 | 1.089 |
| R. Burbank West | 29.9 | 1.087 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 31.5 | 1.092 |
| Shepody East | 28.4 | 1.075 |
| Shepody West | 27.2 | 1.084 |
| WV9120-2 | 26.5 | 1.089 |

Table 25: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 235 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 26. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CV00031-3 | 26.2% | 71.3% | 1.2% | 1.4% |
| CV04218-1 | 30.9 | 63.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
| F07001 | 24.8 | 69.1 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| F09001 | 30.8 | 66.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| F09003 | 20.0 | 76.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| F09005 | 38.0 | 61.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| R. Burbank East | 32.5 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| West | 34.8 | 55.1 | 1.3 | 8.8 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 34.9 | 64.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Shepody East | 22.6 | 59.0 | 7.9 | 10.4 |
| Shepody West | 24.8 | 65.7 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
| WV9120-2 | 42.5 | 57.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table 26: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 27.

Table 27: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| CV00031-3 | 2.1 | 17.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| CV04218-1 | 2.8 | 19.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| F07001 | 2.7 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| F09001 | 3.6 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| F09003 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| F09005 | 5.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| East | 5.2 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| West | 3.7 | 19.6 | 1.1 | 5.5 |
| Ranger R/Amisk | 4.9 | 26.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Shepody East | 2.1 | 17.0 | 5.2 | 4.1 |
| Shepody West | 2.0 | 19.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| WV9120-2 | 5.5 | 20.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow

heart was noted in several tubers of F07001, Russet Burbank, Shepody and WV9120-2. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation.

Common scab lesions were only noted on one tuber in each subsample of F09001 and several tubers in the Shepody W subsample.

Results - Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of the yellow fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 18.



Figure 18. AAFC yellow fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) F08003, b) F08050, c) F08086, d) F09053, e) F09054, f) F09065, g) V07148-2, and h) Yukon Gold.



Photos of the red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 19.

Figure 19. AAFC red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) CV05239-1, b) CV07366-2, c) CV97050-3, d) F08037, e) F08039, f) F08051, g) F08053, h) F08055, i) F09038, j) Norland, and k) Sangre.

Photos of the white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 20.



Figure 20. AAFC white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) F09030 and b) WV7868-1.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 28.

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow | | | |
| F08003 | FM | 26.6 | 1.097 |
| F08050 | FM | 33.7 | 1.088 |
| F08086 | FM | 33.0 | 1.093 |
| F09053 | FM | 18.3 | 1.082 |
| F09054 | FM | 20.2 | 1.091 |
| F09065 | FM | 32.1 | 1.087 |
| V07148-2 | FM | 29.0 | 1.075 |
| Yukon Gold | FM | 27.2 | 1.091 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| CV05239-1 | FM | 34.7 | 1.076 |
| CV07366-2 | FM | 31.1 | 1.081 |
| CV97050-3 | FM | 28.1 | 1.084 |
| F08037 | FM | 24.4 | 1.094 |
| F08039 | FM | 37.4 | 1.089 |
| F08051 | FM | 29.3 | 1.084 |
| F08053 | FM | 34.7 | 1.081 |
| F08055 | FM | 32.4 | 1.086 |
| F09038 | FM | 34.5 | 1.084 |
| Norland | FM | 31.7 | 1.069 |
| Sangre | FM | 28.5 | 1.084 |
| White | | | |
| F09030 | FM | 27.1 | 1.089 |
| WV7868-1 | FM | 24.8 | 1.077 |

Table 28: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 235 lbs./ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 29. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19 mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow | | | | |
| F08003 | 29.2 | 70.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| F08050 | 23.5 | 72.8 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| F08086 | 50.1 | 49.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F09053 | 21.0 | 56.3 | 19.9 | 2.3 |
| F09054 | 19.7 | 75.6 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| F09065 | 21.2 | 77.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| V07148-2 | 32.1 | 64.2 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| Yukon Gold | 12.0 | 83.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| CV05239-1 | 23.2 | 71.6 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| CV07366-2 | 20.8 | 75.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| CV97050-3 | 23.8 | 57.9 | 5.4 | 12.9 |
| F08037 | 39.2 | 60.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08039 | 20.1 | 76.0 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| F08051 | 32.9 | 65.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| F08053 | 26.1 | 67.4 | 6.3 | 0.2 |
| F08055 | 13.7 | 80.6 | 5.3 | 0.4 |
| F09038 | 20.3 | 76.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 |
| Norland | 18.4 | 74.8 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| Sangre | 27.6 | 69.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| White | | | | |
| F09030 | 44.2 | 55.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| WV7868-1 | 36.4 | 55.3 | 1.3 | 7.0 |

Table 29: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 30.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | | | | |
| F08003 | 4.3 | 22.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F08050 | 3.0 | 27.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 |
| F08086 | 9.0 | 23.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F09053 | 0.9 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 0.8 |
| F09054 | 1.2 | 16.9 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| F09065 | 2.4 | 28.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| V07148-2 | 3.8 | 21.8 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| Yukon Gold | 0.9 | 22.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| CV05239-1 | 3.0 | 27.7 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| CV07366-2 | 2.6 | 26.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| CV97050-3 | 1.7 | 19.0 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| F08037 | 5.3 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F08039 | 2.8 | 30.7 | 3.6 | 0.3 |
| F08051 | 3.8 | 24.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| F08053 | 2.9 | 26.1 | 5.7 | 0.1 |
| F08055 | 1.5 | 26.6 | 4.2 | 0.1 |
| F09038 | 2.5 | 29.5 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| Norland | 1.7 | 25.4 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| Sangre | 2.9 | 22.6 | 31 | 0.0 |
| White | | | | |
| F09030 | 6.8 | 19.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| WV7868-1 | 3.4 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 2.6 |

Table 30: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs./ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in a few tubers of CV05239-1, F08050, F08053, F08055, F09053, F09065, Norland, and WV7868-1. F08053 exhibited some purple pigmentation and internal necrosis. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation.

Common scab lesions (1%) were noted in at least one subsample of F08053, F09030, F09038, and F09065.

Conclusions

Each year of the trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. In 2011, Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Chieftain, Norland, Kennebec and Adirondak Blue were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with fresh market cultivars.

In 2012, Andover, Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet (Amisk) and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Kennebec, Adirondak Blue, Chieftain, Norland, and Sangre were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with fresh market cultivars.

In 2013, Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Norland, and Sangre were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 225 lbs./ac to 235 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

French Fry Variety Evaluation

2011

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (105 lbs./ac N; 214 lbs./ac P, 720 lbs./ac K), and broadcast fertilizer (350 lbs./ac of 34-17-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated at hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with a standard variety (Russet Burbank). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 13) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by BPS Ltd. and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Most varieties were planted May 30, 2011 approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ "deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Mini-tubers were received late and were hand planted June 6. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed was planted as single drop with the exception of some of the larger varieties. Cut seed (70 to 85 g) was suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 8 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 31). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| July 18 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 2 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 23 | Dithane DG Rainshield | 0.91 kg/ac | |

Table 31: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.



Figure 21: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 22, 2011.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 6 and re-applied (1.0 L/ac) September 12 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 21 - 26 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into weight categories (less than 4 oz., 4 - 6 oz., 6 - 10 oz., over 10 oz. and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (over 6 oz.) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. The length and diameter of each tuber in the specific gravity sample was recorded and the tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses could be performed. Samples were evaluated for French fry scores Dec. 1.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 24, 2011. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 22.



Figure 22. French fry varieties at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Alpine Russet, b) Blazer Russet, c) Coaldale 1, d) Coaldale 2, e) Coaldale 3, f) Coaldale 4, g) Coaldale 5, h) Owyhee Russet, i) Russet Burbank.

Yield data (total yield and marketable yield; ton/ac), mean marketable tuber weight (oz.) and specific gravities of each of the varieties are shown in Table 32.

The highest total yield was observed with Coaldale 3 on regular N, and total yield of Coaldale 5, LW 002, LW 003 and Russet Burbank were not statistically less than Coaldale 3. Only one variety, Blazer Russet was evaluated on low N. Total yield of Blazer Russet was significantly less on low N than on regular N in this trial. Likely the difference in N level was too great and more work may be required to identify an optimal rate of N for this variety. The highest mean marketable tuber weight was observed with Coaldale 1 although it was not statistically different from Coaldale 4 or Russet Burbank. The smallest mean tuber size was observed with Owyhee Russet and Alpine Russet as expected. Both of these varieties were grown from mini-tubers that were planted later than the main crop. These varieties will need to be assessed again using regular seed potatoes planted at the same time as the comparison varieties.

Table 32: Estimated total yield and marketable yield (ton/acre), mean weight of marketable tubers, tuber length to width (L/W) ratio and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2011 French Fry | Yield (ton/ac) | Yield over 4 oz. (ton/ac) | Mean Tuber weight (oz.) | Tuber L/W ratio | SG |
|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Regular Fertility | | | | | |
| Alpine Russet | 19.0 c | 12.5 c | 6.0 c | - | 1.080 b |
| Blazer Russet | 23.4 b | 20.3 ab | 8.6 b | 1.69 bc | 1.077 b |
| Coaldale 1 | 20.0 c | 17.6 b | 10.8 a | 1.72 bc | 1.080 b |
| Coaldale 2 | 19.1 c | 16.0 b | 7.8 bc | 1.91 a | 1.085 ab |
| Coaldale 3 | 30.6 a | 25.0 a | 8.6 b | 1.70 bc | 1.076 b |
| Coaldale 4 | 20.5 c | 18.6 a | 10.0 ab | 1.63 c | 1.090 ab |
| Coaldale 5 | 27.4 ab | 19.7 ab | 6.4 bc | 1.63 c | 1.076 b |
| LW 001* | 18.6 c | 13.9 bc | 6.9 bc | 1.38 de | 1.095 a |
| LW 002* | 23.7 ab | 19.3 ab | 7.4 bc | 1.63 cd | 1.082 b |
| LW 003* | 30.2 ab | 21.3 ab | 7.8 bc | 1.37 e | 1.086 ab |
| Owyhee Russet | 18.6 c | 9.2 c | 5.5 c | - | 1.082 b |
| Russet Burbank* | 25.4 abc | 22.4 ab | 8.7 ab | 1.90 ab | 1.087 ab |
| Low Fertility | | | | | |
| Blazer Russet | 18.6† | 15.5† | 8.1 | | 1.075 |

* Seed of the check variety was cut and treated before delivery. Planting delays resulted in poor emergence and low stand count of the check variety. Data from two replicates at an alternate site was used for comparison purposes. No comparison check data was available for the low N plots.

†Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean length to width ratio of tubers in the SG sample is shown in Table 32. Coaldale 2 had the highest ratio and was not statistically different from Russet Burbank. A ratio near 1.0 indicates a round potato and a ratio of 2.0 indicates that the tubers are twice as long as they are wide. Blazer Russet, Coaldale 1 and Coaldale 3 were not statistically different from Russet Burbank. LW 001 and LW 003 were more oval than long in shape.

LW 001 had the highest specific gravity on regular N, but this was not statistically different from Coaldale 4, Russet Burbank, LW 003 and Coaldale 2. The lowest specific gravity was observed with Coaldale 5 and Coaldale 3, and these were not statistically lower than Blazer Russet, Alpine Russet, Coaldale 1, Coaldale 2, Coaldale 3, Coaldale 4, Coaldale 5, LW 002, LW 003, Russet Burbank, and Owyhee Russet at regular N. The specific gravity of Blazer Russet on low N plots was not statistically different from that on regular N.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each weight category is shown in Table 33. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

Table 33: Percentage of total tuber number in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2011 | < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
|-----------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | | |
| Alpine Russet | 54.0 ab | 28.6 a | 14.8 bc | 1.92 c | 0.65 |
| Blazer Russet | 28.4 c | 19.9 ab | 29.5 ab | 21.1 ab | 0.92 |
| Coaldale 1 | 28.4 c | 15.0 b | 22.7 ab | 32.3 ab | 1.57 |
| Coaldale 2 | 30.2 c | 24.1 ab | 32.3 a | 13.0 bc | 0.37 |
| Coaldale 3 | 27.0 c | 20.4 ab | 30.6 ab | 19.1 b | 2.93 |
| Coaldale 4 | 22.1 c | 15.7 b | 27.0 ab | 33.4 a | 1.77 |
| Coaldale 5 | 47.9 b | 26.1 ab | 21.5 b | 3.9 c | 0.55 |
| LW 001* | 41.3 bc | 25.8 ab | 24.4 abc | 6.6 bc | 1.99 |
| LW 002* | 34.1 bc | 24.5 ab | 31.2 ab | 9.1 bc | 1.11 |
| LW 003* | 50.7 ab | 18.1 ab | 18.4 bc | 10.9 bc | 1.90 |
| Owyhee Russet | 66.7 a | 23.6 ab | 8.7 c | 1.5 c | 0.20 |
| Russet Burbank* | 23.7 с | 22.8 ab | 28.7 ab | 23.1 ab | 1.74 |
| Low N | | | | | |
| Blazer Russet | 25.5 | 22.3 | 32.8 | 17.3 | 2.00 |

†Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

As a result of a late planting date and planting mini-tubers, Owyhee Russet and Alpine Russet produced the greatest percentage of potatoes in the small (< 4 oz.) category, although not statistically different from LW 003. Coaldale 2 produced the greatest percentage of tubers in the 6 to 10 oz. category and LW 002, Coaldale 3, Blazer Russet, Russet Burbank, Coaldale 4, LW 001, and Coaldale 1 were not statistically different. Coaldale 4 yielded the greatest percentage of tubers over 10 oz. and Coaldale 1, Russet Burbank and Blazer Russet were not statistically different. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size category.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 34. Coaldale 3 gave the greatest yield of 6 to 10 oz. potatoes but was not statistically different from LW 002, Coaldale 5, LW 003, Russet Burbank, and Blazer Russet. Coaldale 1 and Coaldale 4 resulted in the greatest yield of large (> 10 oz.) potatoes, although this was not statistically greater than most other varieties because of variability within the data set. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size categories from regular N plots.

| nately 115 lb | s./ac). Data sno | wh is the mean of | four replicates. | Data followed |
|---------------|--|--|--|---|
| in each colun | nn of the table a | re not significantl | y different at the | e p < 0.05 level. |
| < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
| | | | | |
| 6.0 a | 6.5 b | 4.9 bc | 1.1 ab | 0.3 |
| 2.3 ab | 3.4 c | 7.6 ab | 9.3 ab | 0.4 |
| 1.6 b | 1.8 c | 4.2 bc | 11.5 a | 0.4 |
| 2.8 ab | 3.6 bc | 7.2 b | 5.2 ab | 0.1 |
| 3.0 ab | 4.2 bc | 10.1 a | 10.7 ab | 2.0 |
| 1.2 b | 1.9 c | 5.3 bc | 11.5 a | 0.5 |
| 6.9 ab | 7.7 a | 9.3 ab | 2.7 ab | 0.4 |
| 3.5 ab | 4.4 bc | 6.5 b | 3.0 ab | 0.7 |
| 3.4 ab | 4.9 bc | 9.5 ab | 4.9 ab | 0.5 |
| 6.8 a | 5.1 bc | 8.1 ab | 8.2 ab | 1.3 |
| 9.2 a | 5.5 bc | 3.1 c | 0.5 b | 0.1 |
| 1.7 ab | 4.0 bc | 7.7 ab | 10.6 ab | 0.8 |
| | | | | |
| 1.8† | 2.6 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 0.6 |
| | finitely 113 ib in each colum $< 4oz$. 6.0 a 2.3 ab 1.6 b 2.8 ab 3.0 ab 1.2 b 6.9 ab 3.5 ab 3.4 ab 6.8 a 9.2 a 1.7 ab | failery 115 lbs./ac). Data sho in each column of the table at $< 4oz$. 4 to 6 oz. 6.0 a 6.5 b 2.3 ab 3.4 c 1.6 b 1.8 c 2.8 ab 3.6 bc 3.0 ab 4.2 bc 1.2 b 1.9 c 6.9 ab 7.7 a 3.5 ab 4.4 bc 3.4 ab 4.9 bc 6.8 a 5.1 bc 9.2 a 5.5 bc 1.7 ab 4.0 bc | failery 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of in each column of the table are not significantl $< 4oz$. 4 to 6 oz. 6 to 10 oz. 6.0 a 6.5 b 4.9 bc 2.3 ab 3.4 c 7.6 ab 1.6 b 1.8 c 4.2 bc 2.8 ab 3.6 bc 7.2 b 3.0 ab 4.2 bc 10.1 a 1.2 b 1.9 c 5.3 bc 6.9 ab 7.7 a 9.3 ab 3.5 ab 4.4 bc 6.5 b 3.4 ab 4.9 bc 9.5 ab 6.8 a 5.1 bc 8.1 ab 9.2 a 5.5 bc 3.1 c 1.7 ab 4.0 bc 7.7 ab | nately 115 105./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates.in each column of the table are not significantly different at the $< 4oz$. 4 to 6 oz. 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz. 6.0 a 6.5 b 4.9 bc 1.1 ab 2.3 ab 3.4 c 7.6 ab 9.3 ab 1.6 b 1.8 c 4.2 bc 11.5 a 2.8 ab 3.6 bc 7.2 b 5.2 ab 3.0 ab 4.2 bc 10.1 a 10.7 ab 1.2 b 1.9 c 5.3 bc 11.5 a 6.9 ab 7.7 a 9.3 ab 2.7 ab 3.5 ab 4.4 bc 6.5 b 3.0 ab 3.4 ab 4.9 bc 9.5 ab 4.9 ab 6.8 a 5.1 bc 8.1 ab 8.2 ab 9.2 a 5.5 bc 3.1 c 0.5 b 1.7 ab 4.0 bc 7.7 ab 10.6 ab |

Table 34: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

†Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Fry scores are presented in Table 35. The Coaldale varieties and the LW varieties were evaluated by the sponsor and data is not available for this report. All of the varieties evaluated had lighter fry colour than Russet Burbank. All of the varieties except Alpine Russet had a mealy texture, suitable for French fries. The Alpine Russet sample may not have been fully mature given the late planting date. Colour uniformity was more variable for Russet Burbank than for the other varieties evaluated. Blazer Russet grown on low N had a darker fry score than when grown on regular N.

| 2011 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Regular N | Fry Colour | Internal Texture ¹ | Colour Uniformity ² | | | |
| Alpine Russet | USDA 0 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| Blazer Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 | | | |
| Owyhee Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 | | | |
| Russet Burbank* | USDA 2 | 4 | 2 | | | |
| Low N | | | | | | |
| Blazer Russet | USDA 1 | 4 | 2 | | | |
| Tr. 1 1 | (1) | | | | | |

Table 35: Fry scores: Fry Colour was assessed visually by comparison with a USDA fry colour chart (000 to 4; the lower the score, the better the fry colour). Data shown is the result of one composite sample run in duplicate.

¹Internal texture: 1 (wet) - 4 (mealy)

²Color uniformity: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were no internal defects noted for Alpine Russet, Coaldale 2, Coaldale 3, Coaldale 4, Coaldale 5, LW 001, LW 002 or Owyhee Russet. One rep of Blazer Russet had several tubers with hollow heart. Hollow heart was noted in a few tubers of Coaldale 1. LW 003 showed stem end discoloration in two tubers, possibly related to tuber maturity. Russet Burbank samples had a few tubers in each rep with hollow heart, brown centre or stem end discoloration.

2012

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (248 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (82 lbs./ac N; 192 lbs./ac P, 760 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (280 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the reduced nitrogen rate (150 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet, and Shepody). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 10) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Most varieties were planted May 23, 2012 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 36). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) and August 15 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 36: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| June 29 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| July 27 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |
| Aug 15 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |



Figure 23: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 20, 2012.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 13 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 18-25 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into weight categories (less than 4 oz., 4 - 6 oz., 6 - 10 oz., over 10 oz. and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (over 6 oz.) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. The length and diameter of each tuber in the specific gravity sample was recorded and the tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples were provided to customers for storage evaluation as requested.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2012. Photos of some of the varieties are shown in Figure 24.



Figure 24. French fry varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 012: a) Russet Burbank, b) Ranger Russet, c) Owyhee Russet (not shown) d) Coaldale 1, e) Coaldale 3 and f) Coaldale 6.

Yield data (total yield and marketable yield; ton/ac), mean marketable tuber weight (oz.) and specific gravities of each of the varieties are shown in Table 37. The highest total yield was observed with Owyhee Russet on regular N, and total yield of other varieties was not statistically different. Clearwater Russet was an exception, but yield was impacted by herbicide carryover in the seed and the yield in this trail is likely not a reflection of the potential for this variety in southern Alberta. Owyhee Russet also produced the greatest total yield on reduced N plots, and total yield of the other varieties in the trial was not statistically different. Owyhee Russet produced the greatest marketable yield at both levels of N. Marketable yield of Owyhee Russet was significantly different than marketable yield of Coaldale 6 and Coaldale 7, but not other varieties. Marketable yield of Owyhee Russet was not statistically different from that of the check varieties at the reduced rate of N. The highest mean marketable tuber weight was observed with Blazer Russet and Owyhee Russet although not statistically different from other varieties. For varieties grown at a reduced rate of N, Blazer Russet produced the largest mean marketable tuber size, but was not statistically different from the check varieties.

Table 37: Estimated total yield and marketable yield (ton/acre), mean weight of marketable tubers, tuber length to width (L/W) ratio and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2012 French Fry | Yield (ton/ac) | Yield over 4 oz. (ton/ac) | Mean Tuber weight (oz.) | SG |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Regular N Fertility | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 32.4 a | 22.5 ab | 7.7 ab | 1.086 ab |
| Ranger Russet | 27.5 ab | 21.0 ab† | 7.8 ab | 1.095 b |
| Alpine Russet | 27.2 ab† | 22.7 ab† | 8.2 ab | 1.089 ab† |
| Blazer Russet | 30.3 ab | 27.9 a | 10.4 a | 1.080 b |
| Owyhee Russet | 39.4 ab | 28.1 a† | 10.1 a† | 1.093 a† |
| Clearwater Russet* | 11.2 c | 7.3 c | 6.2 b | 1.092 ab |
| Coaldale 1 | 23.9 ab | 21.5 ab | 8.5 ab | 1.090 ab |
| Coaldale 3 | 22.3 abc | 20.7 ab | 8.7 ab | 1.094 a |
| Coaldale 6 | 21.2 abc | 15.2 bc | 8.2 ab | 1.085 ab |
| Coaldale 7 | 19.2 abc | 13.7 bc | 8.2 ab | 1.085 ab |
| Coaldale 8 | 23.7 ab | 20.8 ab | 9.6 a | 1.086 ab |
| LW008 | 29.0 ab | 21.2 ab | 7.2 ab | 1.085 ab |
| Reduced N | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 22.3 ab | 13.7 ab | 6.3 a | 1.088 ab |
| Ranger Russet | 20.8 ab | 13.9 ab† | 7.0 a | 1.096 ab |
| Alpine Russet | 21.0 ab† | 15.3 ab† | 6.5 a | 1.103 a† |
| Blazer Russet | 23.1 a | 19.0 a | 8.4 a | 1.085 b |
| Owyhee Russet | 27.2 a | 21.3 a† | 7.6 a† | 1.099 ab† |
| Clearwater Russet* | 11.22 b | 5.4 b | 6.4 a | 1.099 ab |
| LW008 | 24.5 a | 15.1 ab | 7.3 a | 1.086 b |

*Seed lot was affected by herbicide carryover.

[†]Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

All of the varieties evaluated at the regular rate of N fell in a desirable range for specific gravity, between 1.085 and 1.095 except for Blazer Russet which measured 1.080. On reduced N, the specific gravity of all varieties tended to be higher than on regular N. The highest specific gravity was measured for Alpine Russet samples at 1.103. Blazer Russet and LW008 fell in the desirable range, but the specific gravity of all other varieties was higher than desirable at this level of N.

For the varieties evaluated in this trial, the reduced rate of N resulted in significantly lower total and marketable yield for Alpine Russet and significantly lower marketable yield for Ranger Russet and Owyhee Russet compared to the full rate of N. The reduced rate of N also resulted in

significantly smaller mean tuber size for Owyhee Russet. Specific gravity was significantly higher in Alpine Russet and Owyhee Russet grown on reduced N compared to full N.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the reduced N plots was approximately 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or reduced N, but this data may provide insight as to the response of each variety to different levels of N. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each weight category is shown in Table 38. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

Coaldale 1 produced the greatest percentage of tubers over 4 oz. when grown on regular N, but not statistically different from Coaldale 8, Coaldale 3, LW008, Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet, Blazer Russet or Owyhee Russet. The greatest deformities were observed for Coaldale 6, Coaldale 7, Blazer Russet, Russet Burbank and Ranger Russet, while Coaldale 3 exhibited the lowest percentage of deformities.

Although no significant differences were noted in the yield of marketable tubers of each variety between the full and reduced rate of N, there were shifts within size categories. The percentage of small tubers increased significantly when Owyhee Russet was grown on reduced N compared to the full rate of N. There was a decrease in the percentage of 4 to 6 oz. tubers for LW008 and in 6 to 10 oz. tubers for Ranger Russet and Alpine Russet when these varieties were grown at a reduced rate of N. Reducing the N rate resulted in a significantly lower percentage of tubers over 10 oz. for Russet Burbank, Blazer Russet and Owyhee Russet.

Table 38: Percentage of total tuber number in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2012 | < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed | >4 oz. |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 17.7 bc | 21.2 ab | 31.0 a | 21.8 ab† | 8.3 ab | 73.9 a-d |
| Ranger Russet | 18.0 bc | 18.7 ab | 34.5 a† | 23.9 ab | 5.0 ab | 77.0 a-d |
| Alpine Russet | 15.3 bc | 18.7 ab | 30.2 a† | 33.2 ab | 2.6 b | 82.1 abc |
| Blazer Russet | 8.1 c | 19.4 ab | 26.8 a | 39.3 a† | 6.5 ab | 85.4 ab |
| Owyhee Russet | 8.2 c† | 16.0 ab | 30.4 a | 40.5 a† | 4.9 b | 86.9 a |
| Clearwater Russet* | 37.4 a | 28.6 a | 21.8 a | 12.1 b | 0.0 b | 62.6 d |
| Coaldale 1 | 10.1 c | 15.1 ab | 35.4 a | 37.9 a | 1.5 b | 88.5 a |
| Coaldale 3 | 16.8 bc | 19.3 ab | 35.3 a | 27.9 ab | 0.7 b | 82.5 abc |
| Coaldale 6 | 12.7 bc | 11.8 b | 25.5 a | 29.7 ab | 20.4 a | 67.0 cd |
| Coaldale 7 | 19.4 bc | 17.5 ab | 26.9 a | 25.5 ab | 10.7 ab | 69.9 bcd |
| Coaldale 8 | 9.2 c | 13.9 ab | 34.5 a | 39.8 a | 2.6 b | 88.2 a |
| LW008 | 23.6 b | 21.2 ab† | 31.7 a | 20.0 ab | 3.5 b | 72.9 a-d |
| Reduced N | | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 34.6 ab | 24.7 a | 22.5 a | 9.2 b† | 9.0 ab | 56.4 ab |
| Ranger Russet | 28.3 ab | 22.8 a | 28.7 a† | 14.7 ab | 5.4 abc | 66.2 ab |
| Alpine Russet | 24.0 b | 24.2 a | 25.1 a† | 22.6 ab | 4.1 abc | 71.9 ab |
| Blazer Russet | 14.8 b | 17.8 a | 27.6 a | 29.0 a† | 10.7 a | 74.5 ab |
| Owyhee Russet | 18.2 b† | 21.0 a | 33.0 a | 24.7 ab† | 3.1 bc | 78.7 a |
| Clearwater Russet* | 52.2 a | 24.2 a | 16.4 a | 6.7 b | 0.6 c | 47.2 b |
| LW008 | 32.8 ab | 19.6 a† | 22.8 a | 18.6 ab | 6.1 abc | 61.0 ab |

†Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 39. Owyhee Russet gave the greatest yield of 6 to 10 oz. potatoes at both levels of N, but was not statistically different from the other varieties. On full N, Owyhee Russet also gave the greatest yield over 10 oz. which was only significantly different from Coaldale 7 and Clearwater Russet. On reduced N, Blazer Russet produced the greatest yield of tubers over 10 oz.

Yields of tubers over 10 oz. for Russet Burbank and Blazer Russet were significantly affected by the level of N, while yield in other categories was not significantly affected. Yield of 6 to 10 oz. tubers of Ranger Russet and Alpine Russet were significantly affected by the rate of N. A significantly lower yield of 4 to 6 oz. tubers was produced by LW008 grown at the reduced rate of N and a significantly greater yield of tubers less than 4 oz. was produced when Owhyee Russet was grown at the reduced rate of N.

Table 39: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2012 | < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 5.3 ab | 6.4 | 9.5 a | 6.7 abcd† | 2.5 ab |
| Ranger Russet | 4.8 abc | 5.1 | 9.3 a† | 6.7 abcd | 1.4 ab |
| Alpine Russet | 4.1 abc | 5.0 | 8.3 ab† | 9.3 abc | 0.8 b |
| Blazer Russet | 2.5 c | 6.8 | 8.4 ab | 12.6 ab† | 2.0 ab |
| Owyhee Russet | 2.6 bc† | 5.0 | 9.9 a | 13.2 a | 1.8 ab |
| Clearwater Russet* | 4.0 abc | 3.2 | 2.6 b | 1.5 d | 0.0 b |
| Coaldale 1 | 2.4 c | 3.7 | 8.6 ab | 9.2 abc | 0.4 b |
| Coaldale 3 | 4.2 abc | 4.9 | 8.9 a | 6.9 abcd | 0.2 b |
| Coaldale 6 | 2.8 bc | 2.6 | 5.9 ab | 6.6 abcd | 4.4 a |
| Coaldale 7 | 3.6 bc | 3.5 | 5.9 ab | 5.0 cd | 1.9 ab |
| Coaldale 8 | 2.2 c | 3.5 | 8.3 ab | 9.0 abc | 0.6 b |
| LW008 | 6.6 a | 6.0† | 9.2 a | 6.0 abcd | 1.0 b |
| Reduced N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 6.0 ab | 5.5 | 6.0 ab | 2.3 ab† | 1.5 ab |
| Ranger Russet | 5.6 ab | 4.5 | 6.0 ab† | 3.3 ab | 1.1 ab |
| Alpine Russet | 4.8 b | 5.1 | 5.3 ab† | 4.9 ab | 0.8 b |
| Blazer Russet | 3.5 b | 4.3 | 7.2 ab | 7.5 a | 2.8 a |
| Owyhee Russet | 4.7 b† | 5.5 | 9.1 a | 6.7 a† | 0.9 b |
| Clearwater Russet* | 5.7 ab | 2.7 | 1.9 a | 0.8 b | 0.1 b |
| LW008 | 7.7 a | 4.6† | 5.7 ab | 4.7 ab | 1.5 ab |

†Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Fry scores are presented in Table 40. The Coaldale varieties and the LW varieties were evaluated by the sponsor and data is not available for this report. Fries made from LW008 grown at both levels of N were lighter than those made from Russet Burbank. At the full N rate, Ranger Russet, Blazer Russet and Owyhee Russet were slightly darker than Russet Burbank fries. On reduced N, Owyhee Russet fried as well as Russet Burbank and all other varieties fried lighter. All varieties tested had a mealy texture suitable for French fries. The most uniform fry colour was observed for Alpine Russet and LW008 when grown on reduced N.

| 2012 | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Regular N | Fry Colour | Internal Texture ¹ | Colour Uniformity ² |
| Russet Burbank | USDA 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Ranger Russet | USDA 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Alpine Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Blazer Russet | USDA 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Owyhee Russet | USDA 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Clearwater Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 |
| LW008 | USDA 00 | 4 | 3 |
| Reduced N | | | |
| Russet Burbank | USDA 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Ranger Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Alpine Russet | USDA 00 | 4 | 5 |
| Blazer Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Owyhee Russet | USDA 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Clearwater Russet | USDA 0 | 4 | 3 |
| LW008 | USDA 00 | 4 | 5 |

Table 40: Fry scores: Fry Colour was assessed visually by comparison with a USDA fry colour chart (000 to 4; the lower the score, the better the fry colour). Data shown is the result of one composite sample run in duplicate.

¹Internal texture: 1 (wet) - 4 (mealy)

²Color uniformity: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were very few internal defects noted for the French fry varieties evaluated. Stem end discoloration was noted in several samples, possibly related to tuber maturity.

2013

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (235 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs./ac N; 361 lbs./ac P, 1930 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (132 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the reduced nitrogen rate (190 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Russet Burbank and Shepody). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting. Potatoes were planted May 23, 2013 approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ "deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 17 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 41). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 41: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |



Figure 25: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 30, 2013.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 11 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 23-24 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into weight categories (less than 4 oz., 4 - 6 oz., 6 - 10 oz., over 10 oz. and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (over 6 oz.) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. The length and diameter of each tuber in the specific gravity sample was recorded and the tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples were provided to customers for storage evaluation as requested.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 25.



Figure 25. French fry varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) Russet Burbank, b) LW 004, and c) Shepody.

Yield data (total yield and marketable yield; ton/ac), mean marketable tuber weight (oz.) and specific gravities of each of the varieties are shown in Table 42. The highest total yield was observed for Russet Burbank on regular N, although total yield of LW 004 and Shepody were not statistically less than Russet Burbank at either level of N. The greatest marketable yield and mean tuber weight was observed for Shepody on reduced N, but Shepody is not normally harvested in late September.

No significant differences in total yield or yield of individual size categories were noted for the varieties when the results of Regular N and Reduced N plots were compared. However, Shepody on reduced N plots had significantly greater mean tuber weight than when grown on regular N and Russet Burbank grown on regular N had significantly greater marketable yield than Russet Burbank grown on reduced N.

Table 42: Estimated total yield and marketable yield (ton/acre), mean weight of marketable tubers, tuber length to width (L/W) ratio and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 240 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 French Fry | Yield (ton/ac) | Yield over 4 oz. (ton/ac) | Mean Tuber weight (oz.) | SG |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Regular N Fertility | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 33.62 a | 29.65 a† | 9.02 a | 1.081 a |
| LW 004 | 29.32 a | 28.31 a | 9.18 a | 1.085 a |
| Shepody | 29.41 a | 28.25 a | 9.27 a† | 1.082 a |
| Reduced N | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 31.73 a | 26.71 b† | 9.06 b | 1.082 a |
| LW 004 | 27.36 a | 26.55 b | 9.17 b | 1.082 a |
| Shepody | 31.67 a | 31.14 a | 11.10 a† | 1.082 a |

†Data between the regular and reduced N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

There were no significant differences in specific gravity for any of the varieties at either level of N.

The mean percentage of total tuber yield in each weight category is shown in Table 43. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

Table 43: Percentage of total tuber yield in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 240 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 | < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
|----------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 9.0 a | 13.75 a | 27.25 a | 42.0 ab | 8.0 a |
| LW 004 | 8.75 a | 16.25 a | 37.75 a | 36.0 b | 1.3 b |
| Shepody | 5.75 a | 11.25 a† | 31.25 a | 49.75 a† | 2.0 b |
| Reduced N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 11.25 a | 14.25 a | 25.5 a | 34.5 c | 14.5 a |
| LW 004 | 5.25 b | 12.0 ab | 33.75 a | 46.8 b | 1.5 b |
| Shepody | 6.0 ab | 7.0 b† | 25.0 a | 60.0 a† | 1.3 b |

†Data between the regular and reduced N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in the under 4 oz., the 4 to 6 oz. or the 6 to 10 oz. categories when the varieties were grown on 240 lbs./ac N. At this level of N, Shepody produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 10 oz. relative to LW 004. At 190 lbs./ac N, Russet Burbank produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 10 oz. than the other two varieties. LW 004 was not statistically different from Shepody except that Shepody produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 10 oz. the other two varieties over 10 oz. at the 190 lb./ac rate. Russet Burbank produced a significantly higher percentage of LW 004 at both rates of N. The size distribution for Shepody changed significantly with N rate. When grown at a moderate rate of N, Shepody tends to produce a higher percentage of larger tubers. This type of shift was not statistically significant for Russet Burbank or LW 004.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 44. There were few statistical differences between varieties in specific size categories when grown at 240 lbs./ac N. Shepody produced significantly less undersized potatoes than the other two varieties and Russet Burbank produced significantly more deformed tubers. In the 190 lb./ac N plots, the size profile of Russet Burbank shifted toward smaller tubers, while the size profile of Shepody shifted toward larger tubers. LW 004 produced significantly fewer undersized and deformed tubers than Russet Burbank. There were no significant differences in any specific size category resulting from different levels of N.

Table 44: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each weight category (< 4oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz. > 10 oz., and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 240 lbs./ac) and reduced nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 | < 4oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
|----------------|--------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 2.87 a | 4.41 a | 8.79 a | 13.58 a | 2.73 a |
| LW 004 | 2.54 a | 4.62 a | 10.76 a | 10.38 a | 0.39 b |
| Shepody | 1.62 b | 3.23 a | 9.01 a | 14.39 a | 0.54 b |
| Reduced N | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 3.39 a | 4.33 b | 7.87 a | 11.12 b | 4.89 a |
| LW 004 | 1.50 b | 3.26 ab | 9.11 a | 12.68 b | 0.43 b |
| Shepody | 1.88 b | 2.20 a | 7.93 a | 19.13 a | 0.48 b |

†Data between the regular and reduced N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Fry scores are presented in Table 45.

| 2013 | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Regular N | Fry Colour | Internal Texture ¹ | Colour Uniformity ² | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 1 | 3.0 | 4.0 | | | | |
| Ivory Russet | 0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | |
| Shepody | 1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| Reduced N | | | | | | | |
| Russet Burbank | 1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| Ivory Russet | 0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| Shepody | 2 | 4.0 | 3.0 | | | | |

Table 45: Fry scores. Fry Colour was assessed visually by comparison with a USDA fry colour chart (000 to 4; the lower the score, the better the fry colour). Data shown is the result of one composite sample run in duplicate.

¹Internal texture: 1 (wet) - 4 (mealy)

²Color uniformity: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for LW 004 or russet Burbank grown on 240 lbs./ac N. Shepody tubers had some incidence of stem end discoloration at this level of N. At the lower level of N, very few internal defects were noted in any of the varieties.

Conclusions

Each year of the trial included a number of French fry potato varieties with potential in southern Alberta. In 2011, Russet Burbank was included in the trial as a check variety, but seed piece decay affected the stand and yield. The use of mini-tubers for some of the varieties did not allow for a fair comparison of those varieties with the check and additional work with these varieties would be beneficial. In 2012, Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet and Shepody were included in the trial as check varieties. In 2013, Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as check varieties. Yield of several new varieties compared well with Russet Burbank. The specific gravities of most of the varieties fell within a desirable range.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for new potato cultivars and to give some idea of the nitrogen rate required to produce yields comparable to standard varieties. In 2011, the N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate and may have been too low. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. In 2013, marketable yield of Russet Burbank (over 4 oz.) was greater on the higher fertility treatment. Mean tuber size for Shepody was greater on the reduced fertility treatment. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Chipping Variety Evaluation

2011

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (105 lbs./ac N; 214 lbs./ac P, 720 lbs./ac K), and broadcast fertilizer (350 lbs./ac of 34-17-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated at hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with two standard varieties (Atlantic and Lady Claire). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 13) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Old Dutch Foods and seed of test cultivars was provided each participant. Potatoes were planted May 30, 2011 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed was planted as single drop with the exception of some of the larger varieties. Cut seed (70 to 85 g) was suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 8 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 46). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| July 18 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 2 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 23 | Dithane DG Rainshield | 0.91 kg/ac | |

Table 46: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.





Samples were taken from one replicate row of each variety to determine sugar concentrations prior to harvest. The yield and grade of these samples were recorded and added back to harvest data. Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 6 and re-applied (1.0 L/ac) September 12 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 21 - 26 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Two composite samples of 8 tubers each (2 per rep) were stored at 15°C until culinary analyses could be performed. Sugar concentrations were measured November 23 – 25 and samples were evaluated for chip color Nov 29.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.
Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 24, 2011. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 20.



Figure 27. Chipping varieties at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) Atlantic, b) PLP 001, c) ODF 001, d) Sentinel, e) RV 001, f) ODF 002, g) Lady Claire, h) Lady Valora, i) ODF 004, j) EPG 001, and k) ODF 003.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the releases are shown in Table 47. The highest total yield was observed with EPG 001 on regular N, and Lady Claire, Lady Valora, ODF 001, ODF 002 and Sentinel were not statistically less than EPG 001. The highest total yield on low N was observed with Lady Valora and Atlantic, Lady Claire, ODF 001, ODF

002, ODF 003, ODF 004 and Sentinel were not statistically different. For all varieties except Atlantic, total yield was higher in the regular N plots than in the low N plots.

Table 47: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2011 Chippers | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| Regular Fertility | | |
| Atlantic | 19.65 c | 1.089 ab |
| EPG 001 | 34.40 a | 1.079 b |
| Lady Claire | 32.56 ab | 1.088 ab |
| PLP 001 | 24.61 bc | 1.098 ab |
| Lady Valora | 26.64 abc | 1.092 ab |
| ODF 001 | 26.02 abc | 1.081 b |
| ODF 002 | 27.73 abc | 1.100 a |
| ODF 003 | 23.24 c | 1.090 ab |
| ODF 004 | 23.78 bc | 1.080 b |
| RV 001 | 22.41 c | 1.099 ab |
| Sentinel | 27.92 abc | 1.080 b |
| Low Fertility | | |
| Atlantic | 20.26 pq | 1.091 pq |
| Lady Claire | 22.08 p | 1.094 p |
| PLP 001 | 17.40 q | 1.096 p |
| Lady Valora | 24.71 p | 1.095 p |
| ODF 001 | 18.39 pq | 1.082 r |
| ODF 002 | 23.40 pq | 1.098 p |
| ODF 003 | 21.13 pq | 1.093 pq |
| ODF 004 | 21.62 p | 1.083 qr |
| RV 001 | 17.23 q | 1.102 p |
| Sentinel | 22.10 pq | 1.083 r |

ODF 002 had the highest specific gravity on regular N, but this was not statistically different from RV 001, PLP 001, Lady Valora, ODF 003, Atlantic and Lady Claire. The lowest specific gravity was observed with EPG 001, ODF 004 and Sentinel at regular N. The specific gravities of chipping varieties tended to be lower on regular N plots than low N plots, as expected.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 48. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In the regular N plots, PLP 001 produced the greatest percentage of potatoes in the small (<48mm) category, although not statistically different from Lady Claire, Lady Valora, ODF 001, ODF 002, ODF 003, ODF 004 and RV 001. Sentinel produced the greatest percentage of tubers in the medium (48-88mm) category and Atlantic, EPG 001, ODF 001, ODF 002, ODF 003 and ODF 004 were not statistically different. There were no statistically significant differences in the large (> 88mm) or deformed size categories.

In the low N plots, PLP 001 produced a significantly greater percentage of small tubers (< 48mm) than other varieties, while Atlantic, ODF 001, ODF 003 and Sentinel produced a significantly lower percentage of small tubers. Sentinel produced the greatest percentage of medium tubers (48 – 88mm) and Atlantic, ODF 001 and ODF 003 were not statistically different. There were no statistically significant differences in the large (> 88mm) or deformed size categories from the low N plots. Fewer large tubers were observed from the low N plots than from the regular N plots.

Table 48: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2011 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 16.7 b | 71.9 abc | 10.8 | 1.5 |
| EPG 001 | 14.6 b | 75.6 ab | 9.8 | 0 |
| Lady Claire | 37.6 ab | 61.9 bc | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| PLP 001 | 62.3 a | 37.1 d | 0 | 1.1 |
| Lady Valora | 40.9 ab | 58.9 c | 0 | 0.8 |
| ODF 001 | 24.1 ab | 73.1 abc | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| ODF 002 | 32.7 ab | 67.1 abc | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| ODF 003 | 20.8 ab | 75.8 a | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| ODF 004 | 31.2 ab | 67.9 abc | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| RV 001 | 47.2 ab | 51.9 cd | 0 | 2.2 |
| Sentinel | 13.6 bc | 80.6 a | 5.8 | 0 |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 19.3 r | 79.6 p | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Lady Claire | 44.2 q | 55.7 q | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| PLP 001 | 66.1 p | 33.9 r | 0 | 0.5 |
| Lady Valora | 46.5 q | 53.5 q | 0 | 0.2 |
| ODF 001 | 18.4 r | 81.0 p | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| ODF 002 | 37.6 q | 62.4 q | 0 | 0.3 |
| ODF 003 | 21.7 r | 77.1 p | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| ODF 004 | 39.0 q | 60.4 q | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| RV 001 | 48.7 q | 51.3 q | 0 | 0.2 |
| Sentinel | 15.7 r | 81.0 p | 3.4 | 0.2 |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 49. In the regular N plots, PLP 001 produced significantly greater yield of small (< 48mm) potatoes while Atlantic, EPG 001, ODF 001, ODF 003, ODF 004 and Sentinel produced the lowest yield of smalls. EPG 001 gave the greatest yield of medium (48 – 88mm) potatoes but was not statistically different from Lady Claire, Lady Valora, ODF 001, ODF 002, ODF 003, ODF 004 and Sentinel. EPG 001 also resulted in the greatest yield of large (> 88mm) potatoes, which was statistically different from varieties that produced no large potatoes (PLP 001, Lady Valora, and RV 001. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size categories from regular N plots.

In the low N plots, PLP 001 produced the greatest yield of small (< 48mm) potatoes, but not statistically more than Lady Claire, Lady Valora and RV 001. The greatest yield of medium (48 – 88mm) potatoes was observed with Sentinel but Atlantic, Lady Claire, Lady Valora, ODF 001, ODF 002, ODF 003 and ODF 004 were not statistically different. For all varieties except Atlantic, yield of medium (48 – 88mm) tubers was higher in the regular N plots than in the low N plots.

| by the same lette | er in each column o | f the table are no | t significantly diff | erent at the $p < 0.05$ |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2011 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
| 2011 | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1.07 d | 13.60 c | 5.74 ab | 0.29 |
| EPG 001 | 1.74 d | 25.88 a | 8.18 a | 0.03 |
| Lady Claire | 7.04 b | 24.46 a | 0.08 ab | 1.21 |
| PLP 001 | 9.83 a | 14.05 c | 0 b | 0.89 |
| Lady Valora | 6.13 bc | 20.17 abc | 0 b | 0.41 |
| ODF 001 | 2.43 d | 21.45 abc | 2.21 ab | 0.38 |
| ODF 002 | 5.10 bc | 22.39 ab | 0.10 ab | 0.23 |
| ODF 003 | 2.03 d | 19.11 abc | 2.53 ab | 0.03 |
| ODF 004 | 3.64 cd | 19.29 abc | 0.84 ab | 0.20 |
| RV 001 | 6.17 bc | 15.03 bc | 0 b | 1.46 |
| Sentinel | 1.55 d | 22.87 ab | 4.24 ab | 0 |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1.95 r | 17.53 p | 0.73 | 0.23 |
| Lady Claire | 5.92 pq | 15.69 pqr | 0.16 | 0.41 |
| PLP 001 | 8.06 p | 9.13 r | 0 | 0.25 |
| Lady Valora | 6.55 pq | 17.32 p | 0 | 1.01 |
| ODF 001 | 1.47 r | 16.57 pq | 0.35 | 0.08 |
| ODF 002 | 5.11 q | 18.16 p | 0 | 0.15 |
| ODF 003 | 2.20 r | 18.31 p | 0.70 | 0.05 |
| ODF 004 | 4.43 qr | 16.77 pq | 0.46 | 0.05 |
| RV 001 | 6.36 pq | 10.37 qr | 2.08 | 0.60 |
| Sentinel | 1.36 r | 18.96 p | 0 | 0.08 |

Table 49: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for each variety from regular and low fertility plots is shown in Figure 28.



Figure 28: Yield of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on low (115 lbs./ac) and regular (225 lbs./ac) N. For each variety, columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 50. All of the samples except Atlantic grown at 225 lbs./ac N gave good chip scores. RV 001 and Lady Claire grown in the regular N plots gave the lightest chip scores.

| lightness score; higher numbers are lighter). | | | | |
|---|-------|-------------|-------|--|
| 2011 | L | | L | |
| Regular N | | Low N | | |
| Atlantic | 56.57 | Atlantic | 61.47 | |
| EPG 001 | 62.69 | | | |
| Lady Claire | 68.81 | Lady Claire | 67.65 | |
| PLP 001 | 65.05 | PLP 001 | 67.96 | |
| Lady Valora | 64.16 | Lady Valora | 61.93 | |
| RV 001 | 71.89 | RV 001 | 66.74 | |
| Sentinel | 60.17 | Sentinel | 61.62 | |

Table 50: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in a few tubers of the Atlantic, EPG 001, ODF 002, and ODF 003. Subsamples of ODF 001, Lady Claire, Lady Valora, and RV 001 were free of any internal defects.

Approximately 16% of PLP 001 tubers showed common scab lesions of up to 8% tuber coverage.

2012

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (248 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (82 lbs./ac N; 192 lbs./ac P, 760 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (279 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the medium nitrogen rate (150 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate (90 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate (90 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Atlantic, Niska and PLP 001). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 10) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Most varieties were planted May 23, 2012 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 51). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) and August 15 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 51: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| June 29 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| July 27 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |
| Aug 15 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |



Figure 29: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 20, 2012.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 13 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 18-25 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10° C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter December 17, 2012.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Comparisons for specific cultivars at two rates of N were analyzed using t-tests on a cultivar-by-cultivar basis (Excel; $p \le 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 30.



Figure 30. Chipping varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012: a) Atlantic, b) Niska, c) Lady Claire, d) ODF 003, e) EPG 005, f) EPG 006, g) PLP 001, h) ODF 005, i) RV 002, j) RV 003 and l) RV 004.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the cultivars are shown in Table 52. At the regular rate of N (248 lbs./ac), RV 002 produced the highest total yield, but significantly more than EPG 005 and EPG 006 which were planted late. At a moderate rate of N (150 lbs./ac), the highest yield was observed with ODF 005, but was not statistically different from most other cultivars. For each cultivar, a t-test was applied to determine whether total yield was significantly affected by the rate of N in the plots. Although there was a trend toward lower yield on reduced N plots, no statistically significant differences were identified for total yield.

| 2012 Chippers | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Regular N | | |
| Atlantic | 29.5 ab | 1.113 a |
| Lady Claire | 20.5 с | 1.128 a |
| PLP 001 | 30.8 a | 1.118 a |
| Niska | 30.2 a | 1.102 a |
| RV 002 | 32.5 a | 1.106 a |
| RV 003 | 29.7 ab | 1.111 a |
| RV 004 | 27.0 abc | 1.117 a |
| ODF 003 | 21.7 bc | 1.117 a |
| EPG 005 | 9.5 d | 1.109 a |
| EPG 006 | 11.2 d | 1.108 a† |
| Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 22.6 ab | 1.115 a |
| Lady Claire | 20.3 ab | 1.111 abc |
| PLP 001 | 26.3 a | 1.114 ab |
| Niska | 25.1 ab | 1.096 cd |
| RV 002 | 26.7 a | 1.098 bcd |
| ODF 005 | 29.2 a | 1.099 abcd |
| RV 003 | 22.1 ab | 1.107 abc |
| RV 004 | 24.1 ab | 1.102 abcd |
| ODF 003 | 18.3 ab | 1.100 abcd |
| EPG 005 | 7.9 b | 1.090 d |
| EPG 006 | 7.9 b | 1.094 cd† |
| Low N | | |
| Atlantic | 16.8 | 1.109 |
| ODF 005 | 20.0 | 1.091 |

Table 52: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low N (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[†] Data between the regular and moderate N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Potatoes selected as chipping cultivars, typically have specific gravities above 1.080 in Alberta and all of the entries in this trial were well above this level of solids. Specific gravity measurements for these cultivars seemed abnormally high and may reflect a technical error. The numbers may be somewhat useful in relative terms, but should not be relied on as absolute values. The chipping scores (Table 56) will provide a better indication of suitability for chipping.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the moderate N plots was approximately 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. The rate of N may have been too different to establish the best rate for each cultivar. An intermediate rate may have resulted in greater yield than either of the rates tested.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 53. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In the regular N plots, RV 004 produced the greatest percentage of marketable tubers and was not statistically different from Atlantic, Niska, RV 002, or ODF 003. In the moderate N plots, ODF 003 produced the greatest percentage of potatoes in the marketable category (48 – 88mm) and was not statistically different from Atlantic, Lady Claire, Niska, RV 002, ODF 005, RV 004 or EPG 006. Atlantic and ODF 005 both produced a high percentage of marketable tubers on low N. As expected, there was a shift in size profile when tubers were grown on different rates of N. For Atlantic, there were significantly more small and significantly fewer large tubers on moderate than on regular N. RV 004 produced significantly more small and significantly fewer marketable tubers on moderate N.

Table 53: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2012 | % <48mm | % 48 to 88mm | % > 88mm | % deformed |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 17.8 c† | 73.4 a | 6.4 a† | 2.4 a |
| Lady Claire | 49.1 a | 49.6 b | 0.1 c† | 1.1 a |
| PLP 001 | 48.2 b | 50.1 b | 0.1 c | 1.7 a |
| Niska | 20.7 c | 69.9 a | 6.1 a | 3.3 a |
| RV 002 | 31.8 c | 66.3 a | 0.6 bc | 1.3 a |
| RV 003 | 53.9 b | 44.3 b | 0.0 c | 1.9 a |
| RV 004 | 21.6 c† | 74.1 a† | 0.7 bc | 3.6 a |
| ODF 003 | 23.7 с | 70.4 a | 5.0 ab | 0.8 a |
| EPG 005 | 72.5 a | 25.2 c | 0.0 c | 2.3 a |
| EPG 006 | 51.0 b | 46.4 b | 0.9 bc | 1.7 a |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 32.6 cd† | 64.8 ab | 2.2 a† | 0.5 a |
| Lady Claire | 49.9 abcd | 48.9 abc | 0.6 a† | 0.7 a |
| PLP 001 | 61.4 abc | 37.7 bcd | 0.2 a | 0.7 a |
| Niska | 32.7 cd | 62.5 ab | 2.4 a | 2.4 a |
| RV 002 | 44.1 bcd | 55.6 abc | 0.1 a | 0.2 a |
| ODF 005 | 46.7 bcd | 49.2 abc | 3.3 a | 0.7 a |
| RV 003 | 68.4 ab | 31.1 cd | 0.0 a | 0.5 a |
| RV 004 | 31.8 cd† | 63.6 ab† | 0.3 a | 4.3 a |
| ODF 003 | 28.8 d | 66.7 a | 3.6 a | 0.9 a |
| EPG 005 | 78.1 a | 20.1 d | 0.0 a | 1.8 a |
| EPG 006 | 47.3 bcd | 50.0 abc | 0.5 a | 2.2 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 25.2 | 70.0 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| ODF 005 | 33.3 | 64.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 |

[†] Data between the regular and moderate N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 54. At the regular rate of N (248 lbs./ac), RV 002 produced the greatest yield of marketable tubers, although not statistically different from Atlantic, PLP 001, Niska, RV 003 and RV 004. On moderate N plots, RV 002 produced the greatest yield of marketable (48 – 88mm) tubers and only EPG 006 and EPG 005 were statistically different.

In general, moderate N resulted in lower marketable yield than regular N. For Atlantic and RV 004, significantly greater yield of small tubers were produced on moderate N plots than on regular N plots and correspondingly fewer large and marketable tubers were produced.

| Table 54: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and |
|---|
| deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate |
| nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of |
| four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly |
| different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| 2012 | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1.5 f† | 21.7 abc | 4.9 a† | 1.3 a |
| Lady Claire | 5.7 bc | 14.2 cd | 0.2 d | 0.4 a |
| PLP 001 | 7.8 ab | 21.7 abc | 0.1 d | 1.2 a |
| Niska | 2.0 ef | 22.6 ab | 4.4 ab | 1.2 a |
| RV 002 | 4.4 cd | 26.1 a | 1.4 bcd | 0.6 a |
| RV 003 | 10.2 a | 18.2 abc | 0.0 d | 1.4 a |
| RV 004 | 2.1 def† | 22.6 ab† | 0.6 cd | 1.7 a |
| ODF 003 | 1.9 f | 16.0 bc | 3.5 abc | 0.4 a |
| EPG 005 | 4.4 cde | 4.6 e | 0.0 d | 0.5 a |
| EPG 006 | 2.8 def | 7.7 de† | 0.4 cd | 0.3 a |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 2.8 cd† | 18.0 a | 1.7 a† | 0.1 a |
| Lady Claire | 5.6 bc | 13.9 abc | 0.5 a | 0.3 a |
| PLP 001 | 10.0 a | 15.6 ab | 0.2 a | 0.5 a |
| Niska | 3.0 bcd | 18.8 a | 2.3 a | 1.1 a |
| RV 002 | 6.3 b | 20.1 a | 0.1 a | 0.2 a |
| ODF 005 | 4.4 bcd | 14.6 abc | 2.5 a | 0.1 a |
| RV 003 | 10.9 a | 10.9 abc | 0.0 a | 0.2 a |
| RV 004 | 3.4 bcd† | 18.4 a† | 0.2 a | 2.1 a |
| ODF 003 | 2.0 d | 14.0 abc | 2.0 a | 0.2 a |
| EPG 005 | 4.4 bcd | 3.4 c | 0.0 a | 0.1 a |
| EPG 006 | 2.7 cd | 4.8 bc† | 0.1 a | 0.3 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 2.0 | 15.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| ODF 005 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 |

[†] Data between the regular and moderate N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for each variety from regular, moderate and low N plots is shown in Figure 31.



Figure 31: Yield (ton/ac) of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on regular (248 lbs./ac) N, and moderate (150 lbs./ac) N and low (90 lbs./ac) N plots. For each variety, yield columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Medium tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 55. RV 002 and RV 003 were rated as most uniformly sized from regular N plots. On moderate N, RV 003, EPG 006 and EPG 005 were most uniformly sized.

When comparing individual cultivars, N level appeared to affect scores for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance indicating the importance of agronomic data for the production of high quality chipping potatoes.

| 2012 | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Regular N | | |
| Atlantic | 3.2 | 3 |
| Lady Claire | 2.8 | 3 |
| PLP 001 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Niska | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| RV 002 | 4 | 3 |
| RV 003 | 4 | 3.6 |
| RV 004 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| ODF 003 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| EPG 005 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| EPG 006 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Lady Claire | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| PLP 001 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| Niska | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| RV 002 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| ODF 005 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| RV 003 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| RV 004 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| ODF 003 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| EPG 005 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| EPG 006 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| Low N | | |
| Atlantic | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| ODF 005 | 3.0 | 2.8 |

Table 55: Subjective tuber assessments. Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. Very few internal defects were noted for the chipping cultivars evaluated in 2012. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration. ODF 005 seemed somewhat susceptible to purple pigmentation developing within tubers when grown at the moderate rate of N, but no pigmentation was noted from low N samples.

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 56. All of the samples, except EPG 005, gave good chip scores at all levels of N tested. A higher L-value indicates a lighter chip. The lightest chips were produced from Niska grown on both regular N and moderate N. EPG 005 produced chips below a desirable lightness score of 60 and this may be related to maturity issues. These results are from composite samples from one year of testing and additional testing may be required to determine optimal agronomic conditions for chip quality.

Table 56: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low (approximately 100 lbs./ac) nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

| 2012 | L | | L |
|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| Regular N | | Moderate N | |
| Atlantic | 68.7 | Atlantic | 64.6 |
| Lady Claire | 65.6 | Lady Claire | 64.0 |
| PLP 001 | 63.7 | PLP 001 | 64.2 |
| Niska | 70.4 | Niska | 70.5 |
| RV 002 | 67.9 | RV 002 | 61.1 |
| | | ODF 005 | 67.0 |
| RV 003 | 69.3 | RV 003 | 64.6 |
| RV 004 | 64.9 | RV 004 | 68.2 |
| ODF 003 | 66.4 | ODF 003 | 68.3 |
| EPG 005 | 51.8 | EPG 005 | 49.8 |
| EPG 006 | 66.7 | EPG 006 | 66.1 |
| Low N | | Low N | |
| Atlantic | 68.3 | ODF 005 | 66.8 |

2013

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (235 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs./ac N; 361 lbs./ac P, 1930 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (132 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the reduced nitrogen rate (190 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Atlantic and PLP 001). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting. Potatoes were planted May 23, 2013 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 17 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 57). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 57: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |



Figure 32: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 30, 2013.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 11 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 23-24 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter December 17, 2013.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Comparisons for specific cultivars at two rates of N were analyzed using t-tests on a cultivar-by-cultivar basis (Excel; $p \le 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 33.



Figure 33. Chipping varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) Atlantic, b) PLP 001, c) EPG013, d) EPG014, e) ODF003, f) ODF006, g) Photo not available of ODF007, h) RV002, i) RV003, j) RV004 and k) RV007.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the cultivars are shown in Table 58. At the regular rate of N (235 lbs./ac), RV 007 yielded significantly more than all other cultivars. Yield of EPG 013 was lowest and was not statistically different from EPG 006. Total yield of most cultivars was not significantly different from that of Atlantic. At a moderate rate of N (190 lbs./ac), the highest yield was observed with RV 007 and RV 003 and RV 004 were not statistically different. EPG 013 was the lowest yielding cultivar at this level of N. For each

cultivar, a t-test was applied to determine whether total yield was significantly affected by the rate of N in the plots. No statistically significant differences were identified for total yield.

Table 58: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 Chippers | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Regular N | | |
| Atlantic | 32.6 b | 1.098 ab |
| PLP 001 | 32.4 b | 1.088 bcd† |
| RV 002 | 33.5 b | 1.088 bcd |
| EPG 013 | 22.4 c | 1.096 ab† |
| EPG 014 | | |
| RV 003 | 32.1 b | 1.096 cd |
| RV 007 | 45.1 a | 1.102 abc |
| RV 004 | 33.2 b | 1.093 abc |
| ODF 003 | 32.8 b | 1.084 cd† |
| EPG 006 | 28.8 bc | 1.078 d |
| Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 34.4 bc | 1.093 ab |
| PLP 001 | 30.5 bc | 1.098 a† |
| RV 002 | 32.5 bc | 1.082 cd |
| EPG 013 | 21.7 d | 1.077 d† |
| EPG 014 | 31.3 bc | 1.084 bcd |
| RV 003 | 37.0 ab | 1.093 abc |
| RV 007 | 43.0 a | 1.092 abc |
| RV 004 | 36.5 ab | 1.089 bc |
| ODF 003 | 35.8 b | 1.093 abc† |
| EPG 006 | 28.2 c | 1.084 bcd |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Specific gravity of the chipping cultivars in this trial ranged from 1.078 for EPG 013 to 1.102 for RV 007 from the regular N plots and from 1.077 for EPG 013 to 1.098 for PLP 001 grown on moderate N plots (Table 2). Potatoes selected as chipping cultivars, typically have specific gravities above 1.080 in Alberta and the majority of entries in this trial were well above this level of solids. Nitrogen level had a significant effect on specific gravity of three cultivars, PLP 001, EPG 013 and ODF 003. Growing potatoes at higher levels of N usually results in a decrease in tuber specific gravity and this was the case for ODF 003 and PLP 001 in this trial.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the moderate N plots was approximately 45 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. The N rates may not have been sufficiently different to impact yield and specific gravity of all cultivars tested. Addressing the agronomic needs of each cultivar may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 59. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In both the regular N and moderate N plots, PLP 001 produced the greatest percentage of potatoes in the small (<48mm) category and RV 004 produced the lowest. The majority of tubers from each cultivar fell into the medium-size (48 – 88mm) category at harvest. Atlantic produced a significantly greater percentage of large (> 88mm) tubers than other cultivars at both levels of N, indicating that the September harvest data was too late for optimal sizing of this cultivar. Few significant differences in size profiles were observed for individual cultivars as a result of N level. A significantly lower percentage of large EPG 013 tubers were produced at the regular level of N compared to the moderate rate, and significantly greater percentage of small RV 007 tubers were produced at this level.

Table 59: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 15.8 c | 63.3 ab | 19.0 a | 1.8 ab |
| PLP 001 | 49.0 a | 49.5 b | 7.5 c | 0.5 b |
| RV 002 | 23.3 c | 75.3 a | 1.8 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG 013 | 38.3 b | 60.8 ab | 0.5 c† | 0.5 b |
| EPG 014 | | | | |
| RV 003 | 37.5 b | 61.8 ab | 0.00 d | 1.0 b |
| RV 007 | 21.3 c† | 68.5 a | 9.3 b | 0.5 b |
| RV 004 | 13.8 c | 73.8 a | 8.3 bc | 4.0 a |
| ODF 003 | 19.0 c | 73.8 a | 7.3 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG 006 | 22.8 c | 74.3 a | 2.0 bc | 1.0 b |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 18.5 cd | 55.8 b | 23.5 a | 2.3 a |
| PLP 001 | 45.5 a | 53.8 b | 0.8 b | 0.3 a |
| RV 002 | 25.3 abc | 71.5 ab | 3.0 b | 0.3 a |
| EPG 013 | 29.0 bc | 62.3 ab | 8.3 b† | 0.8 a |
| EPG 014 | 34.3 ab | 63.0 ab | 2.3 b | 0.5 a |
| RV 003 | 36.3 ab | 62.0 ab | 0.5 b | 1.0 a |
| RV 007 | 15.8 d† | 73.5 ab | 10.5 b | 0.3 a |
| RV 004 | 13.3 d | 77.5 a | 8.0 b | 2.0 a |
| ODF 003 | 17.8 cd | 71.3 ab | 11.0 b | 0.0 a |
| EPG 006 | 20.5 cd | 76.0 a | 3.0 b | 0.8 a |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 60. At the regular rate of N (235 lbs./ac), PLP 001 and RV 003 yielded significantly more small potatoes than other cultivars. RV 004 and Atlantic produced the lowest yield of smalls, although not statistically different from ODF 003 and EPG 006. In the 48 – 88mm category, RV 007 produced the greatest yield and RV 002, ODF 003 and RV 003 were not statistically different. Atlantic produced the lowest yield in the marketable size category and correspondingly, the highest yield of oversized tubers (> 88mm), likely as a result of harvesting later than ideal for this early maturing variety. Based on the yield data in the oversized category, RV 007 may also be an early cultivar.

On moderate N plots, PLP 001 and RV 003 yielded significantly more small potatoes than other cultivars. Again, RV 007 produced the greatest yield of marketable (48 – 88mm) tubers and RV 002, RV 003, RV 004 and ODF 003 were not statistically different. Yield of large (> 88mm) Atlantic tubers was not statistically different from yield of large RV 007, RV 004 and ODF 003. An earlier harvest may have shifted some of this production into the marketable size category.

N level had a significant impact on the yield of marketable Atlantic and RV 004 tubers, where a greater yield of marketable tubers was harvested from the moderate N plots than from regular N plots. For EPG 013, significantly greater yield of large tubers were produced on moderate N plots than on regular N plots. PLP 001 and RV 007 both produced greater yields of small tubers in regular N plots than when grown in moderate N plots.

Table 60: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2012 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2013 | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Regular N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1.2 d | 17.8 d† | 12.5 a | 1.1 ab |
| PLP 001 | 7.7 a† | 12.2 bcd | 0.7 c | 0.8 ab |
| RV 002 | 3.1 bc | 28.7 ab | 1.7 c | 0.1 b |
| EPG 013 | 3.4 b | 18.2 cd | 0.5 c† | 0.3 ab |
| EPG 014 | | | | |
| RV 003 | 6.5 a | 24.9 ab | 0.3 c | 0.4 ab |
| RV 007 | 2.7 bc† | 31.2 a | 10.4 ab | 0.8 ab |
| RV 004 | 1.2 d | 24.1 bcd† | 5.8 bc | 2.2 a |
| ODF 003 | 1.9 cd | 26.0 ab | 4.9 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG 006 | 2.4 bcd | 24.5 bc | 1.6 c | 0.3 ab |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1.1 c | 21.0 c† | 11.7 a | 0.6 a |
| PLP 001 | 6.4 a† | 23.2 bc | 0.7 d | 0.2 a |
| RV 002 | 2.2 c | 27.4 abc | 2.6 cd | 0.2 a |
| EPG 013 | 2.4 c | 15.1 d | 4.0 bcd† | 0.2 a |
| EPG 014 | 4.4 b | 24.4 bc | 2.1 cd | 0.3 a |
| RV 003 | 6.5 a | 29.1 ab | 0.7 d | 0.6 a |
| RV 007 | 2.0 c† | 31.2 a | 9.7 a | 0.2 a |
| RV 004 | 1.4 c | 27.8 ab† | 6.7 abc | 0.7 a |
| ODF 003 | 1.7 c | 26.3 abc | 7.9 ab | 0.0 a |
| EPG 006 | 1.9 c | 23.7 bc | 2.1 cd | 0.5 a |

† Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.



A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for each variety from regular and moderate N plots is shown in Figure 34.

Figure 34: Yield (yon/ac) of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on regular (235 lbs./ac) N and moderate (190 lbs./ac) N plots. For each variety, yield columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Medium tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 61. At the regular rate of N, EPG 006 tubers appeared most uniform, but only EPG 013 scored significantly lower on this assessment. ODF 003 and Atlantic scored highest for Overall Appearance and scores for RV 002, RV 003, RV 004 and EPG 006 tubers were not statistically different.

On moderate N, no significant differences were observed between cultivars for Uniformity of Size. RV 002 and RV 003 scored highest for Overall Appearance and only EPG 006 scored significantly lower.

When comparing the Overall Appearance of individual cultivars, N level significantly affected scores for Atlantic, PLP 001, RV 002, EPG 013, and EPG 006, indicating the importance of agronomic data for the production of high quality chipping potatoes.

| 2013 | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² | |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Regular N | | | |
| Atlantic | 3.75 a | 4.00 a† | |
| PLP 001 | 3.50 a | 2.25 c† | |
| RV 002 | 3.00 a | 3.25 ab† | |
| EPG 013 | 2.00 b | 2.25 c† | |
| EPG 014 | | | |
| RV 003 | 3.50 a | 3.50 ab | |
| RV 007 | 3.25 a | 2.75 bc | |
| RV 004 | 3.25 a | 3.50 ab | |
| ODF 003 | 3.75 a | 4.00 a | |
| EPG 006 | 4.00 a | 3.75 ab† | |
| Moderate N | | | |
| Atlantic | 2.75 a | 3.00 ab† | |
| PLP 001 | 3.50 a | 3.25 ab† | |
| RV 002 | 4.00 a | 4.00 a† | |
| EPG 013 | 4.00 a | 3.75 a† | |
| EPG 014 | 3.00 a | 3.25 ab | |
| RV 003 | 3.75 a | 4.00 a | |
| RV 007 | 3.25 a | 3.50 a | |
| RV 004 | 2.75 a | 3.25 ab | |
| ODF 003 | 3.50 a | 3.50 a | |
| EPG 006 | 3.00 a | 2.25 b† | |

Table 61: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) -5 (outstanding)

 \dagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \leq 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. EPG 013 tubers had approximately 5% hollow heart when grown in regular N plots and 4% hollow hear when grown on moderate N. Atlantic tubers had approximately 3% hollow hear on the regular N plots. ODF 003 tubers had 8% hollow hear when grown on moderate N. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration. RV 007, RV 004, and PLP 001 had some level of stem-end discoloration at both levels of N and ODF 003, EPG 013, EPG 014 and EPG 006 when grown in moderate N plots. EPG 013 seemed somewhat susceptible to purple pigmentation developing within tubers and this may be a concern for chip production.

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 62. All of the samples gave good chip scores. A higher L-value indicates a lighter chip. The lightest chips were produced from PLP 001 and RV 004 grown on regular N and from ODF 003 grown on moderate N. Atlantic produced chips just below a desirable lightness score of 60 when grown on regular N. All of the cultivars, except RV 002, produced chips with scores higher than 60 when grown on moderate N.

Reducing the N applied to the crop resulted in significantly lighter chip scores for Atlantic, RV 007 and ODF 003. PLP 001, RV 003, RV 004 and EPG 006 produced lighter chips when grown on regular N plots compared to the moderate rate of N. These are composite samples from one year of testing and additional testing may be required to determine optimal agronomic conditions for chip quality.

Table 62: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

| 2013 | L | | L | |
|-----------|------|------------|------|--|
| Regular N | | Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 57.9 | Atlantic | 64.4 | |
| PLP 001 | 70.6 | PLP 001 | 65.9 | |
| RV 002 | 60.2 | RV 002 | 57.6 | |
| EPG 013 | | EPG 013 | 64.7 | |
| EPG 014 | | EPG 014 | 65.4 | |
| RV 003 | 66.5 | RV 003 | 60.8 | |
| RV 007 | 58.2 | RV 007 | 63.1 | |
| RV 004 | 68.8 | RV 004 | 63.6 | |
| ODF 003 | 66.0 | ODF 003 | 70.3 | |
| EPG 006 | 67.9 | EPG 006 | 62.7 | |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Conclusions

The 2011 variety trial included a number of chipping potato varieties with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Lady Claire were included in the trial as check varieties. Yield of many of the releases compared well with familiar standard varieties. For all varieties except Atlantic, total yield was higher in the regular N plots (225 lbs./ac) than in the low N plots (115 lbs./ac). The specific gravities of chipping varieties tended to be lower on regular N plots than low N plots, as expected. Fewer large tubers were observed from the low N plots than from the regular N plots. All of the samples except Atlantic grown at 225 lbs./ac N gave good chip scores. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Scab was prevalent on one variety.

The 2012 variety trial included eight chipping potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic, Lady Claire and Niska were included in the trial as check varieties. Seven of the cultivars were included in plots fertilized with a regular rate of N (248 lbs./ac), and eight were grown in plots fertilized with a moderate rate of N (150 lbs./ac) to determine the extent to which N may influence yield, size profile and chipping quality. One cultivar and one check were grown at a low (100 lbs./ac) rate of N. Nitrogen, at the rates tested, had no significant impact on total yield or specific gravity. However, there was a nitrogen response to size profile and yield of specific size categories for some cultivars. RV 002 produced the highest marketable yield at regular and moderate levels of N, but did not out-yield the check varieties. ODF 005 responded well to the low level of N relative to the moderate rate. RV 002 and RV 004 performed very well in the trials and chip color was good for all but one cultivar tested at all levels of N. It was difficult to assess EPG 005 and EPG 006 as seed was delayed and planting dates were late for these cultivars in 2012.

The 2013 variety trial included nine chipping potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and PLP 001 were included in the trial as check varieties. Eight of the cultivars were included in plots fertilized with a regular rate of N (235 lbs./ac) as well as in plots fertilized with a moderate rate of N (190 lbs./ac) to determine the extent to which N may influence yield, size profile and chipping quality. Nitrogen, at the two rates tested, had no significant impact on total yield or specific gravity. However, there was a nitrogen response to size profile for some cultivars. RV 007 produced the highest marketable yield at both levels of N and out-yielded both check varieties. RV 004 and Atlantic both responded well to the moderate level of N relative to the regular rate. RV 003, ODF 003, RV 002 and RV 004 all performed well in the trials and chip color was good for all cultivars tested at one or both levels of N. It was difficult to assess EPG 014 fully as a limited quality of seed was available for the 2013 season.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. In 2011, the N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Fresh Market Variety Evaluation

2011

Materials and Methods

The majority of variety evaluations were conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (105 lbs./ac N; 214 lbs./ac P, 720 lbs./ac K), and broadcast fertilizer (350 lbs./ac of 34-17-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated at hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with three standard varieties (Norland, Dark Red Norland, and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 13) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Alberta Seed Producers Inc. (ASPI), Edmonton Potato Growers (EPG) and BPS Ltd. and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 30, 2011 approximately 12 to 14 cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30 cm spacing in 6 m rows spaced 90 cm apart. Seed was planted as single drop with the exception of some of the larger varieties. Cut seed (70 to 85 g) was suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 8 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 63). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| July 18 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 2 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac | |
| Aug 23 | Dithane DG Rainshield | 0.91 kg/ac | |

Table 63: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop at CDCS to prevent early and late blight development.



Figure 35: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 22, 2011.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 6 and re-applied (1.0 L/ac) September 12 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 21 - 26 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Two varieties and one check were planted in replicate rain-fed plots at CDCN in Edmonton, AB. Fertility for the CDCN (approximately 180 lbs./ac N) site was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (93 lbs./ac N; 120 lbs./ac P, 653 lbs./ac K), and broadcast fertilizer (170 lbs./ac of 46-0-0 and 120 lbs./ac 0-50-17) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with one standard variety (Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Some clients preferred size data for fresh market cultivars. For these cultivars, tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). Varieties were evaluated for several other quality parameters during the grading process including uniformity of shape, uniformity of size, eye depth, and overall appearance following the guidelines for the former Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program. Uniformity of shape and uniformity of size were estimated using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is most variable and 5 is most uniform. Eye depth was estimated using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is deep and 5 is very shallow. Overall appearance was rated on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is very poor and 5 is outstanding.

Some clients preferred to have fresh market cultivars graded into weight categories. For these clients, tubers were graded into weight categories (less than 4 oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz., and over 10 oz.). Varieties were evaluated for several other quality parameters during the grading process including uniformity of shape, uniformity of size and eye depth following the guidelines for the former Western Canadian Potato Breeding Program. Uniformity of shape and uniformity of size were estimated using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is most variable and 5 is most uniform. Eye depth was estimated using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is deep and 5 is very shallow.

A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88 mm or 4 to 10 oz.) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses could be performed. Samples were evaluated for baking and boiling December 1 - 15.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

<u>Results and Discussion – Graded into Size Categories</u>

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2011. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 36.



Figure 36. Fresh market varieties at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) ASPI 002, b) ASPI 001, c) DR Norland, d) EPG 002, e) EPG 003, f) EPG 004, g) Norland, h) Solanum 001, i) Solanum 002, j) Solanum 003, k) Solanum 004, l) Tuberosum 001, m) Tuberosum 002, n) Tuberosum 003, o) Tuberosum 004, and p) Yukon Gold.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the releases are shown in Table 64. The highest total yield at CDCS was observed with Solanum 001 on regular N, and total yield of ASPI 002, Dark Red Norland, and Tuberosum 001 were not statistically less than that of Solanum 001. At CDCN, ASPI 002 yielded significantly better than ASPI 001 or Yukon Gold.

The highest total yield on low N was observed with Tuberosum 001 and total yield of Tuberosum 002, Tuberosum 003, Tuberosum 004 and Yukon Gold were not statistically different. Yields of Norland, Tuberosum 001, Tuberosum 003 and Tuberosum 004 were significantly greater from the regular fertility plots than from the low fertility plots at CDCS indicating that the low fertility rate was sub-optimal for yield. The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate at CDCS. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Yukon Gold had the highest specific gravity of the fresh market selections grown at CDCS, statistically greater than Solanum 001 and Tuberosum 002. The specific gravity of Yukon Gold was also significantly higher in the low fertility plots than that of Norland, Tuberosum 001, Tuberosum 002, and Tuberosum 004. When comparing the specific gravity of tubers grown at both fertility levels, only the specific gravity of Tuberosum 001 was significantly higher when grown on low fertility than in regular fertility plots. At CDCN, the specific gravity of Yukon Gold was higher than for ASPI 002 but not significantly different from ASPI 001.

Table 64: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS – 2011 Fresh Market by Size | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Regular N | | |
| ASPI 001 | 24.5 cde | 1.079 a |
| ASPI 002 | 36.2 ab | 1.069 ab |
| DR Norland | 31.6 abc | 1.064 ab |
| EPG 002 | 25.6 cde | 1.076 ab |
| EPG 003 | 26.6 cde | 1.068 ab |
| EPG 004 | 22.5 de | 1.074 ab |
| Norland | 22.2 e | 1.066 ab |
| Solanum 001 | 39.8 a | 1.055 b |
| Solanum 002 | 23.8 cde | 1.068 ab |
| Solanum 003 | 30.6 bcde | 1.074 ab |
| Solanum 004 | 28.2 bcde | 1.067 ab |
| Tuberosum 001 | 31.2 abcd | 1.065 ab |
| Tuberosum 002 | 24.3 cde | 1.060 b |
| Tuberosum 003 | 26.2 cde | 1.071 ab |
| Tuberosum 004 | 24.2 cde | 1.071 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 25.3 cde | 1.082 a |
| Low N | | |
| Norland | 15.2 q† | 1.066 r |
| Tuberosum 001 | 22.8 p† | 1.071 qr† |
| Tuberosum 002 | 17.9 pq | 1.066 r |
| Tuberosum 003 | 18.4 pq† | 1.076 pq |
| Tuberosum 004 | 20.6 p† | 1.071 qr |
| Yukon Gold | 21.5 p | 1.081 p |
| CDCN | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
| Regular N | | |
| ASPI 001 | 12.9 z | 1.090 xy |
| ASPI 002 | 22.2 x | 1.085 y |
| Yukon Gold | 16.4 y | 1.096 x |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 65. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all

potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In the regular N plots, Solanum 002 produced the greatest percentage of potatoes in the small (<48mm) category, although only statistically different from Yukon Gold. Conversely, Yukon Gold produced the greatest percentage of tubers in the medium (48-88mm) category, only statistically different from Solanum 002. There were no statistically significant differences in the percentage of tuber number in the large (> 88mm) or deformed size categories.

In the low N plots, Tuberosum 003 produced a significantly greater percentage of small tubers (< 48mm) than other varieties, while Yukon Gold, Tuberosum 004, Tuberosum 001 and Norland, a significantly lower percentage of small tubers. Tuberosum 004 produced the greatest percentage of medium tubers (48 – 88mm) and Tuberosum 003 produced the lowest percentage of medium tubers. Yukon Gold produced the highest percentage of large tubers (> 88mm), while Tuberosum 002 and Tuberosum 003 produced none. Tuberosum 004 yielded a significantly lower percentage of small and large potatoes and significantly greater percentage of medium potatoes when grown in low fertility plots compared to regular fertility plots. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size categories from the low N plots. A significantly lower percentage of large tubers were observed with Norland, Tuberosum 003 and Tuberosum 004 from the low N plots than from the regular N plots.

At CDCN, there were no statistical differences between varieties in the percentage of tubers in the small or medium size classes. Yukon Gold produced a significantly greater percentage of large and deformed tubers than the ASPI varieties.

Table 65: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2011 | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|---------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 27.3 ab | 71.7 ab | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| ASPI 002 | 21.3 ab | 74.2 ab | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| DR Norland | 22.0 ab | 70.7 ab | 6.4 | 0.9 |
| EPG 002 | 44.8 ab | 55.2 ab | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| EPG 003 | 22.2 ab | 72.1 ab | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| EPG 004 | 51.9 ab | 48.0 ab | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Norland | 26.1 ab | 66.1 ab | 6.3 | 1.5 |
| Solanum 001 | 30.4 ab | 66.4 ab | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| Solanum 002 | 65.3 a | 34.5 b | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Solanum 003 | 30.6 ab | 63.9 ab | 0.3 | 5.3 |
| Solanum 004 | 35.2 ab | 64.3 ab | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 21.8 ab | 74.7 ab | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 44.7 ab | 54.9 ab | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 39.3 ab | 53.7 ab | 6.8 | 0.2 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 35.4 ab | 59.4 ab | 6.1 | 0.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 19.9 b | 75.5 a | 4.3 | 0.3 |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | 24.0 r | 74.5 p | 1.3 pq† | 0.2 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 21.5 r | 77.5 p | 0.9 pq | 0.3 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 48.0 q | 52.0 q | 0.0 q | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 75.7 p | 24.3 r | 0.0 q† | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 17.7 r† | 78.0 p† | 4.1 pq† | 0.2 |
| Yukon Gold | 19.5 r | 69.5 p | 9.2 p | 1.7 |
| CDCN | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 24.0 | 75.7 | 0.3 y | 0.3 y |
| ASPI 002 | 21.0 | 75.8 | 0.8 y | 2.5 y |
| Yukon Gold | 16.0 | 76.5 | 2.0 x | 6.3 x |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.
The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 66. In the regular N plots, Solanum 002 produced the greatest yield of small (< 48mm) potatoes, only significantly different from Yukon Gold and EPG 003. Solanum 001 and ASPI 002 gave the greatest yield of medium (48 – 88mm) potatoes but were not statistically different from Dark Red Norland, Solanum 003, Solanum 004 and Tuberosum 001. There were no statistically significant differences in the large (> 88mm) or deformed size categories from regular N plots.

In the low N plots, Tuberosum 003 produced the greatest yield of small (< 48mm) potatoes, but not statistically more than Tuberosum 001 or Tuberosum 002. The greatest yield of medium (48 – 88mm) potatoes was observed with Tuberosum 001 and Tuberosum 004 and Yukon Gold were not statistically different. For Tuberosum 001, Tuberosum 003 and Yukon Gold, yield of medium (48 – 88mm) tubers was significantly higher in the regular N plots than in the low N plots.

At CDCN, Yukon Gold yielded significantly less small potatoes than the ASPI varieties. ASPI 002 produced a significantly greater yield of medium potatoes. ASPI 001 produced the lowest yield of large and deformed tubers, although not statistically different from ASPI 002.

Table 66: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2011 | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 2.7 ab | 21.2 bc | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| ASPI 002 | 2.0 ab | 30.5 a | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| DR Norland | 2.1 ab | 24.2 abc | 4.9 | 0.4 |
| EPG 002 | 6.7 ab | 18.8 bcd | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| EPG 003 | 1.9 b | 21.2 bc | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| EPG 004 | 6.9 ab | 15.6 cd | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Norland | 2.0 ab | 16.0 cd | 3.9 | 0.4 |
| Solanum 001 | 5.8 ab | 30.7 a | 3.0 | 0.4 |
| Solanum 002 | 12.1 a | 11.7 d | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Solanum 003 | 4.6 ab | 23.7 abc | 0.3 | 2.0 |
| Solanum 004 | 5.4 ab | 22.5 abc | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 2.1 ab | 26.4 ab | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 5.9 ab | 18.1 bcd | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 5.9 ab | 16.1 cd | 4.0 | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 4.6 ab | 16.4 cd | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 1.8 b | 20.3 bcd | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | 1.6 pq | 13.0 qr | 0.6 pq† | 0.0 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 2.1 pq | 20.0 p† | 0.6 pq | 0.1 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 5.3 pq | 12.6 qr | 0.0 q | 0.0 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 11.1 p | 7.2 r† | 0.0 q | 0.0 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 1.2 q | 17.4 pq | 2.0 pq | 0.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 1.1 q | 15.4 pq† | 4.5 p | 0.5 |
| CDCN | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 1.2 x | 11.5 y | 0.1 y | 0.1 y |
| ASPI 002 | 1.4 x | 19.4 x | 0.6 xy | 1.1 xy |
| Yukon Gold | 0.6 y | 14.0 y | 0.8 x | 1.0 x |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.



A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for each variety from regular and low fertility plots is shown in Figure 37.

Figure 37: Yield of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on low (115 lbs./ac) and regular (225 lbs./ac) N at CDCS. For each variety, columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Varieties were evaluated for several other quality parameters during the grading process including uniformity of shape, uniformity of size, eye depth, and overall appearance. The results of these subjective assessments are presented in Table 67. There were no significant differences between varieties grown at CDCS in terms of uniformity, eye depth or overall appearance. At CDCN, ASPI 001 exhibited greater uniformity of shape than Yukon Gold and the eyes were significantly shallower on ASPI 001 tubers than those of Yukon Gold.

Table 67: Uniformity of shape, uniformity of size, eye depth, and overall appearance of each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2011 | Uniformity of Shape | Uniformity of Size | Eye Depth | Overall Appearance |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Regular N | - | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| ASPI 002 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| DR Norland | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| EPG 002 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| EPG 003 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| EPG 004 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Norland | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Solanum 001 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Solanum 002 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Solanum 003 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Solanum 004 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 001 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 002 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 003 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Tuberosum 004 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Yukon Gold | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| CDCN | Uniformity of Shape | Uniformity of Size | Eye Depth | Overall Appearance |
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | 3.8 a | 2.3 | 4.0 a | 3.3 |
| ASPI 002 | 3.3 ab | 2.3 | 3.8 ab | 3.3 |
| Yukon Gold | 2.5 b | 2.0 | 2.8 b | 2.3 |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart (HH), brown centre (BC), stem-end discoloration (SED), other types of internal necrosis and scab. Subsamples of ASPI 002, EPG 002, Norland, Tuberosum 003, and Tuberosum 004 were free of any internal defects. There were very few internal defects observed in the other varieties examined, except for Yukon Gold where stem-end discoloration was common and hollow heart and vascular discoloration were observed in at least one tuber of each subsample. Solanum 001 and Solanum 002 had brown centre in one tuber of one subsample. EPG 003 and Solanum 003 showed some evidence of hollow heart and/or brown centre and several subsamples had anthocyanin present in the tubers (PP), usually a variety characteristic. ASPI 002, Dark Red Norland, Solanum 004, and Tuberosum 002 had some stem-end discoloration and Tuberosum 001 had some vascular discoloration (VD), possibly a result of immaturity at the time desiccant was applied. EPG 004 had one subsample with evidence of hollow heart and was the only variety with common scab lesions present on one tuber.

Varieties were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations is presented in Table 68.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| CDCS - 2011 | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | yellow | 3 | moderate | none |
| ASPI 002 | off-white | 3 | little or no | none |
| DR Norland | off-white | 3 | moderate | moderate |
| EPG 002 | yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| EPG 003 | off-white | 3 | little or no | none |
| EPG 004 | yellow | 2 | moderate | moderate |
| Norland | yellow | 2 | little or no | none |
| Solanum 001 | yellow | 2 | little or no | none |
| Solanum 002 | deep yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Solanum 003 | deep yellow | 4 | little or no | none |
| Solanum 004 | deep yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 001 | deep yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 002 | yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 003 | yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 004 | off-white | 2 | little or no | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 4 | moderate | none |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | off-white | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 001 | yellow | 3 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 002 | off-white | 2 | little or no | none |
| Tuberosum 003 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Tuberosum 004 | yellow | 2 | little or no | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 4 | severe | none |
| CDCN | Flesh color | Waxiness | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | | |
| ASPI 001 | off-white | 3 | little or no | none |
| ASPI 002 | off-white | 2 | little or no | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 4 | little or no | none |

Table 68: Culinary evaluations of each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| CDCS - 2011 | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | |
| ASPI 001 | off-white | 3 | none |
| ASPI 002 | off-white | 2 | none |
| DR Norland | yellow | 1 | none |
| EPG 002 | yellow | 3 | none |
| EPG 003 | off-white | 3 | none |
| EPG 004 | yellow | 2 | none |
| Norland | yellow | 3 | none |
| Solanum 001 | off-white | 2 | none |
| Solanum 002 | deep yellow | 2 | none |
| Solanum 003 | deep yellow | 3 | none |
| Solanum 004 | deep yellow | 2 | none |
| Tuberosum 001 | deep yellow | 4 | none |
| Tuberosum 002 | yellow | 2 | none |
| Tuberosum 003 | yellow | 3 | none |
| Tuberosum 004 | deep yellow | 3 | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 3 | none |
| Low N | | | |
| Norland | yellow | 3 | none |
| Tuberosum 001 | deep yellow | 4 | none |
| Tuberosum 002 | yellow | 2 | none |
| Tuberosum 003 | yellow | 3 | none |
| Tuberosum 004 | deep yellow | 4 | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 3 | none |
| CDCN | Flesh color | Texture | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | |
| ASPI 001 | off-white | 3 | none |
| ASPI 002 | off-white | 3 | none |
| Yukon Gold | yellow | 4 | none |

Table 68 continued.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

<u>Results and Discussion – Graded into Weight Categories</u>

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2011. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 38.



Figure 38. Fresh market varieties at the CDCS field day August 24, 2011: a) A99326-1PY*, b) Amarosa, c) Norland, d) Red Sunset*, e) Terra Rosa, f) Alpine Russet*, g) Blazer Russet, h) Owyhee Russet*, i) Tebina Russet, j) Yukon Gem*, and k) Yukon Gold. Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the releases are shown in Table 69. The highest total yield of these fresh market varieties was observed with Tebina Russet and total yield of Yukon Gold was not statistically different.

The highest total yield on low N was observed with Tebina Russet and total yields of Yukon Gold and Blazer Russet were not statistically different. Yields of Norland were significantly greater from the regular N plots than from the low N plots at CDCS indicating that the low N rate was sub-optimal for yield. The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate at CDCS. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Terra Rosa had the highest specific gravity of these fresh market selections grown at CDCS, and Yukon Gold was not statistically different. Noland and Red Sunset had the lowest specific gravity on regular N. The specific gravity of Yukon Gold and Tebina Russet were the highest in the low fertility plots and were statistically higher than that of Norland. There were no significant differences in specific gravity of varieties grown on regular N compared to the low N plots. **Table 69:** Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS and 180 lbs./ac at CDCN) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers later than other varieties. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS – 2011 Fresh Market by Weight | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Regular N | | |
| A99326-1PY* | 20.6 bc | 1.072 e |
| Amarosa | 16.3 c | 1.073 de |
| Norland | 22.2 bc | 1.066 f |
| Red Sunset* | 16.5 bc | 1.062 f |
| Terra Rosa | 21.2 bc | 1.087 a |
| Alpine Russet* | 19.0 bc | 1.080 bc |
| Blazer Russet | 19.7 bc | 1.077 bcde |
| Owyhee Russet* | 17.5 bc | 1.083 ab |
| Tebina Russet | 31.0 a | 1.079 bcd |
| Yukon Gem* | 20.5 bc | 1.076 c |
| Yukon Gold | 25.3 ab | 1.082 abc |
| Low N | | |
| Norland | 15.2 q† | 1.066 q |
| Blazer Russet | 18.6 pq | 1.075 p |
| Tebina Russet | 30.1 p | 1.081 p |
| Yukon Gold | 21.7 pq | 1.081 p |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

* Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 70. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In the regular N plots, Amarosa produced the highest percentage of potatoes in the small (< 4 oz.) category, statistically greater than all other varieties. Conversely, Amarosa produced the lowest percentage of tubers in the 4 to 6 oz. category. Yukon Gold yielded the greatest percentage of tubers in the 6 to 10 oz. range and Blazer Russet and Norland were not statistically different. Blazer Russet yielded the greatest percentage of tubers over 10 oz., although only statistically different from Amarosa which did not produce any tubers over 10 oz. There were no statistically significant differences in the percentage of tuber number in the deformed size category.

In the low N plots, Tebina Russet produced a significantly greater percentage of small tubers (< 48mm) than other varieties. There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in the 4 to 6 oz. category for varieties grown on low N. Blazer Russet produced a significantly higher percentage of 6 to 10 oz. tubers than Tebina Russet when grown on low N. Yukon Gold produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 10 oz. than Tebina Russet in the low N plots. There were no statistically significant differences in the percentage of tuber number in the deformed size category. There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category when varieties grown on regular N were compared to the low N plots.

| by the same letter in e | ach column of t | he table are not | t significantly d | ifferent at the | p < 0.05 level. |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CDCS – 2011 | < 4 oz. | 4 to 6 oz. | 6 to 10 oz. | > 10 oz. | Deformed |
| Regular N | | | | | |
| A99326-1PY* | 71.0 b | 18.4 a | 8.8 def | 1.4 ab | 0.4 |
| Amarosa | 92.0 a | 7.3 b | 0.7 f | 0.0 b | 0.0 |
| Norland | 32.8 d | 20.7 a | 24.8 ab | 26.2 a | 1.5 |
| Red Sunset* | 63.6 bc | 18.8 a | 14.0 cde | 3.2 ab | 0.5 |
| Terra Rosa | 51.8 c | 19.8 a | 20.8 bc | 7.2 ab | 0.8 |
| Alpine Russet* | 54.0 c | 28.6 a | 14.8 cde | 2.0 ab | 0.7 |
| Blazer Russet | 29.6 d | 18.7 a | 30.2 a | 26.4 a | 1.0 |
| Owyhee Russet* | 68.2 b | 23.1 a | 7.8 ef | 0.6 ab | 0.2 |
| Tebina Russet | 50.7 c | 25.0 a | 19.1 bc | 4.5 ab | 0.9 |
| Yukon Gem* | 52.5 c | 26.1 a | 17.6 bc | 3.6 ab | 0.3 |
| Yukon Gold | 30.8 d | 20.9 a | 31.8 a | 19.1 a | 0.6 |
| Low N | | | | | |
| Norland | 35.7 q | 24.7 | 28.1 pq | 12.6 pq | 0.6 |
| Blazer Russet | 25.4 qr | 22.3 | 32.9 p | 21.1 pq | 2.1 |
| Tebina Russet | 52.6 p | 29.7 | 15.5 q | 1.8 q | 0.5 |
| Yukon Gold | 19.7 r | 21.7 | 31.3 pq | 34.8 p | 1.8 |

Table 70: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 4 oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz., > 10 oz. and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers later than other varieties. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

* Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 71. In the regular N plots, Amarosa produced significantly greater yield of tubers under 4 oz. and tubers 4 to 6 oz. than all other varieties. Tebina Russet produced the greatest yield of 6 to 10 oz. tubers but was not statistically different from Yukon Gold, Blazer Russet, Terra Rosa and Norland. Norland produced the highest yield of tubers over 10 oz. although only statistically greater than

Amarosa with no tubers in this category. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size category from regular N plots.

In the low N plots, Tebina Russet produced the greatest yield of tubers under 4 oz. and 4 to 6 oz. Yukon Gold produced a significantly higher yield of tubers over 10 oz. than Tebina Russet or Norland. There were no statistically significant differences between varieties when yields of 6 to 10 oz. and deformed tubers were evaluated. Yukon Gold produced significantly lower yields of tubers under 4 oz. and 6 to 10 oz. when grown in low N plots than in regular N plots. Norland produced a significantly lower yield of tubers over 10 oz. when grown on low N compared to regular N.

Table 71: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz., 4 to 6 oz., 6 to 10 oz., > 10 oz. and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 115 lbs./ac). Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as minitubers later than other varieties. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2011 | Yield of < 4 oz. (ton/ac) | Yield of 4 to 6 oz. (ton/ac) | Yield of 6 - 10 oz. (ton/ac) | Yield of > 10 oz (ton/ac) | . Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Regular N | | | | | |
| A99326-1PY* | 9.9 b | 5.6 bcd | 3.8 de | 0.9 ab | 0.2 |
| Amarosa | 13.4 a | 13.4 a | 0.3 f | 0.0 b | 0.0 |
| Norland | 2.4 e | 3.2 de | 6.2 abcde | 9.5 a | 0.4 |
| Red Sunset* | 5.9 cd | 5.9 bcd | 4.0 cde | 1.6 ab | 0.1 |
| Terra Rosa | 5.2 de | 4.4 bcde | 7.3 abc | 3.6 ab | 0.4 |
| Alpine Russet* | 6.0 cd | 6.8 b | 5.4 cde | 1.1 ab | 0.3 |
| Blazer Russet | 2.1 e | 2.3 e | 6.5 abcd | 7.4 a | 0.3 |
| Owyhee Russet* | 9.2 bc | 5.1 bcde | 2.6 ef | 0.4 ab | 0.1 |
| Tebina Russet | 8.8 bc | 3.8 cde | 9.5 a | 3.4 ab | 0.6 |
| Yukon Gem* | 6.2 cd | 6.0 bc | 5.9 bcde | 1.8 ab | 0.3 |
| Yukon Gold | 2.8 e | 3.9 cde | 9.3 ab | 8.4 a | 0.3 |
| Low N | | | | | |
| Norland | 2.3 q | 3.1 q | 5.5 | 3.7 qr† | 0.1 |
| Blazer Russet | 1.9 q | 2.6 q | 6.6 | 6.3 pq | 0.6 |
| Tebina Russet | 9.5 p | 10.5 p | 7.9 | 1.3 r | 0.3 |
| Yukon Gold | 1.4 q† | 3.0 q | 6.6† | 9.6 p | 0.4 |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

* Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

Varieties were evaluated for several other quality parameters during the grading process including uniformity of shape, uniformity of size and eye depth. The results of these subjective

assessments are presented in Table 72. There were no significant differences between varieties grown at CDCS in terms of uniformity or eye depth.

Table 72: Uniformity of shape, uniformity of size, and eye depth ratings for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac at CDCS). Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers later than other varieties. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2011 | Uniformity of Shape | Uniformity of Size | Eye Depth |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Regular N | | | |
| A99326-1PY* | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Amarosa | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Norland | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Red Sunset* | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Terra Rosa | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Alpine Russet* | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Owyhee Russet* | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| Tebina Russet | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Yukon Gem* | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Yukon Gold | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

* Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart (HH), brown centre (BC), stem-end discoloration (SED), other types of internal necrosis and scab. Subsamples of Amarosa, Alpine Russet, Norland, Owyhee Russet, Red Sunset, and Tebina Russet were free of any internal defects. There were very few internal defects observed in the other varieties examined, except for Yukon Gold where stem-end discoloration was common and hollow heart and vascular discoloration were observed in at least one tuber of each subsample. Yukon Gem had brown centre in one tuber of two subsamples. Blazer Russet showed some evidence of hollow heart. A99326-1PY had anthocyanin present in the tubers (PP) of several subsamples, usually a variety characteristic. Terra Rosa had some stem-end discoloration and vascular discoloration (VD), possibly a result of immaturity at the time desiccant was applied. Yukon Gold was the only variety with common scab lesions present on one tuber.

Varieties were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations is presented in Table 73.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| CDCS - 2011 | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | | |
| A99326-1PY* | deep yellow | 3 | none | none |
| Amarosa | purple | 3 | none | none |
| Norland | off-white | 2 | none | none |
| Red Sunset* | yellow | 3 | none | none |
| Terra Rosa | light purple | 3 | none | moderate |
| Alpine Russet* | off-white | 3 | none | none |
| Owyhee Russet* | off-white | 4 | none | none |
| Tebina Russet | yellow | 3 | none | none |
| Yukon Gem* | deep yellow | 3 | none | moderate |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 4 | moderate | none |
| Baked Potatoes | | | | |
| CDCS | Flesh c | olor | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | | |

Table 73: Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 225 lbs./ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| | | | Discoloration |
|----------------|--------------|---|---------------|
| Regular N | | | |
| A99326-1PY* | deep yellow | 3 | none |
| Amarosa | deep purple | 3 | none |
| Norland | yellow | 3 | none |
| Red Sunset* | off-white | 2 | none |
| Terra Rosa | light purple | 3 | none |
| Alpine Russet* | off-white | 3 | none |
| Owyhee Russet* | deep yellow | 4 | none |
| Tebina Russet | yellow | 3 | none |
| Yukon Gem* | deep yellow | 4 | none |
| Yukon Gold | deep yellow | 3 | none |

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* Varieties marked with an asterisk were planted as mini-tubers approximately 1 week after the other varieties.

†† Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

2012

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (248 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (82 lbs./ac N; 192 lbs./ac P, 760 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (279 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the medium nitrogen rate (150 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (176 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate (90 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac) of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate (90 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Norland, Russet Norkotah, and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 10) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Most varieties were planted May 23, 2012 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting.

The potatoes were hilled June 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 74). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) and August 15 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 74: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| June 29 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| July 27 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |
| Aug 15 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |



Figure 39: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 20, 2012.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 13 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 18-25 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for suitability for boiling and baking November 23, 2012.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Comparisons for specific cultivars at two rates of N were analyzed using t-tests on a cultivar-by-cultivar basis (Excel; $p \le 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2012. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 40.



Figure 40. Fresh Market varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 2012 (cultivars marked with an asterisk are from archives): a) EPG 007, b) PLP 005, c) Markies, d) Norkotah, e) Norland, f) Roko*, g) Sangre*, h) Yukon Gold, i) Amarosa, j) ASPI 003, k) ASPI 002*, l) SI 002, m) SI 003, n) SI 004, o) SI 001*, p) Red Sunset*, q) Terra Rosa, r) ASPI 001, and s) Yukon Gem

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 75. The highest total yield on regular N plots at CDCS was observed with SI 001. SI 001 yielded significantly more than all other fresh market cultivars at this level of N.

The highest total yield on moderate N at CDCS was observed with Norland. Total yield of CV99044-3 was statistically lower than that of Norland at 150 lbs./ac N.

Specific gravity of tubers grown on 248 lbs./ac ranged from 1.067 for SI 001 to 1.099 for Terra Rosa. There were no statistical differences in specific gravity between fresh market cultivars at this level of N. Specific gravity of tubers grown on 150 lbs./ac ranged from 1.071 for Norland to 1.102 for EPG 007 and CV99044-3. As expected, specific gravity of Amarosa on 100 lbs./ac plots was significantly higher than when grown on 248 lbs./ac N.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Amarosa, CV99044-3, Markies, Russet Norkotah, Norland, Roko, Sangre, and Yukon Gold were grown on two rates of N. There were significant differences in total yield and/or specific gravity for Amarosa, CV99044-3, Roko and Yukon Gold as a result of different levels of N. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each cultivar may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| 2012 Fresh Market | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| Regular N | | |
| Amarosa | 17.0 f† | 1.080 a† |
| ASPI 003 | 25.6 b-f | 1.080 a |
| PLP 005 | 27.5 b-e† | 1.091 a |
| ASPI 002 | 23.6 c-f | 1.098 a |
| SI 002 | 26.8 b-f | 1.077 a |
| SI 003 | 34.6 b | 1.084 a |
| SI 004 | 27.9 bcd | 1.073 a |
| Markies | 23.4 c-f | 1.086 a |
| Russet Norkotah | 27.9 bcd | 1.087 a |
| Norland | 29.7 bcd | 1.078 a |
| SI 001 | 46.5 a | 1.067 a |
| Red Sunset | 17.5 ef | 1.073 a |
| Roko | 29.3 bcd† | 1.092 a |
| Sangre | 23.7 c-f | 1.087 a |
| Terra Rosa | 22.1 def | 1.099 a |
| ASPI 001 | 32.6 bc | 1.088 a |
| Yukon Gem | 34.8 b | 1.078 a |
| Yukon Gold | 28.4 bcd† | 1.092 a |
| Moderate N | | |
| EPG 007 | 25.8 ab | 1.102 a |
| PLP 005 | 13.0 b† | 1.102 a |
| Markies | 18.3 ab | 1.086 b |
| Russet Norkotah | 24.0 ab | 1.086 b |
| Norland | 28.4 a | 1.071 c |
| Roko | 21.9 ab† | 1.091 ab |
| Sangre | 19.3 ab | 1.083 bc |
| Yukon Gold | 17.3 ab† | 1.088 b |
| Low N | | |
| Amarosa | 4.13 b† | 1.095 a† |
| Norland | 23.32 a | 1.083 b |

Table 75: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 76. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

The percentage of tuber count in each size category for fresh market cultivars is represented in Table 3. In the 248 lb./ac N plots, Amarosa, SI 002, SI 004, Markies and Terra Rosa produced a greater percentage of small tubers (< 48 mm) than medium tubers (48 – 88mm). CV99044-3 has similar percentages of small and medium tubers, and the remainder of cultivars produced more medium than small tubers. Yukon Gold, Yukon Gem, Sangre and Red Sunset had a significantly higher percentage of oversized tubers than other cultivars which may be an indication that these cultivars are early maturing and an earlier harvest date may be more appropriate. Yukon Gold had a significantly higher percentage of deformed tubers than all other cultivars, except ASPI 001 and may be related to growing past an optimal harvest date.

In moderate N plots, Amarosa, SI 002, SI 004, Markies and Terra Rosa produced a greater percentage of small tubers (< 48 mm) than medium tubers (48 – 88mm). Russet Norkotah and Roko produced similar percentage of small and medium tubers at this level of N. A small percentage of oversized tubers were produced by cultivars at this level of N in the trial. All of the tested cultivars, except Sangre and Russet Norkotah produced a smaller percentage of deformed tubers than Yukon Gold. Size distribution of Amarosa and Norland on 100 lbs./ac N plots was similar to the size profiles of these cultivars at other levels of N.

Significant differences in percentages of specific size categories were observed for CV99044-3, Norland, Russet Norkotah and Yukon Gold grown at different rates of N.

| 2012 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 99.0 a | 0.4 d | 0.3 bc | 0.2 b |
| ASPI 003 | 25.5 ef | 69.2 a | 3.0 bc | 2.3 b |
| PLP 005 | 48.6 bcd† | 49.4 abc† | 0.6 bc | 1.4 b |
| ASPI 002 | 35.9 de | 61.5 ab | 0.0 c | 2.6 b |
| SI 002 | 63.8 b | 33.8 bc | 0.0 c | 2.4 b |
| SI 003 | 34.4 def | 60.5 abc | 1.0 bc | 4.1 b |
| SI 004 | 57.0 bc | 41.7 abc | 0.2 c | 1.1 b |
| Markies | 64.1 b | 31.1 cd | 0.1 c | 4.6 b |
| Russet Norkotah | 35.4 c-f | 57.0 abc | 2.1 bc† | 5.4 b |
| Norland | 23.6 ef | 66.5 a† | 2.7 bc | 2.1 b |
| SI 001 | 36.7 c-f | 57.5 abc | 2.0 bc | 3.8 b |
| Red Sunset | 37.9 cde | 56.9 abc | 3.7 abc | 1.6 b |
| Roko | 47.8 bcd | 50.0 abc | 0.0 c | 2.3 b |
| Sangre | 30.9 def | 62.7 abc | 3.6 abc | 2.8 b |
| Terra Rosa | 63.2 b | 34.4 bc | 0.0 c | 2.4 b |
| ASPI 001 | 29.2 ef | 63.3 ab | 1.8 bc | 5.7 ab |
| Yukon Gem | 31.9 def | 60.8 abc | 4.7 ab | 2.6 b |
| Yukon Gold | 15.1 f† | 64.6 ab | 7.8 a† | 12.5 a |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| EPG 007 | 33.2 cd | 64.0 ab | 1.3 abc | 1.4 bc |
| PLP 005 | 61.0 ab† | 38.6 de† | 0.0 c | 0.6 c |
| Markies | 68.9 a | 27.7 e | 0.0 c | 3.4 bc |
| Russet Norkotah | 47.0 bc | 46.5 cd | 0.6 bc† | 5.9 ab |
| Norland | 24.1 d | 71.6 a† | 2.9 ab | 1.4 bc |
| Roko | 53.6 ab | 43.8 cd | 0.0 c | 2.6 bc |
| Sangre | 35.2 cd | 57.8 abc | 2.7 ab | 4.2 abc |
| Yukon Gold | 35.3 cd† | 53.0 bcd | 3.3 a† | 8.4 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 100.0 a | 0.0 b | 0.0 b | 0.0 b |
| Norland | 27.4 b | 67.5 a | 1.3 a | 3.9 a |

Table 76: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 77. In the regular N plots, Amarosa yielded significantly more potatoes less than 48 mm than most cultivars, but was not significantly different from SI 002, SI 004 or Terra Rosa. Marketable

yield ranged from 0.2 ton/ac of Amarosa to 32.7 ton/ac of SI 001. SI 001 yielded significantly more marketable tubers than Yukon Gold or Norland (checks) in this trial, but was not statistically different from Russet Norkotah, SI 003, Yukon Gem or ASPI 001.

At the moderate rate of N, Markies produced a significantly greater yield of small tubers compared to two check varieties, Yukon Gold and Norland. Yield of marketable tubers (48 – 88mm) ranged from 7.7 ton/ac for CV99044-3 to 22.6 ton/ac for Norland.

N level had a significant impact on the yield of small Amarosa tubers, where a greater yield of small tubers was harvested from the regular N plots than from the moderate N plots. Significantly higher yield of marketable CV99044-3 tubers were produced on 248 lbs./ac N than 150 lbs./ac N plots. On 150 lbs./ac plots, significantly lower yield of oversized Russet Norkotah and Yukon Gold tubers were produced compared to the higher rate of N. No significant impact of N level was observed for yield of deformed tubers.

| Table 77: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and |
|---|
| deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate |
| nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of |
| four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly |
| different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| 2012 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Regular N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 16.7 a† | 0.2 f | 0.0 a | 0.1 a |
| ASPI 003 | 2.4 c | 20.2 b-e | 2.2 a | 0.8 a |
| PLP 005 | 7.1 bc | 19.2 b-e† | 8.7 a | 12.0 a |
| ASPI 002 | | | | |
| SI 002 | 11.5 ab | 14.2 b-e | 0.0 a | 1.1 a |
| SI 003 | 4.7 bc | 26.4 ab | 1.3 a | 2.2 a |
| SI 004 | 9.2 abc | 17.8 b-e | 0.4 a | 0.6 a |
| Markies | 7.7 bc | 13.3 cde | 0.1 a | 2.2 a |
| Russet Norkotah | 3.5 bc | 20.4 а-е | 2.3 a† | 1.7 a |
| Norland | 6.4 bc | 20.0 b-e | 2.4 a | 9.5 a |
| SI 001 | 7.3 bc | 32.7 a | 3.2 a | 3.4 a |
| Red Sunset | 2.5 c | 12.6 def | 1.9 a | 0.5 a |
| Roko | 7.4 bc | 19.3 b-e | 0.0 a | 2.6 a |
| Sangre | 2.7 c | 17.2 b-e | 2.8 a | 1.1 a |
| Terra Rosa | 9.8 abc | 11.6 ef | 0.0 a | 0.8 a |
| ASPI 001 | 2.4 c | 25.6 abc | 2.3 a | 2.3 a |
| Yukon Gem | 4.0 bc | 24.7 a-d | 4.8 a | 1.3 a |
| Yukon Gold | 4.5 bc† | 13.0 de | 6.4 a† | 4.4 a |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| EPG 007 | 3.5 abc | 20.6 a | 1.083 abc | 0.6 ab |
| PLP 005 | 5.1 abc | 7.7 b† | 0.00 c | 0.2 b |
| Markies | 7.9 a | 8.7 b | 0.00 c | 1.7 ab |
| Russet Norkotah | 5.3 abc | 15.6 ab | 0.7 bc† | 2.4 a |
| Norland | 2.6 bc | 22.6 a | 2.7 a | 0.5 ab |
| Roko | 7.3 ab | 13.7 ab | 0.00 c | 0.9 ab |
| Sangre | 2.5 c | 13.9 ab | 1.7 abc | 1.2 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 2.3 c | 10.8 b | 2.1 ab† | 2.2 ab |
| Low N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 4.1 a† | 0.0 b | 0.0 b | 0.0 b |
| Norland | 2.4 b | 18.3 a | 1.0 a | 1.6 a |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for the three cultivars grown on regular and moderate N plots is shown in Figure 41.



Figure 41: Yield (yon/ac) of marketable potatoes (< 48 mm for Amarosa and 48 – 88mm for others) produced on regular (248 lbs./ac) N, moderate (150 lbs./ac) N and low (100 lbs./ac) N plots. Each variety marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 78. At the regular rate of N, no significant differences in Uniformity of Shape were observed. Amarosa scored highest for Uniformity of Size, but was only significantly different from Red Sunset, Yukon Gem and ASPI 001. For Overall Appearance, SI 001 scored significantly higher than Yukon Gold, Red Sunset, Roko and Terra Rosa. At the moderate rate of N, EPG 007 and Russet Norkotah scored highest for Uniformity of Shape and were significantly different from Roko. There were no significant differences in Uniformity of Size or Overall Appearance at this level of N.

| 2012 | Uniformity of Shape ¹ | Uniformity of Size ² | Overall Appearance ³ | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Regular N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 4.0 a | 4.0 a | 3.8 ab | |
| ASPI 003 | 2.5 a | 2.3 ab | 2.8 ab | |
| PLP 005 | 3.5 a | 3.5 ab | 3.5 ab | |
| ASPI 002 | | | | |
| SI 002 | 3.3 a | 3.3 ab | 3.3 ab | |
| SI 003 | 3.5 a | 2.8 ab | 3.3 ab | |
| SI 004 | 3.5 a | 3.3 ab | 3.3 ab | |
| Markies | 2.8 a | 2.5 ab | 3.0 ab | |
| Russet Norkotah | 4.0 a | 3.3 ab | 3.0 ab | |
| Norland | 3.0 a | 2.4 ab | 3.0 ab | |
| SI 001 | 4.3 a | 3.5 ab | 4.3 a | |
| Red Sunset | 2.5 a | 2.0 b | 2.5 b | |
| Roko | 2.5 a | 2.3 ab | 2.5 b | |
| Sangre | 3.0 a | 2.5 ab | 3.0 ab | |
| Terra Rosa | 2.5 a | 2.5 ab | 2.5 b | |
| ASPI 001 | 3.0 a | 2.0 b | 2.8 ab | |
| Yukon Gem | 3.0 a | 2.0 b | 3.0 ab | |
| Yukon Gold | 2.8 a | 2.5 ab | 2.5 b | |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| EPG 007 | 3.8 a | 3.3 a | 3.8 a | |
| PLP 005 | 3.3 ab | 3.7 a | 3.3 a | |
| Markies | 3.0 ab | 3.0 a | 3.5 a | |
| Russet Norkotah | 3.8 a | 3.0 a | 3.3 a | |
| Norland | 3.2 ab | 2.8 a | 3.2 a | |
| Roko | 2.0 b | 2.8 a | 2.5 a | |
| Sangre | 2.8 ab | 2.3 a | 3.0 a | |
| Yukon Gold | 2.8 ab | 2.3 a | 3.5 a | |
| Low N | | | | |
| Amarosa | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | |
| Norland | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | |

Table 78: Subjective tuber assessments. Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Shape: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

³Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for most cultivars grown at 248 lbs./ac N. Roko and SI 003 have a tendency toward internal pigmentation. Several varieties showed low levels of stem end discoloration, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing.

There were few internal defects noted for the varieties grown at 150 lbs./ac N or 100 lbs./ac N. Several varieties had a small percentage of tubers with stem end discoloration, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing. Very few other internal defects were noted.

Cultivars were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations are presented in Table 79A and B. After cooking darkening was not noted for any of the varieties after boiling or baking. When grown at 248 lbs./ac N, ASPI 002 and Yukon Gold displayed severe sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations, while Amarosa, ASPI 003, SI 002, Norland, SI 001, Red Sunset, Roko and Terra Rosa had none. Of the cultivars evaluated, Amarosa, SI 004, SI 001 and Red Sunset were the waxiest and ASPI 002, Russet Norkotah, Terra Rosa, ASPI 001 and Yukon Gold the mealiest after boiling. When baked, SI 002, SI 004, Russet Norkotah and Red Sunset were rated as slightly wet textured while Yukon Gold was mealiest.

When grown at 150 lbs./ac N, only Russet Norkotah displayed severe sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations. Norland and Sangre were rated as waxy after boiling, while Russet Norkotah and Yukon Gold were rated as fluffy/mealy. Most cultivars were rated as slightly wet after baking, while Russet Norkotah was rated as slightly mealy.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|
| 2012 | Waxiness† | Sloughing£ | After Cooking* Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | |
| Amarosa | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 003 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 002 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| SI 002 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| SI 003 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| SI 004 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Russet Norkotah | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| SI 001 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Red Sunset | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Roko | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Sangre | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Terra Rosa | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 001 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gem | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Moderate N | | | |
| Markies | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Russet Norkotah | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Norland | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Roko | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Sangre | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Low N | | | |
| Amarosa | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | 2 | 3 | 3 |

Table 79: A) Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy); £Sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none; *After-cooking Discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none.

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 2012 | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Regular N | | | |
| Amarosa | Red | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 003 | White | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 002 | White | | |
| SI 002 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| SI 003 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| SI 004 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Russet Norkotah | White | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | White | 3 | 3 |
| SI 001 | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| Red Sunset | White | 2 | 3 |
| Roko | White | 3 | 3 |
| Sangre | White | 3 | 3 |
| Terra Rosa | Red | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 001 | White | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gem | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 3 |
| Moderate N | | | |
| Markies | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Russet Norkotah | White | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | White | 2 | 3 |
| Roko | White | 2 | 3 |
| Sangre | White | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Low N | | | |
| Amarosa | Red | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | White | 2 | 3 |

Table 79. B) Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 248 lbs./ac), moderate nitrogen (approximately 150 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (100 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy; *After-cooking Discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none.

2013

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the full nitrogen rate (235 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs./ac N; 361 lbs./ac P, 1930 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (132 lbs./ac 34-0-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the reduced nitrogen rate (190 lbs./ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (165 lbs./ac of 34-0-0 and 100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block) along with standard varieties (Norland and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Seed was cut (70 to 85 g), if necessary, and suberized prior to planting. Potatoes were planted May 23, 2013 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 17 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 80). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 80: Foliar fungicides applied in 2013 to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |



Figure 42: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 30, 2013.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 11 to facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 23-24 with a one-row Grimme harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Comparisons for specific cultivars at two rates of N were analyzed using t-tests on a cultivar-by-cultivar basis (Excel; $p \le 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 22, 2013. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 43.



Figure 43. Fresh Market varieties at the CDCS field day August 22, 2013: a) Yukon Gold, b) SI004, c) SI002, d) RV006, e) SI001, f) TT003, g) Almera, h) PLP 005, i) Penta, j) Norland, k) SI003, l) TT005 and m) Roko.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 81. The highest total yield on regular N plots at CDCS was observed with SI001. SI001 yielded significantly more than all other fresh market cultivars at this level of N. Both SI002 and RV006 yielded significantly more than Yukon Gold (yellow-fleshed check). SI004 and SI003 both yielded less than their respective check varieties, however, it should be noted that seed piece decay was evident at planting for both of these cultivars. This may have resulted from holding seed until all other trial entries were provided and is not an indication of the true potential of the cultivars.

The highest total yield on moderate N at CDCS was observed with Almera. Total yield of Roko, Norland, Penta, TT003 and RV006 were not statistically different from one another, but less than that of Almera. Total yield of PLP 005 was significantly greater than total yield of Yukon Gold (check).

Specific gravity of tubers grown on 235 lbs./ac ranged from 1.060 for SI001 to 1.078 for SI002, Yukon Gold and SI003. These values are consistent with specific gravity typical of other fresh market cultivars.

Specific gravity of tubers grown on 190 lbs./ac ranged from 1.064 for Almera to 1.088 for Roko. The specific gravities of Almera, RV006 and TT003 were significantly lower than that of Yukon Gold (check), but not statistically different from Norland. The specific gravities of PLP 005, Penta, Roko and TT005 were not statistically different from that of Yukon Gold (check) under these conditions.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Norland, Yukon Gold and RV006 were grown at both rates on N. There was no significant difference in total yield or specific gravity for these varieties as a result of different levels of N. The N rate in the moderate N plots was approximately 45 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. The N rates may not have been sufficiently different to impact yield and specific gravity of all cultivars tested. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each cultivar may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Table 81: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 Fresh Market | Yield (ton/ac) | SG | |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|--|
| Regular N | | | |
| SI004 | 21.0 c | 1.063 bc | |
| SI002 | 30.4 b | 1.078 a | |
| RV006 | 30.5 b | 1.069 b | |
| Yukon Gold | 20.0 c | 1.078 a | |
| SI001 | 45.1 a | 1.060 c | |
| SI003 | 16.8 c | 1.078 a | |
| Norland | 32.4 b | 1.070 b | |
| Moderate N | | | |
| TT003 | 34.41 bc | 1.069 cd | |
| Almera | 46.72 a | 1.064 d | |
| PLP 005 | 26.53 c | 1.078 bc | |
| RV006 | 34.06 bc | 1.069 cd | |
| Penta | 31.99 bc | 1.081 ab | |
| Yukon Gold | 19.55 d | 1.080 ab | |
| Norland | 33.42 bc | 1.069 cd | |
| TT005 | 22.15 d | 1.074 bcd | |
| Roko | 38.53 b | 1.088 a | |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 82. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

The percentage of tuber count in each size category for fresh market cultivars is represented in Table 3. In the 235 lb./ac N plots, the majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 - 88mm). Norland, Yukon Gold and SI001 had a significantly higher percentage of oversized tubers than other cultivars which may be an indication that these cultivars are early maturing and an earlier harvest data may be more appropriate. SI003 had a significantly higher percentage of deformed tubers than the other cultivars and may be related to the seed condition at planting.

In moderate N plots, Almera yielded a significantly lower percentage of small (< 48mm) tubers than most of the other varieties tested, but not statistically less than Yukon Gold, Norland or TT003. Both PLP 005 and TT005 produced a significantly higher percentage of small tubers

than other cultivars. In the marketable category (48 – 88mm), Roko produced the highest percentage of marketable tubers and the percentage of marketable tubers produced by Almera, TT003, Penta, RV006, Yukon Gold and Norland were not statistically different. The percentage of marketable tubers from TT005 was significantly lower than Roko and several other varieties, but not significantly lower than Yukon Gold, Norland or PLP 005. A small percentage of oversized tubers were produced by many cultivars in the trial. Norland, Yukon Gold and Almera produced the highest percentage of oversized tubers likely because these are early maturing varieties and the trial was harvested in September. TT003, PLP 005, RV006, and Penta produced a smaller percentage of oversized tubers than Yukon Gold (check). TT005 and Roko produced a significantly smaller percentage of deformed tubers than Yukon Gold, but the percentage of deformed tubers than Yukon Gold, but the percentage of deformed tubers than that of Norland.

No significant differences in size profile were observed for Norland, Yukon Gold and RV006 grown at different rates of N.

Table 82: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Regular N | | | | |
| SI004 | 40.5 a | 57.5 ab | 1.3 d | 0.5 b |
| SI002 | 43.5 a | 56.0 ab | 0.0 d | 0.5 b |
| RV006 | 30.0 abc | 66.8 a | 2.5 cd | 1.3 b |
| Yukon Gold | 24.0 bc | 60.5 ab | 11.3 abc | 4.5 b |
| SI001 | 20.8 c | 64.0 a | 15.5 a | 0.0 b |
| SI003 | 37.3 ab | 48.5 b | 4.5 bcd | 9.8 a |
| Norland | 25.5 ab | 58.8 ab | 12.3 ab | 3.5 b |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| TT003 | 20.3 cde | 71.0 ab | 6.5 bc | 2.5 b |
| Almera | 13.3 e | 75.3 ab | 10.8 ab | 0.8 b |
| PLP 005 | 41.5 ab | 57.3 bc | 1.3 c | 0.3 b |
| RV006 | 32.0 bc | 65.3 ab | 2.0 c | 0.8 b |
| Penta | 28.5 cd | 67.0 ab | 2.8 c | 1.3 b |
| Yukon Gold | 18.0 de | 61.8 abc | 14.5 a | 6.5 a |
| Norland | 18.5 de | 61.3 abc | 17.8 a | 3.0 ab |
| TT005 | 51.5 a | 47.3 c | 0.3 c | 1.0 b |
| Roko | 19.0 de | 76.3 a | 3.0 c | 2.0 b |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 83. In the regular N plots, SI002 yielded significantly more potatoes under 48 mm than other cultivars. Marketable yield ranged from 9.9 ton/ac of SI003 to 27.5 ton/ac of SI001. SI001, RV006, SI002 and SI004 all yielded significantly more marketable than Yukon Gold (check) in this trial. Norland yielded significantly more marketable tubers than SI003. SI003 yielded more deformed tubers than the other test cultivars, but was not significantly different from either check in this category.

At the moderate rate of N, Penta, PLP 005, RV006 and TT005 produced a significantly greater yield of small tubers compared to both check varieties, Yukon Gold and Norland. Yield of marketable tubers (48 – 88mm) ranged from 11.26 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 33.86 ton/ac for Almera. Marketable yield of Almera and Roko were statistically greater than that of both check varieties. Marketable yield of TT005 was significantly lower than many of the cultivars tested, but was not statistically different from either check. The greatest yield of oversized tubers was observed for early varieties, Norland, Almera and Yukon Gold, likely resulting from the timing of harvest for the trial. TT005 and Roko produced statistically lower yields of oversized tubers relative to Norland. The yield of oversized TT003, Almera, PLP 005, RV006 and Penta tubers were not statistically different from that of Yukon Gold. There were no statistical differences in the yield of deformed tubers produced by the varieties in this trial.

N level had a significant impact on the yield of small Yukon Gold tubers, where a greater yield of small tubers was harvested from the regular N plots than from the moderate N plots. No significant impact of N level was observed for yield by size category of RV006 or Norland.

Table 83: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at full nitrogen (approximately 235 lbs./ac) and moderate nitrogen (approximately 190 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| 2013 | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Regular N | | | | |
| SI004 | 3.9 b | 15.8 cd | 1.1 c | 0.2 b |
| SI002 | 6.6 a | 23.6 ab | 0.2 c | 0.3 b |
| RV006 | 3.9 b | 24.2 ab | 1.9 bc | 0.5 b |
| Yukon Gold | 1.2 c† | 12.4 d | 5.1 bc | 1.4 ab |
| SI001 | 2.4 bc | 27.5 a | 15.1 a | 0.2 b |
| SI003 | 2.1 bc | 9.9 d | 2.5 bc | 2.3 a |
| Norland | 2.0 bc | 20.1 bc | 8.5 b | 1.7 ab |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| TT003 | 1.85 cde | 26.43 ab | 5.35 b | 0.78 a |
| Almera | 1.62 de | 33.86 a | 10.85 a | 0.36 a |
| PLP 005 | 4.94 ab | 20.67 bc | 1.21 b | 0.12 a |
| RV006 | 4.27 ab | 27.41 ab | 2.00 b | 0.37 a |
| Penta | 3.51 bc | 25.41 ab | 2.50 b | 0.57 a |
| Yukon Gold | 0.61 e† | 11.26 d | 6.16 ab | 1.52 a |
| Norland | 1.34 de | 19.36 bcd | 11.20 a | 1.52 a |
| TT005 | 5.61 a | 15.69 cd | 0.46 b | 0.39 a |
| Roko | 2.54 cd | 31.58 a | 2.94 b | 1.47 a |
| EPG 006 | 1.9 c | 23.7 bc | 2.1 cd | 0.5 a |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88 mm) for the three cultivars grown on regular and moderate N plots is shown in Figure 44.



Figure 44: Yield (ton/ac) of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on regular (235 lbs./ac) N and moderate (190 lbs./ac) N plots. For each variety, yield columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p \leq 0.05).

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 84. At the regular rate of N, SI002 scored significantly better for uniformity of size than Yukon Gold. At the moderate rate of N, the two check varieties scored lower for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance than the other cultivars tested. Penta, PLP 005 and TT005 were scored as the most uniform of the cultivars evaluated. PLP 005, RV006, Penta and TT005 scored highest in terms of Overall Appearance at this level of N.
| 2013 | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Regular N | | |
| SI004 | 3.3 ab | 3.8 a |
| SI002 | 3.5 a | 3.0 ab |
| RV006 | 2.8 ab | 3.8 a |
| Yukon Gold | 2.0 b | 2.8 ab |
| SI001 | 2.8 ab | 3.8 a |
| SI003 | 2.5 ab | 2.3 b |
| Norland | 2.3 ab | 2.5 ab |
| Moderate N | | |
| TT003 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Almera | 2.75 | 3.00 |
| PLP 005 | 3.75 | 4.00 |
| RV006 | 3.25 | 3.75 |
| Penta | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| Yukon Gold | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Norland | 2.25 | 2.00 |
| TT005 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Roko | 3.00 | 3.00 |

Table 84: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for SI004, SI002, RV006, and SI001 grown at 235 lbs./ac N. Approximately 9% of SI003, 5% of Yukon Gold and 2% of the Norland tubers in the SG sample had hollow heart. Approximately 30% of the SI003 tubers had some level of pigmentation in the tubers flesh. Several varieties showed low levels of stem end discoloration, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing.

There were few internal defects noted for most of the varieties grown at 190 lbs./ac N. Approximately 8% of Yukon Gold tubers in the SG sample had hollow heart. Several varieties (Yukon Gold, Penta, Almera, Roko, PLP 005 and TT005) showed stem end discoloration, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing. Very few other internal defects were noted.

Cultivars were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations are presented in Table 85A and B. After cooking darkening was not noted for any of the varieties after boiling or baking. When grown at 235 lbs./ac N, Yukon Gold displayed severe sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations, while RV006 and SI001 had none. Of the cultivars evaluated, SI001 was the waxiest and Yukon Gold the mealiest after boiling and baking.

When grown at 190 lbs./ac N, none of the cultivars displayed severe sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations. Norland, Almera, PLP 005 and RV006 were rated as waxy after boiling, while TT003, TT005 and Roko were only slightly wet. Yukon Gold and Penta were rated as mealier after boiling. Most cultivars were rated as slightly mealy after baking, while Penta, PLP 005 and Almera were rated as slightly wet. RV006 was rated as mealy after baking.

Table 85: A) Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown at a regular rate of nitrogen (235 lbs./ac) at CDCS). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| CDCS - 2013 | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | | |
| SI004 | Yellow | 3 | Moderate | None |
| SI002 | Yellow | 2 | Moderate | None |
| RV006 | Yellow | 3 | None | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | Severe | None |
| SI001 | Off-white | 1 | None | None |
| SI003 | Yellow | 2 | Moderate | None |
| Norland | White | 1 | None | None |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| TT003 | Yellow | 2 | Moderate | None |
| Almera | Yellow | 1 | None | None |
| PLP 005 | Off-white | 1 | Moderate | None |
| RV006 | Deep Yellow | 1 | None | None |
| Penta | Yellow | 4 | Moderate | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | Moderate | None |
| Norland | White | 1 | None | None |
| TT005 | White | 2 | Moderate | None |
| Roko | Off-white | 2 | Moderate | None |

* Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| CDCS - 2013 | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Regular N | | | |
| SI004 | Deep Yellow | 1 | None |
| SI002 | Deep Yellow | 1 | None |
| RV006 | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | None |
| SI001 | Yellow | 1 | None |
| SI003 | Deep Yellow | 4 | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | None |
| Moderate N | | | |
| TT003 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| Almera | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| PLP 005 | Off-white | 2 | None |
| RV006 | Deep Yellow | 4 | None |
| Penta | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 3 | None |
| TT005 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| Roko | Yellow | 3 | None |

Table 85. B) Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown at a moderate rate of nitrogen (190 lbs./ac) at CDCS). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Conclusions

The 2011 variety trial included a number of fresh market potato varieties with potential in Alberta. Norland and Yukon Gold were included in the trial as check varieties for varieties graded into weight categories. Yield of tubers produced from mini-tubers was disappointing and sizes tended to be smaller than for other varieties. A russet skinned standard was not included in the first year of the trial. Some of the novel varieties included yielded well. It is difficult to draw conclusions from such a range of varieties and intended uses. It may be necessary to evaluate some of these varieties again with appropriate standards and conventional seed.

For varieties graded into size categories, Norland, Dark Red Norland and Yukon Gold were included in the trial as check varieties. Yield of many of the test varieties compared well with familiar standard varieties. ASPI 002 is an impressive oblong white potato. ASPI 001 is a uniform, attractive oblong russet skinned variety. Several of the red-skinned varieties are attractive with yields comparable to the red standards. Solanum 001 is a high-yielding yellow-fleshed variety. Solanum 002 and Tuberosum 003 may have potential as creamer varieties. For

all varieties except Tuberosum 003, there was a trend toward higher total yield in the regular N plots (225 lbs./ac) than in the low N plots (115 lbs./ac). Fewer large tubers were observed from the low N plots than from the regular N plots. There were very few internal defects observed in most of the tubers examined. Scab was only observed on one EPG variety.

For all varieties, there was a trend toward higher total yield in the regular N plots (225 lbs./ac) than in the low N plots (115 lbs./ac). Fewer large tubers were observed from the low N plots than from the regular N plots. There were very few internal defects observed in most of the tubers examined. The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was over 100 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Results from the CDCN location indicated that higher fertility and irrigation typically resulted in greater yield. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The 2012 variety trial included five fresh market cultivars with potential in Alberta and several check varieties, Norland, Russet Norkotah, and Yukon Gold. SI 001, ASPI 001, Yukon Gem and SI 003 were impressive in the 2012 evaluations for high total and marketable yield, good overall appearance and good boiling characteristics. SI 002, Amarosa, SI 004 and Markies yielded well in both the small potato and marketable potato categories indicating the potential usefulness in dual purpose (gourmet and table) markets.

The 2013 variety trial included five fresh market cultivars with potential in Alberta and two check varieties, Norland and Yukon Gold. SI001 and Almera were impressive in the 2013 evaluations for high total and marketable yield, good overall appearance and good boiling characteristics. SI002 yielded well in both the small potato and marketable potato categories indicating its potential usefulness in dual purpose (gourmet and table) markets. RV006, Penta and TT003 look like excellent potential replacements for Yukon Gold in the yellow fleshed market. Roko yielded very well and scored better than Norland for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. TT005 and PLP 005 appear to be suited to a smaller potato market or a dual purpose potato with good yield of small and marketable sizes and good scores for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. It was difficult to assess SI003 and SI004 fairly in this trial because seed quality at planting was questionable.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each specific cultivar may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Creamer Variety Evaluation

2012

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the medium nitrogen rate of 145 lbs/ac N was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (74 lbs./ac N; 192 lbs./ac P, 760 lbs./ac K), broadcast fertilizer (175 lbs./ac of 34-0-0) incorporated prior to planting and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated at hilling. Fertility for the reduced nitrogen rate of 85 lbs./ac was achieved through a combination of soil fertility and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized split block design (with fertility as the main block). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 10) to control weeds. Seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Most varieties were planted May 23, 2012 approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ "deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Whole seed was planted at 15 cm spacing in 6 m rows spaced 90 cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 86). Insecticide was applied July 17 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) and August 15 (Decis 5 EC, 50 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 86: Foliar fungicides applied to the 2012 potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| June 29 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| July 27 | Ridomil Gold / Bravo | 883 mL/ac |
| Aug 15 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |



Figure 45: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 27, 2012.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied August 3 and re-applied (1.0 L/ac) August 12 to encourage skin set and facilitate mechanical harvest. Tubers were harvested September 4 & 5 with a one-row Checci harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 25 mm, 25-41 mm, > 41 mm, and deformed). A 5 kg sample of (tubers 25-41 mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 22, 2012. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 46.



Figure 46. Creamer varieties at the CDCS July 27, 2012: a) TT 011, b) TT 006, c) TT 007, d) LPC 012, e) LPC 010, f) LPC 013, g) TT 009, h) TT 008, i) LPC 014.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the releases are shown in Table 87. The highest total yield on medium N at CDCS was observed with LPC 012, and total yield of TT 011, TT 009 and TT 008 were not statistically less than that of LPC 012.

The highest total yield on low N was observed with LPC 012 and total yield of TT 011, TT 009, and TT 008 were not statistically different. Total yield of TT 011 was significantly greater from the medium fertility plots than from the low fertility plots at CDCS indicating that the low fertility rate was sub-optimal for yield. Total yield of other varieties was not significantly different between the two fertility levels. The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was approximately 60 lbs./ac lower than the medium rate at CDCS. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.054 for TT 011 to 1.074 for LPC 013 (low N). Differences between varieties were fairly consistent at each level of N. Only LPC 013 showed a significant response to N, with the SG at medium N significantly higher than that at low N.

| CDCS - 2012 | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| Medium N | | |
| TT 011 | 17.72 a † | 1.054 d |
| TT 006 | 11.24 bc | 1.070 ab |
| TT 007 | 12.22 bc | 1.057 d |
| LPC 012 | 18.62 a | 1.064 bcd |
| LPC 010 | 8.45 c | 1.069 abc |
| LPC 013 | 11.19 bc | 1.074 a † |
| TT 009 | 15.35 ab | 1.057 d |
| TT 008 | 15.16 ab | 1.059 cd |
| LPC 014 | 7.12 с | 1.070 ab |
| Low N | | |
| TT 011 | 15.19 a † | 1.054 c |
| TT 006 | 9.33 cde | 1.068 a |
| TT 007 | 10.84 bcd | 1.054 c |
| LPC 012 | 15.63 a | 1.061 abc |
| LPC 010 | 8.68 de | 1.063 ab |
| LPC 013 | 9.94 cde | 1.066 a † |
| TT 009 | 14.13 ab | 1.058 bc |
| TT 008 | 13.47 abc | 1.063 ab |
| LPC 014 | 6 40 e | 1.065 ab |

Table 87: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown on medium nitrogen (approximately 145 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 85 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 88. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 19mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield (and percentage) of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field.

In the medium N plots, TT 006 produced a significantly higher number of potatoes in the small (< 25 mm) category than other varieties. In the 25 to 41 mm category, TT 006 produced more tubers than TT 011, TT 007 and LPC 014. TT 006 produced the fewest tubers over 41 mm, while TT 011, TT 007 and TT 009 produced the most. No significant differences were observed in the deformed category.

In the low N plots, TT 006 and TT 008 produced more tubers under 25 mm than other varieties, while TT 011 and LPC 010 produced the fewest small tubers. TT 008, LPC 013, TT 009, and

TT 006 produced the greatest number of tubers 25 to 41 mm and TT 011, LPC 010 and LPC 014 produced the fewest. TT 011, TT 007 and TT 009 produced the greatest number of tubers over 41 mm and TT 006 produced the fewest. There were no statistically significant differences in the deformed size categories from the low N plots. A significantly greater number of tubers over 41 mm was observed with LPC 013 from the medium N plots than from the low N plots.

Table 88: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each variety grown on medium nitrogen (approximately 145 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 85 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data was analyzed as tuber number per acre. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2012 | < 25mm | 25 to 41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Medium N | | | | |
| TT 011 | 8.4 b | 45.7 c | 35.4 a | 10.5 |
| TT 006 | 31.3 a | 63.1 a | 4.4 d | 1.2 |
| TT 007 | 8.4 b | 53.9 bc | 31.1 ab | 6.6 |
| LPC 012 | 13.6 b | 64.0 abc | 19.6 abc | 2.9 |
| LPC 010 | 10.6 b | 66.7 abc | 19.6 bcd | 3.1 |
| LPC 013 | 15.0 b | 66.3 abc | 18.0 abc† | 0.7 |
| TT 009 | 9.4 b | 61.3 abc | 27.1 a | 2.2 |
| TT 008 | 16.3 b | 69.1 ab | 13.8 abcd | 0.7 |
| LPC 014 | 12.7 b | 64.7 bc | 18.0 cd | 4.7 |
| Low N | | | | |
| TT 011 | 6.8 c | 52.5 d | 38.5 ab | 2.2 |
| TT 006 | 25.2 a | 68.8 ab | 4.0 d | 2.0 |
| TT 007 | 10.1 bc | 61.0 cd | 25.8 abc | 3.4 |
| LPC 012 | 14.4 bc | 65.8 bcd | 17.1 bcd | 2.7 |
| LPC 010 | 7.5 c | 59.5 d | 30.1 abc | 2.9 |
| LPC 013 | 14.0 bc | 71.6 abc | 12.2 cd† | 2.2 |
| TT 009 | 9.7 bc | 66.2 abc | 22.9 a | 1.1 |
| TT 008 | 15.7 ab | 71.1 a | 11.3 cd | 1.9 |
| LPC 014 | 22.6 bc | 63.2 d | 11.9 d | 2.3 |

[†] indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 89. In the medium N plots, TT 006 produced significantly the greatest yield of small (< 25 mm) potatoes compared to all varieties except TT 008. TT 008 produced the greatest yield of 25 to 41 mm tubers, and yields of TT 006, LPC 012, and TT 009 were not significantly lower in this category. The greatest yield of tubers > 41 mm was observed with TT 011, TT 007, and TT 009 on medium N. The smallest yield of tubers > 41 mm was observed with TT 006, LPC 014, LPC 010, LPC 013 and TT 008. The greatest yield of misshapen tubers was observed with TT 011 on medium N, and significantly fewer deformed tubers were observed for TT 006, LPC 013 and TT 008.

In the low N plots, there were no statistical differences in yield of tubers in the < 25 mm or deformed categories. LPC 012 produced the greatest yield of potatoes 25 to 41 mm in diameter, but not statistically more than TT 008, TT 009, LPC 013, TT 006 or TT 011. The smallest yield of tubers > 41 mm was observed with TT 006, LPC 014, LPC 013, TT 008, LPC 010 and TT 007 on low N plots.

Few significant differences were observed between yields in specific size categories for varieties grown on medium and low N plots. The medium rate of N significantly increased the yield of small (< 25 mm) tubers for LPC 014, and tubers over 41 mm for LPC 013. The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the low N plots was approximately 60 lbs./ac lower than the medium rate at CDCS. A rate of N that is intermediate may give better results than either full or low N. Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Table 89: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown on medium nitrogen (approximately 145 lbs./ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 85 lbs./ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS - 2012 | Yield of <25mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 25 to 41mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 41mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Medium N | | | | |
| TT 011 | 0.29 b | 5.35 cde | 9.88 a | 1.70 a |
| TT 006 | 1.24 a | 8.52 abc | 2.35 d | 0.13 b |
| TT 007 | 0.25 b | 4.72 de | 6.41 abc | 0.71 ab |
| LPC 012 | 0.59 b | 9.93 ab | 7.37 ab | 0.54 ab |
| LPC 010 | 0.26 b | 4.85 de | 2.90 cd | 0.26 ab |
| LPC 013 | 0.47 b | 6.59 bcde | 3.80 bcd † | 0.14 b |
| TT 009 | 0.34 b | 8.03 abcd | 6.28 abc | 0.45 ab |
| TT 008 | 0.69 ab | 10.42 a | 3.99 bcd | 0.14 b |
| LPC 014 | 0.24 b † | 3.80 e | 2.68 cd | 0.42 ab |
| Low N | | | | |
| TT 011 | 0.21 | 5.23 bcd | 9.00 a | 0.32 |
| TT 006 | 1.05 | 6.64 abc | 1.32 c | 0.26 |
| TT 007 | 0.29 | 5.02 bcd | 4.41 bc | 0.46 |
| LPC 012 | 0.59 | 8.87 a | 5.57 b | 0.39 |
| LPC 010 | 0.18 | 3.89 d | 4.28 bc | 0.35 |
| LPC 013 | 0.43 | 7.07 ab | 2.23 c † | 0.26 |
| TT 009 | 1.61 | 7.42 ab | 5.58 b | 0.25 |
| TT 008 | 0.65 | 8.64 a | 3.28 bc | 0.34 |
| LPC 014 | 0.26 † | 3.99 cd | 1.89 c | 0.19 |

† indicates significant differences between regular fertility and low fertility plots using a two-tailed t-test.

A comparison of medium potatoes (25 - 41 mm) for each variety from medium and low fertility plots is shown in Figure 47. Although some trends were evident, none of the yields in this size category were significantly different between N levels at the $p \le 0.05$ level.



Figure 47: Yield of potatoes (25 - 41mm) produced on low (85 lbs./ac) and medium (145 lbs./ac) N at CDCS. None of the yields in this size category were significantly different between N levels at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

2013

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low nitrogen rate of 135 lbs/ac N was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (124 lbs./ac N; 361 lbs./ac P, 1930 lbs./ac K) and broadcast fertilizer (100 lbs./ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects. A fifth replicate of each variety was planted for in-season sampling and was not included in the yield calculations.

Eptam 8E (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 6) to control weeds. Seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Whole seed was used for most cultivars, but when necessary seed was cut (70 to 85 g) and suberized prior to planting. The gourmet varieties were planted approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ "deep using a two-row tuber unit planter June 7, 2013. In-row spacing was 15 cm spacing in 5 m rows spaced 90 cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled July 4 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 90). Insecticide was applied July 10 (Matador 120 EC, 40 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

Table 90: Foliar fungicides applied to the 2013 potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| July 10 | Quadris | 202 mL/ac |
| July 20 | Bravo 500 | 0.64 L/ac |
| Aug 15 | Ridomil Gold Bravo | 883 mL/ac |



Figure 48: LPC evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 30, 2012.

Emergence data was taken every two days following hilling. The Julian date when 50% of the plants had emerged was recorded and full emergence (100%) was recorded as the date when all plants expected to emerge had emerged. Stand was recorded once full emergence had been reached.

Reglone (1.4 L/ac) was applied September 11 to encourage skin set and facilitate mechanical harvest. Stem counts were taken following desiccation, just prior to harvest. The mean number of stems per plant was calculated by dividing the stand by the total number of stems in each row. The mean number of tubers per stem was calculated by dividing the total number of tubers from each row by the number of stems per row. Tubers were harvested September 23 & 24 with a one-row Checci harvester for yield and grade data.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 25 mm, 25-41 mm, > 41 mm, and deformed). A 5 kg sample of (tubers 25-41 mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Sub-samples of tubers from the 25 - 41mm category were made available to the client for culinary evaluation. The results of the culinary evaluation will be provided independently of this report.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug July 30, 2013 for an initial assessment of tuber set, yield potential and relative maturity. Photos of these potatoes are shown in Appendix B.

In season data is presented in Table 91. Approximately 19 days after planting, 50% of many of the plants in each row were visible (data not shown). Full emergence was reached between 23 and 32 days after planting. There was no significant difference in emergence dates from any of the cultivars planted. The mean number of stems per plant, tubers per stem and tubers per plant (tuber set) are shown in Table 2 as well. There were significant differences in each of these categories. For ease of comparison, cultivars have been grouped into categories by skin-color but were analyzed as a complete data set.

The mean number of tubers per plant ranged from as low as 8.7 for LPC 014 to as many as 46.9 for TT-10-014/2010-12. It is my understanding that a target of 15 or more tubers per plant is desirable for the production of gourmet potato varieties (Joel Vander Schaaf, personal communication). If tuber set is too high, however, many tubers may not reach a marketable size prior to harvest in Alberta's short growing season. Most of the cultivars included in the trial exceeded tuber sets of 15. Exceptions include the splash cultivars, LPC 013 and G12, the yellow cultivars G16, G7, and TT 009, red-skinned cultivars TT 007, LPC 012, G1, TT-09-060/2010-02 and TT-10-106/2011-07 and the purple-skinned cultivars LPC 014, G13, LPC 010, and TT-09-200-2010-04. As tuber set is only an indication, marketable yield will be a better indicator than tuber set alone for the potential of these cultivars as gourmet varieties.

| CDCS | Full Emergence DAP | Stems per Plant | Tubers per Stem | Tubers per Plant |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| G12 | 27.3 | 3.5 cd | 2.9 def | 9.9 gh |
| LPC 013 | 31.8 | 3.5 cd | 2.6 def | 10.8 gh |
| 425/09-06 | 31.0 | 8.0 a | 3.3 def | 26.3 b-f |
| G16 | 23.5 | 3.3 cd | 6.6 a-e | 14.1 fgh |
| G14 | 27.3 | 5.3 abcd | 2.9 def | 15.6 e-h |
| TT 006 | 24.8 | 5.7 abcd | 3.0 def | 17.1 d-h |
| G6 | 26.0 | 4.2 bcd | 3.7 def | 15.4 e-h |
| G7 | 28.5 | 4.3 bcd | 3.0 def | 12.5 gh |
| TT 009 | 27.3 | 5.3 abcd | 3.9 def | 14.7 fgh |
| G11 | 25.5 | 6.8 ab | 2.4 ef | 16.1 e-h |
| TT-08-024/2010-06 | 29.0 | 3.3 cd | 6.5 а-е | 21.2 b-g |
| TT-08-024/2010-07 | 27.7 | 4.4 bcd | 1.8 b-f | 19.8 c-h |
| TT-08-024/2010-12 | 27.7 | 5.2 abcd | 6.1 a-f | 32.2 b |
| TT-10-014/2010-06 | 27.7 | 3.9 bcd | 7.3 a-d | 27.1 b-e |
| TT-10-014/2010-12 | 27.7 | 4.9 abcd | 10.0 a | 46.9 a |
| TT 007 | 28.5 | 2.9 d | 4.4 b-f | 12.4 gh |
| LPC 012 | 27.7 | 5.2 abcd | 2.9 def | 14.7 fgh |
| G15 | 27.3 | 3.7 bcd | 3.3 def | 15.6 e-h |
| G1 | 27.3 | 4.8 bcd | 2.8 def | 13.4 gh |
| TT-08-006/2010-05 | 27.3 | 3.8 bcd | 4.1 c-f | 15.6 e-h |
| TT-09-060/2010-02 | 27.7 | 4.1 bcd | 3.0 def | 12.2 gh |
| TT-10-106/2011-07 | 29.3 | 4.1 bcd | 3.6 def | 12.2 gh |
| TT-10-106/2011-09 | 29.3 | 3.9 bcd | 5.9 a-f | 20.7 b-h |
| TT-10-125/2011-03 | 27.7 | 3.6 cd | 9.1 ab | 30.5 bc |
| TT-10-125/2011-05 | 27.7 | 3.2 cd | 8.7 abc | 27.9 bcd |
| G13 | 29.5 | 3.6 cd | 3.2 def | 10.8 gh |
| LPC 010 | 29.8 | 3.7 bcd | 3.1 def | 11.3 gh |
| LPC 014 | 27.7 | 5.7 abcd | 1.6 f | 8.7 h |
| TT-09-200/2010-01 | 29.3 | 6.3 abc | 2.3 ef | 16.4 d-h |
| TT-09-200/2010-04 | 29.0 | 5.7 abcd | 2.2 ef | 11.7 gh |
| TT-10-106/2011-04 | 27.7 | 5.0 abcd | 3.3 def | 16.2 d-h |

Table 91: Field data and tuber set information for each variety grown at 135 lbs./ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of three or four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the gourmet cultivars are shown in Table 92. Total yield estimates ranged from 7.0 ton/ac to 29.9 ton/ac. In order for producers to achieve a realistic return on investment growing gourmet potatoes, yield must be above a threshold. Of the bicolour potatoes, G12 yielded significantly more than LPC 013. Many of the yellow-skinned cultivars yielded well and were not significantly different from total yield of TT 006. TT 009, G6, G7, TT-08-024/2010-12, G16, 425/09-06, G14 and TT-10-014/2010-12 were among the best yielding yellow-skinned cultivars. Of these, G6 and TT 009 yielded significantly more than TT 006. TT 007, LPC 012, G15, G1, TT-08-006/2010-05, TT-09-060/2010-02 and TT-10-106/2011-09 were among the best yielding red-skinned cultivars, but none yielded significantly more than TT 007. Yields of purple-skinned cultivars were lower than yields of most other cultivars, with the exception of LPC 010, a yellow-fleshed cultivar. It is not clear whether yields are lower as a consequence of a shorter breeding and selection history, or if the production of anthocyanins in the tuber flesh is a significant sink for photosynthate with a corresponding impact on yield. Among the purple-skinned, purple-fleshed cultivars, the best yield was observed with TT-10-106/2011-04 although total yield was not statistically different from other purple-skinned entries. Further addressing the specific agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared with the results in this trial.

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.066 for TT 007 to 1.097 for 425/09-06 (Table 3). The texture of 'new' potatoes often associated with gourmet size is consistent with specific gravity values of 1.06 to 1.08. Varieties with specific gravities above 1.085 often rival those of French fry varieties with a dry or mealy texture and may be less suitable for the gourmet market.

Potatoes were sized into categories and the estimated number of tubers per acre in each size category is represented in Table 93. There were statistically significant differences in all size categories. For the bicolour potatoes, G12 produced significantly more tubers per acre in the > 41mm category than LPC 013, perhaps an indication of earlier maturity. Among the yellowskinned potatoes, TT-10-014/2010-12 and TT-08-024/2010-12 produced significantly more 25 -41mm tubers per acre than TT 006 and other yellow-skinned cultivars. None of the other tested lines produced significantly fewer tubers in this category than TT 006. G7 and TT 009 produced significantly more tubers in the > 41mm category than TT 006 and other cultivars, indicating that an earlier harvest of these potatoes may be required. TT-08-006/2010-05, TT-10-106/2011-09, TT-10-125/2011-03 and TT-10-125/2011-05 all produced significantly more tubers in the 25 -41mm category than TT 007. Blushing Bell, however, produced the greatest number of tubes in the > 41mm category indicating that an earlier harvest of this cultivar may be preferred. The number of tubers over 41mm from G15 was not statistically different from that of TT 007 and G1, TT-09-060/2010-02 and TT-10-106/2011-09 also had a large number of tubers in the larger category. Both TT-10-106/2011-04 and TT-09-200/2010-01 produced high numbers of 25 -41mm tubers per acre, however, there were no purple-skinned cultivars that produced significantly different numbers of tubers per acre in this size category than LPC 014. LPC 010 produced significantly more > 41mm tubers than LPC 014 and TT-09-200/2010-01 produced significantly fewer.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Moderate N | | |
| G12 | 23.1 a-g | 1.075 abc |
| LPC 013 | 8.38 hi | 1.088 abc |
| 425/09-06 | 20.2 a-g | 1.097 a |
| G16 | 28.0 abc | 1.070 bc |
| G14 | 19.3 a-h | 1.082 abc |
| TT 006 | 17.8 c-i | 1.080 abc |
| G6 | 29.9 a | 1.067 c |
| G7 | 29.2 abc | 1.081 abc |
| TT 009 | 29.8 a | 1.080 abc |
| G11 | 13.6 f-i | 1.084 abc |
| TT-08-024/2010-06 | 18.8 a-h | 1.087 abc |
| TT-08-024/2010-07 | 15.8 e-i | 1.083 abc |
| TT-08-024/2010-12 | 19.8 a-h | 1.089 abc |
| TT-10-014/2010-06 | 18.3 b-i | 1.096 a |
| TT-10-014/2010-12 | 20.8 a-g | 1.080 abc |
| TT 007 | 27.0 a-d | 1.066 c |
| LPC 012 | 29.3 ab | 1.083 abc |
| G15 | 20.5 a-g | 1.080 abc |
| G1 | 24.1 a-f | 1.075 abc |
| TT-08-006/2010-05 | 21.1 a-g | 1.080 abc |
| TT-09-060/2010-02 | 19.6 a-h | 1.079 abc |
| TT-10-106/2011-07 | 7.0 i | 1.082 abc |
| TT-10-106/2011-09 | 19.2 a-h | 1.084 abc |
| TT-10-125/2011-03 | 13.3 f-i | 1.079 abc |
| TT-10-125/2011-05 | 17.8 c-i | 1.088 abc |
| G13 | 14.5 f-i | 1.074 abc |
| LPC 010 | 26.9 a-e | 1.084 abc |
| LPC 014 | 15.5 f-i | 1.075 abc |
| TT-09-200/2010-01 | 12.0 ghi | 1.073 abc |
| TT-09-200/2010-04 | 12.6 f-i | 1.082 abc |
| TT-10-106/2011-04 | 16.5 d-i | 1.095 ab |

Table 92: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at 135 lbs./ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

Table 93: Number of tubers per acre (x 1000) in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at 135 lbs./ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 25mm | 25 to 41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| G12 | 18.9 hi | 76.0 lm | 169.3 b-g | 0.9 b |
| LPC 013 | 32.3 hi | 141.2 i-m | 64.5 h-m | 0.9 b |
| 425/09-06 | 206.8 cd | 398.4 cd | 61.5 i-m | 3.0 b |
| G16 | 47.2 hi | 222.6 e-m | 101.2 f-l | 8.3 ab |
| G14 | 46.8 hi | 201.7 f-m | 171.8 efg | 1.6 b |
| TT 006 | 52.4 hi | 250.7 d-k | 147.9 f-i | 10.6 ab |
| G6 | 34.4 hi | 183.0 f-m | 195.8 def | 2.7 b |
| G7 | 18.4 hi | 99.6 klm | 201.5 a-d | 1.1 b |
| TT 009 | 28.8 hi | 211.3 e-m | 286.6 cde | 0.6 b |
| G11 | 178.5 c-g | 232.3 e-l | 4.71 | 18.0 a |
| TT-08-024/2010-06 | 98.3 d-i | 309.1 c-h | 146.0 g-j | 2.7 b |
| TT-08-024/2010-07 | 135.5 c-h | 262.3 d-k | 105.2 ijk | 4.2 b |
| TT-08-024/2010-12 | 220.6 cd | 566.6 ab | 73.4 kl | 6.9 ab |
| TT-10-014/2010-06 | 238.0 c | 371.1 cde | 117.5 jkl | 5.1 b |
| TT-10-014/2010-12 | 593.3 a | 643.0 a | 25.81 | 2.1 b |
| TT 007 | 8.3 i | 66.5 m | 242.2 a | 3.1 b |
| LPC 012 | 59.1 ghi | 181.7 f-m | 148.7 fgh | 6.0 b |
| G15 | 24.3 hi | 97.6 klm | 204.4 a-d | 0.9 b |
| G1 | 20.9 hi | 129.7 j-m | 204.2 bcd | 1.8 b |
| TT-08-006/2010-05 | 76.4 f-i | 301.1 c-i | 36.4 kl | 7.6 ab |
| TT-09-060/2010-02 | 22.5 hi | 114.5 klm | 180.5 bcd | 3.9 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-07 | 113.3 с-і | 153.2 h-m | 43.8 jkl | 4.2 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-09 | 72.2 f-i | 290.2 d-j | 180.8 f-i | 0.6 b |
| TT-10-125/2011-03 | 462.9 b | 319.6 c-f | 28.51 | 11.4 ab |
| TT-10-125/2011-05 | 194.0 c-f | 458.1 bc | 90.5 jkl | 2.4 b |
| G13 | 54.6 ghi | 183.5 f-m | 37.2 jkl | 10.1 ab |
| LPC 010 | 4.9 i | 72.2 lm | 210.9 ab | 11.0 ab |
| LPC 014 | 15.0 hi | 106.4 klm | 111.8 def | 1.8 b |
| TT-09-200/2010-01 | 81.2 e-i | 209.9 e-m | 88.7 h-k | 1.8 b |
| TT-09-200/2010-04 | 35.1 hi | 155.9 f-m | 113.3 f-i | 0.0 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-04 | 38.7 hi | 229.6 e-m | 167.0 fgh | 2.1 b |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 94. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 15mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield (and percentage) of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field. The percentage of tubers in each category gives an indication of which cultivars require the full season to reach their potential and which may be earlier maturing. Approximately half of the trial entries reached marketable sizes well before the harvest date. Grouping cultivars into early and medium-maturing groups may prove a more effective tool for variety evaluation and selection in future.

| CDCS | < 25mm | 25 to 41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|-------------------|--------|------------|-------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| G12 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 64.0 | 0.3 |
| LPC 013 | 7.4 | 59.1 | 27.1 | 0.4 |
| 425/09-06 | 30.8 | 59.6 | 9.2 | 0.4 |
| G16 | 12.4 | 58.4 | 27.2 | 2.1 |
| G14 | 11.0 | 48.2 | 40.6 | 0.4 |
| TT 006 | 10.7 | 54.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| G6 | 5.6 | 43.4 | 48.0 | 0.6 |
| G7 | 1.8 | 30.9 | 63.1 | 0.4 |
| TT 009 | 5.5 | 40.1 | 54.7 | 0.1 |
| G11 | 41.4 | 53.4 | 1.1 | 4.2 |
| TT-08-024/2010-06 | 17.2 | 56.0 | 26.3 | 0.5 |
| TT-08-024/2010-07 | 27.4 | 50.8 | 21.1 | 0.7 |
| TT-08-024/2010-12 | 24.7 | 66.0 | 8.5 | 0.8 |
| TT-10-014/2010-06 | 32.4 | 50.3 | 16.6 | 0.6 |
| TT-10-014/2010-12 | 47.0 | 51.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| TT 007 | 2.6 | 20.9 | 75.6 | 1.0 |
| LPC 012 | 8.0 | 45.9 | 37.6 | 1.5 |
| G15 | 7.4 | 29.7 | 62.6 | 0.3 |
| G1 | 6.0 | 36.7 | 56.9 | 0.5 |
| TT-08-006/2010-05 | 17.8 | 71.9 | 8.5 | 1.9 |
| TT-09-060/2010-02 | 3.9 | 35.3 | 56.6 | 1.2 |
| TT-10-106/2011-07 | 35.8 | 49.0 | 13.9 | 1.3 |
| TT-10-106/2011-09 | 13.3 | 53.5 | 33.1 | 0.1 |
| TT-10-125/2011-03 | 56.1 | 38.9 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| TT-10-125/2011-05 | 26.3 | 61.3 | 12.0 | 0.3 |
| G13 | 19.0 | 64.8 | 13.3 | 3.6 |
| LPC 010 | 13.6 | 24.3 | 70.4 | 3.6 |
| LPC 014 | 6.3 | 45.0 | 48.0 | 0.8 |
| TT-09-200/2010-01 | 21.3 | 54.7 | 23.6 | 0.5 |
| TT-09-200/2010-04 | 11.7 | 52.2 | 36.2 | 0.0 |
| TT-10-106/2011-04 | 8.9 | 52.4 | 38.2 | 0.5 |

Table 94: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at 135 lbs./ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates.

The estimated yield of tubers in each category is represented in Table 95. In general, a good yield of tubers in the 25 - 41mm category would be the focus of cultivar evaluation, but, in this trial, a good yield of tubers over 41mm may also indicate that an earlier harvest may result in an increased yield of 25 to 41 mm tubers. Several yellow-skinned cultivars had good yields of 25 to 41mm tubers. TT-10-014/2010-12, TT-08-024/2010-12, 425/09-06 and G16 yielded well and were not significantly different from TT 006. Yields of tubers > 41mm of G6, G7, TT 009, TT-09-060/2010-02 and G16 indicated that an earlier harvest may have been beneficial. For the redskinned cultivars, G1 and TT-10-125/2011-05 showed promise with yields of 25 – 41mm tubers not significantly different from LPC 012 and TT 006. Higher yields of TT 007, LPC 012, G15, G1 and TT-10-106/2011-09 in the > 41mm category indicate that these cultivars may have been harvested too late. None of the purple-skinned cultivars stood out with good yields in the 25 -41mm category and none were significantly different from LPC 014. Yields of TT-10-106/2011-04 and TT-09-200/2010-04 were reasonably good and not significantly different from that of LPC 014. LPC 010 yielded significantly more deformed tubers than most other cultivars regardless of skin colour, possibly as a result of harvesting past an optimal harvest date. G11 and G16 had yields of deformed tubers that were not statistically different from that of LPC 010 or any other cultivars. Optimizing harvest dates from each variety would allow a better evaluation of the yield potential within a desirable size range.

Table 95: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at 135 lbs./ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level. Vield of <25mm Vield of 25 to Vield of > 41mm Vield of deformed

| CDCS | Yield of <25mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 25 to 41mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 41 mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | | | i |
| G12 | 0.19 hi | 2.5 ef | 20.2 a-d | 0.18 b |
| LPC 013 | 0.30 hi | 3.7 ef | 4.4 j-m | 0.05 b |
| 425/09-06 | 2.33 c | 12.6 a-d | 4.7 i-m | 0.66 b |
| G16 | 0.67 f-i | 12.2 a-d | 14.0 b-h | 1.05 ab |
| G14 | 0.35 hi | 6.1 b-f | 12.7 d-j | 0.11 b |
| TT 006 | 0.45 g-i | 7.8 a-f | 9.1 f-m | 0.45 b |
| G6 | 0.36 hi | 7.1 a-f | 22.2 abc | 0.26 b |
| G7 | 0.20 hi | 4.7 def | 24.2 a | 0.15 b |
| TT 009 | 0.23 hi | 6.6 b-f | 22.9 ab | 0.05 b |
| G11 | 2.39 c | 9.7 a-f | 0.5 m | 0.98 ab |
| TT-08-024/2010-06 | 0.80 e-i | 8.7 a-f | 9.3 f-m | 0.13 b |
| TT-08-024/2010-07 | 1.14 d-h | 6.9 a-f | 7.6 g-m | 0.23 b |
| TT-08-024/2010-12 | 1.75 cde | 13.7 abc | 4.2 j-m | 0.28 b |
| TT-10-014/2010-06 | 1.84 cd | 9.3 a-f | 7.0 g-m | 0.20 b |
| TT-10-014/2010-12 | 4.72 a | 14.4 ab | 1.6 lm | 0.05 b |
| TT 007 | 0.08 i | 2.3 f | 24.4 a | 0.29 b |
| LPC 012 | 0.77 f-i | 9.3 a-f | 18.3 а-е | 0.86 b |
| G1 | 0.20 hi | 10.4 a-f | 13.3 c-i | 0.18 b |
| G15 | 0.20 hi | 3.1 ef | 17.2 a-f | 0.05 b |
| TT-08-006/2010-05 | 1.35 d-g | 15.1 a | 4.2 j-m | 0.50 b |
| TT-09-060/2010-02 | 0.17 hi | 3.4 ef | 15.6 a-g | 0.47 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-07 | 0.83 e-i | 3.6 ef | 2.3 klm | 0.23 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-09 | 0.61 f-i | 7.6 a-f | 10.9 e-k | 0.03 b |
| TT-10-125/2011-03 | 3.45 b | 7.8 a-f | 1.7 lm | 0.33 b |
| TT-10-125/2011-05 | 1.46 c-f | 10.8 а-е | 5.4 h-m | 0.10 b |
| G13 | 0.70 f-i | 9.0 a-f | 3.9 j-m | 0.85 b |
| LPC 010 | 0.05 i | 2.4 f | 22.5 ab | 2.02 a |
| LPC 014 | 0.17 hi | 3.5 ef | 11.6 d-j | 0.17 b |
| TT-09-200/2010-01 | 0.64 f-i | 5.4 c-f | 5.8 h-m | 0.13 b |
| TT-09-200/2010-04 | 0.35 hi | 4.2 def | 8.0 g-m | 0.00 b |
| TT-10-106/2011-04 | 0.33 hi | 6.0 b-f | 10.0 e-l | 0.10 b |

Conclusions

The 2012 variety trial included nine gourmet potato varieties with potential in Alberta. TT 008, TT 009 and TT 006 have desirable profiles for the yellow gourmet potato market. LPC 013 has an excellent size profile for the gourmet category and has a novel appearance. This variety seemed less prone to defects, and a reduced rate of N resulted in fewer oversized tubers. The blue potato varieties in this trial were unimpressive in appearance and yield and differences observed were not statistically significant. Fingerling varieties, such as TT 011 and LPC 012, likely need to be harvested earlier to maximize gourmet sizes and limit oversize potatoes.

The 2013 variety trial included thirty-one gourmet potato varieties with potential in Alberta. The splash-skinned cultivar, G12 out-yielded LPC 013 and has an attractive and interesting appearance. A number of yellow-skinned cultivars showed promise and rivaled TT 006 in yield, size profile and appearance. Several additional yellow-skinned cultivars had impressive yields of tubers in the >41mm category and may need to be harvested earlier for a meaningful evaluation. Red-skinned TT-08-006/2010-05 showed promise as a fingerling and several other red-skinned varieties, such as G15, G1, and TT09-060/2010-02 may need a closer look and an earlier harvest date. One purple-skinned cultivar, TT-10-106/2011-04, showed promise in appearance, size profile and yield relative to other purple-skinned cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. A N response curve for each variety is not realistic based on two levels of N, but these results should provide an indication of whether additional N will result in a shift in size categories that is desirable. In 2012, the N rate in the low N plots was 60 lbs./ac lower than the regular rate. In 2013, the N rate of 135 lbs./ac was a moderate rate of N relative to processing cultivars, but possibly higher than required for some of the gourmet potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Overall Results

Over the three years of the Alberta Potato Variety Evaluation trial, Alberta data was provided for 132 cultivars from the AAFC National Potato Breeding Program. The cultivars comprised 26 chipping clones, 24 French fry or dual purpose clones, and 82 fresh market clones including clones with anti-oxidant properties, low glycaemic index and other novel attributes.

The French fry industry supplied 22 French fry cultivars for evaluation over three years, the chipping industry evaluated 26 cultivars, 39 cultivars were evaluated for stakeholders pursuing the fresh market segment, and 40 creamer potato cultivars were evaluated along with relevant check varieties. Many of the entries were grown at two different levels of N to provide preliminary agronomic data for stakeholders. As requested, some harvest dates were adjusted to accommodate clients. Some in-row spacing changes were made for specific categories of potatoes. After harvest and grading each year, potatoes were provided to cooperators to allow them to conduct bruising, storage and culinary evaluations independently.

Conclusions

The potato variety evaluation trial was well supported by the Alberta potato industry. Almost all of the key stakeholders participated in one or more years of the trial and many participated in every year of the trial. There has been interest expressed in continuing this type of variety evaluation work to ensure impartial information is available to decision makers throughout the value-chain.

One of the most interesting things we noted about the three-year trial is that there were examples of AAFC material included in 2011 that was picked up by industry in 2012 and 2013. Some of the industry entries in all three years of the trial originated from the federal program and within the space of three years, seed supplies are being established and commercial production of the new releases is anticipated. These cultivars have been identified throughout the report with a maple leaf. This type of flow-through and the engagement of all links in the value chain is the kind of positive outcome we hoped to achieve.

With a suitable land base and equipment and facilities required for potato production and evaluation, a knowledgeable coordinator and a skilled technical staff could routinely evaluate cultivars on behalf of industry stakeholders. The Alberta potato industry members have demonstrated a willingness to cooperate and provide funding to ensure access to relevant data continues in Alberta.

The framework of this trial formed the basis for an application to the Growing Forward 2 Science Cluster for potato variety evaluation work. The project has received funding for an additional 4 years from this source. Eight stakeholders plus the Potato Growers of Alberta provided letters of support for the new project.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Presentations

The potato industry will have access to the project information in many ways. Growers and industry members were invited to see the varieties at field days in Edmonton (Crop Diversification Centre North) and Brooks (Crop Diversification Centre South) in August 2011, August 2012 and August 2013. Dr. Konschuh spoke at the North Area Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta about the trial and opportunities for potato industry stakeholders to participate in 2011 and 2012. In Brooks, guests were invited to tour the evaluation plots and compare the unique performances of each variety in the field under local conditions.

Data was collected, analyzed and presented in multiple reports to industry stakeholders each year of the trial. Each sponsor was provided with a client-specific report for each year of participation. Information will be available on the internet (ARD website, PVMI website and PGA website) for easy access for growers.

Some modifications were made to the trial each year at the request of participants. Where possible, excess production was made available to partners for storage and culinary evaluations.

Posters were presented at the Annual General Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta in 2011, 2012 and 2013.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported financially by Alberta Crop Industry Development Fund Ltd., Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, and the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

ASPI (2011, 2012) Agristar Inc. (2011) ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division (2011, 2012, 2013) Edmonton Potato Growers (2011, 2012, 2013) Little Potato Company (2012, 2013) Maple Leaf Potatoes (2011, 2012) McCain Produce Canada (2011, 2012) Old Dutch Foods (2011, 2012, 2013) Parkland Seed Potatoes (2011, 2012, 2013) PVMI (Potato Variety Management Institute) (2011, 2012) Rockyview Seed Ltd. (2011, 2012, 2013) Solanum International Inc. (2011, 2012, 2013) Tuberosum Technologies Inc. (2011, 2012, 2013)

Special thanks to Simone Dalpe and Tina Lewis for technical support of the trial in 2011. Thank you to seasonal staff Jan Lepp and Mary Lou Benci as well for maintaining, harvesting and grading the trial. Thank you to Len Hingley and William Lai for extra help at harvest. Thank you to Jan Lepp for assistance with data entry. Thanks to the staff of the Food Processing Development Centre – Brooks for processing evaluations. Thank you to Dr. Ted Harms for assistance with statistical analyses of the data.

Special thanks to Roland Williams for technical support of the trial in 2012. Thank you to seasonal staff Alanna Penner, William Lai, and Louisa Gietz as well for planting, maintaining, and harvesting the trial. Thank you to Cody Brown, Jennessa McConnell and Mary Lou Benci for extra help at harvest and through grading. Thank you to Tabitha MacKinnon for assistance with data entry.

Special thanks to Roland Williams for technical support of the trial in 2013. Thank you to seasonal staff Bev Lesperance and William Lai as well for planting, maintaining, and harvesting the trial and for data entry. Thank you to Scott Butkiewicz and Don Elmer for extra help at harvest and through grading.

Activity 18.v10 – Potato 17.v10 Canadian Potato Variety Evaluation Program – Alberta 2014

2014 REPORT



Prepared for the Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 – 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

By

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

April 13, 2015

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 4 |
|---|----|
| Project Overview | 6 |
| Objectives: | 6 |
| Project Team Members | 7 |
| Background | 8 |
| AAFC National Potato Variety Evaluation | 10 |
| 2014 | 10 |
| Materials and Methods10 | |
| Results – Chipping Cultivars | |
| Results- French Fry Cultivars | |
| Results – Fresh Market Cultivars | |
| Conclusions | |
| French Fry Variety Evaluation | 24 |
| 2014 | 24 |
| Materials and Methods | |
| Results and Discussion | |
| Conclusions | |
| Chipping Variety Evaluation | 29 |
| 2014 | 29 |
| Materials and Methods | |
| Results and Discussion | |
| Conclusions | |
| Fresh Market Variety Evaluation | 38 |
| 2014 – Lower N | 38 |
| Materials and Methods | |
| Results and Discussion | |
| Conclusions45 | |
| 2014 – Moderate N | 46 |
| Materials and Methods46 | |
| Results and Discussion – Fresh Market | |
| 2014 – N Response | 55 |
| Materials and Methods | |
| Results and Discussion | |
| Conclusions62 | |
| Creamer Variety Evaluation | 63 |
| 2014 | 63 |
| Materials and Methods63 | |
| Results and Discussion64 | |
| Conclusions74 | |
| Overall Results | 74 |
| Conclusions | 75 |
| Recommendations | 75 |
| References | 75 |
| Presentations | 76 |
| Acknowledgements | 77 |

Executive Summary

In 2014, the first year of the trial, funding from 9 industry stakeholders plus the Potato Growers of Alberta (PGA) was leveraged to conduct replicated potato variety trials in southern Alberta. The trial was conducted under pivot irrigation at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Lethbridge, AB. More than 100 potato varieties were evaluated in 2014. Data collected was adjusted where possible to ensure that clients were provided with information useful for their organizations. A limited amount of agronomic data was also provided at the request of client sponsors.

Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A few potato cultivars submitted by clients were intended for the French fry market. French fry varieties must yield well and have good fry characteristics. Specific gravity of the potatoes is an indirect measure of fry colour. In lieu of submitting additional cultivars, one client elected to evaluate several nitrogen fertilizer strategies for two varieties.

Eight chipping potato varieties were included in 2014. Old Dutch Foods, as well as seed growers and variety development firms provided chippers for evaluation. Chipping potatoes are graded by size rather than weight. As with French fry cultivars, good fry colour is essential and specific gravity is a good indirect measure of chip colour. Typically, chipping potatoes required less N than French fry cultivars and a comparison at a lower rate of N was requested for seven of the chipping entries. Chip colour scores were provided for varieties evaluated as chippers.

Fresh market potatoes were included in the 2014 trial as well. Although the fresh market sector of Alberta's potato industry is the smallest segment, there is a lot of growth potential even if we simply replace imported potatoes with locally produced ones. Sixteen fresh market cultivars and 2 checks were evaluated in 2014. Five entries were evaluated on a moderate rate of N, 5 entries were evaluated at a lower rate of N and 6 entries were evaluated at both rates to determine whether or not the varieties respond well to reduced N. Culinary data was provided as requested. For table potatoes, potatoes were evaluated as baked and boiled to determine the best fit for marketing purposes.

A special category of fresh market potatoes is the creamer potato market, made popular by the Alberta based Little Potato Company. Creamer potatoes are not smaller versions of other fresh market varieties; the varieties are selected for high tuber set and small tuber size intentionally to satisfy this market. These potatoes are prepared with the skin on and may be served with limited additional preparation. As such, skin set and tuber appearance are critical. Flavour is also very important for this class of potatoes. Forty creamer cultivars were included in the trail in 2014 and spacing was adjusted to reflect the special nature of this type of crop.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) has been involved in potato breeding for over 40 years. The National Potato Variety program includes selections that might be suitable for the French fry, chipping, or table market, including the creamer category. Industry participants are encouraged to view selections after one or two years of regional testing and to "pick up" the varieties for further

testing. Without regional testing in Alberta and knowledge of how the cultivars perform in our growing environment, industry stakeholders would be hard-pressed to make selections. AAFC supplied test material for replicated trials and included entries suitable for all industry sectors. In 2014, 11 chipping cultivars, 13 French fry cultivars and 13 fresh market cultivars were evaluated along with relevant check material from eastern and western sources at AITC.

A field day was hosted at CDCS in August to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to irrigated growing conditions in Alberta. There is no substitution for first-hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

Customer specific reports were generated and provided. Client confidentiality was respected by coding entries prior to releasing reports more widely.

Project Overview

Potato variety evaluation trials were conducted at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre (AITC) in Lethbridge to provide data from an irrigated site in Alberta. Standard varieties were included to represent early French fry, full-season French fry, early chipper, full-season chipper, fresh market red, fresh market yellow classes. Sufficient potatoes were planted to provide replicated data from AITC and to host a demonstration field day at CDCS in 2014.

Material for these trials was provided by AAFC Potato Breeding Program and by industry stakeholders either through the AAFC Accelerated Release Program or by sourcing varieties from European, U.S. or other breeding programs. All import requirements were the responsibility of the stakeholder requesting evaluation.

At AITC, we set up a nitrogen response trial with moderate and reduced levels of nitrogen fertility. Stakeholders indicated whether or not they required fertility information and provided sufficient seed (in-kind) and funds to include these evaluations. Some accommodations were made to ensure that all client sponsors found value in the data provided.

The leveraged funding from industry also provided resources for the regional evaluation of AAFC material prior to release to industry. Without funding from this project, there would not have been an opportunity to observe the breeding program cultivars in Alberta in 2014.

Variety trials were set up as randomized complete blocks. Guard rows were planted to minimize edge effects. Four replicate rows (6m) were harvested. The agronomic trials were set up as split plot designs with nitrogen level as the main plot and varieties as sub plots.

Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, grade by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

A field day was hosted at CDCS in August to allow stakeholders to evaluate the response of cultivars to irrigated growing conditions in Alberta. There is no substitution for first-hand observation of potato varieties in the field.

Objectives:

A. To evaluate potential new varieties for processing (fry and chip), creamer and other markets;

B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;

C. To compare varieties from European, Tri-State and National breeding programs (AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and

D. To develop agronomic information on nitrogen response to support potato growers interested in producing new varieties.

E. To evaluate the cooperative approach to variety development and develop a model that takes the industry beyond the current project.

Project Team Members

Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, Brooks, AB

- Dr. Michele Konschuh, Potato Research Scientist Project Lead
- Seasonal Technologists

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Potato Research Centre, Fredericton, NB

- Dr. Benoit Bizimungu, Plant Breeder
- Technologists
Background

One of the key areas of research that the Alberta potato industry identified in industry-wide priority setting meetings in 2003 and 2004 is breeding for new potato varieties. This was reiterated in National industry consultation meetings held in 2011. For about 40 years now, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada managed a potato breeding program in Western Canada focused on breeding and selecting varieties that would perform well under our environmental conditions. Alberta Agriculture facilitated the process by conducting regional trials, disease resistance trials, agronomic trials, culinary and storage trials with promising new varieties. In recent years, reductions in government staff and budgets put pressure on the support provided by both levels of government. The nature of potato breeding and selection has shifted. Industry participants are exploring varieties for different end-uses, such as gourmet and functional food uses. The potato breeding programs in Canada were consolidated into a National program in 2004 and there is now one National Potato Breeder based in New Brunswick. By necessity, less emphasis is directed at varieties best suited for Western Canada. Varieties from breeding programs in Europe and the United States are often being assessed by industry stakeholders.

Regional trials of potato varieties in Western Canada were funded in part by industry money collected through the Western Canadian Potato Breeding Consortium. This system was unique to Western Canada and served established industry stakeholders well. Newcomers to the industry were not easily able to participate. Even established stakeholders questioned whether they received sufficient value for the fees. The shift to an accelerated release mechanism moved the responsibility for the evaluations to industry and provides broader access to stakeholders initially. However, the window for evaluation of varieties is much narrower than in the Consortium and less data is available for decision makers.

Over the last 15 years, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development staff worked with individual stakeholders in the potato industry to provide agronomic evaluations of potato varieties from various breeding programs. Public varieties are still widely grown, but not always as good a fit as private varieties for the same end use. Growing environments vary significantly among potato production regions in Canada. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. The challenge is often that impartial comparisons of the material with standards varieties are not available. Each stakeholder would have the responsibility to obtain seed, sign agreements, engage researchers, or evaluate varieties independently. Many are not equipped to conduct small-scale evaluations well and seed is not available for larger-scale evaluations. Breeder's seed also has higher tolerances for virus loads and producers evaluating this material on farm put the remainder of the crop at risk.

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Chipping tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Fresh market tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. Including agronomy in the evaluations allowed us to provide growers with additional relevant information when they consider producing new varieties. Often, there are economies of scale realized when varieties are evaluated collectively rather than individually. ARD was well positioned to provide regional data in an impartial setting. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre (AITC) in Lethbridge, AB and in demonstration plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South (CDCN) in Brooks, AB in 2014.

AAFC National Potato Variety Evaluation

2014

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility (227 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (92 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 162 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 212 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 240 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Norland, Yukon Gold, Snowden, Atlantic, Russet Burbank and Shepody). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A1).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted June 5, 2014 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

| de velopment. | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
| 16 July | Bravo | 1 L/ac |
| 26 July | Dithane | 900 g/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 1 L/ac |
| 12 Aug | Dithane | 900 g/ac |
| 19 Aug | Dithane | 900 g/ac |
| 27 Aug | Bravo | 1 L/ac |
| 2 Sept | Bravo | 1 L/ac |
| 8 Sept | Bravo | 1 L/ac |
| | | |

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2014 to prevent early and late blight development.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested September 24 and 25 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

<u>Results</u> <u>– Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2014. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2014: a) Atlantic E., b) Atlantic W., c) F10031, d) F10034, e) F10035, f) F10037, g) FV15559-80, h) FV15568-30, i) GBB1-100, j) V05219-1, k) V07078-1, l) V08053-1, m) FV15720-18, n) Snowden E., and o) Snowden W..

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 14.0 for Snowden E to 19.6 ton/ac for V05219-1. Specific gravity ranged from 1.079 for V07078-1 and V08053-1 to 1.099 for GBB1-100.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 16.6 | 1.098 |
| Atlantic West | 19.0 | 1.089 |
| F10031 | 18.0 | 1.088 |
| F10034 | 15.4 | 1.095 |
| F10035 | 17.9 | 1.095 |
| F10037 | 17.2 | 1.097 |
| FV15559-80 | 14.5 | 1.085 |
| FV15568-30 | 11.5 | 1.089 |
| GBB1-100 | 19.2 | 1.099 |
| V05219-1 | 19.6 | 1.084 |
| V07078-1 | 18.3 | 1.079 |
| V08053-1 | 17.3 | 1.079 |
| FV15720-18 | 15.3 | 1.086 |
| Snowden East | 14.0 | 1.091 |
| Snowden West | 14.5 | 1.098 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at AITC in Letbridge, AB (approximately 227 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 11 | 79 | 10 | 0 |
| Atlantic West | 8 | 82 | 10 | 0 |
| F10031 | 39 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| F10034 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| F10035 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| F10037 | 14 | 85 | 0 | 2 |
| FV15559-80 | 18 | 81 | 2 | 0 |
| FV15568-30 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| GBB1-100 | 15 | 82 | 2 | 0 |
| V05219-1 | 34 | 64 | 1 | 1 |
| V07078-1 | 19 | 76 | 3 | 2 |
| V08053-1 | 34 | 66 | 0 | 1 |
| FV15720-18 | 12 | 81 | 5 | 2 |
| Snowden East | 26 | 72 | 0 | 2 |
| Snowden West | 33 | 66 | 1 | 0 |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Marketable yield ranged from 9.7 ton/acre for FV15568-30 to 16.7 ton/ac for GBB1-100.

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Atlantic East | 0.6 | 12.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 |
| Atlantic West | 0.5 | 15.0 | 3.5 | 0 |
| F10031 | 4.1 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F10034 | 1.9 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| F10035 | 2.6 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F10037 | 3.1 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| FV15559-80 | 1.1 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| FV15568-30 | 1.7 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| GBB1-100 | 1.3 | 16.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| V05219-1 | 3.2 | 15.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| V07078-1 | 1.2 | 14.9 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| V08053-1 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| FV15720-18 | 2.7 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Snowden East | 1.6 | 11.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

|--|

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in at least one tuber of the Atlantic, F10031, F10034, F10037, FV15720-18 and V05219-1. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation. Common scab lesions were not noted on any of the tubers evaluated.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2014. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2014: a) F10001., b) F10003., c) F10008, d) F10012, e) F10016, f) F10017, g) CV03155-2, h) CV03366-1, i) CV04144-1, j) CV05022-2, k) CV07180-1, l) FV15680-03, m) V1408-1, n) Russet Burbank E, o) Russet Burbank W, p).Shepody E, and q) Shepody W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5.

| repricates. | | |
|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
| F10001 | 22.4 | 1.081 |
| F10003 | 21.8 | 1.096 |
| F10008 | 19.0 | 1.098 |
| F10012 | 17.9 | 1.085 |
| F10016 | 17.2 | 1.087 |
| F10017 | 19.7 | 1.088 |
| CV03155-2 | 16.2 | 1.077 |
| CV03366-1 | 17.0 | 1.096 |
| CV04144-1 | 19.4 | 1.086 |
| CV05022-1 | 18.7 | 1.077 |
| CV07180-1 | 16.4 | 1.082 |
| FV15680-03 | 15.9 | 1.092 |
| V1408-1 | 17.4 | 1.080 |
| R.Burbank East | 19.0 | 1.082 |
| R.Burbank West | 23.1 | 1.078 |
| Shepody East | 19.3 | 1.079 |
| Shepody West | 18.7 | 1.086 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at AITC in Lethbridge, AB (approximately 227 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| F10001 | 20 | 75 | 0 | 4 |
| F10003 | 19 | 78 | 1 | 2 |
| F10008 | 24 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| F10012 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| F10016 | 32 | 58 | 9 | 1 |
| F10017 | 32 | 68 | 0 | 0 |
| CV03155-2 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 0 |
| CV03366-1 | 54 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| CV04144-1 | 32 | 66 | 0 | 2 |
| CV05022-1 | 41 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| CV07180-1 | 33 | 65 | 1 | 2 |
| FV15680-03 | 40 | 57 | 0 | 3 |
| V1408-1 | 15 | 84 | 0 | 1 |
| R.Burbank East | 55 | 43 | 0 | 2 |
| R.Burbank West | 37 | 60 | 0 | 3 |
| Shepody East | 21 | 73 | 2 | 3 |
| Shepody West | 25 | 72 | 0 | 3 |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| F10001 | 1.7 | 18.9 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| F10003 | 1.4 | 19.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| F10008 | 1.9 | 16.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| F10012 | 4.6 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F10016 | 0.3 | 13.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| F10017 | 3.2 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| CV03155-2 | 1.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| CV03366-1 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| CV04144-1 | 3.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| CV05022-1 | 1.8 | 16.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| CV07180-1 | 2.2 | 13.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| FV15680-03 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| V1408-1 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| R.Burbank East | 6.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| R.Burbank West | 5.1 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Shepody East | 2.2 | 14.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Shepody West | 2.1 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 |

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in several tubers of F10001, F10003, F10008, CV04144-1, CV07180-1, Russet Burbank and Shepody. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that plants were not fully mature prior to desiccation. Common scab lesions were not noted on any tuber in the evaluation.

<u>Results – Fresh Market Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2014. Photos of the yellow fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2014: a) F10060, b) F10064, c) F10070, d) CV05122-1, e) FV15758-07, and f) Yukon Gold.

Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2014: a) F10066, b) F10075, c) F10077, d) V07047-2, e) V07116-1, f) V07148-2, and g) Norland.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at AITC, Lethbridge, AB (approximately 227 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| ^ | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow | | | |
| F10060 | FM | 19.4 | 1.077 |
| F10064 | FM | 19.7 | 1.081 |
| F10070 | FM | 20.2 | 1.093 |
| CV05122-1 | FM | 22.9 | 1.076 |
| FV15758-07 | FM | 15.9 | 1.086 |
| Yukon Gold | FM | 17.0 | 1.088 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| F10066 | FM | 18.6 | 1.087 |
| F10075 | FM/CR/WR | 17.5 | 1.082 |
| F10077 | FM/CR/WR | 18.3 | 1.084 |
| V0747-2 | FM | 21.9 | 1.066 |
| V07116-1 | FM | 23.1 | 1.074 |
| V07148-2 | FM | 21.7 | 1.070 |
| Norland | FM | 19.2 | 1.071 |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

| | No. of <48 mm No. of 48 to 88mm No. of > 88 mm | | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed | |
|-------------|--|----|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Yellow | | | | | |
| F10060 | 44 | 56 | 0 | 0 | |
| F10064 | 25 | 75 | 0 | 0 | |
| F10070 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 0 | |
| CV05122-1 | 10 | 80 | 10 | 0 | |
| FV15758-07 | 12 | 81 | 5 | 2 | |
| Yukon Gold | 10 | 84 | 4 | 1 | |
| Red-skinned | | | | | |
| F10066 | 29 | 71 | 0 | 0 | |
| F10075 | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 | |
| F10077 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 0 | |
| V0747-2 | 24 | 66 | 0 | 10 | |
| V07116-1 | 19 | 79 | 2 | 1 | |
| V07148-2 | 17 | 81 | 2 | 0 | |
| Norland | 15 | 83 | 0 | 1 | |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | | | | |
| F10060 | 5.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F10064 | 2.1 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F10070 | 3.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CV05122-1 | 0.6 | 17.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| FV15758-07 | 0.7 | 16.0 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| Yukon Gold | 0.5 | 14.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| F10066 | 2.7 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F10075 | 1.6 | 15.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| F10077 | 3.7 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| V0747-2 | 2.7 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| V07116-1 | 1.5 | 20.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| V07148-2 | 1.2 | 19.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Norland | 0.9 | 17.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in at least one tuber of F10075, FV15758-07, and Yukon Gold. F10077 exhibited some purple pigmentation. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be related to vine maturity at the time of desiccation. Common scab lesions were not noted on any tubers in the evaluation.

Conclusions

The 2014 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 11 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare 13 French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 11 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 227 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

French Fry Variety Evaluation

2014

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in a split plot arrangement at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Lethbridge, AB. The main plots were based on nitrogen rates (see Table 11). Pre-plant N was applied as urea (44-0-0) or ESN (46-0-0) using a Conserva-Pak machine. Top-dressed N was applied as either urea or ESN manually just prior to hilling with a power hiller. Fertigation events were simulated by applying ammonium nitrate fertilizer and irrigating immediately afterward. Approximately 50 lbs/ac P was supplied to all treatments (11-52-0). Varieties were planted in the centre rows of 4 m blocks to ensure there were no edge effects for fertilizer treatments (see plot plan, Appendix A). Fertigation was simulated by applying ammonium nitrate immediately prior to an irrigation event.

| | | | | Simulated Fertigation | | n |
|---------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|--------|
| Variety | Treat | Pre-plant | Тор- | July 22 | Aug 8 | Aug 21 |
| | ment | N (urea) | dressed N | | | |
| LW 08 | 1 | 115 | 135 Urea | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LW 08 | 2 | 115 | 135 ESN | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LW 08 | 3 | 150 | 100 ESN | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LW 08 | 4 | 100 | 50 ESN | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| LW 04 | 3 | 150 | 100 ESN | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LW 04 | 4 | 100 | 50 ESN | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| LW 04 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| LW 04 | 6 | 75/75 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| | | ESN | | | | |

| Table 11: | Fertilizer | treatments | (lbs/ac) | applied to | potato | varieties | in th | e 2014 | trial. |
|-----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|
|-----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|

- Treatment 1: Urea Split (45:55)
- Treatment 2: Urea/ESN Split (45:55)
- Treatment 3: Urea/ESN Split (60:40)
- Treatment 4: Urea/ESN Split + fertigation
- Treatment 5: Urea high + fertigation
- Treatment 6: Urea/ESN + fertigation

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of each cultivar was provided by Lamb Weston. Seed was received in early May and was cut ($2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 oz) and suberized prior to planting.

Potatoes were planted May 23, 2014 approximately 5 to 5¹/₂"deep using a two-row wheel planter. Seed was planted at 12"spacing in 20' rows spaced 36" apart. Each treatment was replicated four times. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June

3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and potatoes were harvested September 19 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 46°F until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz and over 10 oz). A sample of twenty-five tubers (4 to 10 oz) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. The remainder of the 6 to 10 oz tubers were placed in storage at 46°F until transferred to a commercial storage facility for periodic evaluation by Lamb Weston. The trial should be conducted for at least one additional year to allow for differences in environmental conditions between years.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and LSD Multiple Range Test; ($p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Both varieties were harvested in mid-September and results for each variety grown with different nitrogen treatments were compared with one another.

Yield estimates were obtained by digging single rows from each replicate. It is important to note that both varieties were harvested September 19 to tease out differences, although more time bulking would be required to reach optimal yields.

Significant differences in yields of marketable and small tubers were observed between nitrogen treatments with LW 08 (Table 12). The total yield of the varieties were lower than expected, likely because of a cool spring, late planting and early harvest. The 2014 season in Lethbridge started with a cool, wet spring, and ended with fewer P days (830.5) through the season than is recommended for potato.

Optimal fertilizer rate and timing are affected by the length of the growing season and the maturity of the cultivar grown (Stark and Westerman, 2003). Management recommendations for seed, fertility, irrigation and storage are developed for new varieties and allow the varieties to be adopted in the growing region where the recommendations were developed. Alberta has a shorter growing season and longer day-lengths than many other potato growing areas and local recommendations for specific varieties may be needed.

The yield of LW 08 grown on all nitrogen strategies was affected by the shorter growing season. Of the strategies applied in 2014, the split urea treatment (Trt#1) and the fertigation approach (Trt#4) provided significantly greater marketable yields and significantly lower yields of small tubers. If the crop had been permitted to bulk up, these differences may have been even more evident. These strategies both involved providing less urea pre-plant, but urea worked as well or better than ESN for top-dressing. Fertigation was useful, especially if any of the spring applied

N was vulnerable to leaching. For this variety, though, fertigation did not appear to be required. Additional work may be required to determine whether even less upfront N provide better size profiles.

Very few deformed tubers were observed with this variety, regardless of the N treatments. Specific gravity was not significantly affect by the nitrogen application strategies used in the study.

Table 12: Yield (ton/ac) by size category and specific gravity of **LW 08** potatoes grown with different nitrogen application strategies. The percentage by weight of key categories is indicated in brackets. Categories marked with an asterisk contain data that are statistically significant at the p < 0.05 level. Data followed by the same letter in each section of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| Split Urea | Urea/ESN Split | Urea/ESN Split | Urea/ESN split plus | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 45:55 | 45:55 | 60:40 | 3 fert | | | | |
| | Total Yie | ld (ton/ac) | | | | | |
| 13.71 | 13.91 | 12.13 | 14.53 | | | | |
| | Yield of Marketab | le Tubers (ton/ac)* | | | | | |
| 9.56 a (70%) | 8.21 ab (61%) | 6.30 b (52%) | 9.49 a (65%) | | | | |
| | Yield of Tubers < 4 oz. (ton/ac)* | | | | | | |
| 4.15 b (30%) | 5.63 a (38%) | 5.63 a (46%) | 4.73 ab (32%) | | | | |
| | Yield of Tubers 4 to 6 oz. (ton/ac) | | | | | | |
| 4.39 (32%) | 5.23 (38%) | 3.81 (32%) | 5.20 (36%) | | | | |
| | Yield of Tubers 6 | 6 to 10 oz. (ton/ac) | | | | | |
| 4.70 (34%) | 2.71 (21%) | 2.13 (17%) | 3.57 (24%) | | | | |
| | Yield of Tubers | > 10 oz. (ton/ac) | | | | | |
| 0.36 (3%) | 0.27 (2%) | 0.36 (3%) | 0.72 (5%) | | | | |
| Yield of Deformed Tubers (ton/ac) | | | | | | | |
| 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.31 | | | | |
| Specific Gravity | | | | | | | |
| 1.085 | 1.087 | 1.087 | 1.086 | | | | |

No significant differences in total yield or yields of size categories between nitrogen treatments with LW 04 (Table 13). The total yield of the varieties were lower than expected, likely because of a cool spring, late planting and early harvest. The 2014 season in Lethbridge started with a cool, wet spring, and ended with fewer P days (830.5) through the season than is recommended for potato.

Optimal fertilizer rate and timing are affected by the length of the growing season and the maturity of the cultivar grown (Stark and Westerman, 2003). Management recommendations for seed, fertility, irrigation and storage are developed for new varieties and allow the varieties to be adopted in the growing region where the recommendations were developed. Alberta has a shorter

growing season and longer day-lengths than many other potato growing areas and local recommendations for specific varieties may be needed.

The yield of LW 04 grown on all nitrogen strategies was affected by the shorter growing season. Of the strategies applied in 2014, the treatments including ESN and fertigation (Trt#4 and Trt#6) provided greater total and marketable yields. These strategies both involved providing less urea pre-plant. In 2014, applying ESN pre-plant with the urea or top-dressed at hilling gave similar results for total and marketable yield, but pre-plant ESN appeared to favor larger tuber size compared to the top-dressed ESN. Fertigation may be useful when less nitrogen is applied as urea pre-plant. Some of the spring applied urea may have been vulnerable to leaching as a large amount of rainfall was received prior to top-dressing and hilling. If the crop had been permitted to bulk up, these differences may have become significant.

Tuber deformities may have been affected by nitrogen strategies, but no significant differences were noted. Specific gravity was significantly affected by the nitrogen application strategies used in the study. Both treatments where ESN was applied as a top-dressing prior to hilling (Trt#3 and Trt#4) resulted in significantly higher specific gravity in the tubers.

| I | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Urea/ESN Split | Urea/ESN split | Urea pre-plant | Urea/ESN pre- |
| 60:40 | + 3 fert | + 3 fert | plant + 3 fert |
| | Total Yie | ld (ton/ac) | |
| 11.11 | 12.67 | 10.91 | 12.36 |
| | Yield of Marketak | ole Tubers (ton/ac) | |
| 8.75 (79%) | 10.56 (83%) | 8.31 (76%) | 9.79 (80%) |
| | Yield of Tubers | s < 4 oz. (ton/ac) | |
| 2.20 (20%) | 2.07 (16%) | 2.46 (22%) | 2.06 (17%) |
| | Yield of Tubers | 4 to 6 oz. (ton/ac) | |
| 3.05 (27%) | 4.01 (31%) | 3.01 (28%) | 3.75 (30%) |
| | Yield of Tubers 6 | to 10 oz. (ton/ac) | |
| 4.49 (40%) | 5.57 (44%) | 3.95 (36%) | 4.62 (37%) |
| | Yield of Tubers | > 10 oz. (ton/ac) | |
| 1.21 (11%) | 0.98 (8%) | 1.35 (12%) | 1.42 (12%) |
| | Yield of Deforme | d Tubers (ton/ac) | |
| 0.16 (2%) | 0.05 (0.5%) | 0.14 (1%) | 0.51 (4%) |
| | Specific | Gravity* | |
| 1.085 a | 1.086 a | 1.082 b | 1.082 b |

Table 13: Yield (ton/ac) by size category and specific gravity of LW 04 potatoes grown with different nitrogen application strategies. The percentage by weight of key categories is indicated in brackets. Categories marked with an asterisk contain data that are statistically significant at the p < 0.05 level. Data followed by the same letter in each section of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, stem-end discoloration and other types of defects. Very few internal defects were noticed in any

treatments. LW 08 tubers were more prone to hollow heart (4% in some treatments) than LW 04 tubers. Hollow heart is usually most severe under conditions that favor rapid growth, such as a cool dry period followed by a warm wet period (Hiller and Thornton, 1993).

Conclusions

The trial conducted in 2014 were designed to develop some recommendations for producers in southern Alberta regarding N fertilization strategies for new processing varieties. Studies from the Pacific Northwest provided general guidelines on the ratio of N products required to produce a quality processing crop. Questions remained about the effect of different timing of in-season fertilizer applications on yield, quality and specific gravity.

The 2014 season in Lethbridge started with a cool, wet spring, and ended with fewer P days (830.5) through the season than is recommended for potato, but the results provide some insights with respect to N fertilization strategies for each variety. The levels of N fertility used in this study were not dissimilar enough to pick up many differences in the yield or quality response of the tubers. In this study, the timing of the N applications was evaluated.

There were some significant differences in marketable yield between N treatments for LW 08. Of the strategies applied in 2014, the split urea treatment (Trt#1) and the fertigation approach (Trt#4) provided significantly greater marketable yields and significantly lower yields of small tubers than the other treatments. For this variety, fertigation did not appear to be required. Additional work may be required to determine whether even less upfront N provides better size profiles. There were no significant differences in specific gravity.

LW 04 seemed somewhat insensitive to N application timing in this study, although applications of ESN at hilling appeared to favor higher specific gravity than when N was applied pre-plant followed by fertigation.

Chipping Variety Evaluation

2014

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility for the low N rate (193 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (74 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 130 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 164 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 190 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the moderate N rate (227 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (92 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 162 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 212 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 240 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic and Glacier). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of Atlantic was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers, seed of Glacier was provided by Rockyview Seed Potatoes and seed of test cultivars was provided by each Sponsor. Potatoes were planted June 4 and 5, 2014 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested September 22, 23 and 25 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10C until culinary analyses were performed. The compressor on our storage facility failed in the fall. A temporary system was put in place to hold temperatures. When the compressor was repaired, temperatures lower than the desired set point were achieved and fry colour was negatively affected. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter December 1, 2014.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Comparisons for specific cultivars at two rates of N were analyzed using t-tests on a cultivar-by-cultivar basis (Excel; $p \le 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day August 24, 2014. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 6.





Figure 6. Chipping varieties at the CDCS field day August 24, 2014: a) Glacier, b) Atlantic, c) AR2014-02, d) AR2014-03, e) EPG015, f) EPG016, g) EPG018, h) ODF003, i) RV 003, and j) RV 007.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the cultivars are shown in Table 14. At the moderate rate of N (227 lbs/ac), total yield of EPG018 was the highest, however it was only significantly greater than that of Glacier. Glacier appeared to have issues with emergence and plant survival. Yield of Glacier in 2014 was not typical of this variety. Total yield of all test entries were not significantly different from Atlantic. At the lower rate of N (193 lbs/ac) RV 007 produced the greatest total yield, but none of the test entries were significantly different from Atlantic. In t-test comparisons, the level of N only significantly affected total yield of EPG016.

Table 14: Estimated **total yield** (ton/acre) and **specific gravity** for each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 227 lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 14.7 abc | 1.098 a† |
| Glacier | 5.9 d | 1.088 a-e |
| AR2014-02 | 14.0 bcd | 1.093 abc |
| AR2014-03 | 12.9 bcd | 1.083 b-f |
| EPG015 | 15.2 abc | 1.076 e-h |
| EPG016 | 14.4 bcd† | 1.083 b-f |
| EPG018 | 17.6 abc | 1.093 abc |
| RV 003 | 16.8 abc | 1.093 abc |
| RV 007 | 17.1 abc | 1.093 abc |
| ODF003 | 16.0 abc | 1.094 ab |
| Low N | | |
| Atlantic | 10.2 de | 1.085 abc† |
| Glacier | 6.9 e | 1.088 a |
| AR2014-02 | | |
| AR2014-03 | 13.7 cde | 1.075 b-e |
| EPG015 | 12.9 cde | 1.076 b-e |
| EPG016 | 10.3 de† | 1.074 cde |
| EPG018 | 15.7 b-e | 1.085 abc |
| RV 003 | 18.7 a-d | 1.089 a |
| RV 007 | 19.1 a-d | 1.092 a |
| ODF003 | 14.9 cde | 1.091 a |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Specific gravity of the chipping cultivars in this trial ranged from 1.076 for EPG015 to 1.098 for Atlantic from the moderate N plots and from 1.074 for EPG016 to 1.092 for ODF003 grown on lower N plots (Table 2). Potatoes selected as chipping cultivars, typically have specific gravities above 1.080 in Alberta and the majority of entries in this trial were well above this level of solids. Nitrogen level had a significant effect on specific gravity of Atlantic. Atlantic grown on lower N had significantly lower specific gravity that when grown on moderate N, which runs contrary to most trends.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate in the lower N plots was approximately 35 lbs/ac lower than the moderate rate. The N rates may not have been sufficiently different to impact yield and specific gravity of all cultivars tested. Addressing the agronomic needs of each cultivar may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 15. Atlantic, Glacier and AR2014-02 produced a higher percentage of large tubers in the trial and that may be an indicator that these varieties are earlier maturing varieties. The greatest percentage of marketable tubers (48-88mm) was observed with Atlantic and RV 007 on moderate N and was not significantly different from AR2014-02, AR2014-03, RV 003 and ODF003. EPG015, EPG016, EPG018 and RV 003 had a significantly higher percentage of tubers under 48mm than Atlantic when grown on moderate N. For varieties grown on moderate N, only a small percentage of tubers were deformed. On low N, RV 007 and ODF003 produced the greatest percentage of 48-88mm tubers, and were not significantly different from RV 003, EPG018, AR2014-03, Glacier and Atlantic. EPG015 produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers less than 48 mm than Atlantic, AR2014-03, RV 007 and ODF003. Atlantic had significantly more oversized tubers at the low rate of N, but is considered an early maturing variety. EPG015 had a significantly larger percentage of deformed tubers when grown on low N, but seed of this variety appeared to be affected by herbicide carryover. Nitrogen level had a significant impact on the percentage of 48-88mm tubers for RV 003 and on the percentage of deformed Atlantic tubers.

Table 15: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 227 lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 19.3 f | 71.9 a-d | 8.7 a | 0.0 e† |
| Glacier | 35.1 b-f | 59.0 b-h | 5.5 abc | 0.4 e |
| AR2014-02 | 30.9 def | 63.9 a-g | 4.6 abc | 0.7 e |
| AR2014-03 | 32.3 c-f | 67.1 a-f | 0.4 c | 0.1 e |
| EPG015 | 55.2 ab | 44.2 gh | 0.0 c | 0.6 e |
| EPG016 | 40.3 а-е | 59.3 b-h | 0.2 c | 0.2 e |
| EPG018 | 43.1 а-е | 56.7 b-h | 0.0 c | 0.2 e |
| RV 003 | 46.8 a-d | 53.2 d-h† | 0.0 c | 0.0 e |
| RV 007 | 27.8 def | 70.1 a-d | 1.9 bc | 0.2 e |
| ODF003 | 31.8 b-f | 66.2 a-f | 1.4 bc | 0.6 e |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 18.3 def | 68.3 abc | 10.2 ab | 3.2 ab† |
| Glacier | 35.3 a-d | 61.9 a-d | 2.5 c | 0.2 b |
| AR2014-02 | | | | |
| AR2014-03 | 28.0 b-f | 71.4 abc | 0.6 c | 0.0 b |
| EPG015 | 52.6 a | 41.9 e | 0.2 c | 5.3 a |
| EPG016 | 38.8 abc | 55.7 cde | 0.9 c | 4.5 ab |
| EPG018 | 38.0 abc | 61.9 a-d | 0.1 c | 0.0 b |
| RV 003 | 35.6 a-d | 63.8 a-d† | 0.0 c | 0.7 b |
| RV 007 | 20.1 c-f | 76.6 ab | 2.4 c | 0.9 b |
| ODF003 | 26.3 b-f | 70.9 abc | 2.1 c | 0.7 b |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 16. At the moderate rate of N (227 lbs/ac), yield of 48-88mm RV 007 was significantly higher than that of Glacier, but was not significantly different from other cultivars. RV 003, EPG015 and EPG018 yielded significantly more small tubers (< 48mm) than Atlantic, Glacier and AR2014-02. EPG015 yielded significantly more tubers under 48mm than AR2014-03, EPG016, RV 007 and ODF003. Atlantic produced significantly more tubers over 88mm than AR2014-03, EPG015, EPG016, EPG018 and RV 003. At the moderate rate of N, there was no significant difference in yield of deformed tubers between cultivars.

On lower N plots, RV 007 produced the greatest yield of marketable tubers, significantly more than Glacier and EPG016. EPG015 and RV 003 produced a greater yield of small tubers than

Atlantic and Glacier. EPG015 produced significantly more deformed tubers on low N than Glacier, AR2014-03, EPG016 and ODF003.

N level had a significant impact on the yield of small tubers for RV 003 and yield of marketable tubers for EPG016. Reduced N shifted the size profile of RV 003 toward the marketable category. Moderate N resulted in significantly greater yields of EPG016 than lower N.

Table 16: Estimated **yield** (ton/ac) in each **size category** (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 227 lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 0.8 h | 10.9 bc | 3.0 ab | 0.0 d |
| Glacier | 0.7 h | 4.2 c | 1.0 bc | 0.1 d |
| AR2014-02 | 1.8 c-h | 10.3 bc | 1.7 abc | 0.2 d |
| AR2014-03 | 2.1 d-h | 10.5 bc | 0.2 c | 0.1 d |
| EPG015 | 5.1 ab | 10.0 bc | 0.0 c | 0.1 d |
| EPG016 | 3.0 c-g | 11.2 bc† | 0.1 c | 0.0 d |
| EPG018 | 4.4 a-d | 13.2 ab | 0.0 c | 0.1 d |
| RV 003 | 4.6 abc† | 12.1 bc | 0.0 c | 0.0 d |
| RV 007 | 2.0 c-h | 14.0 ab | 1.1 bc | 0.0 d |
| ODF003 | 2.1 c-h | 13.2 ab | 0.7 bc | 0.1 d |
| Low N | | | | |
| Atlantic | 0.5 e | 10.2 c-f | 2.4 bc | 0.5 ab |
| Glacier | 0.9 de | 5.5 f | 0.4 bc | 0.1 b |
| AR2014-02 | | | | |
| AR2014-03 | 1.7 b-e | 11.7 c-f | 0.3 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG015 | 3.8 ab | 7.9 def | 0.1 bc | 1.2 a |
| EPG016 | 2.0 b-e | 7.1 ef† | 0.2 bc | 0.9 ab |
| EPG018 | 3.1 a-d | 12.6 c-f | 0.1 bc | 0.0 b |
| RV 003 | 3.5 abc† | 15.1 a-e | 0.0 c | 0.2 ab |
| RV 007 | 1.5 b-e | 16.0 a-d | 1.4 abc | 0.2 ab |
| ODF003 | 1.6 b-e | 12.5 c-f | 0.6 bc | 0.2 b |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

A comparison of medium potatoes (48 - 88mm) for each variety from regular and moderate N plots is shown in Figure 7.



[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Figure 7: Yield (ton/ac) of potatoes (48 – 88mm) produced on moderate (227 lbs/ac) N and low (193 lbs/ac) N plots. For each variety, yield columns marked with \dagger are statistically different (p ≤ 0.05).

Medium tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 17. There were no significant differences between varieties either for uniformity of size or overall appearance at either rate of N.

| 1 | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| Atlantic | 3.3 a | 3.7 a |
| Glacier | 3.0 a | 3.3 a |
| AR2014-02 | 3.0 a | 3.3 a |
| AR2014-03 | 4.0 a | 4.0 a |
| EPG015 | 4.0 a | 3.8 a |
| EPG016 | 4.0 a | 4.0 a |
| EPG018 | 4.3 a | 4.0 a |
| RV 003 | 3.5 a | 3.8 a |
| RV 007 | 4.0 a | 4.0 a |
| ODF003 | 3.8 a | 3.8 a |
| Low N | 3.7 a | |
| Atlantic | 3.5 a | 3.0 a |
| Glacier | 3.3 a | 3.3 a |
| AR2014-02 | | |
| AR2014-03 | 4.0 a | 4.0 a |
| EPG015 | 3.8 a | 3.8 a |
| EPG016 | 4.0 a | 3.3 a |
| EPG018 | 4.0 a | 4.0 a |
| RV 003 | 3.5 a | 3.8 a |
| RV 007 | 4.3 a | 4.3 a |
| ODF003 | 4.3 a | 4.0 a |

Table 17: Subjective tuber assessments: **Uniformity of Size** was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. **Overall Appearance** was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. At the moderate rate of N, approximately 10% of AR2014-02 tubers and 7% of Atlantic tubers had hollow heart. At the lower rate of N, 16% of Atlantic tubers exhibited hollow heart. Occasional tubers with hollow heart were found with ODF003 and EPG015 at both levels of N and RV 007 at the lower rate of N. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration and this may be related to vine maturity at the time of desiccation.

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 18. All of the samples gave better chip scores than Atlantic, but none were great. The compressor on our storage facility failed in the fall. A temporary system was put in place to hold temperatures. When the

compressor was repaired, temperatures lower than the desired set point were achieved and fry colour was negatively affected. A higher L-value indicates a lighter chip. The lightest chips were produced from ODF003, Glacier, EPG016 and RV 003. ODF003 and EPG016 from the moderate N plots and RV 003 from the lower N plots produced good chip scores in spite of the cooler temperatures in storage.

Reducing the N applied to the crop resulted in lighter chip scores for Atlantic, Glacier, AR2014-03, and RV 003. These are composite samples from one year of testing and additional testing may be required to determine optimal agronomic conditions for chip quality.

Table 18: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 227 lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

| | L | | L |
|------------|------|-----------|------|
| Moderate N | | Low N | |
| Atlantic | 42.7 | Atlantic | 46.4 |
| Glacier | 53.5 | Glacier | 56.0 |
| AR2014-02 | 49.4 | AR2014-02 | |
| AR2014-03 | 47.4 | AR2014-03 | 49.2 |
| EPG015 | 47.9 | EPG015 | 34.9 |
| EPG016 | 57.7 | EPG016 | |
| EPG018 | 44.5 | EPG018 | 45.0 |
| RV 003 | 53.4 | RV 003 | 57.9 |
| RV 007 | 49.5 | RV 007 | 49.0 |
| ODF003 | 58.7 | ODF003 | 56.4 |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Conclusions

The 2014 variety trial included eight chipping potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Glacier were included in the trial as check varieties. All of the cultivars were included in plots fertilized with a moderate rate of N (227 lbs/ac) and seven were included in plots fertilized with a lower rate of N (193 lbs/ac) to determine the extent to which N may influence yield, size profile and chipping quality. Nitrogen, at the two rates tested, affected total yield and specific gravity for a couple of varieties. Also, there was a nitrogen response to size profile for some cultivars. RV 007 yielded well at both levels of N but was not significantly different from either check variety. RV 003 responded well to the lower level of N relative to the moderate rate. RV 007, AR2014-03 and EPG018 performed well in the trials. Chip color was not well evaluated because of an equipment failure during storage.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Fresh Market Variety Evaluation

2014 – Lower N

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility (193 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (74 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 130 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 164 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 190 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Norland and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted June 4, 2014 approximately 5 to 5½"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested September 25 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to the Food Processing Development Centre at Brooks for culinary evaluations.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2014. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Fresh Market varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2014: a) SI 006, b) Yukon Gold, c) SI 007, d) SI 005, e) Norland, f) SI 008, g) EPG017, h) EPG018, i) RV 006, j) PL 006, k) TT007, l) TT008, and m) TT009.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 19. The highest total yield at AITC was observed with SI 007. SI 007, SI 006, and TT07 yielded significantly more than most other fresh market cultivars in the evaluation, although not statistically higher than Norland. SI 007 and SI 006 yielded more than Yukon Gold, but SI 008 was not statistically different from either check variety.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.058 for SI 007 to 1.087 for SI 005. The specific gravity of SI 005 and EPG018 exceeded that of Yukon Gold and may make these varieties less suitable for salad potatoes.

Table 19: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market variety grown on approximately 193 lbs/ac nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| AITC | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Low N | | |
| SI 005 | 17.4 a-d | 1.087 ab |
| SI 006 | 25.0 ab | 1.068 ef |
| SI 007 | 25.1 a | 1.058 f |
| Norland | 20.9 abc | 1.069 def |
| SI 008 | 17.0 a-d | 1.072 de |
| Yukon Gold | 12.5 cde | 1.082 bcd |
| EPG017 | 19.2 a-d | 1.074 cde |
| EPG018 | 15.7 b-е | 1.085 abc |
| RV006 | 15.3 cde | 1.071 de |
| PL006 | 9.8 de | 1.074 cde |
| TT007 | 24.8 ab | 1.065ef |
| TT008 | 10.2 de | 1.070 def |
| TT009 | 12.6 cde | 1.070 def |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 20. The majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 – 88mm). SI 005, SI 008, EPG017, EPG018, PL006, TT008 and TT009 also had a large percentage of tubers in the small size category. SI 007 and Yukon Gold had a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the oversized category which may be an indication that these cultivars are early maturing and an earlier harvest data may be more appropriate. None of the varieties had a large percentage of deformed tubers. SI 006 and SI 008 had significantly more deformed than many of the other varieties, but were not significantly different from the standards, Norland and Yukon Gold.

| AITC | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------|----------|------------|--------|----------|
| Low N | | | | |
| SI 005 | 51.5 a | 47.8 de | 0.0 c | 0.8 b |
| SI 006 | 26.3 b-f | 71.8 abc | 0.4 c | 1.5 ab |
| SI 007 | 20.4 c-f | 66.3 a-d | 13.1 a | 0.2 b |
| Norland | 14.4 f | 78.4 ab | 5.2 bc | 2.0 ab |
| SI 008 | 39.7 ab | 58.4 b-e | 0.5 c | 1.3 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 16.0 ef | 74.3 abc | 8.5 ab | 1.3 ab |
| EPG017 | 31.7 b-f | 68.1 abc | 0.2 c | 0.0 b |
| EPG018 | 38.0 abc | 61.9 a-d | 0.1 c | 0.0 b |
| RV006 | 24.5 b-f | 75.1 abc | 0.0 c | 0.5 b |
| PL006 | 34.1 а-е | 65.9 a-d | 0.0 c | 0.0 b |
| TT007 | 15.5 ef | 81.0 a | 2.9 c | 0.6 b |
| TT008 | 52.0 a | 46.5 de | 1.3 c | 0.2 b |
| TT009 | 37.5 abc | 61.0 a-d | 1.2 c | 0.3 b |

Table 20: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 21. SI 005 yielded significantly more potatoes less than 48 mm than either check variety, but was not statistically different from SI 006, SI 008, EPF017, or EPG 018. Marketable yield ranged from 7.0 ton/ac of TT008 to 21.6 ton/ac of TT007. SI 006 and TT007 yielded significantly more marketable than Yukon Gold (check) in this trial, but were not statistically different from Norland. SI 007 yield significantly more oversized tubers than all other varieties, again possibly a reflection of an early maturing variety.

| AITC | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Low N | | | | |
| SI 005 | 5.1 a | 12.0 c-f | 0.0 c | 0.3 ab |
| SI 006 | 2.8 а-е | 21.1 ab | 0.4 c | 0.7 ab |
| SI 007 | 1.2 cde | 16.1 a-d | 7.8 a | 0.0 b |
| Norland | 0.6 de | 17.4 abc | 2.3 bc | 0.6 ab |
| SI 008 | 3.1 a-d | 13.2 b-f | 0.3 bc | 0.4 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 0.5 e | 9.0 c-f | 2.8 b | 0.2 ab |
| EPG017 | 3.1 a-d | 16.0 a-d | 0.1 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG018 | 3.1 a-d | 12.6 c-f | 0.1 c | 0.0 b |
| RV006 | 1.6 b-e | 13.7 a-f | 0.0 c | 0.1 b |
| PL006 | 1.4 b-e | 8.1 def | 0.0 c | 0.0 b |
| TT007 | 1.1 cde | 21.6 a | 2.0 bc | 0.2 b |
| TT008 | 2.8 b-e | 7.0 ef | 0.4 bc | 0.1 b |
| TT009 | 2.4 b-e | 9.8 c-f | 0.4 bc | 0.0 b |

Table 21: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 22. SI 005, SI 008 and EPG018 scored very high for both uniformity of size and overall appearance. EPG017, TT009 and SI 006 scored high for overall appearance.

Table 22: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| LowN | | |
| SI 005 | 4.00 | 4.75 |
| SI 006 | 3.25 | 4.00 |
| SI 007 | 3.33 | 3.00 |
| Norland | 3.00 | 3.50 |
| SI 008 | 3.75 | 4.00 |
| Yukon Gold | 3.25 | 3.33 |
| EPG017 | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| EPG018 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| RV006 | 3.50 | 3.75 |
| PL006 | 3.50 | 4.25 |
| TT007 | 3.50 | 3.00 |
| TT008 | 3.50 | 3.75 |
| TT009 | 3.50 | 4.25 |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for tubers in this trial. Some internal pigmentation was noted for SI 005. Approximately 5% of Yukon Gold tubers had hollow heart. Some stem-end discoloration was evident in many of the samples, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing.
Varieties were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations are presented in Table 23. EPG 018 was evaluated as a chipper rather than as a fresh market variety. After cooking darkening was not noted for any of the varieties after boiling or baking. None of the varieties displayed significant sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations. All of the cultivars evaluated scored mid-way between waxy and mealy, with none at the waxy end or the mealy end of the score sheet.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Low N | | | | |
| SI 005 | Deep yellow | 2 | Little or none | None |
| SI 006 | Deep yellow | 2 | Little or none | None |
| SI 007 | Yellow | 2 | Little or none | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | Little or none | None |
| SI 008 | Deep yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| EPG017 | Off-white | 3 | Little or none | None |
| EPG018 | | | | |
| RV006 | Deep yellow | 2 | Little or none | None |
| PL006 | Deep yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| TT007 | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| TT008 | Off-white | 2 | Little or none | None |
| TT009 | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |

Table 23: Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown at low nitrogen (193 lbs/ac) at CDCS). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Low N | | | |
| SI 005 | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| SI 006 | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| SI 007 | Off-white | 2 | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | None |
| SI 008 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | None |
| EPG017 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG018 | | | |
| RV006 | Deep Yellow | 2 | None |
| PL006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT007 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT008 | Off-white | 2 | None |
| TT009 | Yellow | 3 | None |

Table 23 continued.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Conclusions

The 2014 variety trial included eleven fresh market cultivars and two check varieties, Norland and Yukon Gold grown at 193 lbs/ac N. SI 006, TT007 and SI 007 yielded well in the 2014 evaluations for high total and marketable yield, with good boiling characteristics. SI 005 and EPG017 scored highest for overall appearance. Several varieties yielded well in both the small potato and marketable potato categories indicating their potential usefulness in dual purpose (gourmet and table) markets.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

2014 – Moderate N

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility (227 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (92 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 162 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 212 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 240 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Norland and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted June 5, 2014 approximately 5 to 5¹/₂"deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested September 25 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to the Food Processing Development Centre at Brooks for culinary evaluations.

Chipping tubers were stored at 10° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10° C until culinary analyses were performed. The compressor on our storage facility failed in the fall. A temporary system was put in place to hold temperatures. When the compressor was repaired, temperatures lower than the desired set point were achieved and fry colour was negatively affected. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter December 1, 2014.

French fry tubers were stored at 8° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. The tubers in the specific gravity sample were cut longitudinally to assess internal

defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to the Food Processing Development Centre at Brooks for culinary evaluations.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

<u>Results and Discussion – Fresh Market</u>

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2014. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Fresh Market varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2014: a) AR2014-04, b) AR1024-05, c) AR2014-06, d) AR2014-11, e) Norland, f) EPG017, g) EPG018, h) TT 008, i) PL 006, j) TT 007, k) TT 009, and l) Yukon Gold.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 24. The highest total yield at this level of N at AITC was observed with TT 007. TT 007 yielded significantly more than Yukon Gold and some fresh market cultivars in the evaluation, although not statistically higher than Norland, AR2014-01, AR2014 -04, AR2014-05, AR2014-06, AR2014-11, EPG 017, and EPG 018.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.068 for TT 009 to 1.093 for EPG 018. The specific gravity of AR2014-01 and EPG 018 exceeded that of Yukon Gold and may make these varieties less suitable for salad potatoes.

Table 24: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market variety grown on approximately 227 lbs/ac nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| AITC | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Moderate N | | |
| AR2014-01 | 18.15 abc | 1.091 a-d |
| AR2014-04 | 20.97 ab | 1.071 fgh |
| AR2014-05 | 16.07 abc | 1.075 fgh |
| AR2014-06 | 17.26 abc | 1.078 e-h |
| AR2014-11 | 16.31 abc | 1.080 d-h |
| Norland | 19.88 abc | 1.075 fgh |
| EPG 017 | 19.40 abc | 1.074 fgh |
| EPG 018 | 17.63 abc | 1.093 abc |
| TT 007 | 23.38 a | 1.070 gh |
| TT 008 | 11.69 cd | 1.077 e-h |
| TT 009 | 14.21 bcd | 1.068 h |
| PL 006 | 12.60 bcd | 1.078 e-h |
| Yukon Gold | 14.35 bcd | 1.088 a-e |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 25. The majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 – 88mm). PL 006, TT 008, EPG017, and EPG018 also had a large percentage of tubers in the small size category. AR2014-04, AR2014-06 and Yukon Gold had a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the oversized category which may be an indication that these cultivars are early maturing and an earlier harvest data may be more appropriate. AR2014-06 had a significantly higher percentage of deformed tubers than the others, but the variety may have been harvested too late for optimal yields.

| AITC | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AR2014-01 | 32.8 c-f | 64.7 a-f | 1.3 c | 1.3 cde |
| AR2014-04 | 24.2 ef | 67.5 a-e | 7.6 a | 0.7 de |
| AR2014-05 | 33.0 c-f | 66.0 a-f | 0.3 c | 0.7 de |
| AR2014-06 | 17.9 f | 67.2 a-f | 5.6 abc | 9.4 a |
| AR2014-11 | 34.2 c-f | 65.0 a-f | 0.5 c | 0.3 e |
| Norland | 16.5 f | 76.5 ab | 3.9 abc | 3.1 bcd |
| EPG 017 | 36.2 a-f | 63.7 a-g | 0.1 c | 0.0 e |
| EPG 018 | 43.1 а-е | 56.7 b-h | 0.0 c | 0.2 e |
| TT 007 | 16.3 f | 79.8 a | 2.0 bc | 2.0 b-e |
| TT 008 | 52.5 abc | 47.1 fgh | 0.3 c | 0.2 e |
| TT 009 | 36.6 a-f | 62.3 a-h | 0.3 c | 0.8 de |
| PL 006 | 55.8 a | 44.0 h | 0.0 c | 0.2 e |
| Yukon Gold | 18.3 f | 73.8 abc | 6.5 ab | 1.3 cde |

Table 25: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 26. PL 006, EPG 017 and EPG 018 yielded significantly more potatoes under 48 mm than either check variety, but were not statistically different from many other varieties. Marketable yield ranged from 8.3 ton/ac of PL 006 to 20.6 ton/ac of TT07. TT07 yielded significantly more marketable tubers than Yukon Gold (check) in this trial, but was not statistically different from Norland. AR2014-04 yield significantly more oversized tubers than many other varieties, again possibly a reflection of an early maturing variety.

| AITC | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AR2014-01 | 2.5 d-h | 14.6 ab | 0.8 bc | 0.3 d |
| AR2014-04 | 1.5 fgh | 15.4 ab | 3.9 a | 0.2 d |
| AR2014-05 | 2.4 d-h | 13.3 ab | 0.2 c | 0.2 d |
| AR2014-06 | 1.0 gh | 12.0 bc | 2.2 abc | 2.0 a |
| AR2014-11 | 2.5 d-h | 13.5 ab | 0.3 c | 0.1 d |
| Norland | 0.9 gh | 16.2 ab | 1.9 abc | 1.0 bc |
| EPG 017 | 3.6 a-f | 15.8 ab | 0.1 c | 0.0 d |
| EPG 018 | 4.4 a-d | 13.2 ab | 0.0 c | 0.1 d |
| TT 007 | 1.0 gh | 20.6 a | 1.2 bc | 0.6 cd |
| TT 008 | 3.2 b-f | 8.4 bc | 0.1 c | 0.0 d |
| TT 009 | 2.5 d-h | 11.5 bc | 0.1 c | 0.1 d |
| PL 006 | 3.8 а-е | 8.3 bc | 0.4 c | 0.0 d |
| Yukon Gold | 0.7 h | 11.2 bc | 2.2 abc | 0.2 d |

Table 26: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market variety grown on full nitrogen (approximately 193 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 27. AR2014-05 and EPG018 scored very high for both uniformity of size and overall appearance. EPG017, TT 008 and PL 006 scored high for overall appearance.

Table 27: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| AR2014-01 | 3.25 | 3.50 |
| AR2014-04 | 3.25 | 3.25 |
| AR2014-05 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| AR2014-06 | 4.00 | 3.25 |
| AR2014-11 | 4.00 | 3.75 |
| Norland | 3.67 | 3.67 |
| EPG 017 | 3.75 | 4.00 |
| EPG 018 | 4.00 | 4.25 |
| TT 007 | 4.00 | 3.50 |
| TT 008 | 3.75 | 4.00 |
| TT 009 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| PL 006 | 3.75 | 4.50 |
| Yukon Gold | 3.75 | 3.75 |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, brown centre, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for tubers in this trial. Some internal pigmentation was noted for EPG017 and AR2014-05. Approximately 4% of Yukon Gold and 2% of AR2014-02 tubers had hollow heart. Some stem-end discoloration was evident in many of the samples, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing.

Varieties were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations are presented in Table 28. AR2014-01 was evaluated as a French fry potatoes and EPG 018 was evaluated as a chipper rather than as a fresh market variety. After cooking darkening was only noted for EPG017 after boiling. AR2014-11 and Yukon Gold displayed moderate sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations. AR2014-04 scored as the waxiest potato and AR2014-11 scored as a mealy potato. All of the other cultivars evaluated scored mid-way between waxy and mealy.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AR2014-01 | | | | |
| AR2014-04 | Yellow | 1 | None | None |
| AR2014-05 | Yellow | 3 | None | None |
| AR2014-06 | Off-white | 2 | None | Moderate |
| AR2014-11 | Yellow | 4 | Moderate | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | None | None |
| EPG 017 | Off-white | 2 | None | Moderate |
| EPG 018 | | | | |
| TT 007 | Off-white | 3 | None | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 3 | None | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 2 | None | None |
| PL 006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None | None |
| Yukon Gold | Deep Yellow | 3 | Moderate | None |

Table 28: Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown at low nitrogen (193 lbs/ac) at CDCS). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Moderate N | | | |
| AR2014-01 | | | |
| AR2014-04 | Yellow | 2 | None |
| AR2014-05 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| AR2014-06 | Off-white | 2 | None |
| AR2014-11 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| Norland | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG 017 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG 018 | | | |
| TT 007 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 2 | None |
| PL 006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Deep Yellow | 4 | None |

Table 28 continued.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

AR2014-01 was evaluated as a French fry potatoes and EPG 018 was evaluated as a chipper rather than as a fresh market variety. After cooking darkening was not noted for any varieties after baking. Yukon Gold scored as a mealy potato after baking, but all of the other cultivars evaluated scored mid-way between waxy and mealy after baking.

2014 – N Response

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility for the low N rate (193 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (74 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 130 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 164 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 190 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Fertility for the moderate N rate (227 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (92 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 162 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 212 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 240 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Norland and Yukon Gold). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted June 4 and 5, 2014 approximately 5 to 5½" deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested September 22, 23 and 25 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to the Food Processing Development Centre at Brooks for culinary evaluations.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2014. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10. Fresh Market varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2014: a) Norland, b) EPG017, c) EPG018, d) PL 006, e) TT 007, f) TT 008, g) TT 009 and h) Yukon Gold.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 29. The highest total yield at this level of N at AITC was observed with TT 007. TT 007 yielded significantly more than Yukon Gold and some fresh market cultivars in the evaluation, although not statistically higher than Norland, EPG 017, and EPG 018.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.068 for TT 009 to 1.093 for EPG 018. The specific gravity of EPG 018 exceeded that of Yukon Gold and may make this variety less suitable for salad potatoes.

Table 29: Estimated **total yield** (ton/acre) and **specific gravity** for each fresh market variety grown on Low N (193 lbs/ac) and Moderate N (227 lbs/ac) nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| AITC | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|------------|
| Low N | | |
| Norland | 20.9 abc† | 1.069 def† |
| EPG017 | 19.2 a-d | 1.074 cde |
| EPG018 | 15.7 b-е | 1.085 abc† |
| PL 006 | 9.8 de | 1.074 cde |
| TT 007 | 24.8 ab | 1.065ef† |
| TT 008 | 10.2 de | 1.070 def |
| TT 009 | 12.6 cde† | 1.070 def |
| Yukon Gold | 12.5 cde | 1.082 bcd |
| Moderate N | | |
| Norland | 19.88 abc† | 1.075 fgh† |
| EPG017 | 19.40 abc | 1.074 fgh |
| EPG018 | 17.63 abc | 1.093 abc† |
| PL 006 | 12.60 bcd | 1.078 e-h |
| TT 007 | 23.38 a | 1.070 gh† |
| TT 008 | 11.69 cd | 1.077 e-h |
| TT 009 | 14.21 bcd† | 1.068 h |
| Yukon Gold | 14.35 bcd | 1.088 a-e |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 30. The majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 - 88 mm). PL 006, TT 008, EPG017, and EPG018 also had a large percentage of tubers in the small size category. Yukon Gold had a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the oversized category which is related to being an early maturing cultivar and an earlier harvest data may have been more appropriate.

| AITC | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | 14.4 f | 78.4 ab | 5.2 bc | 2.0 ab |
| EPG017 | 31.7 b-f | 68.1 abc | 0.2 c | 0.0 b |
| EPG018 | 38.0 abc | 61.9 a-d | 0.1 c | 0.0 b |
| PL 006 | 34.1 а-е | 65.9 a-d | 0.0 c | 0.0 b |
| TT 007 | 15.5 ef | 81.0 a | 2.9 c | 0.6 b |
| TT 008 | 52.0 a | 46.5 de | 1.3 c | 0.2 b |
| TT 009 | 37.5 abc | 61.0 a-d | 1.2 c | 0.3 b |
| Yukon Gold | 16.0 ef | 74.3 abc | 8.5 ab | 1.3 ab |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Norland | 16.5 f | 76.5 ab | 3.9 abc | 3.1 bcd |
| EPG 017 | 36.2 a-f | 63.7 a-g | 0.1 c | 0.0 e |
| EPG 018 | 43.1 а-е | 56.7 b-h | 0.0 c | 0.2 e |
| PL 006 | 55.8 a | 44.0 h | 0.0 c | 0.2 e |
| TT 007 | 16.3 f | 79.8 a | 2.0 bc | 2.0 b-e |
| TT 008 | 52.5 abc | 47.1 fgh | 0.3 c | 0.2 e |
| TT 009 | 36.6 a-f | 62.3 a-h | 0.3 c | 0.8 de |
| Yukon Gold | 18.3 f | 73.8 abc | 6.5 ab | 1.3 cde |

Table 30: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market variety grown on Low N (193 lbs/ac) and Moderate N (227 lbs/ac) nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 31. PL 006, EPG 017 and EPG 018 yielded significantly more potatoes under 48 mm than either check variety, but were not statistically different from many other varieties. Marketable yield ranged from 8.3 ton/ac of PL 006 to 20.6 ton/ac of TT 007. TT 007 yielded significantly more marketable tubers than Yukon Gold (check) in this trial, but was not statistically different from Norland.

| AITC | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | 0.6 de† | 17.4 abc† | 2.3 bc | 0.6 ab |
| EPG017 | 3.1 a-d | 16.0 a-d | 0.1 bc | 0.0 b |
| EPG018 | 3.1 a-d | 12.6 c-f | 0.1 c | 0.0 b |
| PL 006 | 1.4 b-e† | 8.1 def | 0.0 c | 0.0 b |
| TT 007 | 1.1 cde | 21.6 a | 2.0 bc | 0.2 b |
| TT 008 | 2.8 b-e | 7.0 ef† | 0.4 bc | 0.1 b |
| TT 009 | 2.4 b-e | 9.8 c-f† | 0.4 bc | 0.0 b |
| Yukon Gold | 0.5 e† | 9.0 c-f | 2.8 b | 0.2 ab |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Norland | 0.9 gh† | 16.2 ab† | 1.9 abc | 1.0 bc |
| EPG 017 | 3.6 a-f | 15.8 ab | 0.1 c | 0.0 d |
| EPG 018 | 4.4 a-d | 13.2 ab | 0.0 c | 0.1 d |
| PL 006 | 3.8 a-e† | 8.3 bc | 0.4 c | 0.0 d |
| TT 007 | 1.0 gh | 20.6 a | 1.2 bc | 0.6 cd |
| TT 008 | 3.2 b-f | 8.4 bc† | 0.1 c | 0.0 d |
| TT 009 | 2.5 d-h | 11.5 bc† | 0.1 c | 0.1 d |
| Yukon Gold | 0.7 h† | 11.2 bc | 2.2 abc | 0.2 d |

Table 31: Estimated **yield** (ton/ac) in each **size category** (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) each fresh market variety grown on Low N (193 lbs/ac) and Moderate N (227 lbs/ac) nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 32. AR2014-05 and EPG018 scored very high for both uniformity of size and overall appearance. EPG017, TT 008 and PL 006 scored high for overall appearance.

Uniformity of Size¹ Overall Appearance² LowN Norland 3.00 3.50 3.50 EPG017 4.50 4.00 4.00 **EPG018** 3.50 4.25 PL 006 3.50 3.00 TT 007 3.50 3.75 TT 008 3.50 4.25 TT 009 Yukon Gold 3.25 3.33 Moderate N 3.67 3.67 Norland 3.75 4.00 **EPG 017** 4.00 4.25 EPG 018 3.75 4.50 PL 006 4.00 3.50 TT 007 TT 008 3.75 4.00 3.50 3.50 TT 009 3.75 3.75 Yukon Gold

Table 32: Subjective tuber assessments: **Uniformity of Size** was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. **Overall Appearance** was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were also evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. There were few internal defects noted for tubers in this trial. Some internal pigmentation was noted for EPG017. Approximately 4% of Yukon Gold had hollow heart. Some stem-end discoloration was evident in many of the samples, possibly as a result of vine maturity at the time of top-killing.

Varieties were evaluated in the Food Science lab at CDCS for culinary quality. Data from the boil and bake evaluations are presented in Table 33. EPG 018 was evaluated as a chipper rather than as a fresh market variety. After cooking darkening was only noted for EPG017 after boiling. Yukon Gold displayed moderate sloughing in the boiled potato evaluations. All of the cultivars evaluated scored mid-way between waxy and mealy.

Table 33: Culinary evaluations of each fresh market variety grown on Low N (193 lbs/ac) and Moderate N (227 lbs/ac) nitrogen. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Low N | | | | |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | Little or none | None |
| EPG017 | Off-white | 3 | Little or none | None |
| EPG018 | | | | |
| PL 006 | Deep yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| TT 007 | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 2 | Little or none | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | Little or none | None |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | None | None |
| EPG 017 | Off-white | 2 | None | Moderate |
| EPG 018 | | | | |
| PL 006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None | None |
| TT 007 | Off-white | 3 | None | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 3 | None | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 2 | None | None |
| Yukon Gold | Deep Yellow | 3 | Moderate | None |

* Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| AITC | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration |
| Low N | | | |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | None |
| EPG017 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG018 | | | |
| PL 006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT 007 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 2 | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | None |
| Moderate N | | | |
| Norland | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG 017 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| EPG 018 | | | |
| PL 006 | Deep Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT 007 | Yellow | 3 | None |
| TT 008 | Off-white | 3 | None |
| TT 009 | Yellow | 2 | None |
| Yukon Gold | Deep Yellow | 4 | None |

Table 33 continued.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

After cooking darkening was not noted for any varieties after baking. Yukon Gold scored as a mealy potato after baking, but all of the other cultivars evaluated scored mid-way between waxy and mealy after baking.

Conclusions

The 2014 variety trial included eleven fresh market cultivars and two check varieties, Norland and Yukon Gold grown at 227 lbs/ac N. TT 007 yielded well in the 2014 evaluations for high total and marketable yield, with a large percentage of tubers in the marketable category. PL 006, EPG018, EPG017, and TT 008 scored highest for overall appearance. Several varieties yielded well in both the small potato and marketable potato categories indicating their potential usefulness in dual purpose (gourmet and table) markets.

Norland produced significantly greater yield of marketable tubers at the lower N rate than on moderate N. TT08 and TT09 produced significantly greater yield of marketable tubers at the moderate N rate compared to the lower rate of N.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Creamer Variety Evaluation

2014

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Letbridge, AB. Fertility (193 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (57 lbs/ac N; 23 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (74 lbs/ac of 46-0-0, 130 lbs/ac of 11-52-0, 164 lbs/ac of 0-0-60 and 190 lbs/ac of 20.5-0-0-24) incorporated prior to planting. Varieties were planted in skin colour blocks. Each colour block included four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Roundup (1 L/ac) was sprayed prior to planting (May 21) to reduce weed pressure. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted June 12, 2014 approximately 10 to 12cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 15cm spacing in 5m rows spaced 90cm apart.

The potatoes were hilled June 27 with a power hiller. Sencor 75DF (100 g/ac) and Centurion (76 mL/ac) were applied prior to emergence (June 3) to control weeds. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 15 and again September 19. Potatoes were harvested October 6-9, 2015 using a 1-row Checci harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 25mm, 25 - 41mm, over 41mm and deformed). A 4 kg sample of tubers (25 - 41mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. Sub-samples of tubers from the 25 – 41mm category were made available to the sponsor for culinary evaluation. The results of the culinary evaluation are independent of this report.

Results and Discussion

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug September 2, 2014 from a demonstration trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB for an initial assessment of tuber set, yield potential and relative maturity. Photos of these potatoes are shown in Appendix B.

In season data is presented in Table 34. Approximately 22 days after planting, 50% of many of the plants in each row were visible (data not shown). Full emergence was reached between 22 and 40 days after planting. There was no significant difference in emergence dates from any of the cultivars planted. The mean number of stems per plant, tubers per stem and tubers per plant (tuber set) are shown in Table 34 as well. There were significant differences in each of these categories. For ease of comparison, cultivars have been grouped into categories and analyzed by skin-color.

The mean number of tubers per plant ranged from as low as 8.0 for L14-39 to as many as 44.7 for L14-6. It is my understanding that a target of 15 or more tubers per plant is desirable for the production of gourmet potato varieties (Joel Vander Schaaf, personal communication). If tuber set is too high, however, many tubers may not reach a marketable size prior to harvest in Alberta's short growing season. Most of the cultivars included in the trial exceeded tuber sets of 15. As tuber set is only an indication, marketable yield will be a better indicator than tuber set alone for the potential of these cultivars as gourmet varieties.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the gourmet cultivars are shown in Table 3. Total yield estimates ranged from 5.5 ton/ac to 16.2 ton/ac. In order for producers to achieve a realistic return on investment growing gourmet potatoes, yield must be above a threshold. There were no significant differences in total yield estimates between cultivars, possibly because of field variability. Further addressing the specific agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared with the results in this trial.

| CDCS | Full Emergence DAP | Stems per Plant | Tubers per Stem | Tubers per Plant |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| L14-1 | 28.5 a | 4.0 a | 2.7 d | 11.1 f |
| L14-2 | 37.3 a | 4.6 a | 6.6 a-d | 27.1 b-e |
| L14-3 | 32.8 a | 4.3 a | 5.2 bcd | 22.2 def |
| L14-4 | 33.8 a | 6.7 a | 7.0 a-d | 44.0 a |
| L14-5 | 40.0 a | 3.9 a | 11.7 a | 38.7 ab |
| L14-6 | 37.0 a | 4.6 a | 10.0 ab | 44.7 a |
| L14-7 | 29.8 a | 7.3 a | 3.7 cd | 26.0 b-e |
| L14-8 | 33.8 a | 5.8 a | 4.8 bcd | 26.7 b-e |
| L14-9 | 35.5 a | 5.2 a | 4.8 bcd | 23.9 cde |
| L14-10 | 39.3 a | 4.4 a | 3.2 d | 14.3 ef |
| L14-11 | 37.5 a | 4.8 a | 5.9 a-d | 28.1 bcd |
| L14-12 | 38.8 a | 5.0 a | 6.6 a-d | 32.1 a-d |
| L14-13 | 35.5 a | 3.9 a | 7.4 a-d | 26.1 b-e |
| L14-14 | 39.3 a | 5.7 a | 7.1 a-d | 36.8 abc |
| L14-15 | 33.5 a | 4.9 a | 9.7 abc | 44.6 a |
| L14-16 | 39.8 a | 5.9 a | 4.0 bcd | 22.6 def |
| L14-17 | 22.8 a | 3.0 a | 3.7 b | 10.6 de |
| L14-18 | 28.3 a | 3.2 a | 2.7 b | 8.7 e |
| L14-19 | 26.3 a | 4.7 a | 7.2 a | 33.5 a |
| L14-20 | 22.0 a | 3.8 a | 5.1 ab | 19.0 c |
| L14-22 | 22.8 a | 3.3 a | 4.1 ab | 12.8 de |
| L14-23 | 22.0 a | 2.7 a | 5.4 ab | 23.8 b |
| L14-24 | 26.0 a | 3.9 a | 3.8 b | 14.9 d |
| L14-25 | 24.5 a | 4.0 a | 3.2 b | 12.7 de |
| L14-26 | 22.0 a | 4.7 a | 2.0 b | 9.6 e |
| L14-28 | 33.5 a | 4.1 a | 2.6 a | 13.7 a |
| L14-29 | 38.0 a | 6.6 a | 2.8 a | 14.1 a |
| L14-30 | 31.0 a | 3.8 a | 2.5 a | 12.8 a |
| L14-31 | 30.0 a | 5.3 a | 3.5 a | 18.0 a |
| L14-32 | 25.3 a | 3.0 a | 2.7 a | 8.2 a |
| L14-33 | 36.0 a | 4.7 a | 3.7 a | 14.1 bc |
| L14-34 | 40.7 a | 2.3 a | 4.3 a | 9.4 c |

Table 34: Field data and tuber set information for each variety grown at 135 lbs/ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of three or four replicates. Data for each skin colour block followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| L14-35 | 37.0 a | 5.1 a | 2.9 a | 13.0 bc |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| L14-36 | 37.5 a | 3.3 a | 3.8 a | 11.7 c |
| L14-37 | 41.0 a | 4.2 a | 6.5 a | 24.2 ab |
| L14-38 | 41.3 a | 5.5 a | 3.0 a | 16.2 abc |
| L14-39 | 37.5 a | 2.8 a | 2.9 a | 8.0 c |
| L14-40 | 40.7 a | 3.7 a | 4.9 a | 18.5 abc |
| L14-41 | 40.0 a | 5.7 a | 3.7 a | 19.5 abc |
| L14-42 | 33.3 a | 3.6 a | 8.1 a | 26.8 a |

Specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.074 for L14-25 to 1.110 for 425/09-06 (Table 35). The texture of 'new' potatoes often associated with gourmet size is consistent with specific gravity values of 1.06 to 1.08. Varieties with specific gravities above 1.085 often rival those of French fry varieties with a dry or mealy texture and may be less suitable for the gourmet market.

- Within the yellow-skinned category, L14-1, L14-5, L14-8 had the lowest specific gravities and were significantly lower than both check varieties. The specific gravity of L14-16, L14-3, L14-4, and L14-14 were significantly higher than both check varieties.
- Within the red-skinned category, the specific gravity of several cultivars, L14-19, L14-22, and L14-23, was significantly higher than for L14-25. None of the cultivars tested had specific gravities significantly lower than L14-25.
- There were no significant differences in specific gravity measurements for the purpleskinned cultivars.
- There were no significant differences in specific gravity measurements for the specialty cultivars.

Table 35: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each variety grown at 135 lbs/ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data within each colour block followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Moderate N | | |
| L14-1 | 14.6 a | 1.083 g |
| L14-2 | 11.6 a | 1.100 bcd |
| L14-3 | 10.4 a | 1.102 bc |
| L14-4 | 13.2 a | 1.103 ab |
| L14-5 | 12.8 a | 1.083 g |
| L14-6 | 13.6 a | 1.095 c-f |
| L14-7 | 8.9 a | 1.098 b-e |
| L14-8 | 9.3 a | 1.083 g |
| L14-9 | 12.4 a | 1.094 def |
| L14-10 | 10.0 a | 1.091 f |
| L14-11 | 13.7 a | 1.092 ef |
| L14-12 | 8.7 a | 1.093 ef |
| L14-13 | 13.1 a | 1.098 b-e |
| L14-14 | 13.6 a | 1.105 ab |
| L14-15 | 13.0 a | 1.099 b-e |
| L14-16 | 12.3 a | 1.110 a |
| L14-17 | 16.2 a | 1.085 ab |
| L14-18 | 12.1 a | 1.079 ab |
| L14-19 | 13.6 a | 1.093 a |
| L14-20 | 15.2 a | 1.088 ab |
| L14-22 | 14.7 a | 1.093 a |
| L14-23 | 11.4 a | 1.090 a |
| L14-24 | 7.3 a | 1.080 ab |
| L14-25 | 13.5 a | 1.074 b |
| L14-26 | 9.2 a | 1.081 ab |
| L14-28 | 14.1 a | 1.091 a |
| L14-29 | 11.1 a | 1.092 a |
| L14-30 | 12.7 a | 1.088 a |
| L14-31 | 16.2 a | 1.097 a |
| L14-32 | 13.9 a | 1.091 a |

| L14-33 | 11.5 a | 1.090 a |
|--------|--------|---------|
| L14-34 | 6.6 a | 1.093 a |
| L14-35 | 4.9 a | 1.083 a |
| L14-36 | 12.3 a | 1.086 a |
| L14-37 | 7.2 a | 1.093 a |
| L14-38 | 7.4 a | 1.082 a |
| L14-39 | 10.6 a | 1.097 a |
| L14-40 | 8.5 a | 1.089 a |
| L14-41 | 5.5 a | 1.099 a |
| L14-42 | 7.2 a | 1.088 a |

Potatoes were sized into categories and the estimated number of tubers per acre in each size category is represented in Table 36. There were statistically significant differences in some size categories for each skin colour category.

- For the yellow-skinned potatoes, yield of 25 to 41mm tubers per acre ranged from 194,000 per acre to over 430,000 per acre. Several test cultivars produced significantly more tubers per acre than L14-10, but not were significantly different from L14-9. L14-10 produced significantly more tubers per acre in the > 41mm category, indicating that an earlier harvest may have been necessary for this variety. Tow cultivars, L14-15 and L14-4, produced significantly more tubers per acre in the < 25mm category than L14-9.
- In the red-skinned category, several cultivars produced significantly more 25 to 41mm tubers than either check. These include L14-19, L14-20, L14-23 and L14-24. Two of these cultivars also produced significantly more < 25mm tubers than L14-25 and L14-26.
- All of the purple-skinned cultivars tested produced more tubers per acre than L14-32. One cultivar, L14-29 produced over 260,000 tubers < 25mm per acre; significantly more than all of the other entries in this category.
- For the < 25mm and 25 to 41mm size classes, there were no significant differences between cultivars in the specialty category. Three cultivars, L14-33, L14-34, and L14-36, produced significantly fewer tubers per acre in the > 41mm size class than L14-39.

| CDCS | < 25mm | 25 to 41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| L14-1 | 29.2 e | 194.0 c | 75.1 b | 1.8 a |
| L14-2 | 116.6 cde | 438.0 a | 29.0 cd | 1.6 a |
| L14-3 | 185.5 de | 381.3 abc | 31.0 cd | 2.2 a |
| L14-4 | 609.1 ab | 416.2 ab | 5.4 d | 8.1 a |
| L14-5 | 457.1 bcd | 251.4 abc | 3.1 d | 5.6 a |
| L14-6 | 492.6 bc | 331.1 abc | 2.0 d | 4.3 a |
| L14-7 | 232.9 cde | 424.0 ab | 8.8 cd | 4.2 a |
| L14-8 | 256.5 cde | 405.8 abc | 44.1 c | 1.1 a |
| L14-9 | 216.1 cde | 366.7 abc | 25.9 cd | 3.1 a |
| L14-10 | 42.3 e | 207.5 c | 105.2 a | 0.4 a |
| L14-11 | 202.4 de | 456.2 a | 28.3 cd | 2.9 a |
| L14-12 | 275.4 cde | 305.6 abc | 36.0 cd | 2.0 a |
| L14-13 | 272.3 cde | 374.4 abc | 30.1 cd | 0.2 a |
| L14-14 | 295.4 cde | 268.5 abc | 18.2 cd | 2.0 a |
| L14-15 | 778.6 a | 386.1 abc | 0.7 d | 0.9 a |
| L14-16 | 232.3 cde | 278.8 abc | 14.4 cd | 2.0 a |
| L14-17 | 22.9 с | 100.7 d | 136.0 a | 0.0 b |
| L14-18 | 13.5 c | 100.0 d | 98.5 ab | .9 ab |
| L14-19 | 327.6 a | 456.9 a | 17.5 b | 0.9 ab |
| L14-20 | 80.3 bc | 310.3 b | 120.5 a | 2.7 a |
| L14-22 | 43.8 bc | 180.1 cd | 111.3 a | 0.2 b |
| L14-23 | 102.3 b | 368.7 ab | 57.3 ab | 0.9 ab |
| L14-24 | 92.4 bc | 284.6 bc | 15.5 b | 1.3 ab |
| L14-25 | 24.7 c | 148.2 d | 135.1 a | 0.2 b |
| L14-26 | 14.6 c | 93.9 d | 150.0 a | 0.9 ab |
| L14-28 | 34.4 bc | 216.5 a | 117.6 a | 0.0 b |
| L14-29 | 261.7 a | 256.8 a | 1.3 b | 5.6 a |
| L14-30 | 54.4 bc | 215.8 a | 73.5 a | 0.7 b |
| L14-31 | 79.4 b | 309.8 a | 90.2 a | 0.9 b |
| L14-32 | 4.0 c | 88.8 b | 125.2 a | 2.9 ab |

Table 36: Number of tubers per acre (x 1000) in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at 135 lbs/ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data within each coolur block followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| L14-33 | 157.1 a | 141.2 a | 5.7 b | 1.5 abc |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| L14-34 | 55.5 a | 44.4 a | 9.6 b | 0.6 bc |
| L14-35 | 91.7 a | 167.3a | 22.0 ab | 1.3 bc |
| L14-36 | 50.3 a | 152.4 a | 7.9 b | 7.6 a |
| L14-37 | 140.3 a | 241.5 a | 22.5 ab | 2.2 abc |
| L14-38 | 53.1 a | 283.6 a | 23.1 ab | 6.9 ab |
| L14-39 | 16.6 a | 74.6 a | 58.5 a | 0.9 bc |
| L14-40 | 121.1 a | 151.4 a | 24.3 ab | 2.4 abc |
| L14-41 | 38.4 a | 139.4 a | 35.1 ab | 0.3 c |
| L14-42 | 194.3 a | 192.5 a | 17.3 ab | 2.2 abc |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 37. It is important to note that harvesting with small plot equipment and manual labour recovers all potatoes over 15mm in diameter. This tended to increase the yield (and percentage) of small potatoes relative to a commercial situation where more of these tubers may be left behind in the field. The percentage of tubers in each category gives an indication of which cultivars require the full season to reach their potential and which may be earlier maturing cultivars.

There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in each size class for the yellow-skinned, red-skinned or specialty entries. In the purple-skinned category, two cultivars produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the 25 to 41mm class than L14-32, L14-30 and L14-31.

| CDCS | < 25mm | 25 to 41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|------------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| L14-1 | 34.5 a | 59.8 a | 5.4 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-2 | 26.1 a | 57.3 a | 15.9 a | 0.7 a |
| L14-3 | 31.2 a | 46.6 a | 22.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-4 | 52.1 a | 45.2 a | 2.5 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-5 | 28.6 a | 61.7 a | 9.5 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-6 | 20.7 a | 64.9 a | 14.2 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-7 | 49.8 a | 47.9 a | 2.1 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-8 | 40.1 a | 45.8 a | 14.3 a | 0.5 a |
| L14-9 | 35.5 a | 56.5 a | 7.5 a | 0.7 a |
| L14-10 | 30.1 a | 59.5 a | 9.8 a | 0.7 a |
| L14-11 | 19.6 a | 54.9 a | 25.2 a | 0.4 a |
| L14-12 | 44.3 a | 51.3 a | 3.9 a | 0.5 a |
| L14-13 | 56.9 a | 42.1 a | 0.9 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-14 | 48.4 a | 48.6 a | 1.9 a | 1.1 a |
| L14-15 | 33.8 a | 60.7 a | 5.2 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-16 | 40.6 a | 55.9 a | 2.9 a | 0.6 a |
| L14-17 | 19.1 a | 57.8 a | 22.8 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-18 | 5.0 a | 29.3 a | 40.6 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-19 | 9.1 a | 47.6 a | 18.1 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-20 | 5.4 a | 42.0 a | 52.3 a | 0.4 a |
| L14-22 | 14.4a | 49.2 a | 36.0 a | 0.5 a |
| L14-23 | 16.7 a | 62.0 a | 21.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-24 | 5.6 a | 25.9 a | 18.5 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-25 | 24.7a | 47.5 a | 27.6 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-26 | 17.7 a | 47.9 a | 9.2 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-28 | 9.5 b | 57.8 ab | 32.8 ab | 0.0 a |
| L14-29 | 49.5 a | 49.0 ab | 0.2 c | 1.0 a |
| L14-30 | 16.0 b | 63.3 a | 20.8 bc | 0.2 a |
| L14-31 | 16.3 b | 64.5 a | 19.0 bc | 0.3 a |
| L14-32 | 5.8 b | 44.8 b | 48.5 a | 1.0 a |

Table 37: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each variety grown at 135 lbs/ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates.

| L14-33 | 25.0 a | 63.1 a | 10.6 a | 1.3 a |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| L14-34 | 23.9 a | 69.8 a | 4.6 a | 1.8 a |
| L14-35 | 34.8 a | 41.0 a | 23.0 a | 1.2 a |
| L14-36 | 26.3 a | 68.1 a | 4.5 a | 1.1 a |
| L14-37 | 41.1 a | 42.2 a | 16.4a | 0.3 a |
| L14-38 | 56.0 a | 35.1 a | 4.4 a | 4.5 a |
| L14-39 | 36.9 a | 59.2 a | 3.1 a | 0.9 a |
| L14-40 | 11.5 a | 48.2 a | 6.4 a | 0.6 a |
| L14-41 | 11.8 a | 39.7 a | 14.0a | 1.1 a |
| L14-42 | 25.3 a | 59.7 a | 14.8 a | 0.3 a |

The estimated yield of tubers in each category is represented in Table 38. In general, a good yield of tubers in the 25 - 41mm category would be the focus of cultivar evaluation, but, in this trial, a good yield of tubers over 41mm may also indicate that an earlier harvest may result in an increased yield of 25 to 41 mm tubers.

- The yields of tubers from yellow-skinned cultivars were not significantly different from the check varieties.
- There were no significant yield differences in the red-skinned category.
- Three entries, L14-29, L14-30 and L14-31, yielded significantly more tubers in the 25 to 41mm size class than L14-32.
- There were no significant yield differences in the specialty category.

| CDCS | Yield of <25mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 25 to 41mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 41mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Moderate N | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . , | · · · · · · |
| L14-1 | 1.7 ab | 10.5 a | 2.2 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-2 | 1.1 ab | 7.2 a | 3.1 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-3 | 1.3 ab | 4.6 a | 4.5 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-4 | 3.0 ab | 8.9 a | 1.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-5 | 1.5 ab | 7.5 a | 3.7 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-6 | 1.0 b | 8.8 a | 3.7 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-7 | 2.1 ab | 6.1 a | 0.6 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-8 | 1.4 ab | 5.0 a | 2.9 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-9 | 1.7 ab | 8.4 a | 2.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-10 | 1.2 ab | 6.9 a | 1.9 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-11 | 0.9 b | 7.1 a | 5.6 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-12 | 1.7 ab | 5.9 a | 1.1 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-13 | 4.2 a | 8.5 a | 0.4 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-14 | 2.9 ab | 9.6 a | 0.9 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-15 | 1.6 ab | 9.4 a | 1.9 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-16 | 2.1 ab | 8.9 a | 1.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-17 | 1.0 a | 8.8 a | 6.3 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-18 | 0.1 a | 2.5 a | 9.4 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-19 | 0.4 a | 6.4 a | 6.9 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-20 | 0.2 a | 4.5 a | 10.4 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-22 | 0.5 a | 5.1 a | 9.0 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-23 | 0.5 a | 5.6 a | 5.2 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-24 | 0.2 a | 3.2 a | 3.9 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-25 | 1.1 a | 5.9 a | 6.5 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-26 | 0.8 a | 6.4 a | 2.0 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-28 | 0.3 b | 5.8 c | 7.9 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-29 | 2.7 a | 8.1 ab | 0.1 b | 0.2 a |
| L14-30 | 0.5 b | 6.7 b | 5.5 a | 0.0 a |
| L14-31 | 0.7 b | 9.2 a | 6.2 a | 0.1 a |
| 114-32 | 0.2 b | 4.0 c | 9.5 a | 0.3 a |

Table 38: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 25mm, 25 to 41mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) for each variety grown at 135 lbs/ac N at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data within each colour block followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| L14-33 | 1.3 a | 7.6 a | 2.3 a | 0.2 a |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| L14-34 | 0.5 a | 4.7 a | 1.2 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-35 | 0.5 a | 2.8 a | 1.6 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-36 | 1.0 a | 9.4 a | 1.6 a | 0.3 a |
| L14-37 | 1.8 a | 4.1 a | 1.3 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-38 | 1.6 a | 4.8 a | 0.9 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-39 | 1.9 a | 7.2 a | 1.3 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-40 | 0.4 a | 5.9 a | 2.0 a | 0.2 a |
| L14-41 | 0.2 a | 2.5 a | 2.7 a | 0.1 a |
| L14-42 | 0.5 a | 4.3 a | 2.3 a | 0.0 a |

Conclusions

The 2014 variety trial included forty gourmet potato lines with potential in Alberta. A number of yellow-skinned cultivars showed promise and were comparable to L14-9 in yield, size profile and appearance. Several red-skinned entries also showed promise, but culinary evaluations may play a greater role than yield for these cultivars. Several purple-skinned cultivars showed promise in appearance, size profile and yield relative to L14-32. In the specialty category, the novel appearances will likely weigh more than the yield and class of potatoes in determining which cultivars move forward.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. The N rate of 193 lbs/ac is a moderate rate of N relative to processing cultivars, but possibly higher than required for some of the gournet potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Overall Results

In 2014, data was provided for over 100 cultivars or varieties supplied by various client sponsors and an additional 25 standard varieties were also included. Of the 37 cultivars from the AAFC National Potato Breeding Program, the cultivars comprised 13 chipping clones, 11 French fry or dual purpose clones, and 13 fresh market clones.

The French fry industry supplied 2 French fry cultivars for evaluation in 2014 and requested data related to N fertilizer strategies. The chipping industry evaluated 7 cultivars. In the fresh market segment, 15 cultivars were evaluated for stakeholders pursuing the fresh market segment, and 40 creamer potato cultivars were evaluated along with relevant check varieties. Many of the entries were grown at two different levels of N to provide preliminary agronomic data for stakeholders. Some in-row spacing changes were made for specific categories of potatoes. After harvest and grading each year, potatoes were available to cooperators to allow them to conduct bruising, storage and culinary evaluations independently.

Conclusions

The potato variety evaluation trial was well supported by the Alberta potato industry. Ten key stakeholders participated in the trial in 2014. There has been interest expressed in adding clients and varieties in future years of the trial. It is important that this type of variety evaluation work continues to ensure impartial information is available to decision makers throughout the value-chain.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years to evaluate them fully.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Presentations

The potato industry will have access to the project information in many ways. Growers and industry members were invited to see the varieties at a field day in Brooks (Crop Diversification Centre South) in August 2014. Dr. Konschuh prepared a poster for the Annual Meeting of the Potato Growers of Alberta about the trial. In Brooks, guests were invited to compare the unique performances of each variety in the field under local conditions. No field day was hosted at the Alberta Irrigation Technology Centre in Lethbridge, but several client sponsors toured the site throughout the season.

Data was collected, analyzed and presented in multiple reports to industry stakeholders in 2014. Each sponsor was provided with a client-specific report for each year of participation. Information will be available on the internet (ARD website, AAFC website and PGA website) for access by interested parties.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported financially by Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, and by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada and the Potato Growers of Alberta via Canadian Horticultural Council, and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

ASPI

ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Potato Growers of Alberta Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Special thanks to Jim Parker, Mike Ellefson, and Mary Lou Benci for technical support of the trial in 2014. Thank you to seasonal staff William Lai and Courtney Lepp as well for planting, maintaining, and data collection. Thank you to Murray Unruh, Ben Friesen, Joanne Beecroft and Adele Harding for harvesting and grading.

Project Report

AAFC National Potato Variety Trial Results from CDCS, Brooks, AB 2015

Prepared for: Funding agencies and industry sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh¹ and Benoit Bizimungu² ¹Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre
850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7

April 5, 2016







2015 NPVT - Brooks

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westermann, 1993). As noted by Love et. al (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects are preserved environmental fluctuations, have few tubers are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification in Brooks, AB and were provided with 209 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate new cultivars for French fry processing;
- B. To evaluate new cultivars for chip processing;
- C. To evaluate new cultivars for fresh consumption; and
- D. To evaluate cultivars from AAFC's National Potato Breeding Program under Alberta conditions.
Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB. Eptam (2.2 L/ac) and Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) were applied pre-plant (May 4) to control weeds. Fertility (209 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (39 lbs/ac N; 342 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (217 lbs/ac of 46-0-0 and 90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting and top-dressing prior to hilling. Varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Norland, Chieftain, Yukon Gold, Snowden, Atlantic, Russet Burbank and Shepody). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix 1).

Potatoes were planted May 20, 2015 approximately 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ " deep using a two-row wheel planter. Additional nitrogen was applied as a top-dressing (130 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling (June 9), for a total of 209 lbs/ac N. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture in treatments between 65 and 80%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 2). Insecticide was applied July 30 (Matador, 14 mL/ac) to control Colorado potato beetle.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 6 July | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 30 July | Dithane | 900 g/ac |
| 19 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |

Table 2: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop to prevent early and late blight development.



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 27, 2015.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 4 and again September 11. Potatoes were harvested September 21 and 23 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have not been statistically analyzed. Data reported are the mean of two replicate rows.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2015. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2015: a) F11011, b) F11012, c) F11013, d) F11017, e) Snowden East, f) Atlantic East, g) FV15568-30, h) FV15732-09, i) V08053-1, j) V1351-3, k) WV9890-2, l) Atlantic W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 17.1 for F11017 to 29.3 ton/ac for Atlantic West. Specific gravity ranged from 1.068 for V08053-1 to 1.086 for Atlantic East.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 26.7 | 1.086 |
| Atlantic West | 29.3 | 1.081 |
| F11011 | 24.3 | 1.085 |
| F11012 | 26.2 | 1.082 |
| F11013 | 24.4 | 1.076 |
| F11017 | 17.1 | 1.078 |
| FV15568-30 | 18.2 | 1.076 |
| FV15732-09 | 27.7 | 1.083 |
| V08053-1 | 27.3 | 1.068 |
| V1351-3 | 24.4 | 1.075 |
| WV9890-2 | 26.7 | 1.081 |
| Snowden East | 23.6 | 1.082 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 14 | 69 | 18 | 0 |
| Atlantic West | 12 | 65 | 21 | 2 |
| F11011 | 15 | 78 | 7 | 0 |
| F11012 | 17 | 75 | 7 | 1 |
| F11013 | 16 | 80 | 3 | 1 |
| F11017 | 27 | 71 | 1 | 1 |
| FV15568-30 | 13 | 79 | 7 | 0 |
| FV15732-09 | 10 | 79 | 10 | 1 |
| V08053-1 | 17 | 71 | 11 | 1 |
| V1351-3 | 7 | 85 | 8 | 1 |
| WV9890-2 | 38 | 60 | 0 | 2 |
| Snowden East | 19 | 71 | 9 | 0 |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Marketable yield ranged from 14.1 ton/acre for FV15568-30 to 21.3 ton/ac for F11012 and FV15732-09.

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Atlantic East | 0.7 | 16.3 | 9.7 | 0.0 |
| Atlantic West | 0.5 | 15.6 | 12.2 | 0.9 |
| F11011 | 1.1 | 19.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| F11012 | 1.1 | 21.3 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| F11013 | 1.1 | 21.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| F11017 | 1.9 | 14.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| FV15568-30 | 0.7 | 14.1 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| FV15732-09 | 0.7 | 21.3 | 5.7 | 0.1 |
| V08053-1 | 1.3 | 19.2 | 6.3 | 0.5 |
| V1351-3 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 3.6 | 0.1 |
| WV9890-2 | 4.1 | 21.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Snowden East | 1.3 | 17.3 | 4.9 | 0.1 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in at least one tuber of each Atlantic

sample. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that wilt organisms were present. Common scab lesions were noted on over 60% of the FV15568-30 tubers, 20% of FV15732-09 tubers and 36% of the V08053-1 tubers evaluated.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2015. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2015: a) F10008., b) F11009., c) F11001, d) F11004, e) F11005, f) F11006, g) F11007, h) CV08015-2, i) CV08032-1, j) CV08099-1, k) CV08104-5, l) CV08247-1, m) FV15223-09, n) V05060-2, o) V07087-1 (photo not available), p) Russet Burbank E, q) Russet Burbank W, r).Shepody E, and s) Shepody W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5. Total yield ranged from 19.5 ton/ac for CV08032-1 to 33.0 ton/ac for F11007. Specific gravity ranged from 1.060 for CV08015-2 to 1.083 for F10008 and CV08099-1.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------|----------------|-------|
| F10008 | 26.2 | 1.083 |
| F11009 | 29.9 | 1.071 |
| F11001 | 28.8 | 1.072 |
| F11004 | 31.3 | 1.071 |
| F11005 | 27.3 | 1.071 |
| F11006 | 30.3 | 1.073 |
| F11007 | 33.0 | 1.066 |
| CV08015-2 | 24.7 | 1.060 |
| CV08032-1 | 19.5 | 1.070 |
| CV08099-1 | 22.4 | 1.083 |
| CV08104-5 | 30.6 | 1.077 |
| CV08247-1 | 24.0 | 1.082 |
| FV15223-09 | 24.3 | 1.077 |
| V05060-2 | 21.4 | 1.075 |
| V07087-1 | 20.3 | 1.073 |
| R.Burbank East | 27.7 | 1.068 |
| R.Burbank West | 27.2 | 1.068 |
| Shepody East | 30.3 | 1.072 |
| Shepody West | 32.1 | 1.067 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| F10008 | 23 | 74 | 2 | 1 |
| F11009 | 19 | 78 | 2 | 0 |
| F11001 | 30 | 66 | 1 | 4 |
| F11004 | 32 | 65 | 1 | 1 |
| F11005 | 30 | 66 | 3 | 1 |
| F11006 | 15 | 66 | 18 | 2 |
| F11007 | 13 | 73 | 13 | 2 |
| CV08015-2 | 23 | 74 | 1 | 2 |
| CV08032-1 | 30 | 68 | 1 | 1 |
| CV08099-1 | 30 | 65 | 3 | 2 |
| CV08104-5 | 19 | 61 | 18 | 3 |
| CV08247-1 | 26 | 73 | 0 | 1 |
| FV15223-09 | 18 | 70 | 11 | 1 |
| V05060-2 | 20 | 78 | 0 | 2 |
| V07087-1 | 30 | 52 | 1 | 17 |
| R.Burbank East | 26 | 67 | 1 | 7 |
| R.Burbank West | 19 | 71 | 1 | 9 |
| Shepody East | 18 | 65 | 12 | 5 |
| Shepody West | 16 | 74 | 6 | 4 |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7. Yield of 48 - 88mm tubers tanged from 16.3 ton/ac of CV08032-1 to 25.7 ton/ac of F11004.

| Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, |
|---|
| and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. |
| Data shown is the mean of two replicates. |

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| F10008 | 1.3 | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.7 |
| F11009 | 2.2 | 25.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| F11001 | 2.8 | 23.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| F11004 | 3.3 | 25.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| F11005 | 3.4 | 21.5 | 5.5 | 0.8 |
| F11006 | 0.5 | 17.8 | 11.5 | 0.7 |
| F11007 | 0.7 | 22.0 | 9.6 | 0.7 |
| CV08015-2 | 1.5 | 21.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| | | | | |

| CV08032-1 | 2.1 | 16.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
|----------------|-----|------|-----|-----|
| CV08099-1 | 1.9 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| CV08104-5 | 1.4 | 24.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| CV08247-1 | 2.1 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| FV15223-09 | 0.5 | 18.1 | 5.6 | 0.1 |
| V05060-2 | 1.4 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| V07087-1 | 1.9 | 12.6 | 0.6 | 5.2 |
| R.Burbank East | 2.6 | 22.2 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| R.Burbank West | 1.2 | 21.1 | 0.9 | 4.0 |
| Shepody East | 1.1 | 19.1 | 7.9 | 2.2 |
| Shepody West | 1.2 | 24.2 | 4.6 | 2.1 |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Brown center was noted in one tuber of F11007, and several tubers of F10008 and Russet Burbank. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be indicate the presence of wilt organisms. Common scab lesions were only noted on one tuber of CV08104-5 and Russet Burbank and two tubers of FV15223-09.

Results – Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2015. Photos of the yellow/white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2015: a) F11021, b) F11036, c) F11037, d) F11044, e) FV15915-03, and f) Yukon Gold.

Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 27, 2015: a) F11023, b) F11025, c) F11031, d) F11041, e) F11042, f) F11043, g) F11046, h) F11047, i) Chieftain, j) Norland E and k) Norland W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8.

| Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) | |
|--|---|
| cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data show | n |
| is the mean of two replicates. | |

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow/white | | | |
| F11021 | FM | 24.4 | 1.061 |
| F11036 | FM | 29.2 | 1.079 |
| F11037 | FM | 29.4 | 1.077 |
| F11044 | FM | 24.5 | 1.069 |
| FV15915-03 | FM | 28.6 | 1.071 |
| Yukon Gold East | FM CK | 23.1 | 1.081 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| F11023 | FM | 27.4 | 1.063 |
| F11025 | FM | 28.8 | 1.075 |
| F11031 | FM | 31.3 | 1.074 |
| F11041 | FM | 32.6 | 1.067 |
| F11042 | FM | 29.5 | 1.062 |
| F11043 | FM | 26.7 | 1.057 |
| F11046 | FM | 29.1 | 1.074 |
| F11047 | FM | 29.0 | 1.076 |
| Chieftain | FM CK | 35.7 | 1.064 |
| Norland East | FM CK | 29.4 | 1.065 |
| Norland West | FM CK | 27.2 | 1.064 |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow | | | | |
| F11021 | 27 | 70 | 2 | 2 |
| F11036 | 31 | 68 | 1 | 0 |
| F11037 | 33 | 64 | 2 | 2 |
| F11044 | 10 | 64 | 25 | 1 |
| FV15915-03 | 34 | 65 | 1 | 0 |
| Yukon Gold East | 12 | 64 | 24 | 0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| F11023 | 20 | 76 | 4 | 0 |
| F11025 | 25 | 69 | 5 | 1 |
| F11031 | 16 | 72 | 12 | 1 |
| F11041 | 13 | 60 | 27 | 0 |
| F11042 | 12 | 70 | 17 | 1 |
| F11043 | 16 | 72 | 12 | 1 |
| F11046 | 34 | 63 | 2 | 0 |
| F11047 | 20 | 77 | 4 | 0 |
| Chieftain | 15 | 71 | 14 | 1 |
| Norland East | 11 | 71 | 18 | 0 |
| Norland West | 12 | 64 | 21 | 2 |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 235 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | | | | |
| F11021 | 1.5 | 15.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| F11036 | 3.5 | 27.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| F11037 | 2.5 | 22.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| F11044 | 0.4 | 13.6 | 11.9 | 0.3 |
| FV15915-03 | 4.5 | 23.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Yukon Gold East | 0.4 | 12.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| F11023 | 1.8 | 23.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| F11025 | 1.9 | 21.6 | 3.6 | 0.3 |
| F11031 | 0.9 | 21.6 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| F11041 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| F11042 | 0.6 | 20.6 | 10.9 | 0.6 |
| F11043 | 0.8 | 18.7 | 6.6 | 0.2 |
| F11046 | 3.2 | 20.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| F11047 | 1.6 | 25.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Chieftain | 1.2 | 24.7 | 9.4 | 0.4 |
| Norland East | 0.6 | 19.0 | 9.7 | 0.4 |
| Norland West | 0.6 | 15.5 | 10.5 | 0.6 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 227 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in at least one tuber of Chieftain, F11023, F110205, F11031, F11037 and several tubers of F11042. F10077 exhibited some purple pigmentation. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may indicate the presence of a wilt organism. Common scab lesions were only noted on one Norland tuber.

Conclusions

The 2015 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 9 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare 15 French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Chieftain and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 14 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 209 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, Joanne Beecroft, Dustin Tillapaugh, Samantha Vogt, and Harlen Dahl for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's AgriInnovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners: Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Solanum International Inc.

Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

<u>Contact Information:</u> Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

and

Benoit Bizimungu, Ph.D. Potato Breeder Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre 850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 Benoit.Bizimungu@AGR.GC.CA

Appendix A Plot Plan

| | | | | | | | | | | | Planted May 20, 2015 | 5 | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-------|------------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------|---------|------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----|---------------|--------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| AFC - | - 2015 - | Bro | ooks | | | N | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 Seed | pieces p | er ro | w | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 12 x | 98 = | 1176 | m2 | | | | | | | | |
| - | Guard | | Guard | İ. | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | t t | Guard | | Guard | Guard | |
| . 1001 | | | 1011 | | 1021 | 1031 | 1041 | | | 2001 | | 2011 | 2 | 2021 | | 2031 | 2041 | |
| F1101 | 17 | | Chieftain East | | Guard | ATLANTIC West | F1000 | 3 | | F11006 | | F11011 | F | 11004 | | F10008 | Guard | |
| 1002 | | | 1012 | | 1022 | 1032 | 1042 | | | 2002 | | 2012 | 2 | 2022 | | 2032 | 2042 | |
| V0506 | 60-2 | | Snowden East | | F11005 | CV08032-1 | V0708 | 7-1 | | V08053-1 | | CV08247-1 | F | 11031 | | F11017 | F11041 | |
| 1003 | | | 1013 | | 1023 | 1033 | 1043 | | | 2003 | | 2013 | 2 | 2023 | | 2033 | 2043 | |
| CV08 | 104-5 | | Russet Burbank E | ast | FV15915-03 | NORLAND West | F1103 | 7 | | FV15732-09 | | F11047 | 0 | CV08099-1 | | V07087-1 | F11009 | |
| 1004 | | | 1014 | | 1024 | 1034 | 1044 | | | 2004 | | 2014 | 2 | 2024 | | 2034 | 2044 | |
| FV15 | 568-30 | | F11013 | | Shepody East | Atlantic East | F1104 | 1 | | WV9890-2 | | NORLAND West | ١ | orland East | | F11046 | F11023 | |
| 1005 | | | 1015 | | 1025 | 1035 | 1045 | | | 2005 | | 2015 | 2 | 2025 | | 2035 | 2045 | |
| CV08 | 099-1 | | V1351-3 | | CV08015-2 | SHEPODY West | Yukon | Gold Ea | ist | F11007 | | CV08015-2 | (| CV08104-5 | | F11037 | Snowden East | |
| 1006 | | | 1016 | | 1026 | 1036 | 1046 | | | 2006 | | 2016 | 2 | 2026 | | 2036 | 2046 | |
| CV08 | 247-1 | | F11036 | | F11044 | F11046 | F1102 | 3 | | FV15223-09 | | V1351-3 | F | 11005 | | Atlantic East | F11021 | |
| 1007 | | | 1017 | | 1027 | 1037 | 1047 | | | 2007 | | 2017 | 2 | 2027 | | 2037 | 2047 | |
| FV152 | 223-09 | | F11012 | | F11047 | FV15732-09 | F1100 | 9 | | FV15915-03 | | Yukon Gold East | F | 11025 | | SHEPODY West | F11043 | |
| 1008 | | | 1018 | | 1028 | 1038 | 1048 | | | 2008 | | 2018 | 2 | 2028 | | 2038 | 2048 | |
| F1101 | 11 | | F11004 | | F11021 | F11043 | F1102 | 5 | | Shepody East | | F11042 | A | ATLANTIC West | t | F11001 | F11012 | |
| 1009 | | | 1019 | | 1029 | 1039 | 1049 | | | 2009 | | 2019 | 2 | 2029 | | 2039 | 2049 | |
| F1100 | 01 | | F11006 | | F11031 | F11007 | WV98 | 90-2 | | CV08032-1 | | FV15568-30 | 1 | /05060-2 | | F11036 | Chieftain East | |
| 1010 | | | 1020 | | 1030 | 1040 | | | | 2010 | | 2020 | 2 | 2030 | | 2040 | | |
| F1104 | 42 | | RUSSET BURBA | NK We | V08053-1 | Norland East | Guard | | | Russet Burban | East | F11013 | F | RUSSET BURBA | ANK We | F11044 | Guard | |
| 1 | Guard | 3 п | Guard | | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 14m | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | Guard | |
| 6 | 6 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 98m | | | | | | | | | | | |
| < | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \rightarrow |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Project Report

AAFC National Potato Variety Trial Results from CDCS, Brooks, AB 2016

Prepared for: Funding agencies and industry sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh¹ and Benoit Bizimungu² ¹Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre
850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7

March 21, 2017





2016 NPVT - Brooks

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westermann, 1993). As noted by Love et. al (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have field is an asset. Tubers with a good skin set, and produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification in Brooks, AB and were provided with 228 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate new cultivars for French fry processing;
- B. To evaluate new cultivars for chip processing;
- C. To evaluate new cultivars for fresh consumption; and
- D. To evaluate cultivars from AAFC's National Potato Breeding Program under Alberta conditions.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the AAFC plots (228 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (128 lbs/ac N; 499 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. AAFC plots received an additional top-dressing (205 lbs/ac of 44-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 228 lbs/ac N. Entries were planted in duplicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) and Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars and test cultivars was provided by AAFC. Potatoes were planted May 19 approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Plots were hilled June 3 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide (Matador 120EC; 40 mL/ac) was applied July 27 to control Colorado Potato Beetle.

| Table 1: H | Foliar fungicides | applied to the | e potato c | crop in 2 | 2016 to j | prevent e | arly a | and late |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| blight dev | elopment. | | | | | | | |

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 28 June | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 27 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 20 Aug | Dithane DG | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB August 5, 2016.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) August 23, 2016. Potatoes were harvested September 7 and 8 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have not been statistically analyzed. Data reported are the mean of two replicate rows.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 16, 2016. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) F11011, b) F12002, c) F12012, d) F12015, e) F12016, f) F12017, g) Snowden East, and h) Atlantic East.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 24.4 for F12016 to 30.8 ton/ac for Atlantic East. Specific gravity ranged from 1.081 for F12002, F12015 and F12016 to 1.101 for Atlantic East.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 30.8 | 1.101 |
| F11011 | 27.1 | 1.084 |
| F12002 | 28.7 | 1.081 |
| F12012 | 31.4 | 1.094 |
| F12015 | 32.8 | 1.081 |
| F12016 | 24.4 | 1.081 |
| F12017 | 30.7 | 1.098 |
| Snowden East | 29.9 | 1.092 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 228 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | | | | |
| F11011 | | | | |
| F12002 | | | | |
| F12012 | | | | |
| F12015 | | | | |
| F12016 | | | | |
| F12017 | | | | |
| Snowden East | | | | |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Marketable yield ranged from 12.5 ton/acre for F12017 to 25.8 ton/ac for F12016.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Atlantic East | 3.1 | 24.5 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| F11011 | 5.9 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F12002 | 5.1 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F12012 | 5.1 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| F12015 | 4.4 | 24.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| F12016 | 4.0 | 25.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| F12017 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Snowden East | 3.5 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in one tuber of Atlantic. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be an indication that wilt organisms were present. Common scab and black scurf lesions were not present on the subsamples examined.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 27, 2015. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) F12004., b) F12008., c) F11001, d) F12011, e) CV01236-3, f) CV08104-5, g) WV10075rus-1, h) Russet Burbank E, i) Russet Burbank W, j).Shepody E, and k) Shepody W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5. Total yield ranged from 25.4 ton/ac for F12004 to 39.7 ton/ac for Shepody West. Specific gravity ranged from 1.072 for F12008 to 1.085 for F12011.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------|----------------|-------|
| F12004 | 25.4 | 1.078 |
| F12008 | 38.3 | 1.072 |
| F12011 | 33.9 | 1.085 |
| CV01236-3 | 33.9 | 1.078 |
| CV08104-5 | 33.3 | 1.084 |
| WV10075rus-1 | 29.6 | 1.085 |
| R.Burbank East | 27.6 | 1.077 |
| R.Burbank West | 33.8 | 1.078 |
| Shepody East | 31.3 | 1.075 |
| Shepody West | 39.7 | 1.077 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 228 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| F12004 | 47 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| F12008 | 40 | 58 | 1 | 1 |
| F12011 | 38 | 62 | 0 | 0 |
| CV01236-3 | 51 | 48 | 0 | 1 |
| CV08104-5 | 41 | 55 | 0 | 4 |
| WV10075rus-1 | 86 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| R.Burbank East | 46 | 40 | 0 | 13 |
| R.Burbank West | 50 | 45 | 0 | 5 |
| Shepody East | 34 | 62 | 2 | 2 |
| Shepody West | 26 | 67 | 3 | 4 |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each French fry cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7. Yield of 48 – 88mm tubers ranged from 7.1 ton/ac of WV10075rus-1 to 31.6 ton/ac of Shepody West.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| F12004 | 5.8 | 19.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| F12008 | 6.2 | 30.0 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| F12011 | 4.9 | 27.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| CV01236-3 | 9.5 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| CV08104-5 | 5.3 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| WV10075rus-1 | 21.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| R.Burbank East | 5.1 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 5.5 |
| R.Burbank West | 8.4 | 22.1 | 0.6 | 2.7 |
| Shepody East | 3.9 | 24.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Shepody West | 3.0 | 31.6 | 3.2 | 1.9 |

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in one tuber of WV10075rus-1 and one Russet Burbank tuber. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be indicate the presence of wilt organisms. Black scurf was only noted on individual tubers of F12011 and Russet Burbank.

Results – Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 16, 2016. Photos of the yellow/white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) F12043, b) F12051, c) F12059, d)FV15920-01, e) WV10532-1, f) Yukon Gold East; and g) Yukon Gold West.

Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) F12041, b) F12044, c) F12049, d) F12057, e) F12060, f) F12061, g) F12077, h) F12094, i) WV5888-2, j Chieftain, and k) Norland E.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8. Total yield ranged from 23.6 ton/ac for F12094 to 45.4 ton/ac for FV15920-01. Specific gravity ranged from 1.067 for F12094 and WV5888-2 to 1.085 for Yukon Gold.

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow/white | | | |
| F12043 | FM | 29.1 | 1.076 |
| F12051 | FM | 32.6 | 1.078 |
| F12059 | FM | 39.4 | 1.080 |
| FV15920-01 | FM | 45.4 | 1.078 |
| WV10532-1 | FM | 38.0 | 1.083 |
| Yukon Gold East | FM check | 27.4 | 1.085 |
| Yukon Gold West | FM check | 28.2 | 1.082 |
| Red-skinned | | | |
| F12041 | FM | 33.9 | 1.081 |
| F12044 | FM | 42.3 | 1.083 |
| F12049 | FM | 36.4 | 1.081 |
| F12057 | FM | 39.6 | 1.081 |
| F12060 | FM | 22.2 | 1.075 |
| F12061 | FM | 33.9 | 1.076 |
| F12077 | FM | 34.6 | 1.084 |
| F12094 | FM/AO/Fingerling | 23.6 | 1.067 |
| WV5888-2 | FM/CR | 38.4 | 1.067 |
| Chieftain | FM check | 40.3 | 1.073 |
| Norland East | FM check | 33.5 | 1.064 |

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 228 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow | | | | |
| F12043 | 38 | 61 | 1 | 0 |
| F12051 | 29 | 65 | 6 | 0 |
| F12059 | 34 | 65 | 1 | 0 |
| F12044 | 20 | 73 | 7 | 0 |
| FV15920-01 | 38 | 60 | 1 | 0 |
| WV10532-1 | 32 | 68 | 0 | 0 |
| Yukon Gold East | 21 | 72 | 4 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold West | 49 | 39 | 2 | 11 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| F12041 | 27 | 69 | 4 | 1 |
| F12044 | 20 | 73 | 7 | 0 |
| F12049 | 37 | 61 | 1 | 1 |
| F12057 | 46 | 53 | 1 | 0 |
| F12060 | 24 | 69 | 4 | 3 |
| F12061 | 33 | 66 | 1 | 0 |
| F12077 | 36 | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| F12094 | 89 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| WV5888-2 | 46 | 53 | 1 | 1 |
| Chieftain | 20 | 73 | 6 | 1 |
| Norland East | 24 | 71 | 3 | 1 |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow | | | | |
| F12043 | 3.8 | 24.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| F12051 | 2.8 | 24.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| F12044 | 1.7 | 33.3 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| F12059 | 5.4 | 32.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| FV15920-01 | 6.4 | 35.8 | 2.8 | 0.5 |
| WV10532-1 | 21.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Yukon Gold East | 1.2 | 22.2 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| Yukon Gold West | 4.6 | 17.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| Red-skinned | | | | |
| F12041 | 2.9 | 27.1 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| F12044 | 1.7 | 33.3 | 4.5 | 2.6 |
| F12049 | 4.7 | 30.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| F12057 | 6.3 | 31.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| F12060 | 2.0 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| F12061 | 4.4 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| F12077 | 5.6 | 28.9 | 0 | 0.1 |
| F12094 | 17.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| WV5888-2 | 8.4 | 28.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Chieftain | 3.2 | 31.1 | 5.7 | 0.4 |
| Norland East | 2.4 | 28.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 228 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may indicate the presence of a wilt organism. Common scab lesions were only noted on one WV5888-2 tuber and eight tubers of Yukon Gold.

Conclusions

The 2016 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 6 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare 6 French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Chieftain and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 15 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 228 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton and Kaylene MacKinnon for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods New Zealand Institute of Plants and Food Research Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

and

Benoit Bizimungu, Ph.D. Potato Breeder Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre 850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 Benoit.Bizimungu@AGR.GC.CA

Appendix A Plot Plan

| AAFC - 2016 - Brooks | | | N | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 20 | Seed pieces pe | r row | | | | | Planted May 9 | | |
| | | | | | | 12 x 74 = 888 m2 | | | |
| | Guard = Norland | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 11 | 1001 | | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
| | Chieftain East | | F12011 | CV08104-5 | Norland East | F12012 | F12057 | F12059 | F12049 |
| 10 | 1002 | | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 |
| | F12059 | | Snowden East | F12051 | Yukon Gold West | F12044 | F12094 | F12017 | F12041 |
| 6 | 1003 | | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 |
| | F12044 | | Atlantic East | F11011 | WV10532-1 | F12051 | CV01236-3 | F12002 | Yukon Gold Ea |
| 8 | 1004 | | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 |
| | F12043 | | R.Burbank East | F12002 | F12041 | Atlantic East | Yukon Gold Wes | t F12011 | F12060 |
| 7 | 1005 | | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 |
| | Sshepody Wes | t | Shepody East | F12057 | Yukon Gold East | CV08104-5 | F12043 | F12015 | Chieftain East |
| 9 | 1006 | | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 |
| | F12004 | | F12008 | F12015 | F12061 | Shepody West | F12008 | Norland East | WV10532-1 |
| 5 | 1007 | | 1017 | 1027 | | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | |
| | FV15920-01 | | CV01236-3 | F12094 | Guard | Snowden East | F12004 | F12061 | Guard |
| 4 | 1008 | | 1018 | 1028 | | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | |
| | F12017 | | WV10075rus-1 | F12016 | Guard | Shepody East | FV15920-01 | F11011 | Guard |
| 3 | 1009 | | 1019 | 1029 | | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | |
| | F12060 | | F12012 | WV5888-2 | Guard | WV10075rus-1 | R.Burbank East | WV5888-2 | Guard |
| | 1010 | | 1020 | 1030 | | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | |
| | F12049 | | R.Burbank West | F12077 | Guard | R.Burbank West | F12016 | F12077 | Guard |
| ~ | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard 10m | Guard | 3m Guard | Guard |
| | 6 m | | | | | | 6m | | |
Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2016 CDCS, Brooks, AB

N Response Chipping Potatoes

> Prepared for: Old Dutch Foods

> > Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

November 24, 2016

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.085). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars are also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 168 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 138 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for chip processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new chipping varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (138 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (128 lbs/ac N; 499 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting; and moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (65 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 168 lbs/ac N. Within each level of fertility, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) and Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and Rockyview Seed Potatoes and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 12, 2016 (low N) and May 16, 2016 (moderate N) approximately 5 to 5½" deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2016 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 28 June | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 27 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 20 Aug | Dithane DG | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB Aug 05, 2016.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 7. The Low N plots were harvested September 22 to 27, 2016 and Moderate N plots were harvested September 27 to 29 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Chipping tubers were stored at 14° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter in November 2016.

Marketable potatoes were made available to cooperators for additional storage evaluations, but data will not be provided here.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for each variety at different levels of N.

Results and Discussion - Chippers

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 16, 2016. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Chipping varieties at CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) AC Vigor, b) Atlantic, c) EPG018, d) EPG013, e) ODF008, f) ASPI011, g) ODF007, h) EPG015, and i) Monticello.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. When grown on moderate nitrogen (168 lbs/ac), total yield ranged from 21 ton/ac for ODF008 to over 30 ton/ac for EPG018 and ODF007. The yield of ODF008 was significantly lower than yield of EPG018 and ODF007, but was not statistically different from Atlantic or other cultivars in the trial. When grown on low N (138 lbs/ac), ODF008 yielded significantly less than several other cultivars. Yield ranged from 21.7 ton/ac for ODF008 to over 30 ton/ac for AC Vigor, EPG018 and ODF007. AC Vigor and Monticello yielded significantly more on low N (138 lbs/ac) compared to the moderate rate of N (168 lbs/ac) indicating that nitrogen fertilizer in excess of 140 lbs/ac may not provide any economic benefit for these two cultivars. On moderate N, specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.082 for ASPI011 to 1.101 for Monticello, but there were no significant differences between cultivars at this level of N either. In 2016, none of the cultivars

showed a significant difference in specific gravity (SG) in response to the rate of N applied during the growing season.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the $p < 0$ | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG | | | |
| Moderate N | | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 25.4 ab‡ | 1.084 a | | | |
| Atlantic | 29.7 ab | 1.099a | | | |
| EPG018 | 33.1 a | 1.091a | | | |
| EPG013 | 27.0 ab | 1.084 a | | | |
| ODF008 | 21.0 b | 1.084 a | | | |
| ASPI011 | 25.8 ab | 1.082 a | | | |
| ODF007 | 31.6 a | 1.095 a | | | |
| EPG015 | 28.9 ab | 1.095 a | | | |
| Monticello | 27.0 ab † | 1.101 a | | | |
| Low N | | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 33.4 ab‡ | 1.087 a | | | |
| Atlantic | | | | | |
| EPG018 | 34.0 a | 1.090 a | | | |
| EPG013 | 29.3 abc | 1.086 a | | | |
| ODF008 | 21.7 d | 1.082 a | | | |
| ASPI011 | 26.4 cd | 1.081 a | | | |
| ODF007 | 30.2 abc | 1.092 a | | | |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping variety grown on approximately 168 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

EPG015

Monticello

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3. The majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 – 88mm) for all cultivars except ASPI011 whether grown on moderate or low N. ASPI011 produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the small size category compared to other cultivars when grown on low N. EPG018 had a higher percentage of tubers in the oversized category at the moderate rate of N, and EPG018 and Monticello produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 88mm when grown on moderate N. EPG013 produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 88mm when grown on moderate N compared to the lower N rate. There were no significant differences in the percentage of deformed tubers between cultivars at either rate of N.

27.0 bcd

29.0 abc‡

1.090 a

1.094 a

| CDCS | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------|---------|------------|---------------------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 40.5 a | 53.5 a | 4.8 ab | 1.8 a |
| Atlantic | 48.8 a | 45.2 a | 5.3 ab | 1.0 a |
| EPG018 | 31.3 a | 59.3 a | 8.5 ab | 0.5 a |
| EPG013 | 34.8 a | 56.8 a | 4.8 ab [‡] | 3.5 a |
| ODF008 | 40.0 a | 58.8 a | 1.3 b | 0.0 a |
| ASPI011 | 51.8 a | 44.5 a | 0.5 b | 3.0 a |
| ODF007 | 33.5 a | 62.8 a | 2.3 b | 1.3 a |
| EPG015 | 37.5 a | 55.3 a | 5.5 ab | 1.8 a |
| Monticello | 33.5 a | 54.5 a | 10.5 a | 1.3 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 37.3 b | 60.3 a | 1.8 c | 0.5 a |
| EPG018 | 25.0 с | 65.0 a | 8.8 a | 1.0 a |
| EPG013 | 30.5 bc | 67.8 a | 1.8 c‡ | 0.5 a |
| ODF008 | 35.5 bc | 63.3 a | 0.8 c | 0.3 a |
| ASPI011 | 76.0 a | 22.8 b | 0.3 c | 1.0 a |
| ODF007 | 29.5 bc | 67.5 a | 1.5 c | 1.5 a |
| EPG015 | 34.5 bc | 62.3 a | 2.5 bc | 0.8 a |
| Monticello | 28.0 bc | 65.8 a | 5.5 b | 0.8 a |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

⁺ Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 4. When grown at a moderate rate of N, ASPI011 yielded significantly more tubers under 48mm than other cultivars and significantly less tubers of marketable size than ODF007. EPG018 yielded more tubers over 88mm than ODF008 and ASPI011. There were no significant differences in yield of deformed tubers from the cultivars in the trial. When grown on lower rates of N, ASPI011 produced significantly greater yields of tubers under 48mm than other cultivars and significantly lower yields of tubers in the marketable size category than AC Vigor, EPG018, EPG013, ODF007, EPG015 and Monticello. Yield of large tubers of EPG018 was significantly greater than the yield of large tubers from all other cultivars at this rate of N. Marketable yield of AC Vigor, EPG013, and Monticello was greater when these cultivars were grown on low N compared to moderate N, and yield of tubers over 88mm were concurrently reduced for EPG013 and Monticello at the lower rate of N.

| Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) |
|--|
| for each chipping variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 |
| lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table |
| are not significantly different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| CDCS | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to 88mm | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 3.5 b | 16.7 ab‡ | 4.2 ab | 1.1 a |
| Atlantic | 2.2 b | 21.2 ab | 5.6 ab | 0.6 a |
| EPG018 | 2.7 b | 21.9 ab | 8.3 a | 0.2 a |
| EPG013 | 3.1 b | 18.8 ab‡ | 3.9 ab‡ | 1.2 a |
| ODF008 | 3.2 b | 16.7 ab | 1.1 b | 0.0 a |
| ASPI011 | 9.9 a | 14.0 b | 0.6 b | 1.2 a |
| ODF007 | 3.5 b | 25.0 a | 2.6 ab | 0.6 a |
| EPG015 | 4.0 b | 19.4 ab | 4.8 ab [‡] | 0.7 a |
| Monticello | 2.5 b | 17.6 abŧ | 6.3 ab | 0.5 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| AC Vigor | 5.2 b | 25.7 aŧ | 2.2 bc | 0.2 a |
| EPG018 | 2.5 c | 24.0 a | 7.2 a | 0.3 a |
| EPG013 | 3.4 c | 24.1 aŧ | 1.5 bcŧ | 0.2 a |
| ODF008 | 3.4 c | 17.6 bc | 0.6 c | 0.1 a |
| ASPI011 | 13.7 a | 12.1 c | 0.5 c | 0.2 a |
| ODF007 | 3.2 c | 24.8 a | 1.5 bc | 0.8 a |
| EPG015 | 3.6 c | 21.1 ab | 2.1 bcŧ | 0.2 a |
| Monticello | 2.5 c | 22.6 ab‡ | 3.8 b | 0.3 a |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 5. At a moderate rate of N, there were no significant differences in Uniformity of Size between cultivars. At a low rate of N, ASPI011 was scored as significantly less uniform with respect to size compared to other cultivars. EPG018 scored significantly higher for overall appearance than Monticello when grown at the moderate rate of N, although not statistically different from other cultivars. At a lower rate of N, no statistical differences were observed between cultivars for overall appearance. In 2016, AC Vigor was scored higher for overall appearance when grown on moderate N than when grown on low N.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| AC Vigor | 4.0 a | 4.0 ab |
| Atlantic | 3.3 a | 4.0 ab |
| EPG018 | 4.0 a | 4.5 a |
| EPG013 | 3.5 a | 3.5 ab |
| ODF008 | 3.3 a | 3.8 ab |
| ASPI011 | 3.8 a | 3.5 ab |
| ODF007 | 3.8 a | 3.8 ab |
| EPG015 | 3.8 a | 4.0 ab |
| Monticello | 3.8 a | 3.0 b |
| Low N | | |
| AC Vigor | 3.0 a | 3.8 a ‡ |
| Atlantic | | |
| EPG018 | 2.8 a | 3.0 a |
| EPG013 | 3.0 a | 3.0 a |
| ODF008 | 2.8 a | 3.3 a |
| ASPI011 | 1.5 b | 3.0 a |
| ODF007 | 3.0 a | 2.3 a |
| EPG015 | 2.3 ab | 2.8 a |
| Monticello | 3 0 a | 23a |

Table 5: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

 \pm Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. At the moderate rate of N, very few tubers exhibited hollow heart or brown center. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but these were not tested for wilt organisms. Some level of black scurf was noted for most cultivars, but no seed treatment was used in the trial. Common scab was noted on isolated tubers from a number of samples.

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 6. All of the samples gave excellent chip scores in 2016. A higher L-value indicates a lighter chip. At the moderate rate of N, the lightest chips were produced from EPG013 and EPG015. At the low rate of N, the lightest chips were produced from AC Vigor. AC VIGOR, EPG018, and ODF008 had higher chip scores when grown on moderate N, while EPG013, ASPI011, ODF007, EPG015 and Monticello scored lighter when grown on low N. These are composite samples from one year of testing and additional testing may be required to determine optimal agronomic conditions for chip quality.

| | L (Moderate N) | L (Low N) |
|------------|----------------|-----------|
| AC Vigor | 69.7 | 70.2 |
| Atlantic | 65.3 | |
| EPG018 | 66.9 | 68.7 |
| EPG013 | 72.0 | 69.5 |
| ODF008 | 65.0 | 67.3 |
| ASPI011 | 67.4 | 66.4 |
| ODF007 | 66.4 | 61.8 |
| EPG015 | 70.0 | 69.3 |
| Monticello | 67.2 | 65.0 |

Table 6: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

Conclusions

The 2016 variety trial included 9 chipping potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic was included in the trial as a check variety for low N and AC Vigor and Monticello were included as check varieties at both rates of N. AC Vigor, EPG013 and ODF007 yielded well at both levels of N and gave a high percentage of tubers in the marketable size category. Chip color in 2016 was excellent for all cultivars tested. Chip colour out of storage may determine which cultivars have potential for the southern Alberta industry.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton and Kaylene MacKinnon for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods New Zealand Institute of Plants and Food Research Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

| Lov | N Variety | Tria | al 2016 - Full | Season (| | | | | | Planted May 1 | 1 | | | | |
|------------|----------------|-------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|------|------------------|--------|---------------|----|------------------|------|------------|----|
| 20 S | eed pieces pe | r row | , | | | | | | | | • | N | | | |
| | | | | | 24 X 85 - 2 | 040 m2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 24 X 05 = 2 | 040 1112 | | Guard = Russet I | Burbar | nk | | | | | _ |
| 4 | Quand | | Quand | 0 | Quand | Quand | | Owend | | Quand | | 0 | | Quand | |
| | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | _ |
| 23 | 1001 FPG015 | | Red Snanner | TUZI Yukon Gold | Norland | 2011 ASPI010 | | 2021 N716-1 | | 005007 | | 1031 SM08-83 | .01R | 2031 | |
| | 1002 | | 1012 | 1022 | 2002 | 2012 | | 2022 | | 5002 | | 1032 | | 2032 | |
| 52 | Bridget | | Guard | FPG018 | AC Vigor | Kennebec | | ASPI011 | | Monticello | | RV010 | | Anouk | |
| _ | 1003 | | 1013 | 1023 | 2003 | 2013 | | 2023 | | 5003 | | 1033 | | 2033 | |
| 5 | Gourmandine | | ASPI010 | AC Hamer | EPG015 | NZ16-2 | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | AC Hame | r | Athlete | |
| 0 | 1004 | | 1014 | 1024 | 2004 | 2014 | | 2024 | | 5004 | | 1034 | | 2034 | |
| Б | ODF008 | | EPG013 | Rosa Gold | Roko | Krone | | Bridget | | ODF008 | | Athlete | | Gourmandir | ne |
| ი | 1005 | | 1015 | 1025 | 2005 | 2015 | | 2025 | | 5005 | | 1035 | | 2035 | |
| - | ASPI011 | | Basin Russet | NZ16-1 | RV011 | Red Snapper | | Birgit | | ASPI011 | | PR07-55- | 1 | AC Hamer | |
| ∞ | 1006 | | 1016 | 1026 | 2006 | 2016 | | 2026 | | 5006 | | 1036 | | 2036 | |
| | Blazer Russet | | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | EPG018 | RV009 | | Russet Burban | ĸ | EPG013 | | Gourman | dine | SM08-83-01 | LR |
| 1 | 1007 | | 1017 | 1027 | 2007 | 2017 | | 2027 | | 5007 | | 1037 | | 2037 | _ |
| | Birgit | | Monticello | Roko | EPG013 | AC Hamer | | ODF007 | | EPG015 | | Rosa Gol | d | RV010 | |
| 16 | 1008 | | 1018 | 1028 | 2008 | 2018 | | 2028 | | 5008 | _ | 1038 | | 2038 | |
| | RV009 | | RV011 | Kennebec | Guard | Yukon Gold | | Monticello | | ASPI010 | | Red Shap | per | PR07-55-1 | |
| 15 | 1009 | | 1019 N716 2 | | Courmanding | 2019 Bora Cold | | | | Guard | | 1039 Anouk | | 2039 | |
| | 1010 | | 1020 | | 2010 | 2020 | | | | 5010 | | AHOUK | | Red Shappe | |
| 14 | Krone | | Norland | | Blazer Busset | 0.005008 | | | | Atlantic | | - | | _ | |
| m | Rone | _ | Nonand | | Diazer Russet | 001000 | | | _ | Additic | _ | _ | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | 3m | Guard | 5m | Guar | 3 3 | m Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | 6m | | 6m | | 5m | | 5m | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ę | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guare | k | Guard | |
| 1 | 3001 | | 3011 | 3021 | 4001 | 4011 | | 4021 | | 5011 | | 3031 | | 4031 | |
| | AC Hamer | | Norland | Rosa Gold | ASPI011 | Krone | | ASPI010 | | EPG018 | | RV010 | | Athlete | |
| 5 | 3002 | | 3012 | 3022 | 4002 | 4012 | | 4022 | | | _ | 3032 | | 4032 | |
| | Red Snapper | | NZ16-2 | Krone | ODF007 | RV011 | | EPG013 | | | _ | SM08-83 | -01R | Red Snappe | r |
| ი | 3003 | | 3013 | 3023 | 4003 | 4013 | | 4023 | | | | 3033 | - | 4033 | |
| | Basin Russet | | Russet Burbank | NZ16-1 | Guard | EPG018 | | Yukon Gold | | | - | Gourman | aine | PR07-55-1 | _ |
| ∞ | 3004 | | 005008 | 5024 EPG015 | Gourmandino | 4014 Birgit | | 4024 Konnoboc | | | | SU34 Rosa Gol | 4 | 4034 | |
| | 3005 | | 3015 | 3025 | 4005 | 4015 | | 4025 | | | | 3035 | | 4035 | |
| ~ | Yukon Gold | | RV009 | RV011 | N716-1 | Monticello | | Rosa Gold | | | | PR07-55- | 1 | Gourmandir | 16 |
| | 3006 | | 3016 | 3026 | 4006 | 4016 | | 4026 | | | | 3036 | | 4036 | |
| 9 | ASPI010 | | Blazer Russet | EPG013 | AC Vigor | Norland | | RV009 | | | | Red Snap | per | SM08-83-01 | LR |
| | 3007 | | 3017 | 3027 | 4007 | 4017 | | 4027 | | | | 3037 | | 4037 | |
| പ | Bridget | | EPG018 | Monticello | Basin Russet | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | | | Anouk | | AC Hamer | |
| - | 3008 | | 3018 | 3028 | 4008 | 4018 | | 4028 | | | | 3038 | | 4038 | |
| _ 1 | Gourmandine | | Roko | Birgit | ODF008 | Russet Burbank | | Red Snapper | | | | Athlete | | RV010 | |
| | 3009 | | 3019 | | 4009 | 4019 | | | | | | 3039 | | 4039 | |
| | Guard | | Kennebec | | NZ16-2 | Blazer Russet | | | | | | AC Hame | r | Rosa Gold | |
| 7 | 3010 | | 3020 | | 4010 | 4020 | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASPI011 | | AC Vigor | | Roko | Bridget | | | | | _ | | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10 m | Guard | 3m | Guard | | Guar | ł | Guard | |

| Va | riety Medium | N Brooks - 2 | 016 - Ful | | | | Planted May 16 | | |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 20 | Seed pieces per r | ow | | | | | | N | |
| | | | | 24 x 85m = 20 | 040m2 | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | | | | | | | | ODF Extra |
| 24 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 1001 | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 | 5001 |
| 23 | ASPI010 | ASPI011 | FPG015 | Barcelona | ASPI010 | TT16-5 | TT16-3 | TT16-9 | ACVigor |
| ~ | 1002 | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 | 5002 |
| 23 | TT16-4 | TT16-9 | Norland | TT16-1 | TT16-4 | PGP03 | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | EPG018 |
| - | 1003 | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 | 5003 |
| 2 | Birgit | EPG017 | Yukon Gold | ODF007 | Birgit | ASPI011 | Blazer Russet | ASPI013 | EPG013 |
| 0 | 1004 | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 | 5004 |
| 2 | PGP03 | Russet Burbank | TT16-3 | California RB | ODF008 | ODF007 | Kennebec | EPG013 | ODF008 |
| ი | 1005 | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | 5005 |
| - | TT16-8 | EPG018 | Blazer Russet | EPG016 | EPG017 | TT16-8 | Norland | RV009 | Burbank |
| ~ | 1006 | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 | 5006 |
| | Monticello | RV010 | Kennebec | RV009 | EPG015 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | AC Vigor | ASPI011 |
| 17 | 1007 | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | 2037 | 5007 |
| | ASPI013 | ASPI008 | TT16-2 | ASPI012 | Monticello | EPG016 | ASPI014 | Russet Burbank | ODF007 |
| 16 | 1008 | 1018 | 1028 | 1038 | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | 2038 | 5008 |
| | TT16-5 | Atlantic | ACVigor | ODF008 | Queen Anne | EPG018 | RV011 | RV010 | EPG015 |
| 15 | 1009 | 1019 | 1029 | 1039 | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | 2039 | 5009 |
| | ASPI014 | RV011 | EPG013 | 1116-6 | Atlantic | ASP1008 | California RB | 1116-1 | Atlantic |
| 14 | 1010 TT16 7 | 1020 TT16_10 | 1030 Queen Anno | | 2010 Barcalana | 2020 | 2030 | | Monticollo |
| | 1110-7 | 1110-10 | Queen Anne | | Barcerona | 1110-0 | 1110-2 | | Monticento |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10m Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| - | 3001 | 3011 | 3021 | 3031 | 4001 | 4011 | 4021 | 4031 | 5011 |
| - | RV010 | Russet Burbank | Yukon Gold | Queen Anne | EPG013 | TT16-8 | TT16-2 | TT16-5 | California RB |
| 0 | 3002 | 3012 | 3022 | 3032 | 4002 | 4012 | 4022 | 4032 | |
| | Birgit | TT16-9 | Norland | Monticello | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | RV009 | AC Vigor | |
| പ | 3003 | 3013 | 3023 | 3033 | 4003 | 4013 | 4023 | 4033 | . └. |
| | ASPI010 | TT16-2 | TT16-8 | EPG015 | Queen Anne | California RB | 3 TT16-6 | Barcelona | |
| ∞ | 3004 | 3014 | 3024 | 3034 | 4004 | 4014 | 4024 | 4034 | ┤ ┗┥─ |
| | Blazer Russet | 1116-5 | ASP1008 | Kennebec | ASPI013 | TT16-9 | ODF008 | 1116-4 | |
| S | 3007 | 3017 | 3027 | 3037 | 4007 | 4017 | 4027 | 4037 | |
| | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2026 | 4006 | ASPIULU 4016 | 4026 | 4026 | |
| 9 | | TT16-7 | 5020 EDG013 | Barcelona | Norland | 4010 PV/010 | 4020 Atlantic | Birgit | |
| | 3005 | 2015 | 2025 | 3035 | 4005 | 4015 | 4025 | 4035 | |
| ~ | FPG018 | Atlantic | ASPI012 | BV009 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | FPG016 | Monticello | |
| | 3008 | 3018 | 3028 | 3038 | 4008 | 4018 | 4028 | 4038 | |
| 4 | AC Vigor | TT16-3 | TT16-4 | California RB | EPG018 | PGP03 | TT16-3 | EPG017 | |
| | 3009 | 3019 | 3029 | 3039 | 4009 | 4019 | 4029 | 4039 | |
| ۳ ۳ | TT16-10 | EPG016 | ODF008 | ASPI013 | TT16-1 | Russet Burba | ank ASPI011 | ASPI014 | |
| ~ | 3010 | 3020 | 3030 | | 4010 | 4020 | 4030 | | |
| | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | RV011 | | Blazer Russet | RV011 | EPG015 | | |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 8m Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2016 CDCS, Brooks, AB

Creamer Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

February 21, 2017

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal gourmet potato variety would produce a good yield of small sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Blemish-free tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 168 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 138 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for the creamer market;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new creamer varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (138 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (128 lbs/ac N; 499 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (65 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 168 lbs/ac N. Within each level of fertility, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) and Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 10 (Low N Early) May 12, 2016 (Low N Main) and May 16, 2016 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 15cm spacing in 5m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2016 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 28 June | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 27 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 20 Aug | Dithane DG | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB Aug 05, 2016.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) July 29 to the early harvest Low N plots. Sample digs August 4 confirmed that tubers of several cultivars exceeded the creamer size. Several cultivars were hand topped prior to desiccation to restrict sizing and increase skin set. AC Hamer, Anouk, Athlete, and Rosa Gold in the main harvest plots were topped by hand August 4 and 5. Reglone (1.0 L/ac) was applied September 6 to desiccate the main harvest plots. The Low N early plots were harvested August 18, 2016; the Low N Main plots were harvested September 22 to 27, 2016 and moderate N plots were harvested September 27 to 29 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Creamer sized tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 25mm, 25 to 41mm, over 41mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (25 to 41mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 32 tubers (8 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for bake and boil by the Food Science and Technology Centre, Brooks, in December 2016.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

Results and Discussion - Fresh Market

Sample hills of each creamer variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 16, 2016. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Fresh market creamer sized varieties at CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) Bellanita, b) RV010, c) Yellow Star, d) AC Hamer, e) Anouk, f) Athlete, g) Gourmandine, h) PR07-55-1, i) Red Snapper, j) Rosa Gold, and k) SM08-83-01R.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the creamer-style cultivars are shown in Table 2. Three cultivars were planted with little additional nitrogen and were harvested in August (Low N Early harvest). Of these early maturing cultivars, Yellow Star produced significantly greater total yield than the other cultivars, an indication that this cultivar is an efficient nitrogen utilizer. Specific gravity of Yellow Star was significantly higher than the other two cultivars in these plots.

Another nine cultivars were planted in low N plots (138 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 22.4 ton/ac for PR07-55-1 to 47.3 ton/ac for Gourmandine (Table 2). Specific gravities ranged from 1.061 for Anouk to 1.078 for Gourmandine and SM08-83-01R.

Only one creamer style cultivar was planted in the moderate N plots and harvested early. Total yield of RV010 was significantly greater when grown on moderate N than on low N when harvested early (Table 2). RV010 grown on low N and harvested in September yielded significantly more than when harvested in August. Although the greatest total yield of RV010 was observed on moderate N in the September harvest, the yield was not significantly greater than moderate N early harvest or low N, main harvest.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| Table 2: | Estimated | total yie | ld (ton/acre) | and spec | cific gravi | ty for eac | ch creamer | potato y | variety | grown o | m |
|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----|
| approximation | tely 168 lbs | /ac nitrog | gen (Moderate | e N) and | 138 lbs/ac | nitrogen | (Low N). | Data shov | wn is th | e mean o | of |
| four replica | ates. Data f | ollowed l | by the same le | etter in ea | ch column | of the tab | le are not s | ignificant | tly diffe | erent at th | ıe |
| p < 0.05 le | vel. | | | | | | | | | | |

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| <i>Low N – early harvest</i> | | |
| Bellanita | 28.0 b | 1.064 b |
| RV010 | 28.3 b¥‡ | 1.066 b |
| Yellow Star | 33.9 a | 1.071 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| AC Hamer | 24.7 с | 1.070 bc |
| Anouk | 27.4 с | 1.061 c |
| Athlete | 23.3 с | 1.073 bc |
| Gourmandine | 47.3 a | 1.078 ab |
| RV010 | 39.7 b¥ | 1.077 b |
| PR07-55-1 | 22.4 c | 1.063 c |
| Red Snapper | 36.4 b | 1.090 a |
| Rosa Gold | 27.6 с | 1.063 c |
| SM08-83-01R | 39.2 b | 1.078 b |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | |
| RV010 | 31.4ŧ | 1.068 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| RV010 | 37.7 | 1.071 |

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for creamer-style cultivars is shown in Table 3. The three cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August produced very different size profiles. Bellanita produced a significantly higher percentage of small (< 25mm) tubers and creamer-sized (25 to 41mm) tubers than RV010 or Yellow Star. Almost 50% of the RV010 tubers were over 41 mm in diameter indicating that an earlier harvest may be required to capitalize on the creamer market. Over 75% of the Yellow Star tubers were greater than 41 mm in diameter suggesting that this cultivar may be better suited for the regular fresh market.

Of the nine creamer-style cultivars grown on low N for the full season, Athlete and Red Snapper yielded the highest percentage of creamer sized tubers (Table 3). Many of the varieties yielded more than 50% of the tubers over 41 mm indicating that desiccation or harvest dates may need to be adjusted for optimal yield of creamer sized potatoes. RV010 tubers grown on moderate N for the full season were graded as regular sized fresh market cultivars, so the creamer size distribution data is not available.

The level of N fertilization did not significantly affect the percentage of tubers in each size class for RV010. A later harvest date did result in a significantly greater percentage of tubers over 41 mm (Table 3). Timing of desiccation and harvest will likely need to be optimized for each creamer-style cultivar to ensure the best return on investment.

| CDCS | < 25 mm | 25–41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| <i>Low N – early harvest</i> | | | | |
| Bellanita | 25.5 a | 63.0 a | 9.3 c | 1.3 a |
| RV010 | 11.5 b¥ | 38.8 b | 49.0 b¥ | 1.3 a |
| Yellow Star | 3.3 c | 20.3 c | 75.3 a | 2.0 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 1.5 b | 27.8 cd | 68.3 a | 2.3 ab |
| Anouk | 3.3 ab | 32.8 cd | 63.0 ab | 1.0 b |
| Athlete | 3.5 ab | 48.0 a | 47.5 cd | 1.0 b |
| Gourmandine | 3.3 ab | 22.3 d | 71.0 a | 3.0 ab |
| RV010 | 4.8 ab¥ | 36.3 bc | 56.0 bc¥ | 3.0 ab |
| PR07-55-1 | 2.8 ab | 45.3 ab | 51.0 cd | 0.8 b |
| Red Snapper | 5.5 ab | 48.8 a | 43.8 d | 2.0 ab |
| Rosa Gold | 7.8 a | 26.0 cd | 64.5 ab | 1.8 ab |
| SM08-83-01R | 5.3 ab | 26.8 cd | 63.0 ab | 4.8 a |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | | | |
| RV010 | 13.0 | 38.0 | 47.0 | 1.0 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| RV010 | | | | |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 25mm, 25-41mm, > 41mm and deformed) for each creamer potato variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each creamer-style variety is shown by size category in Table 4. There were significant differences in yield by size category between the three cultivars grown in the Low N plots and harvested in August. Bellanita yielded significantly more tubers 25 to 41mm in diameter than RV010 or Yellow Star. Yellow Star yielded significantly more tubers over 41mm than the other cultivars.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, Red Snapper yielded significantly more creamersized tubers than the other cultivars. In this trial, the yield of tubers over 41mm indicates that an earlier desiccation or harvest date may be required for many of these cultivars.

RV010 was grown at two levels of N and harvested at two different times. The later harvest resulted in significantly greater yield of tubers in the > 41mm category, while higher N fertility did not significantly affect the tuber yield in each size category.

| Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 25mm, 25-41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each |
|--|
| creamer potato variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 |
| lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table |
| are not significantly different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| CDCS | < 25 mm | 25–41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Bellanita | 1.8 a | 18.8 a | 6.6 c | 0.7 a |
| RV010 | 0.4 b | 6.4 b | 20.0 b¥ | 0.5 a |
| Yellow Star | 0.1 b | 2.7 c | 30.7 a | 0.5 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 0.0 b | 2.7 c | 21.3 cd | 0.7 abc |
| Anouk | 0.1 b | 3.8 c | 23.1 c | 0.4 abc |
| Athlete | 0.1 b | 7.6 b | 15.3 d | 0.3 bc |
| Gourmandine | 0.1 b | 3.9 c | 41.0 a | 2.3 a |
| RV010 | 0.2 ab | 6.3 b | 31.2 b¥ | 2.0 abc |
| PR07-55-1 | 0.1 b | 6.4 b | 15.8 d | 0.1 c |
| Red Snapper | 0.3 a | 11.3 a | 24.0 c | 0.8 abc |
| Rosa Gold | 0.1 ab | 2.7 c | 24.1 c | 0.6 abc |
| SM08-83-01R | 0.2 ab | 3.8 c | 33.1 b | 2.1 ab |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | | | |
| RV010 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 22.4 | 0.9 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |

RV010

^{\pm} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. For creamer-style cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August, very few tubers exhibited internal defects. Approximately 4% of Bellanita tubers showed some brown centre. Stem-end discoloration was visible in approximately 10% of RV010 tubers. This may be related to the rate of vine kill, N status in the crop at the time of desiccation or the presence of wilt organisms. Tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. For tubers grown on low N and harvested in September, approximately 5% of Gourmandine tubers and occasional tubers of AC Hamer, Red Snapper and Rosa Gold displayed brown center. Gourmandine and SM08-83-01R seemed to display more stem-end discoloration and vascular discoloration than other cultivars, but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. PR07-55-1 tubers showed cracking on the skin surface. No seed treatment was used in the trial so occasional tubers showed black scurf.

Tuber set parameters are presented in Table 5. The number of tubers per plant is often an indication of the potential for creamer potato production. Bellanita produced the highest number of tubers per plant on low N in the early harvest, but RV010 was not significantly lower. For cultivars planted on low N and harvested in

September, RV010 and Red Snapper set significantly more tubers per plant than other cultivars. A similar set for RV010 grown in all four plots indicates that tuber set for this cultivar is not affected by N fertility or time of harvest.

| | Tubers per stem | Tubers per plant |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | rucers per prant |
| Low N – early narvest | | |
| Bellanita | 4.2 a | 23.0 a |
| RV010 | 3.2 b | 19.9 a |
| Yellow Star | 2.7 b | 13.9 b |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| AC Hamer | 2.6 d | 10.2 c |
| Anouk | 4.5 a | 14.6 b |
| Athlete | 2.3 de | 12.6 bc |
| Gourmandine | 3.5 b | 13.0 bc |
| RV010 | 2.9 bcd | 19.2 a |
| PR07-55-1 | 2.4 de | 14.8 b |
| Red Snapper | 2.9 cd | 18.3 a |
| Rosa Gold | 3.5 bc | 12.0 bc |
| SM08-83-01R | 1.8 e | 13.7 b |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | |
| RV010 | 3.2 | 20.7 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| RV010 | 3.1 | 20.1 |

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Culinary evaluations were conducted on all cultivars in the trial. Results for the creamer-style cultivars are presented in Table 6. Results of the culinary evaluation of red-skinned cultivars are presented in Table 6. Flesh colour and texture differences were noted after boiling and baking. Moderate sloughing was observed for RV010 and Red Snapper grown on low N and harvested in September. Severe after cooking discolouration was noted for AC Hamer and PR07-55-1 after baking, but not after boiling.

Table 6: Culinary evaluations of each creamer potato variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approx. 168lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approx. 138lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Bellanita | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Yellow Star | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Anouk | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Athlete | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Gourmandine | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| PR07-55-1 | White | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Red Snapper | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| SM08-83-01R | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | | | |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Baked Potatoes

| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture [‡] | After Cooking Discoloration* |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | |
| Bellanita | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Yellow Star | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| AC Hamer | White | 3 | 1 |
| Anouk | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Athlete | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Gourmandine | Deep yellow | 4 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| PR07-55-1 | White | 3 | 1 |
| Red Snapper | Yellow | 4 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| SM08-83-01R | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – early harvest | | | |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 2 |

[‡]Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Conclusions

The 2016 variety trial included 11 cultivars being evaluated for the creamer-sized market in southern Alberta. There was no check variety included in the trial as this market is still developing. Although yield of creamer-sized potatoes was good for some cultivars, such as Bellanita and Red Snapper, the high yield of tubers over 41mm indicates that desiccation and harvest dates may need to be optimized for each cultivar in order to increase the yield of desired sizes. Many cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. Few cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing, after-cooking darkening or internal defects.

RV010 was the only cultivar grown in early and full-season plots at both levels of N fertilizer. For that variety, the length of time in the field had a greater impact than fertilizer for most parameters evaluated.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton and Kaylene MacKinnon for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods New Zealand Institute of Plants and Food Research Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

| Lov | N Variet | y Tri | ial 2016 | - Aug | ust Harv | | | | | | | Planted M | ay 10 | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|---|----------|--------|-------------|----|------|------------|-------|------------|----|----------|---|
| 20 \$ | Seed piec | es pe | er row | | | | | | | | | | → N | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 10 X 6 | 3 = 63 | 0 m2 | | | | | | | Medium N | 1 |
| | Guard = Norl | and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | I | Guard | ł | Guard | | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 |
| • | 1001 | | 2001 | | 3001 | | 4001 | | extra | | | 1011 | | 3011 | | 1021 | |
| 0, | Yukon Gold | | Arizona | | Kennebec | | NZ16-3 | | Rosa Gold | | | Bellanita | | Yellow Sta | r | RV010 | |
| ~ | 1002 | | 2002 | | 3002 | | 4002 | | extra | | | 1012 | | 3012 | | | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | Shepody | | Shepody | | Citadel | | Kennebeo | ; | Alta Rose | | | RV010 | | RV010 | | | |
| ~ | 1003 | | 2003 | | 3003 | | 4003 | | extra | | | 1013 | | 3013 | | 2021 | |
| | Volare | | Volare | | NZ16-4 | | Volare | | Red Sun | | | Yellow Sta | r | Bellanita | | RV010 | |
| | 1004 | | 2004 | | 3004 | | 4004 | | extra | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Kennebec | | Citadel | | Arizona | | Arizona | | Citadel | | | | | _ | | | |
| | 1005 | | 2005 | | 3005 | | 4005 | | extra | | | 2011 | | 4011 | | 3021 | |
| Ξ, | NZ16-4 | | NZ16-4 | | Yukon Gold | b | Yukon Go | ld | Fransisca | | | RV010 | | RV010 | | RV010 | |
| _ | 1006 | | 2006 | | 3006 | | 4006 | | extra | | | 2012 | | 4012 | | | |
| ~ | Arizona | | Kennebec | | NZ16-3 | | NZ16-4 | | Arizona | | | Yellow Sta | r | Yellow Sta | r | | |
| ~ | 1007 | | 2007 | | 3007 | | 4007 | | extra | | | 2013 | | 4013 | | 4021 | |
| (1) | NZ16-3 | | NZ16-3 | | Shepody | | Shepody | | Miss Malin | na | | Bellanita | | Bellanita | | RV010 | |
| ~ | 1008 | | 2008 | | 3008 | | 4008 | | extra | | | | | | | | |
| () | Citadel | | Yukon Gol | d | Volare | | Citadel | | Yellow Star | r | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | l | Guard | k | Guard | | 10 m | Guard | | Guard | 3r | Guard | 1 |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | 5m | | 5m | | 5m | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix A Plot Plan

| Low | N Varie | ety ' | Tria | l 2016 - | Full S | eason (| | | | | | | | Planted M | ay 11 | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|---|-----------------|--------|-------------------|------|--------------------|----------|------------|-------|---|------------------|------|-------------|---|
| 20 S | eed pieces | s pe | r row | | | | | | | | | | | | → | | Ν | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 24 X 85 | - 2040 | 0 m2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 247.00 | - 204 | | | Guard = Russet | t Burbar | nk | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | | | 0 | | Quand | | 0 | | Quand | | Outra Hubber | | 0 | | | 0 | | Owend | |
| ~ | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | - |
| 23 | 1001 EDC01E | | | 1011 Rod Spape | or | 1021 Yukon Cold | | 2001 Norland | | | | 2021 | | 005007 | | | 1031 | 018 | 2031 | |
| - | 1002 | | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | _ | 5002 | | | 1032 | | 2032 | |
| 22 | Bridget | | | Guard | | FPG018 | | AC Vigor | | Kennebec | | ASPI011 | | Monticello | , | | RV010 | | Anouk | |
| | 1003 | | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | 5003 | | | 1033 | | 2033 | |
| 6 | Gourmand | line | | ASPI010 | | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | NZ16-2 | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | | AC Hame | r | Athlete | |
| 0 | 1004 | | | 1014 | | 1024 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | 2024 | | 5004 | | | 1034 | | 2034 | |
| 5 | ODF008 | | | EPG013 | | Rosa Gold | | Roko | | Krone | | Bridget | | ODF008 | | | Athlete | | Gourmandin | e |
| o. | 1005 | | | 1015 | | 1025 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | 2025 | | 5005 | | | 1035 | | 2035 | |
| - | ASPI011 | | | Basin Rus | set | NZ16-1 | | RV011 | | Red Snapper | | Birgit | | ASPI011 | | | PR07-55-2 | 1 | AC Hamer | |
| 18 | 1006 | | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 5006 | | | 1036 | | 2036 | |
| | Blazer Rus | sset | | Russet Bu | rbank | ODF007 | | EPG018 | | RV009 | | Russet Burba | nk | EPG013 | | | Gourman | dine | SM08-83-01 | R |
| 1 | 1007 | | | 1017 | | 1027 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 5007 | | | 1037 | | 2037 | |
| | Birgit | | | Monticello |) | Roko | | EPG013 | | AC Hamer | | ODF007 | _ | EPG015 | | | Rosa Gold | 2 | RV010 | |
| 16 | 1008 | | | 1018 | | 1028 | | 2008 Cuard | | 2018 | | 2028 | | 5008 | | | 1038 Ded Snam | | | |
| | 1000 | | | KVUII 1010 | | Kennebec | | 3000 | | 2010 | - | Monticello | | ASPI010 | | | 1020 | per | 2020 | |
| 15 | AC Vigor | | | N716-2 | | | | Courmandi | ino | 2019 Posa Gold | | | _ | Guard | | | Anouk | | Z009 | |
| | 1010 | | | 1020 | | | _ | 2010 | ine | 2020 | | | | 5010 | | | AIIOUK | | Red Shapper | |
| 14 | Krone | | | Norland | | | | Blazer Rus | set | ODF008 | | | | Atlantic | | | | | | |
| m | • | | • | • | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | _ | | | | • | | | |
| - | Guard | 1 | 3 m | Guard | 1 | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | _ | Guard | 3m | Guard | 1 5 | m | Guard | a3m | Guard | 4 |
| | 6m | | | | | | _ | | | | | ьш | | 6m | | | 5m | | Sm | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ÷ | Guard | k | | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | |
| 티 | 3001 | | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | _ | 5011 | | | 3031 | | 4031 | |
| | AC Hamer | | | Norland | | Rosa Gold | | ASPI011 | | Krone | | ASPI010 | _ | EPG018 | | | RV010 | | Athlete | |
| 10 | 3002 Ded Casa | | | 3012 | | 3022 | | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | L | | | 3032 | 01.0 | 4032 | |
| | Red Shapp | ber | | NZ16-Z | | Krone | | 0DF007 | | RV011 | | EPG013 | | | | | 2022 | UIR | A022 | |
| б | 3003 Basin Rus | cot | | SUIS Russot Ru | rhank | 3023 N716-1 | | 4003 Guard | | 4013 EPG018 | - | 4023 Yukon Gold | | L | | | Gourman | dino | 4033 | |
| | 3004 | σει | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 4004 | | 4014 | | 1024 | | | | | 3034 | | 4034 | |
| ∞ | ODF007 | | | 005008 | | EPG015 | | Gourmandi | ine | Birgit | | Kennehec | | L | | | Bosa Gold | 4 | | |
| | 3005 | | | 3015 | | 3025 | | 4005 | inc | 4015 | | 4025 | | | | | 3035 | | 4035 | |
| ~ | Yukon Gol | d | | RV009 | | RV011 | | NZ16-1 | | Monticello | | Rosa Gold | | L | | | PR07-55-1 | 1 | Gourmandin | e |
| | 3006 | - | | 3016 | | 3026 | | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | | | | 3036 | | 4036 | |
| 9 | ASPI010 | | | Blazer Rus | set | EPG013 | | AC Vigor | | Norland | | RV009 | | | | | Red Snap | per | SM08-83-01 | R |
| | 3007 | | | 3017 | | 3027 | | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | | | | 3037 | | 4037 | |
| | Bridget | | | EPG018 | | Monticello | | Basin Russ | set | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | _ | | | Anouk | | AC Hamer | |
| , , | 3008 | | | 3018 | | 3028 | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | | | | 3038 | | 4038 | |
| Ĺ | Gourmand | line | | Roko | | Birgit | | ODF008 | | Russet Burbar | nk | Red Snapper | | | | | Athlete | | RV010 | |
| m | 3009 | | | 3019 | | | | 4009 | | 4019 | | | | | | | 3039 | | 4039 | |
| | Guard | | | Kennebec | | | | NZ16-2 | | Blazer Russet | | | | | | | AC Hame | r | Rosa Gold | |
| 5 | 3010 | | | 3020 | | | | 4010 | | 4020 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASPI011 | | | AC Vigor | | | _ | Koko | | Bridget | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| - | Guard | k | 3 m | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | I | Guard | 10 m | Guard | 3m | Guard | l | | Guard | 1 | Guard | |

| Va | riety Medium | N Brooks - 2 | 016 - Ful | | | | Planted May 16 | | |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 20 | Seed pieces per r | ow | | | | | | N | |
| | | | | 24 x 85m = 20 | 040m2 | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | | | | | | | | ODF Extra |
| 24 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 1001 | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 | 5001 |
| 23 | ASPI010 | ASPI011 | FPG015 | Barcelona | ASPI010 | TT16-5 | TT16-3 | TT16-9 | ACVigor |
| ~ | 1002 | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 | 5002 |
| 23 | TT16-4 | TT16-9 | Norland | TT16-1 | TT16-4 | PGP03 | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | EPG018 |
| - | 1003 | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 | 5003 |
| 2 | Birgit | EPG017 | Yukon Gold | ODF007 | Birgit | ASPI011 | Blazer Russet | ASPI013 | EPG013 |
| 0 | 1004 | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 | 5004 |
| 2 | PGP03 | Russet Burbank | TT16-3 | California RB | ODF008 | ODF007 | Kennebec | EPG013 | ODF008 |
| ი | 1005 | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | 5005 |
| - | TT16-8 | EPG018 | Blazer Russet | EPG016 | EPG017 | TT16-8 | Norland | RV009 | Burbank |
| ~ | 1006 | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 | 5006 |
| | Monticello | RV010 | Kennebec | RV009 | EPG015 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | AC Vigor | ASPI011 |
| 17 | 1007 | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | 2037 | 5007 |
| | ASPI013 | ASPI008 | TT16-2 | ASPI012 | Monticello | EPG016 | ASPI014 | Russet Burbank | ODF007 |
| 16 | 1008 | 1018 | 1028 | 1038 | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | 2038 | 5008 |
| | TT16-5 | Atlantic | ACVigor | ODF008 | Queen Anne | EPG018 | RV011 | RV010 | EPG015 |
| 15 | 1009 | 1019 | 1029 | 1039 | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | 2039 | 5009 |
| | ASPI014 | RV011 | EPG013 | 1116-6 | Atlantic | ASP1008 | California RB | 1116-1 | Atlantic |
| 14 | 1010 TT16 7 | 1020 TT16_10 | 1030 Queen Anno | | 2010 Barcalana | 2020 | 2030 | | Monticollo |
| | 1110-7 | 1110-10 | Queen Anne | | Barcerona | 1110-0 | 1110-2 | | Monticento |
| - H | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10m Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| - | 3001 | 3011 | 3021 | 3031 | 4001 | 4011 | 4021 | 4031 | 5011 |
| - | RV010 | Russet Burbank | Yukon Gold | Queen Anne | EPG013 | TT16-8 | TT16-2 | TT16-5 | California RB |
| 0 | 3002 | 3012 | 3022 | 3032 | 4002 | 4012 | 4022 | 4032 | |
| | Birgit | TT16-9 | Norland | Monticello | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | RV009 | AC Vigor | |
| 6 | 3003 | 3013 | 3023 | 3033 | 4003 | 4013 | 4023 | 4033 | . └. |
| | ASPI010 | TT16-2 | TT16-8 | EPG015 | Queen Anne | California RB | 3 TT16-6 | Barcelona | |
| ∞ | 3004 | 3014 | 3024 | 3034 | 4004 | 4014 | 4024 | 4034 | ┤ ┗┥─ |
| | Blazer Russet | 1116-5 | ASP1008 | Kennebec | ASPI013 | TT16-9 | ODF008 | 1116-4 | |
| S | 3007 | 3017 | 3027 | 3037 | 4007 | 4017 | 4027 | 4037 | |
| | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2026 | 4006 | ASPIULU 4016 | 4026 | 4026 | |
| 9 | | TT16-7 | 5020 EDG013 | Barcelona | Norland | 4010 PV/010 | 4020 Atlantic | Birgit | |
| | 3005 | 2015 | 2025 | 3035 | 4005 | 4015 | 4025 | 4035 | |
| ~ | FPG018 | Atlantic | ASPI012 | BV009 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | FPG016 | Monticello | |
| | 3008 | 3018 | 3028 | 3038 | 4008 | 4018 | 4028 | 4038 | |
| 4 | AC Vigor | TT16-3 | TT16-4 | California RB | EPG018 | PGP03 | TT16-3 | EPG017 | |
| | 3009 | 3019 | 3029 | 3039 | 4009 | 4019 | 4029 | 4039 | |
| ۳ ۳ | TT16-10 | EPG016 | ODF008 | ASPI013 | TT16-1 | Russet Burba | ank ASPI011 | ASPI014 | |
| ~ | 3010 | 3020 | 3030 | | 4010 | 4020 | 4030 | | |
| | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | RV011 | | Blazer Russet | RV011 | EPG015 | | |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 8m Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2016 CDCS, Brooks, AB

French Fry Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

January 26, 2017

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 168 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 138 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for French fry processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new French fry varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (138 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (128 lbs/ac N; 499 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (65 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 168 lbs/ac N. Within each level of fertility, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) and Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and Rockyview Seed Potatoes and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 10 (Low N Early) May 12, 2016 (Low N Main) and May 16, 2016 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2016 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 28 June | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 27 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 20 Aug | Dithane DG | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB Aug 05, 2016.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) July 29 to the Early harvest Low N plots and September 6 for main harvest plots. The Low N early plots were harvested August 18, 2016; the Low N Main plots were harvested September 22 to 27, 2016 and Moderate N plots were harvested September 27 to 29 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

French fry tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, over 284g and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (113 to 284g) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for fry colour using a USDA colour chart in November 2016.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

Results and Discussion - French fries

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 16, 2016. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. French fry varieties at CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) NZ16-3, b) NZ16-4, c) Shepody, d) ASPI08, e) ASPI10, f) Basin Russet, g) Blazer Russet, h) NZ16-1, i) Bridget, j) NZ16-2, k) Russet Burbank, and l) California Russet Burbank.

Yield data (total yield and marketable yield; ton/ac), mean tubers size (oz.) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 2. Three cultivars were planted with little additional nitrogen and were harvested in August (Low N Early harvest). Of these early maturing cultivars, Shepody produced significantly greater yield, both total and marketable, than the other two cultivars. NZ16-3 produced tubers with a significantly smaller mean tuber size than NZ16-4 or Shepody. Specific gravities of NZ16-3 and Shepody were statistically higher than specific gravity of NZ16-4.

Another seven cultivars were planted in low N plots (138 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 29.7 to 35.8 ton/ac, but there were no significant differences between cultivars for total yield (Table 2). Marketable yield of ASPI10, NZ16-1, Bridget and NZ16-2 were statistically greater than that of Russet Burbank. Nitrogen recommendations for Russet Burbank are typically much higher, so these results are not surprising. NZ16-2 produced tubers with a significantly greater mean tuber size than Russet Burbank, but not statistically greater than mean tuber size of Basin Russet or NZ16-1. Specific gravities ranged from 1.077 (slightly below the optimal range) for NZ16-2 to 1.097 (Above the optimal range) for NZ16-1. Specific gravities of ASPI10 and NZ16-1 were statistically greater than Russet Burbank.

Four cultivars were grown on a moderate level of N (168 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N – main harvest). At this level of N, the total yield of Russet Burbank tubers was significantly more than ASPI08 and California Russet Burbank (Table 2). It should be noted that California Russet Burbank entered the trial as nuclear tubers so yield expectations were not the same as for other cultivars. Total yield of ASPI10 was not statistically different from that of Russet Burbank or ASPI08. Marketable yield of ASPI08, ASPI10 were not statistically different from marketable yield of Russet Burbank at this level of N. There were no statistical differenced in mean tuber size between cultivars grown at this level of N. Specific gravity of ASPI08 was significantly lower than that of Russet Burbank, while the specific gravity of ASPI10 was significantly higher than that of the other cultivars.

Two of the cultivars were grown at two levels of N. There were no statistical differences in total yield, marketable yield, mean tuber size or specific gravity between Russet Burbank grown at 138 lbs/ac N or 168 lbs/ac N. For ASPI10, though, a lower rate of N resulted in significantly higher marketable yield and significantly lower mean tuber yield than observed when grown in the presence of a moderate rate of N (Table 2).

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre), marketable yield (ton/ac), mean tuber size (oz.) and specific gravity for each French fry variety grown on approximately 168 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | Marketable Yield | Mean Tuber Size | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| NZ16-3 | 18.8 b | 12.0 c | 5.6 b | 1.079 a |
| NZ16-4 | 22.0 b | 20.3 b | 6.6 a | 1.066 b |
| Shepody | 27.8 a | 26.5 a | 6.9 a | 1.075 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI10 | 34.7 a | 29.4 aŧ | 7.7 dŧ | 1.096 ab |
| Basin Russet | 31.3 a | 26.6 abc | 9.7 ab | 1.083 cd |
| Blazer Russet | 29.7 a | 22.7 bc | 8.3 cd | 1.078 d |
| NZ16-1 | 33.0 a | 29.7 a | 9.6 abc | 1.097 a |
| Bridget | 35.8 a | 27.9 ab | 7.4 d | 1.089 bc |
| NZ16-2 | 31.7 a | 28.5 ab | 10.2 a | 1.077 d |
| Russet Burbank | 33.4 a | 21.6 c | 8.5 bcd | 1.084 cd |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI08 | 26.4 bc | 18.5 ab | 6.9 a | 1.080 c |
| ASPI10 | 31.7 ab | 24.8 aŧ | 8.2 aŧ | 1.100 a |
| California Russet Burbank | 22.9 с | 15.0 b | 8.0 a | 1.084 bc |
| Russet Burbank | 35.2 a | 22.9 a | 6.7 a | 1.089 b |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3. For cultivars harvested from low N plots in August, NZ16-3 produced a significantly higher percentage of small tubers (< 4 oz) and a significantly lower percentage of tubers in the 6 to 10 oz. range compared to NZ16-4 and Shepody. NZ16-3 may require a longer growing season or even lower level of N to shift the size profile.

For varieties grown on low N (138 lbs/ac) and harvested in September, Basin Russet, NZ16-2 and NZ16-1 produced a significantly higher percentage of large tubers (> 10 oz) compared to the standard and other entries (Table 3). ASPI10, Blazer Russet, and Bridget produced size profiles similar to that of Russet Burbank with a smaller percentage of deformed tubers.

On moderate N, ASPI10 produced a significantly greater percentage of tubers in the 6 to 10 oz range compared to other cultivars and a significantly lower percentage of deformed tubers (Table 3).

There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category for Russet Burbank grown on low N compared to moderate N (Table 3). Nitrogen had a greater impact on the size distribution for ASPI10. ASPI10 grown on moderate N produced significantly higher percentage of small tubers and significantly lower percentage of tubers in the over 10 oz. category than when grown on low N. These results suggest that ASPI10 may have better nitrogen use efficiency than Russet Burbank and may be suitable for low input production.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each French fry variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 4 oz | 4 to 6 oz | 6 to 10 oz | >10 oz | Deformed |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | | |
| NZ16-3 | 47.6 a | 30.6 a | 18.4 b | 2.9 b | 0.5 a |
| NZ16-4 | 23.5 b | 25.2 a | 41.0 a | 9.8 ab | 0.5 a |
| Shepody | 20.7 b | 24.0 a | 39.6 a | 14.9 a | 0.8 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI10 | 14.1 bŧ | 19.5 ab | 37.3 a | 28.0 bŧ | 1.1 b |
| Basin Russet | 7.9 c | 9.1 c | 30.1 ab | 46.1 a | 6.8 b |
| Blazer Russet | 17.6 ab | 15.4 bc | 28.4 ab | 32.8 b | 5.9 b |
| NZ16-1 | 7.6 c | 10.9 c | 28.1 ab | 50.9 a | 2.4 b |
| Bridget | 21.2 a | 23.0 a | 30.6 ab | 24.5 b | 0.8 b |
| NZ16-2 | 8.4 c | 10.8 c | 24.3 b | 54.9 a | 1.6 b |
| Russet Burbank | 17.6 ab | 12.6 c | 23.0 b | 28.9 b | 17.8 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI08 | 20.4 a | 17.5 ab | 23.9 b | 28.6 a | 9.6 ab |
| ASPI10 | 19.8 aŧ | 22.1 a | 39.1 a | 16.9 aŧ | 2.0 b |
| California Russet Burbank | 13.3 a | 12.1 b | 23.6 b | 30.0 a | 22.1 a |
| Russet Burbank | 15.9 a | 14.7 b | 24.4 b | 25.9 a | 19.1 a |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 4. The size profile of Shepody was not statistically different from that of NZ16-4 grown on low N. NZ16-3 had a significantly greater yield of tubers under 4 oz and a significantly lower yield of tubers in the 6 to 10 and over 10 oz. categories than NZ16-4 or Shepody.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, ASPI10 yielded significantly more tubers in the 6 to 10 oz category than Russet Burbank Table 4). Basin Russet, NZ16-1 and NZ16-2 yielded significantly more tubers over 10 oz. than Russet Burbank, which may suggest that an earlier harvest is feasible for these varieties.

At the moderate level of N, ASPI10 yielded significantly more 6 to 10 oz. tubers than Russet Burbank, while other cultivars were not statistically different from Russet Burbank (Table 4).

There were no significant differences in the yield of tubers in each size category for Russet Burbank grown on low N compared to moderate N (Table 3). Nitrogen had a greater impact on the size distribution for ASPI10. A significantly greater yield of tubers over 10 oz. was observed when ASPI10 was grown on low N compared to moderate N. These results suggest that ASPI10 may have better nitrogen use efficiency than Russet Burbank and may be suitable for low input production.

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each French fry variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | <4 oz | 4 to 6 oz | 6 to 10 oz | > 10 oz | Deformed |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | | |
| NZ16-3 | 8.9 a | 5.8 a | 3.8 b | 0.6 b | 0.1 a |
| NZ16-4 | 5.2 b | 5.5 a | 9.0 a | 2.2 ab | 0.1 a |
| Shepody | 5.7 b | 6.7 a | 11.0 a | 4.2 a | 0.2 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI10 | 4.9 b | 6.8 ab | 13.0 a | 9.6 b‡ | 0.4 b |
| Basin Russet | 2.5 c | 2.9 c | 9.4 ab | 14.4 a | 2.2 b |
| Blazer Russet | 5.2 b | 4.5 bc | 8.4 b | 9.8 b | 1.8 b |
| NZ16-1 | 2.5 c | 3.6 c | 9.2 ab | 16.8 a | 0.8 b |
| Bridget | 7.6 a | 8.3 a | 10.9 ab | 8.7 b | 0.3 b |
| NZ16-2 | 2.7 c | 3.4 c | 7.8 b | 17.3 a | 0.5 b |
| Russet Burbank | 5.9 ab | 4.2 c | 7.7 b | 9.6 b | 5.9 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI08 | 5.3 a | 4.5 b | 6.3 b | 7.6 a | 2.5 bc |
| ASPI10 | 6.3 a | 7.0 a | 12.4 a | 5.4 aŧ | 0.6 c |
| California Russet Burbank | 3.0 b | 2.7 c | 5.4 b | 6.9 a | 5.0 ab |
| Russet Burbank | 5.6 a | 5.1 b | 8.6 b | 9.1 a | 6.8 a |

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. At the low rate of N, very few tubers exhibited hollow heart or brown center. Some of the samples, NZ16-2, Bridget and Blazer Russet in particular, had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. Some level of black scurf was noted for a few potatoes from each cultivar, but no seed treatment was used in the trial. Common scab was noted on isolated tubers from one replicate of Blazer Russet. At the moderate rate of N, brown center was observed in approximately 9% of tubers. All of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. A low level of black scurf was noted on a few potatoes from each cultivar, but no seed in the trial.

French fry colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 5. All of the samples gave excellent French fry scores in 2016, with many scoring 0's or 00's on the USDA color chart. A higher overall score indicates better French fry quality, taking into account the fry colour compared to a USDA color chart, texture and colour uniformity. Overall, the highest score was observed for ASPI10 grown on moderate N. In the early harvested samples, NZ16-4 had the best overall score (Table 5). Basin Russet and Russet Burbank scored highest among the cultivars grown on low N and harvested in September. For the moderate N samples, ASPI08 and AR2015 scored better than Russet Burbank.

| Table 5: Fry colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 |
|---|
| lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 lbs/ac). Fry Colour was assessed visually by comparison with a USDA fry |
| colour chart and converted to a scale of 1 to 7 ($000 = 7$ and $4 = 1$; the higher the number, the better the fry |
| colour). Data shown is the result of one composite sample run in duplicate. |

| CDCS | External Colour ¹ | Internal Texture ² | Colour Uniformity ³ | Total Score |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| NZ16-3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| NZ16-4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| Shepody | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| Basin Russet | 6 | 4 | 3 | 14 |
| Blazer Russet | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| NZ16-1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 12 |
| Bridget | 6 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| NZ16-2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| Russet Burbank | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI08 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| ASPI10 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 15 |
| California Russet Burbank | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| Russet Burbank | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |

¹External Colour was assessed visually and compared with a USDA Color Chart (000 to 4; the lower the score, the better the fry colour); these scores were converted to a scale of 1 to 7 where higher scores are lighter fries.

²Internal texture: 1 (wet) - 4 (mealy)

³Color uniformity: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

Conclusions

The 2016 variety trial included 12 French fry potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Shepody was included in the trial as a check variety for early harvested cultivars grown on low N and Russet Burbank was included as a full-season standard at both rates of N. Shepody performed best as an early cultivar in this trial, possibly because different levels of N are required for NZ16-3 and NZ16-4. Fry color of all of the early harvested material was very good in spite of specific gravity scores lower than the desired range.

At a low level of N and a full-season, few differences were observed between cultivars for total yield, however most cultivars produced higher yields of marketable tubers than Russet Burbank at this level of N. When tubers were graded into size categories, differences in size profiles became evident. Preferences for yield in specific size categories vary between processors, and several of the cultivars in the 2016 study look promising and may be more efficient at utilizing nitrogen than Russet Burbank. Fry colour was impressive for most of these varieties as well, especially Basin Russet.

Russet Burbank performed well when grown at a moderate level of N and harvested in September, and ASPI10 produced comparable yields. Fry colour for ASPI10 was superior to Russet Burbank at this level of N. ASPI10 responded positively to a lower level of N and may be more a more efficient user of nitrogen than Russet Burbank.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton and Kaylene MacKinnon for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods New Zealand Institute of Plants and Food Research Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca
| Lov | N Variety | Tria | al 2016 - Full | Season (| | | | | | Planted May 1 | 1 | | | | |
|------------|----------------|-------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|------|------------------|--------|---------------|----|------------------|------|------------|----|
| 20 S | eed pieces pe | r row | , | | | | | | | | • | N | | | |
| | | | | | 24 X 85 - 2 | 040 m2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 24 X 05 = 2 | 040 1112 | | Guard = Russet I | Burbar | nk | | | | | _ |
| 4 | Quand | | Quand | 0 | Quand | Quand | | Owend | | Quand | | 0 | | Quand | |
| | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | _ |
| 23 | 1001 FPG015 | | Red Snanner | TUZI Yukon Gold | Norland | 2011 ASPI010 | | 2021 N716-1 | | 005007 | | 1031 SM08-83 | .01R | 2031 | |
| | 1002 | | 1012 | 1022 | 2002 | 2012 | | 2022 | | 5002 | | 1032 | | 2032 | |
| 52 | Bridget | | Guard | FPG018 | AC Vigor | Kennebec | | ASPI011 | | Monticello | | RV010 | | Anouk | |
| _ | 1003 | | 1013 | 1023 | 2003 | 2013 | | 2023 | | 5003 | | 1033 | | 2033 | |
| 5 | Gourmandine | | ASPI010 | AC Hamer | EPG015 | NZ16-2 | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | AC Hame | r | Athlete | |
| 0 | 1004 | | 1014 | 1024 | 2004 | 2014 | | 2024 | | 5004 | | 1034 | | 2034 | |
| Б | ODF008 | | EPG013 | Rosa Gold | Roko | Krone | | Bridget | | ODF008 | | Athlete | | Gourmandir | ne |
| ი | 1005 | | 1015 | 1025 | 2005 | 2015 | | 2025 | | 5005 | | 1035 | | 2035 | |
| - | ASPI011 | | Basin Russet | NZ16-1 | RV011 | Red Snapper | | Birgit | | ASPI011 | | PR07-55- | 1 | AC Hamer | |
| ∞ | 1006 | | 1016 | 1026 | 2006 | 2016 | | 2026 | | 5006 | | 1036 | | 2036 | |
| | Blazer Russet | | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | EPG018 | RV009 | | Russet Burban | ĸ | EPG013 | | Gourman | dine | SM08-83-01 | LR |
| 1 | 1007 | | 1017 | 1027 | 2007 | 2017 | | 2027 | | 5007 | | 1037 | | 2037 | _ |
| | Birgit | | Monticello | Roko | EPG013 | AC Hamer | | ODF007 | | EPG015 | | Rosa Gol | d | RV010 | |
| 16 | 1008 | | 1018 | 1028 | 2008 | 2018 | | 2028 | | 5008 | _ | 1038 | | 2038 | |
| | RV009 | | RV011 | Kennebec | Guard | Yukon Gold | | Monticello | | ASPI010 | | Red Shap | per | PR07-55-1 | |
| 15 | 1009 | | 1019 N716 2 | | Courmanding | 2019 Bora Cold | | | | Guard | | 1039 Anouk | | 2039 | |
| | 1010 | | 1020 | | 2010 | 2020 | | | | 5010 | | AHOUK | | Red Shappe | |
| 14 | Krone | | Norland | | Blazer Busset | 0.005008 | | | | Atlantic | | - | | _ | |
| m | Rione | _ | Nonand | | Diazer Russet | 001000 | | | _ | Additic | _ | _ | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | 3m | Guard | 5m | Guar | 3 3 | m Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | 6m | | 6m | | 5m | | 5m | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ę | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guare | k | Guard | |
| 1 | 3001 | | 3011 | 3021 | 4001 | 4011 | | 4021 | | 5011 | | 3031 | | 4031 | |
| | AC Hamer | | Norland | Rosa Gold | ASPI011 | Krone | | ASPI010 | | EPG018 | | RV010 | | Athlete | |
| 5 | 3002 | | 3012 | 3022 | 4002 | 4012 | | 4022 | | | _ | 3032 | | 4032 | |
| | Red Snapper | | NZ16-2 | Krone | ODF007 | RV011 | | EPG013 | | | _ | SM08-83 | -01R | Red Snappe | r |
| ი | 3003 | | 3013 | 3023 | 4003 | 4013 | | 4023 | | | | 3033 | - | 4033 | |
| | Basin Russet | | Russet Burbank | NZ16-1 | Guard | EPG018 | | Yukon Gold | | | - | Gourman | aine | PR07-55-1 | _ |
| ∞ | 3004 | | 005008 | 5024 EPG015 | Gourmandino | 4014 Birgit | | 4024 Konnoboc | | | | SU34 Rosa Gol | 4 | 4034 | |
| | 3005 | | 3015 | 3025 | 4005 | 4015 | | 4025 | | | | 3035 | | 4035 | |
| ~ | Yukon Gold | | RV009 | RV011 | N716-1 | Monticello | | Rosa Gold | | | | PR07-55- | 1 | Gourmandir | 16 |
| | 3006 | | 3016 | 3026 | 4006 | 4016 | | 4026 | | | | 3036 | | 4036 | |
| 9 | ASPI010 | | Blazer Russet | EPG013 | AC Vigor | Norland | | RV009 | | | | Red Snap | per | SM08-83-01 | LR |
| | 3007 | | 3017 | 3027 | 4007 | 4017 | | 4027 | | | | 3037 | | 4037 | |
| പ | Bridget | | EPG018 | Monticello | Basin Russet | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | | | Anouk | | AC Hamer | |
| - | 3008 | | 3018 | 3028 | 4008 | 4018 | | 4028 | | | | 3038 | | 4038 | |
| _ 1 | Gourmandine | | Roko | Birgit | ODF008 | Russet Burbank | | Red Snapper | | | | Athlete | | RV010 | |
| | 3009 | | 3019 | | 4009 | 4019 | | | | | | 3039 | | 4039 | |
| | Guard | | Kennebec | | NZ16-2 | Blazer Russet | | | | | | AC Hame | r | Rosa Gold | |
| 7 | 3010 | | 3020 | | 4010 | 4020 | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASPI011 | | AC Vigor | | Roko | Bridget | | | | | _ | | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10 m | Guard | 3m | Guard | | Guar | ł | Guard | |

| Va | riety Medium | N Brooks - 2 | 016 - Ful | | | | Planted May 16 | | |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 20 | Seed pieces per r | ow | | | | | | N | |
| | | | | 24 x 85m = 20 | 040m2 | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | | | | | | | | ODF Extra |
| 24 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 1001 | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 | 5001 |
| 23 | ASPI010 | ASPI011 | FPG015 | Barcelona | ASPI010 | TT16-5 | TT16-3 | TT16-9 | ACVigor |
| ~ | 1002 | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 | 5002 |
| 23 | TT16-4 | TT16-9 | Norland | TT16-1 | TT16-4 | PGP03 | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | EPG018 |
| - | 1003 | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 | 5003 |
| 2 | Birgit | EPG017 | Yukon Gold | ODF007 | Birgit | ASPI011 | Blazer Russet | ASPI013 | EPG013 |
| 0 | 1004 | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 | 5004 |
| 2 | PGP03 | Russet Burbank | TT16-3 | California RB | ODF008 | ODF007 | Kennebec | EPG013 | ODF008 |
| ი | 1005 | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | 5005 |
| - | TT16-8 | EPG018 | Blazer Russet | EPG016 | EPG017 | TT16-8 | Norland | RV009 | Burbank |
| ~ | 1006 | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 | 5006 |
| | Monticello | RV010 | Kennebec | RV009 | EPG015 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | AC Vigor | ASPI011 |
| 17 | 1007 | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | 2037 | 5007 |
| | ASPI013 | ASPI008 | TT16-2 | ASPI012 | Monticello | EPG016 | ASPI014 | Russet Burbank | ODF007 |
| 16 | 1008 | 1018 | 1028 | 1038 | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | 2038 | 5008 |
| | TT16-5 | Atlantic | ACVigor | ODF008 | Queen Anne | EPG018 | RV011 | RV010 | EPG015 |
| 15 | 1009 | 1019 | 1029 | 1039 | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | 2039 | 5009 |
| | ASPI014 | RV011 | EPG013 | 1116-6 | Atlantic | ASP1008 | California RB | 1116-1 | Atlantic |
| 14 | 1010 TT16 7 | 1020 TT16_10 | 1030 Queen Anno | | 2010 Barcalana | 2020 | 2030 | | Monticollo |
| | 1110-7 | 1110-10 | Queen Anne | | Barcerona | 1110-0 | 1110-2 | | Monticento |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10m Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| - | 3001 | 3011 | 3021 | 3031 | 4001 | 4011 | 4021 | 4031 | 5011 |
| - | RV010 | Russet Burbank | Yukon Gold | Queen Anne | EPG013 | TT16-8 | TT16-2 | TT16-5 | California RB |
| 0 | 3002 | 3012 | 3022 | 3032 | 4002 | 4012 | 4022 | 4032 | |
| | Birgit | TT16-9 | Norland | Monticello | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | RV009 | AC Vigor | |
| പ | 3003 | 3013 | 3023 | 3033 | 4003 | 4013 | 4023 | 4033 | . └. |
| | ASPI010 | TT16-2 | TT16-8 | EPG015 | Queen Anne | California RB | 3 TT16-6 | Barcelona | |
| ∞ | 3004 | 3014 | 3024 | 3034 | 4004 | 4014 | 4024 | 4034 | ┤ ┗┥ |
| | Blazer Russet | 1116-5 | ASP1008 | Kennebec | ASPI013 | TT16-9 | ODF008 | 1116-4 | |
| S | 3007 | 3017 | 3027 | 3037 | 4007 | 4017 | 4027 | 4037 | |
| | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2026 | 4006 | ASPIULU 4016 | 4026 | 4026 | |
| 9 | | TT16-7 | 5020 EDG013 | Barcelona | Norland | 4010 PV/010 | 4020 Atlantic | Birgit | |
| | 3005 | 2015 | 2025 | 3035 | 4005 | 4015 | 4025 | 4035 | |
| ~ | FPG018 | Atlantic | ASPI012 | BV009 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | FPG016 | Monticello | |
| | 3008 | 3018 | 3028 | 3038 | 4008 | 4018 | 4028 | 4038 | |
| 4 | AC Vigor | TT16-3 | TT16-4 | California RB | EPG018 | PGP03 | TT16-3 | EPG017 | |
| | 3009 | 3019 | 3029 | 3039 | 4009 | 4019 | 4029 | 4039 | |
| ۳ ۳ | TT16-10 | EPG016 | ODF008 | ASPI013 | TT16-1 | Russet Burba | ank ASPI011 | ASPI014 | |
| ~ | 3010 | 3020 | 3030 | | 4010 | 4020 | 4030 | | |
| | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | RV011 | | Blazer Russet | RV011 | EPG015 | | |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 8m Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2016 CDCS, Brooks, AB

Fresh Market Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

February 8, 2017

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal fresh market variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 168 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 138 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for fresh market processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new fresh market varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (138 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (128 lbs/ac N; 499 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (65 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 168 lbs/ac N. Within each level of fertility, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Sencor 75DF (150 g/ac) and Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and Rockyview Seed Potatoes and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 10 (Low N Early) May 12, 2016 (Low N Main) and May 16, 2016 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied several times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2016 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 28 June | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 27 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 5 Aug | Bravo | 0.64 L/ac |
| 20 Aug | Dithane DG | 0.91 kg/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB Aug 05, 2016.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) July 29 to the early harvest Low N plots and September 6 for main harvest plots. The Low N early plots were harvested August 18, 2016; the Low N Main plots were harvested September 22 to 27, 2016 and moderate N plots were harvested September 27 to 29 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Fresh market tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 to 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 to 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 16 tubers (4 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for bake and boil by the Food Science and Technology Centre, Brooks, in November 2016.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

<u>Results and Discussion – Fresh Market</u> Sample hills of each yellow or white variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 16, 2016. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.





Figure 2. Fresh market yellow or white varieties at CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) Arizona, b) AC Hamer, c) ASPI 12, d) Barcelona, e) Blazer Russet, f) Citadel, g) Gourmandine, h) Kennebec, i) Krone, j) PGP03, k) RV009, l) RV010, m) Queen Anne, n) RV011, o) TT16-1, p) TT16-2, q) TT16-3, r) TT16-4, s) TT16-5, t) TT16-6, u) TT16-7, v) Volare, and w) Yukon Gold.

Sample hills of each red-skinned variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 16, 2016. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Fresh market red-skinned varieties at CDCS field day August 16, 2016: a) ASPI 13, b) ASPI 14, c) EPG016, d) EPG017, e) Birgit, f) Norland, g) Red Snapper, h) Roko, i) Rosa Gold, j) TT16-8, k) TT16-9, and l) TT16-10.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the yellow and white fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 2. Five cultivars were planted with little additional nitrogen and were harvested in August (Low N Early harvest). Of these early maturing cultivars, Volare produced significantly greater total yield than the other cultivars, an indication that this cultivar is an efficient nitrogen utilizer. There were statistical

differences in specific gravity between cutlivars with Volare and Arizona on the low end and Yukon Gold on the upper end.

Another seven cultivars were planted in low N plots (138 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 27.8ton/ac for AC Hamer to 39.9 for Krone (Table 2). Specific gravities ranged from 1.076 for RV011 to 1.088 for RV009 and Yukon Gold.

Seventeen cultivars were grown on a moderate level of N (168 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N – main harvest). At this level of N, the total yield ranged from 24.8 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 45.9 ton/ac for Barcelona, although total yield is not always a good predictor of good marketable yield. Specific gravity of ASPI08 was significantly lower than that of Russet Burbank, while the specific gravity of ASPI10 was these cultivars ranged from 1.070 for Queen Anne to 1.094 for TT16-4.

Kennebec and Yukon Gold were grown at two levels of N and harvested at two different times. Kennebec and Yukon Gold both yielded better when grown at low N full season than when harvested early. Specific gravity of Yukon Gold was higher when harvested later, but the SG of Kennebec was not statistically different between harvest dates. Yukon Gold yielded better when grown full season on low N than when grown on moderate N for full season. Yield of Kennebec was not statistically different between the two levels of N, so 138 lbs/ac N was sufficient. RV011 and RV009 were also grown at two levels of N. Neither of these cultivars benefited from the additional N, so 138 lbs/ac N seemed sufficient for these cultivars as well (Table 2).

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Low N – early harvest | | |
| Arizona | 37.7 b | 1.053 c |
| Citadel | 21.2 d | 1.064 b |
| Kennebec | 26.6 c¥ | 1.072 a |
| Volare | 50.3 a | 1.051 c |
| Yukon Gold | 24.8 cd¥ | 1.078 a¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| AC Hamer | 27.8 с | 1.083 abc |
| Gourmandine | 39.8 ab | 1.079 bc |
| Kennebec | 36.9 ab¥ | 1.079 bc |
| Krone | 39.9 ab | 1.079 bc |
| RV009 | 40.5 a | 1.088 a |
| RV011 | 36.1 ab | 1.076 c |
| Yukon Gold | 28.3 c¥ŧ | 1.088 a¥ |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI 12 | 25.6 ef | 1.088 a-d |
| Barcelona | 45.9 a | 1.071 g-k |
| Blazer Russet | 29.5 c-f | 1.084 a-f |
| Kennebec | 32.2 b-f | 1.082 b-g |
| PGP03 | 28.4 def | 1.077 d-i |
| RV009 | 36.0 bcd | 1.089 a-c |
| RV010 | 37.7 bc | 1.071 g-k |
| Queen Anne | 37.1 bc | 1.070 h-k |
| RV011 | 35.3 bcd | 1.073 g-k |
| TT16-1 | 38.7 b | 1.0788 c-h |
| TT16-2 | 34.8 bcd | 1.079 c-h |
| TT16-3 | 37.5 bc | 1.086 a-e |
| TT16-4 | 35.4 bcd | 1.094 a |
| TT16-5 | 33.4 b-e | 1.079 c-h |
| TT16-6 | 37.1 bc | 1.071 g-k |
| TT16-7 | 33.1 b-e | 1.079 c-h |
| Yukon Gold | 24.8 eŧ | 1.088 ab |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each yellow or white fresh market variety grown on approximately 168 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

\$ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the p \le 0.05 level.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 3. Five cultivars were planted in low N plots (138 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 28.0 ton/ac for Red Snapper to 40.7 for Birgit (Table 3). Specific gravities ranged from 1.065 for Norland to 1.091 for Red Snapper and Roko.

Nine cultivars were grown on a moderate level of N (168 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N - main harvest). At this level of N, the total yield ranged from 28.1 ton/ac for EPG017 to 39.2 ton/ac for TT16-9. Specific gravities ranged from 1.061 for EPG016 to 1.092 for TT16-10.

Norland and Birgit were grown at both levels of N. Yield of these two cultivars was not statistically different between the different levels of N. Specific gravity of Birgit was significantly higher when grown on low N compared to the moderate level of N. The specific gravity of Norland tubers was unaffected by the level of N.

Table 3: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each red-skinned fresh market variety grown on approximately 168 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| Birgit | 40.7 a | 1.085 ab i |
| Norland | 35.2 ab | 1.065 d |
| Red Snapper | 28.0 c | 1.091 a |
| Roko | 40.0 ab | 1.091 a |
| Rosa Gold | 33.4 bc | 1.090 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI 13 | 28.5 b | 1.075 e-i |
| ASPI 14 | 31.9 b | 1.069 h-k |
| EPG016 | 31.5 b | 1.061 k |
| EPG017 | 28.1 b | 1.073 f-j |
| Birgit | 37.2 ab | 1.080 c-h‡ |
| Norland | 31.4 b | 1.066 ijk |
| TT16-8 | 34.6 b | 1.063 jk |
| TT16-9 | 39.2 ab | 1.079 c-h |
| TT16-10 (purple) | 32.7 b | 1.092 ab |

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for yellow and white cultivars is shown in Table 4. The five cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August, produced mostly small (<48mm) and marketable (48 to 88mm) tubers as expected. The percentage of Arizona and Volare tubers in various size categories were not statistically different from Yukon Gold. Citadel produced a significantly lower percentage of tubers 48 to 88 mm than Arizona and Yukon Gold, and a significantly higher percentage of tubers under 48mm than Arizona, Volare and Yukon Gold. Yukon Gold and Kennebec produced a significantly higher percentage of deformed tubers than the other cultivars grown in these plots.

Of the seven yellow and white cultivars grown on low N for the full season, RV011, RV009 (Table 4), Gourmandine and Krone produced a greater percentage of tubers under 48mm in diameter than Yukon Gold. Kennebec and Yukon Gold produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 88mm than other cultivars from the low N full season plots and significantly higher percentage of Jumbo (> 88mm) than the early harvested plots at the same level of N..

At a moderate level of N, most of the cultivars produced similar percentage by weight size categories (Table 4). One exception was that ASPI 12 produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers under 48mm than Yukon Gold, although neither were statistically different from other cultivars in the plots.

Kennebec, RV009, RV011 and Yukon Gold were grown at low and moderate N for the full season. The only statistical difference observed for these cultivars was that RV009 produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 88mm when grown at a moderate level of N than at the lower level of N (Table 4).

Table 4: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48-88mm, > 88mm and deformed) for each fresh market yellow or white variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|---------------------------|----------|----------------------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Arizona | 34.0 bc | 65.0ab | 0.5 b | 0.5 b |
| Citadel | 49.0 a | 50.8 c | 0.0 b | 0.2 b |
| Kennebec | 41.5 ab | 54.5 bc | 0.5 b¥ | 3.3 a |
| Volare | 24.0 c | 72.0 a | 3.5 a | 0.3 b |
| Yukon Gold | 30.3 bc | 66.8 ab | 1.2 b¥ | 2.3 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 46.5 c-f | 51.8 ab | 0.5 b | 1.0 bc |
| Gourmandine | 53.5 cd | 45.3 abc | 0.5 b | 7.5 c |
| Kennebec | 33.3 f | 53.3 ab ‡ | 9.0 a¥ | 4.5 a‡ |
| Krone | 49.8 cde | 48.3 ab | 0.3 b | 1.8 bc |
| RV009 | 60.0 bc | 40.0 bc | 0.0 bŧ | 0.3 c |
| RV011 | 68.8 b‡ | 31.0 c‡ | 0.0 b | 0.0 c |
| Yukon Gold | 32.0 f | 59.0 a | 7.3 a¥ | 2.0 bc |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI 12 | 60.5 a | 36.8 a | 0.5 c | 2.3 a |
| Barcelona | 31.3 ab | 59.5 a | 4.8 abc | 4.3 a |
| Blazer Russet | 47.3 ab | 44.8 a | 1.5 c | 5.3 a |
| Kennebec | 41.5 ab | 45.8 aŧ | 6.0 abc | 6.8 a‡ |
| PGP03 | 47.5 ab | 45.5 a | 2.8 c | 4.5 a |
| RV009 | 50.0 ab | 47.3 a | 0.8 c‡ | 2.3 a |
| RV010 | 58.0 ab | 39.0 a | 0.8 c | 2.3 a |
| Queen Anne | 54.8 ab | 43.0 a | 0.3 c | 2.0 a |
| RV011 | 51.3 ab‡ | 48.0 aŧ | 0.0 c | 0.5 a |
| TT16-1 | 38.5 ab | 53.8 a | 3.3 abc | 1.3 a |
| TT16-2 | 34.8 ab | 56.8 a | 1.8 c | 6.8 a |
| TT16-3 | 47.5 ab | 48.5 a | 2.0 c | 2.0 a |
| TT16-4 | 34.0 ab | 57.5 a | 3.8 bc | 4.5 a |
| TT16-5 | 45.0 ab | 48.0 a | 3.3 c | 3.3 a |
| TT16-6 | 37.3 ab | 46.0 a | 11.3 ab | 5.0 a |
| TT16-7 | 37.8 ab | 43.8 a | 12.3 a | 5.5 a |
| Yukon Gold | 29.3 b | 57.0 a | 7.8 abc | 6.3 a |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for red-skinned cultivars is shown in Table5. Red Snapper produced a significantly higher percentage of small (<48mm) tubers, an indication that it may be suitable for the creamer potato market.

At the moderate level of N, there were some statistical difference in the percentage of tubers over 88mm, but the percentage of tubers in other categories was not statistically different (Table 5).

Birgit and Norland were grown at both levels of N. There were no significant differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category as a result of the different N fertility for either variety (Table 5).

| column of the table are not signific | antly different at the p < | < 0.05 level. | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|----------|
| CDCS | < 48 mm | 49 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| Birgit | 44.8 def | 52.8 ab | 2.0 b | 0.5 c |
| Norland | 33.3 f | 55.6 ab | 8.3 a | 3.0 ab |
| Red Snapper | 92.0 a | 7.8 d | 0.0 b | 0.3 c |
| Roko | 37.0 ef | 60.5 a | 0.8 b | 1.5 bc |
| Rosa Gold | 44.5 def | 53.8 ab | 1.5 b | 0.3 c |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI 13 | 44.5 ab | 51.8 a | 1.5 c | 2.0 a |
| ASPI 14 | 37.0 ab | 55.8 a | 3.8 bc | 3.8 a |
| EPG016 | 34.3 ab | 55.5 a | 7.0 abc | 3.3 a |
| EPG017 | 53.3 ab | 42.0 a | 1.8 c | 2.8 a |
| Birgit | 35.5 ab | 57.5 a | 4.3 bc | 2.8 a |
| Norland | 37.0 ab | 50.8 a | 6.0 abc | 6.3 a |
| TT16-8 | 41.8 ab | 49.0 a | 4.3 bc | 5.0 a |
| TT16-9 | 33.3 ab | 63.3 a | 2.5 c | 1.0 a |
| TT16-10 (purple) | 31.5 ab | 64.8 a | 1.5 c | 2.3 a |

Table 5: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48-88mm, > 88mm and deformed) for each fresh market red-skinned variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and 138 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each yellow or white variety is shown by size category in Table 6. There were significant differences in yield by size category between the five cultivars grown in the Low N plots and harvested in August. Citadel yielded significantly more tubers under 48mm in diameter than other cultivars in these plots. Volare yielded significantly more tubers 48 to 88mm and over 88mm than the other cultivars.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, a significantly greater yield of tubers under 48mm for cultivars such as RV009 and RV011, suggest that these may be suitable for marketing in more than one size category. Yield of tubers 48 to 88mm ranged from 20 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 28 ton/ac for Gourmandine (Table 6). Yield of marketable Gourmandine was significantly greater than that of Yukon Gold, AC Hamer and RV011, but not significantly different from the other cultivars. Kennebec produced significantly greater yield of tubers over 88mm than other cultivars in these plots and significantly greater yield compared to the early harvested Kennebec. Yukon Gold grown at low N did not yield significantly more from the September harvest than from the August harvest supporting our knowledge of it as an early cultivar.

Seventeen yellow or white cultivars were grown on moderate N and harvested in September. Yield of tubers under 48mm ranged from 1.3 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 17.6 ton/ac of RV010 (Table 6). Cultivars such as RV010, Snajava and TT16-2 may be marketed in more than one size category. Yield of tubers 48 to 88mm ranged from 16.0 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 31.3 ton/ac for Barcelona. TT16-6 yielded significantly more Jumbo (> 88mm) tubers than the other cultivars, followed by TT16-7, TT16-1 and Barcelona indicating that an earlier harvest of these cultivars may be desirable.

Kennebec, RV009, RV011 and Yukon Gold were grown at both levels of N for main harvest. Kennebec and Yukon Gold yielded significantly more tubers 48 to 88mm when grown at the low N level than when grown on moderate N plots (Table 6). There were significantly more deformed Kennebec tubers produced in the moderate N plots than the low N plots. RV009 and RV011 produced significantly higher yields of tubers under 48mm when grown on low N compare to moderate N, but yield of 48 to 88mm tubers was not significantly affected by the N level.

Table 6: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each fresh market yellow or white variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|----------|
| <i>Low N – early harvest</i> | | | | |
| Arizona | 5.2 b | 31.3 b | 0.8 b | 0.3 b |
| Citadel | 7.6 a | 13.5 d | 0.0 b | 0.1 b |
| Kennebec | 4.2 bc | 20.6 c | 0.6 b¥ | 1.2 a |
| Volare | 3.2 bc | 42.2 a | 4.8 a | 0.2 b |
| Yukon Gold | 2.1 c | 21.1 c | 0.7 b | 0.9 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 6.4 d | 20.3 d | 0.6 cd | 0.5 a |
| Gourmandine | 10.6 c | 28.0 abc | 0.7 cd | 0.5 a |
| Kennebec | 3.2 e | 22.2 cd [‡] | 9.7 a¥ | 1.9 a‡ |
| Krone | 10.7 c | 27.4 abc | 0.6 cd | 1.2 a |
| RV009 | 15.4 bŧ | 24.6 bcd | 0.2 d | 0.2 a |
| RV011 | 15.1 bŧ | 20.9 d | 0.1 d | 0.0 a |
| Yukon Gold | 2.2 e | 20.0 dŧ | 4.0 bc | 2.2 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI 12 | 7.0 b-e | 16.9 de | 0.6 g | 1.1 ab |
| Barcelona | 3.2 de | 31.3 a | 8.8 bc | 2.5 ab |
| Blazer Russet | 4.8 b-e | 21.5 b-e | 1.3 fg | 1.9 ab |
| Kennebec | 3.5 cde | 17.7 cde‡ | 7.6 c-f | 3.3 ab‡ |
| PGP03 | 7.2 b-e | 19.0 cde | 1.3 fg | 1.0 ab |
| RV009 | 8.9 bcd [‡] | 25.2 а-е | 0.7 g | 1.2 ab |
| RV010 | 17.6 a | 18.6 cde | 0.4 g | 1.1 ab |
| Queen Anne | 8.3 bcd | 27.1 abc | 0.4 g | 1.3 ab |
| RV011 | 10.8 b‡ | 23.9 а-е | 0.3 g | 0.3 b |
| TT16-1 | 3.9 cde | 26.0 a-d | 8.1 bcd | 0.7 ab |
| TT16-2 | 10.4 bc | 19.1 cde | 1.5 fg | 3.8 a |
| TT16-3 | 3.8 cde | 29.3 ab | 3.1 d-g | 1.3 ab |
| TT16-4 | 3.9 cde | 23.4 а-е | 5.6 b-g | 2.5 ab |
| TT16-5 | 5.3 b-e | 22.9 а-е | 3.4 d-g | 1.7 ab |
| TT16-6 | 2.7 de | 17.7 cde | 14.8 a | 1.8 ab |
| TT16-7 | 3.2 de | 17.6 cde | 10.2 b | 2.1 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 1.3 e | 16.0 eŧ | 4.5 c-g | 3.0 ab |

⁺ Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Rds-skinned potatoes were grown on low N, moderate N or both and harvested in September. Yield results by size category are shown in Table 7. On low N, Red Snapper produced a significantly higher yield of tubers under 48mm than other cultivars and significantly lower yield of tubers 48 to 88mm in diameter. Marketable yield of other cultivars were not significantly different from one another at this level of N. Norland yielded significantly more Jumbo tubers than other cultivars supporting our knowledge of Norland as an early cultivar.

On moderate N, there were no significant differences in yield of tubers under 48mm, or 48 to 88mm in diameter (Table 7). Marketable tubers ranged from 19.4 ton/ac for EPG017 to 29.7 ton/ac for TT16-9. EPG016 produced the highest yield of Jumbo tubers, suggesting that an earlier harvest may have been desirable.

Birgit and Norland were grown at both level of N. On low N, Birgit produced a significantly greater yield of tubers under 48mm than when grown on moderate N (Table 7). Norland produced significantly more deformed tubers on moderate N than on low N, but there was no significant impact of N fertility on the yield in other size categories.

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each fresh market red-skinned variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (138 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| Birgit | 7.5 d ‡ | 30.0 ab | 2.8 cd | 0.3 a |
| Norland | 2.6 e | 25.6 a-d | 6.0 b | 0.9 a‡ |
| Red Snapper | 22.9 a | 4.9 e | 0.0 d | 0.2 a |
| Roko | 6.6 d | 31.5 a | 1.0 cd | 0.9 a |
| Rosa Gold | 6.5 d | 25.0 a-d | 1.7 cd | 0.2 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI 13 | 4.6 b-e | 20.5 b-e | 2.5 efg | 1.1 ab |
| ASPI 14 | 3.5 cde | 23.3 а-е | 3.6 d-g | 0.9 ab |
| EPG016 | 3.0 de | 21.5 b-e | 6.2 b-f | 1.5 ab |
| EPG017 | 6.3 b-e | 19.4 cde | 1.0 fg | 0.8 ab |
| Birgit | 5.1 b-eŧ | 26.9 abc | 4.2 c-g | 1.4 ab |
| Norland | 2.5 de | 21.3 b-e | 4.9 c-g | 2.8 ab‡ |
| TT16-8 | 5.0 b-e | 23.9 а-е | 3.0 efg | 2.8 ab |
| TT16-9 | 4.3 b-e | 29.7 ab | 4.7 c-g | 0.5 ab |
| TT16-10 (purple) | 3.6 cde | 27.3 abc | 0.6 g | 1.2 ab |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. For yellow and white cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August, very few tubers exhibited internal defects. One Yukon Gold tuber exhibited brown centre. Some tubers in each sample had a low level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration, but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. For tubers grown on low N and harvested in September, approximately 6% of Gourmandine tubers displayed brown centre. Gourmandine, Krone and Yukon Gold seemed to display more stem-end discoloration and vascular discoloration than other cultivars, but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. At the moderate rate of N, brown center was observed in approximately 7% of TT16-2 tubers, 2% of TT16-6 tubers, 2% of Yukon Gold tubers and isolated tubers of Barcelona, PGP03, and TT16-4. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. TT16-10 and TT16-3 were more affected by vascular discoloration than other cultivars, however no seed treatment was used in the trial.

For red-skinned cultivars grown on low N there was some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration in most cultivars, but TT16-8 and TT16-10 seemed more affected than others. At the moderate rate of N, Roko and Rosa Gold seemed to display more vascular discoloration than other red-skinned cultivars.

Subjective assessments of yellow and white tubers are shown in Table 8. For the early harvested trial on low N, Kennebec and Yukon Gold demonstrated significantly greater uniformity of size than Volare. Kennebec scored significantly higher than other cultivars for overall appearance at this level of N. There were no significant differences for these parameters when cultivars were grown on low N and harvested in September. There was no significant difference in the uniformity of tuber size when grown on moderate N. TT16-7 scored the lowest for overall appearance in these plots and RV011 scored the highest. Overall appearance of ASPI 12, Blazer Russet, PGP03, RV009, RV010, Queen Anne and TT16-1 were not significantly different from RV011 for overall appearance.

Table 8: Subjective tuber assessments for each fresh market yellow or white variety: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Low N – early harvest</i> | | |
| Arizona | 3.25 b | 4.25 ab |
| Citadel | 3.5 ab | 3.25 b |
| Kennebec | 4.25 a | 4.75 a |
| Volare | 3.0 b | 3.75 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 4.25 a | 3.75 ab |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| AC Hamer | 3.25 a | 4.25 ab |
| Gourmandine | 4.0 a | 4.5 ab |
| Kennebec | 3.5 a | 3.5 ab |
| Krone | 4.25 a | 4.25 ab |
| RV009 | 4.5 a | 4.75 ab |
| RV011 | 3.75 a | 4.0 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 3.5 a | 4.0 ab |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI 12 | 3.25 a | 3.5 a-d |
| Barcelona | 2.75 a | 2.75 bcd |
| Blazer Russet | 3.5 a | 4.0 ab |
| Kennebec | 2.75 a | 2.25 cd |
| PGP03 | 3.75 a | 3.5 a-d |
| RV009 | 3.5 a | 4.0 ab |
| RV010 | 3.25 a | 4.0 ab |
| Queen Anne | 3.75 a | 4.0 ab |
| RV011 | 4.0 a | 4.5 a |
| TT16-1 | 3.0 a | 3.25 a-d |
| TT16-2 | 2.75 a | 2.5 bcd |
| TT16-3 | 2.75 a | 2.75 bcd |
| TT16-4 | 3.25 a | 2.5 bcd |
| TT16-5 | 2.25 a | 2.5 bcd |
| TT16-6 | 2.75 a | 2.75 bcd |
| TT16-7 | 2.25 a | 2.0 d |
| Yukon Gold | 2.25 a | 2.25 cd |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Subjective assessments of red-skinned cultivars are shown in Table 9. At the low rate of N, there was no significant difference between cultivars for uniformity of size. Red Snapper was rated significantly higher for overall appearance than Birgit. At the moderate rate of N, there was no significant difference between cultivars in either uniformity of size or overall appearance. The level of N did not significantly affect subjective scores for Birgit or Norland.

Table 9: Subjective tuber assessments for each fresh market red-skinned variety: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| Birgit | 3.5 a | 3.25 b |
| Norland | 4.75 a | 4.75 ab |
| Red Snapper | 3.5 a | 5.0 a |
| Roko | 3.75 a | 3.75 ab |
| Rosa Gold | 3.75 a | 4.5 ab |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI 13 | 3.25 a | 3.75 а-с |
| ASPI 14 | 2.75 a | 3.00 a-d |
| EPG016 | 3.0 a | 3.0 a-d |
| EPG017 | 4.0 a | 3.75 а-с |
| Birgit | 2.75 a | 3.25 a-d |
| Norland | 3.0 a | 2.75 bcd |
| TT16-8 | 2.5 a | 3.25 a-d |
| TT16-9 | 3.75 a | 4.0 ab |
| TT16-10 (purple) | 3.0 a | 3.0 a-d |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Culinary evaluations were conducted on all cultivars in the trial. Results for the yellow and white cultivars are presented in Table 10. There was variation in flesh colour and tuber texture noted after boiling and baking samples. Moderate sloughing was observed after boiling Yukon Gold, RV009, Kennebec and RV011 grown on low N. Moderate sloughing was observed after boiling samples of TT16-4 and TT16-6 from the moderate N plots. Moderate after cooking discolouration was noted only for RV010 grown on moderate N.

Table 10: Culinary evaluations of each yellow or white fresh market variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 138lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* | | | | |
| Low N – early harvest | Low N – early harvest | | | | | | | |
| Arizona | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Citadel | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Kennebec | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Volare | Off white | 1 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | | | | |
| AC Hamer | Off white | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Gourmandine | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| Kennebec | Off white | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| Krone | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| RV009 | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| RV011 | Deep yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| Moderate N – main ha | rvest | | | | | | | |
| ASPI 12 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Barcelona | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Blazer Russet | Off white | 4 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Kennebec | Off white | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| PGP03 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| RV009 | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Queen Anne | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| RV011 | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-1 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-2 | Off white | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-3 | Yellow | 4 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-4 | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-5 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-6 | Off white | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| TT16-7 | Off white | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | |

* Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|---|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration ‡ |
| Low N – early harvest | | | |
| Arizona | Yellow | 1 | 3 |
| Citadel | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Kennebec | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| Volare | Off white | 1 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| AC Hamer | Off white | 3 | 3 |
| Gourmandine | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Kennebec | Off white | 3 | 3 |
| Krone | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV009 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV011 | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | |
| ASPI 12 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Barcelona | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Blazer Russet | Off white | 3 | 3 |
| Kennebec | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| PGP03 | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| RV009 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep yellow | 3 | 2 |
| Queen Anne | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV011 | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-1 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-2 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-3 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-4 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| TT16-5 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| TT16-6 | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| TT16-7 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 3 |

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Table 10 continued.

[‡] After Cooking discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Results of the culinary evaluation of red-skinned cultivars are presented in Table 11. Flesh colour and texture differences were noted after boiling and baking. Moderate sloughing was observed for Birgit and Red Snapper grown on low N and for ASPI 13 grown on moderate N. No after cooking discolouration was noted for any of the red-skinned cultivars in the trial after boiling or baking.

Table 11: Culinary evaluations of each yellow or white fresh market variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 168lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 138lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| Birgit | Deep yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Red Snapper | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Roko | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI 13 | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| ASPI 14 | Off white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG016 | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG017 | White | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Birgit | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | Off white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-8 | Yellow | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-9 | Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-10 (purple) | Purple | 2 | 3 | 3 |

* Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Table 11 continued.

| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration l |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|---|
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| Birgit | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| Red Snapper | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Roko | Off white | 3 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | |
| ASPI 13 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI 14 | Off white | 3 | 3 |
| EPG016 | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| EPG017 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Birgit | Deep yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | Off white | 2 | 3 |
| TT16-8 | Yellow | 1 | 3 |
| TT16-9 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT16-10 (purple) | Purple | 2 | 3 |

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

[‡] After Cooking discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Conclusions

The 2016 variety trial included 23 yellow or white potato cultivars and 12 red-skinned potato cultivars with fresh market potential in southern Alberta. Kennebec and Yukon Gold were included in the trial as check varieties for early harvested cultivars grown on low N and full-season standards at both rates of N. For early harvested cultivars on low N, Volare and Arizona performed well. In the full season plots grown on low N Gourmandine and Krone out-yielded Yukon Gold, but were not statistically different than Kennebec. Barcelona, TT16-3, TT16-1 and Queen Anne yielded significantly more than Yukon Gold when grown on moderate N, and Barcelona and TT16-3 also yielded significantly more than Kennebec. Kennebec, Arizona, RV009, and RV011 scored well for overall appearance. Many cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. Few cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing, after-cooking darkening or internal defects.

Some cultivars were grown at more than one level of N. RV009, RV011, Kennebec and Yukon Gold tended to perform better when grown at 138 lbs/ac rather than 168 lbs/ac indicating that these varieties are efficient at utilizing N.

Norland was included in the trial at both levels of N as a check. In the low N plots, Red Snapper yielded significantly more small tubers than other cultivars and may be well suited for a creamer market. Roko and Birgit yielded well on low N, but were not statistically better than Norland. Yield of most of the cultivars in the moderate N plots was not significantly different from that of Norland. Red Snapper scored very well for overall appearance when grown on low N. Many of the red-skinned cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. Few cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing, after-cooking darkening or internal defects.

Birgit and Norland were grown at both levels of N. Birgit yielded better on low N than on moderate N, especially for tubers under 48mm in diameter.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton and Kaylene MacKinnon for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods New Zealand Institute of Plants and Food Research Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

| Lov | N Variet | y Tri | ial 2016 | - Aug | ust Harv | | | | | | | Planted M | ay 10 | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|---|----------|--------|-------------|----|------|------------|-------|------------|----|----------|---|
| 20 \$ | Seed piec | es pe | er row | | | | | | | | | | → N | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 10 X 6 | 3 = 63 | 0 m2 | | | | | | | Medium N | 1 |
| | Guard = Norl | and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | I | Guard | ł | Guard | | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 |
| • | 1001 | | 2001 | | 3001 | | 4001 | | extra | | | 1011 | | 3011 | | 1021 | |
| 0, | Yukon Gold | | Arizona | | Kennebec | | NZ16-3 | | Rosa Gold | | | Bellanita | | Yellow Sta | r | RV010 | |
| ~ | 1002 | | 2002 | | 3002 | | 4002 | | extra | | | 1012 | | 3012 | | | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | Shepody | | Shepody | | Citadel | | Kennebeo | ; | Alta Rose | | | RV010 | | RV010 | | | |
| ~ | 1003 | | 2003 | | 3003 | | 4003 | | extra | | | 1013 | | 3013 | | 2021 | |
| | Volare | | Volare | | NZ16-4 | | Volare | | Red Sun | | | Yellow Sta | r | Bellanita | | RV010 | |
| | 1004 | | 2004 | | 3004 | | 4004 | | extra | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Kennebec | | Citadel | | Arizona | | Arizona | | Citadel | | | _ | | _ | | | |
| | 1005 | | 2005 | | 3005 | | 4005 | | extra | | | 2011 | | 4011 | | 3021 | |
| Ξ, | NZ16-4 | | NZ16-4 | | Yukon Gold | b | Yukon Go | ld | Fransisca | | | RV010 | | RV010 | | RV010 | |
| _ | 1006 | | 2006 | | 3006 | | 4006 | | extra | | | 2012 | | 4012 | | | |
| ~ | Arizona | | Kennebec | | NZ16-3 | | NZ16-4 | | Arizona | | | Yellow Sta | r | Yellow Sta | r | | |
| ~ | 1007 | | 2007 | | 3007 | | 4007 | | extra | | | 2013 | | 4013 | | 4021 | |
| (1) | NZ16-3 | | NZ16-3 | | Shepody | | Shepody | | Miss Malin | na | | Bellanita | | Bellanita | | RV010 | |
| ~ | 1008 | | 2008 | | 3008 | | 4008 | | extra | | | | | | | | |
| () | Citadel | | Yukon Gol | d | Volare | | Citadel | | Yellow Star | r | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | l | Guard | k | Guard | | 10 m | Guard | | Guard | 3r | Guard | 1 |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | 5m | | 5m | | 5m | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix A Plot Plan

| Low | N Varie | ety ' | Tria | l 2016 - | Full S | eason (| | | | | | | | Planted M | ay 11 | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|---|-----------------|--------|-------------------|------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|---|------------------|------|-------------|---|
| 20 S | eed pieces | s pe | r row | | | | | | | | | | | | → | | Ν | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 24 X 85 | - 2040 | 0 m2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 247.00 | - 204 | | | Guard = Russet | t Burbar | nk | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | | | 0 | | Quand | | 0 | | Quand | | Outra Hubber | | 0 | | | 0 | | Owend | |
| ~ | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | - |
| 23 | 1001 EDC01E | | | 1011 Rod Spape | or | 1021 Yukon Cold | | 2001 Norland | | | | 2021 | | 005007 | | | 1031 | 018 | 2031 | |
| - | 1002 | | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | _ | 5002 | | | 1032 | | 2032 | |
| 22 | Bridget | | | Guard | | FPG018 | | AC Vigor | | Kennebec | | ASPI011 | | Monticello | , | | RV010 | | Anouk | |
| | 1003 | | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | 5003 | | | 1033 | | 2033 | |
| 6 | Gourmand | line | | ASPI010 | | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | NZ16-2 | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | | AC Hame | r | Athlete | |
| 0 | 1004 | | | 1014 | | 1024 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | 2024 | | 5004 | | | 1034 | | 2034 | |
| 5 | ODF008 | | | EPG013 | | Rosa Gold | | Roko | | Krone | | Bridget | | ODF008 | | | Athlete | | Gourmandin | e |
| o. | 1005 | | | 1015 | | 1025 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | 2025 | | 5005 | | | 1035 | | 2035 | |
| - | ASPI011 | | | Basin Rus | set | NZ16-1 | | RV011 | | Red Snapper | | Birgit | | ASPI011 | | | PR07-55-2 | 1 | AC Hamer | |
| 18 | 1006 | | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 5006 | | | 1036 | | 2036 | |
| | Blazer Rus | sset | | Russet Bu | rbank | ODF007 | | EPG018 | | RV009 | | Russet Burba | nk | EPG013 | | | Gourman | dine | SM08-83-01 | R |
| 1 | 1007 | | | 1017 | | 1027 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 5007 | | | 1037 | | 2037 | |
| | Birgit | | | Monticello |) | Roko | | EPG013 | | AC Hamer | | ODF007 | _ | EPG015 | | | Rosa Gold | 2 | RV010 | |
| 16 | 1008 | | | 1018 | | 1028 | | 2008 Cuard | | 2018 | | 2028 | | 5008 | | | 1038 Ded Snam | | | |
| | 1000 | | | KVUII 1010 | | Kennebec | | 3000 | | 2010 | - | Monticello | | ASPI010 | | | 1020 | per | 2020 | |
| 15 | AC Vigor | | | N716-2 | | | | Courmandi | ino | 2019 Posa Gold | | | _ | Guard | | | Anouk | | 2009 | |
| | 1010 | | | 1020 | | | _ | 2010 | ine | 2020 | | | | 5010 | | | AIIOUK | | Red Shapper | |
| 14 | Krone | | | Norland | | | | Blazer Rus | set | ODF008 | | | | Atlantic | | | | | | |
| m | • | | • | • | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | _ | | | | • | | | |
| - | Guard | 1 | 3 m | Guard | 1 | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | _ | Guard | 3m | Guard | 1 5 | m | Guard | a sm | Guard | 4 |
| | 6m | | | | | | _ | | | | | ьш | | 6m | | | 5m | | Sm | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ÷ | Guard | k | | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | Guard | 1 | Guard | |
| 티 | 3001 | | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | _ | 5011 | | | 3031 | | 4031 | |
| | AC Hamer | | | Norland | | Rosa Gold | | ASPI011 | | Krone | | ASPI010 | _ | EPG018 | | | RV010 | | Athlete | |
| 10 | 3002 Ded Casa | | | 3012 | | 3022 | | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | L | | | 3032 | 01.0 | 4032 | |
| | Red Shapp | ber | | NZ16-Z | | Krone | | 0DF007 | | RV011 | | EPG013 | | | | | 2022 | UIR | A022 | |
| б | 3003 Basin Rus | cot | | SUIS Russot Ru | rhank | 3023 N716-1 | | 4003 Guard | | 4013 EPG018 | - | 4023 Yukon Gold | | L | | | Gourman | dino | 4033 | |
| | 3004 | 3Ει | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 4004 | | 4014 | | 1024 | | | | | 3034 | | 4034 | |
| ∞ | ODF007 | | | 005008 | | EPG015 | | Gourmandi | ine | Birgit | | Kennehec | | L | | | Bosa Gold | 4 | | |
| | 3005 | | | 3015 | | 3025 | | 4005 | inc | 4015 | | 4025 | _ | | | | 3035 | | 4035 | |
| ~ | Yukon Gol | d | | RV009 | | RV011 | | NZ16-1 | | Monticello | | Rosa Gold | | L | | | PR07-55-1 | 1 | Gourmandin | e |
| | 3006 | - | | 3016 | | 3026 | | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | | | | 3036 | | 4036 | |
| 9 | ASPI010 | | | Blazer Rus | set | EPG013 | | AC Vigor | | Norland | | RV009 | | | | | Red Snap | per | SM08-83-01 | R |
| | 3007 | | | 3017 | | 3027 | | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | | | | 3037 | | 4037 | |
| | Bridget | | | EPG018 | | Monticello | | Basin Russ | set | AC Hamer | | EPG015 | | _ | | | Anouk | | AC Hamer | |
| , , | 3008 | | | 3018 | | 3028 | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | | | | 3038 | | 4038 | |
| Ĺ | Gourmand | line | | Roko | | Birgit | | ODF008 | | Russet Burbar | nk | Red Snapper | | | | | Athlete | | RV010 | |
| m | 3009 | | | 3019 | | | | 4009 | | 4019 | | | | | | | 3039 | | 4039 | |
| | Guard | | | Kennebec | | | | NZ16-2 | | Blazer Russet | | | | | | | AC Hame | r | Rosa Gold | |
| 5 | 3010 | | | 3020 | | | | 4010 | | 4020 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASPI011 | | | AC Vigor | | | _ | Koko | | Bridget | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| - | Guard | k | 3 m | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 10 m | Guard | 3m | Guard | l | | Guard | 1 | Guard | |

| Va | riety Medium | N Brooks - 2 | 016 - Ful | | | | Planted May 16 | | |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 20 | Seed pieces per r | ow | | | | | | N | |
| | | | | 24 x 85m = 20 | 040m2 | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | | | | | | | | ODF Extra |
| 24 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 1001 | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 | 5001 |
| 23 | ASPI010 | ASPI011 | FPG015 | Barcelona | ASPI010 | TT16-5 | TT16-3 | TT16-9 | ACVigor |
| ~ | 1002 | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 | 5002 |
| 23 | TT16-4 | TT16-9 | Norland | TT16-1 | TT16-4 | PGP03 | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | EPG018 |
| - | 1003 | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 | 5003 |
| 2 | Birgit | EPG017 | Yukon Gold | ODF007 | Birgit | ASPI011 | Blazer Russet | ASPI013 | EPG013 |
| 0 | 1004 | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 | 5004 |
| 2 | PGP03 | Russet Burbank | TT16-3 | California RB | ODF008 | ODF007 | Kennebec | EPG013 | ODF008 |
| ი | 1005 | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | 5005 |
| - | TT16-8 | EPG018 | Blazer Russet | EPG016 | EPG017 | TT16-8 | Norland | RV009 | Burbank |
| ~ | 1006 | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 | 5006 |
| | Monticello | RV010 | Kennebec | RV009 | EPG015 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | AC Vigor | ASPI011 |
| 17 | 1007 | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | 2037 | 5007 |
| | ASPI013 | ASPI008 | TT16-2 | ASPI012 | Monticello | EPG016 | ASPI014 | Russet Burbank | ODF007 |
| 16 | 1008 | 1018 | 1028 | 1038 | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | 2038 | 5008 |
| | TT16-5 | Atlantic | ACVigor | ODF008 | Queen Anne | EPG018 | RV011 | RV010 | EPG015 |
| 15 | 1009 | 1019 | 1029 | 1039 | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | 2039 | 5009 |
| | ASPI014 | RV011 | EPG013 | 1116-6 | Atlantic | ASP1008 | California RB | 1116-1 | Atlantic |
| 14 | 1010 TT16 7 | 1020 TT16_10 | 1030 Queen Anno | | 2010 Barcalana | 2020 | 2030 | | Monticollo |
| | 1110-7 | 1110-10 | Queen Anne | | Barcerona | 1110-0 | 1110-2 | | Monticento |
| - H | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 10m Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | |
| - | 3001 | 3011 | 3021 | 3031 | 4001 | 4011 | 4021 | 4031 | 5011 |
| - | RV010 | Russet Burbank | Yukon Gold | Queen Anne | EPG013 | TT16-8 | TT16-2 | TT16-5 | California RB |
| 0 | 3002 | 3012 | 3022 | 3032 | 4002 | 4012 | 4022 | 4032 | |
| | Birgit | TT16-9 | Norland | Monticello | ASPI012 | TT16-10 | RV009 | AC Vigor | |
| പ | 3003 | 3013 | 3023 | 3033 | 4003 | 4013 | 4023 | 4033 | . └. |
| | ASPI010 | TT16-2 | TT16-8 | EPG015 | Queen Anne | California RB | 3 TT16-6 | Barcelona | |
| ∞ | 3004 | 3014 | 3024 | 3034 | 4004 | 4014 | 4024 | 4034 | ┤ ┗┥ |
| | Blazer Russet | 1116-5 | ASP1008 | Kennebec | ASPI013 | TT16-9 | ODF008 | 1116-4 | |
| S | 3007 | 3017 | 3027 | 3037 | 4007 | 4017 | 4027 | 4037 | |
| | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2026 | 4006 | ASPIULU 4016 | 4026 | 4026 | |
| 9 | | TT16-7 | 5020 EDG013 | Barcelona | Norland | 4010 PV/010 | 4020 Atlantic | Birgit | |
| | 3005 | 2015 | 2025 | 3035 | 4005 | 4015 | 4025 | 4035 | |
| ~ | FPG018 | Atlantic | ASPI012 | BV009 | Yukon Gold | TT16-7 | FPG016 | Monticello | |
| | 3008 | 3018 | 3028 | 3038 | 4008 | 4018 | 4028 | 4038 | |
| 4 | AC Vigor | TT16-3 | TT16-4 | California RB | EPG018 | PGP03 | TT16-3 | EPG017 | |
| | 3009 | 3019 | 3029 | 3039 | 4009 | 4019 | 4029 | 4039 | |
| ۳ ۳ | TT16-10 | EPG016 | ODF008 | ASPI013 | TT16-1 | Russet Burba | ank ASPI011 | ASPI014 | |
| ~ | 3010 | 3020 | 3030 | | 4010 | 4020 | 4030 | | |
| | Russet Burbank | ODF007 | RV011 | | Blazer Russet | RV011 | EPG015 | | |
| - | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 8m Guard | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |

Project Report

AAFC National Potato Variety Trial Results from CDCS, Brooks, AB 2017

Prepared for: Funding agencies and industry sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh¹ and Benoit Bizimungu² ¹Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre
850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7

October 26, 2017





2017 NPVT - Brooks

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westermann, 1993). As noted by Love et. al (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification in Brooks, AB and were provided with 209 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate new cultivars for French fry processing;
- B. To evaluate new cultivars for chip processing;
- C. To evaluate new cultivars for fresh consumption; and
- D. To evaluate cultivars from AAFC's National Potato Breeding Program under Alberta conditions.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the AAFC plots (209 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (86 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated May 4 prior to planting. AAFC plots received an additional topdressing (254 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling (June 8), for a total of 209 lbs/ac N. Entries were planted in duplicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) was applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars and test cultivars was provided by AAFC. Potatoes were planted May 16 approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Plots were hilled June 3 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1). Insecticide (Coragen; 151mL/ac) was applied July 25 to control Colorado Potato Beetle.

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 7 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 25 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 8, 2017.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) August 25, 2017. Potatoes were harvested September 6 and 7 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Fresh market and French fry tubers were stored at 8° C until graded. Chipping tubers were stored at 14.5°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 – 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of 48-88mm tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have not been statistically analyzed. Data reported are the mean of two replicate rows.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2017. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) Atlantic E, b) F13026, c) F13033, d) F13034, e) F13036, f) F13039, and g) Snowden East.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 18.4 for F13036 to 31.6 ton/ac for F13034. Specific gravity ranged from 1.082 for F13026 to 1.100 for Atlantic East.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 26.1 | 1.100 |
| F13026 | 28.7 | 1.082 |
| F13033 | 18.5 | 1.087 |
| F13034 | 31.6 | 1.090 |
| F13036 | 18.4 | 1.084 |
| F13039 | 25.5 | 1.087 |
| Snowden East | 24.4 | 1.093 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 27 | 66 | 6 | 1 |
| F13026 | 18 | 77 | 4 | 1 |
| F13033 | 34 | 65 | 1 | 0 |
| F13034 | 34 | 63 | 3 | 1 |
| F13036 | 41 | 58 | 0 | 1 |
| F13039 | 31 | 66 | 2 | 1 |
| Snowden East | 33 | 66 | 1 | 0 |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Marketable yield ranged from 15.0 ton/acre for F13033 to 24.6 ton/ac for F13034.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Atlantic East | 2.2 | 18.1 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| F13026 | 3.2 | 23.2 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| F13033 | 2.8 | 15.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| F13034 | 4.0 | 24.6 | 2.8 | 0.3 |
| F13036 | 3.5 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| F13039 | 3.1 | 20.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Snowden East | 3.4 | 20.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 |

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in a few tubers. Several tubers has black scurf lesions, but few had common scab lesions.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2017. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) F13004., b) F13007, c) F13008, d) F13009, e) F13010, f) F13014, g) F13015, h) F13020, i) CV011010-1, j) CV011188-1, k) CV011286-1, l) CV011295-1, m) CV03366-1, n) CV08087-2, o) CV10045-2, p) CV10121-1, q) FV16028-03, r) Russet Burbank, s) Shepody E, and t) Shepody W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5. Total yield ranged from 19.2 ton/ac for CV10121-1 to 31.6 ton/ac for Shepody West. Specific gravity ranged from 1.072 for CV08087-2 to 1.099 for F13009.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------|----------------|-------|
| F13004 | 28.2 | 1.091 |
| F13007 | 26.8 | 1.079 |
| F13008 | 24.2 | 1.095 |
| F13009 | 29.0 | 1.099 |
| F13010 | 28.4 | 1.078 |
| F13014 | 24.9 | 1.090 |
| F13015 | 27.3 | 1.088 |
| F13020 | 21.7 | 1.086 |
| CV011010-1 | 22.8 | 1.080 |
| CV011188-1 | 24.3 | 1.095 |
| CV011286-1 | 25.8 | 1.078 |
| CV011295-1 | 25.7 | 1.077 |
| CV03366-1 | 21.4 | 1.089 |
| CV08087-2 | 23.2 | 1.072 |
| CV08253-1 | 26.2 | 1.078 |
| CV10045-2 | 24.1 | 1.076 |
| CV10121-1 | 19.2 | 1.089 |
| FV16028-03 | 23.1 | 1.082 |
| R.Burbank East | 25.0 | 1.089 |
| R.Burbank West | 29.0 | 1.080 |
| Shepody East | 25.6 | 1.084 |
| Shepody West | 31.6 | 1.085 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| F13004 | 34 | 61 | 0 | 5 |
| F13007 | 26 | 72 | 1 | 1 |
| F13008 | 42 | 57 | 0 | 2 |
| F13009 | 41 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| F13010 | 30 | 68 | 0 | 2 |
| F13014 | 21 | 79 | 0 | 0 |
| F13015 | 29 | 70 | 0 | 1 |
| F13020 | 35 | 64 | 0 | 1 |
| CV011010-1 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 1 |
| CV11188-1 | 46 | 49 | 0 | 5 |
| CV011295-1 | 19 | 77 | 0 | 3 |
| CV03366-1 | 53 | 45 | 0 | 2 |
| CV08087-2 | 26 | 64 | 3 | 6 |
| CV08253-1 | 36 | 59 | 1 | 4 |
| CV10045-2 | 28 | 63 | 0 | 9 |
| CV10121-1 | 42 | 56 | 0 | 2 |
| FV16028-03 | 26 | 67 | 0 | 7 |
| R.Burbank East | 37 | 59 | 0 | 5 |
| R.Burbank West | 33 | 53 | 0 | 14 |
| Shepody East | 38 | 47 | 1 | 15 |
| Shepody West | 28 | 69 | 0 | 3 |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each French fry cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7. Yield of 48 – 88mm tubers ranged from 14.7 ton/ac of CV03366-1 to 26.2 ton/ac of Shepody West.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| F13004 | 3.5 | 21.4 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| F13007 | 2.2 | 23.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| F13008 | 4.7 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| F13009 | 6.2 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| F13010 | 2.9 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| F13014 | 2.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F13015 | 3.5 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| F13020 | 2.9 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| CV011010-1 | 3.4 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| CV01188-1 | 5.6 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| CV011286-1 | 3.7 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| CV011295-1 | 1.6 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| CV03366-1 | 6.0 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| CV08087-2 | 1.4 | 17.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| CV10045-2 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| CV10121-1 | 4.0 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| FV16028-03 | 1.5 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 |
| R.Burbank East | 3.4 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| R.Burbank West | 3.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| Shepody East | 3.8 | 15.4 | 0.7 | 5.7 |
| Shepody West | 2.9 | 26.2 | 0.2 | 2.2 |

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in one tuber of WV10075rus-1 and one Russet Burbank tuber. Some tubers from each sample exhibited stem-end discoloration and this may be indicate the presence of wilt organisms. Black scurf was only noted on individual tubers of F12011 and Russet Burbank.
Results - Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 24, 2017. Photos of the yellow/white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) F12051, b) F12059, c) F13047, d) F13058, e) F13063, f) F13065, g) F13072, h) FV16210-18, i) FV16213-02, j) Kennebec, and k) Yukon Gold East.



Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) F12057, b) F12062, c) F12077, d) F13049, e) F13050, f) F13051, g) F13053, h) F13054, i) F13060, j Chieftain East, and k) Norland E.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8. Total yield ranged from 21.2 ton/ac for F13072 to 35.4 ton/ac for Norland E. Specific gravity ranged from 1.068 for Norland to 1.091 for F13047.

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow/White-skinned | | | |
| F12051 | FM | 29.5 | 1.082 |
| F12059 | FM | 26.7 | 1.084 |
| F13047 | FM | 29.2 | 1.091 |
| F13058 | FM | 33.3 | 1.079 |
| F13063 | FM | 22.4 | 1.082 |
| F13065 | FM | 26.5 | 1.090 |
| F13072 | FM | 21.2 | 1.084 |
| FV16210-18 | FM | 23.6 | 1.078 |
| FV16213-02 | FM | 24.7 | 1.083 |
| Kennebec | FM CK | 28.9 | 1.083 |
| Yukon Gold East | FM CK | 23.0 | 1.088 |
| Red/Purple-skinned | | | |
| F12057 | FM | 26.2 | 1.080 |
| F12062 | FM | 21.4 | 1.071 |
| F12077 | FM | 33.0 | 1.083 |
| F13049 | FM | 24.5 | 1.089 |
| F13050 | FM | 27.6 | 1.082 |
| F13051 | FM | 29.9 | 1.080 |
| F13053 | FM | 29.2 | 1.087 |
| F13054 | FM | 31.0 | 1.084 |
| F13060 | FM | 30.1 | 1.085 |
| Chieftain East | FM | 28.9 | 1.076 |
| Norland East | FM | 34.5 | 1.068 |

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 209 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow/White-skin | ned | | | |
| F12051 | 29 | 66 | 5 | 0 |
| F12059 | 24 | 75 | 1 | 0 |
| F13047 | 39 | 61 | 0 | 1 |
| F13058 | 31 | 68 | 1 | 1 |
| F13063 | 36 | 55 | 2 | 7 |
| F13065 | 55 | 42 | 0 | 3 |
| F13072 | 68 | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| FV16210-18 | 39 | 59 | 1 | 1 |
| FV16213-02 | 40 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| Kennebec | 22 | 65 | 7 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold East | 21 | 75 | 4 | 0 |
| Red/Purple-skinn | ed | | | |
| F12057 | 57 | 41 | 0 | 1 |
| F12062 | 48 | 49 | 0 | 3 |
| F12077 | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| F13049 | 51 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| F13050 | 54 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| F13051 | 35 | 64 | 1 | 0 |
| F13053 | 30 | 67 | 2 | 1 |
| F13054 | 18 | 81 | 1 | 1 |
| F13060 | 36 | 58 | 4 | 2 |
| Chieftain East | 23 | 74 | 2 | 1 |
| Norland East | 25 | 70 | 4 | 1 |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow/White-skinn | ed | | | |
| F12051 | 2.3 | 22.8 | 4.4 | 0.1 |
| F12059 | 2.5 | 22.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| F13047 | 5.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| F13058 | 3.6 | 28.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| F13063 | 2.4 | 16.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| F13065 | 7.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| F13072 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| FV16210-18 | 3.7 | 18.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| FV16213-02 | 4.0 | 20.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Kennebec | 1.3 | 19.5 | 6.4 | 1.7 |
| Yukon Gold East | 1.2 | 19.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| Red/Purple-skinn | ed | | | |
| F12057 | 7.4 | 17.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| F12062 | 5.4 | 15.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| F12077 | 4.2 | 28.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| F13049 | 6.2 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F13050 | 7.1 | 19.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| F13051 | 3.7 | 25.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| F13053 | 3.1 | 24.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| F13054 | 1.8 | 23.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| F13060 | 1.8 | 23.1 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| Chieftain East | 2.2 | 24.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Norland East | 2.5 | 27.4 | 4.1 | 0.5 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Black scurf was noted on many entries, and affected around 50% of the tubers in samples of F13060, FV16210-18 and FV16213-02.

Conclusions

The 2017 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 5 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare 18 French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Chieftain, Kennebec and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 18 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 209 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton, Kaylene MacKinnon and Anneliese Gietz for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company McCain Foods Old Dutch Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

> <u>Contact Information:</u> Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

> > and

Benoit Bizimungu, Ph.D. Potato Breeder Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre 850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 Benoit.Bizimungu@AGR.GC.CA

Appendix A Plot Plan

| AAFC - 2017 - Br | ooks | | | | | N | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|----|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 20 Seed pieces per re | w | | | | | | | Planted: May 16 | 6, 2017 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 12 x 105 = 12 | 260 m2 | | | | |
| Guard = Norland | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guard Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| <u> </u> | 1011 | | 1021 | 1031 | 1041 | 1051 | 2001 | 2011 | | 2021 | 2031 | 2041 |
| CV03366-1 | CV08087-2 | | F13008 | FV16213-02 | Shepody East | F13033 | Yukon Gold East | t F13033 | | F13054 | F12059 | F13063 |
| o 1002 | 1012 | | 1022 | 1032 | 1042 | | 2002 | 2012 | | 2022 | 2032 | 2042 |
| F13058 | F13047 | | CV011286-1 | FV16210-18 | CV011010-1 | Norland | F13020 | FV16028-03 | | F13036 | F13050 | F13009 |
| 1003 | 1013 | | 1023 | 1033 | 1043 | | 2003 | 2013 | | 2023 | 2033 | 2043 |
| CV10045-2 | Kennebec | | F13004 | CV10121-1 | F13063 | Norland | F13072 | F13047 | | F13015 | F13039 | FV16213-02 |
| m 1004 | 1014 | | 1024 | 1034 | 1044 | | 2004 | 2014 | | 2024 | 2034 | 2044 |
| F13039 | F13010 | | Shepody West | F13072 | R.Burbank East | Norland | CV10045-2 | F13010 | | F13058 | F13004 | Shepody East |
| 1005 | 1015 | | 1025 | 1035 | 1045 | | 2005 | 2015 | | 2025 | 2035 | 2045 |
| F13014 | F13007 | | F13009 | F13015 | CV011295-1 | Norland | Chieftain East | Snowden Eas | st | F13007 | F13008 | F13034 |
| , 1006 | 1016 | | 1026 | 1036 | 1046 | | 2006 | 2016 | | 2026 | 2036 | 2046 |
| R.Burbank West | F12077 | | F13034 | CV08253-1 | Chieftain East | Norland | FV16210-18 | F13065 | | F12062 | Atlantic East | F13049 |
| 1007 | 1017 | | 1027 | 1037 | 1047 | | 2007 | 2017 | | 2027 | 2037 | 2047 |
| F13054 | F12051 | | Norland East | Atlantic East | CV011188-1 | Norland | F12077 | CV011286-1 | | F12057 | F13060 | Shepody West |
| 1008 | 1018 | | 1028 | 1038 | 1048 | | 2008 | 2018 | | 2028 | 2038 | 2048 |
| FV16028-03 | F13065 | | F13060 | F13036 | F12059 | Norland | R.Burbank West | CV011295-1 | | F13053 | F13014 | R.Burbank Eas |
| m 1009 | 1019 | | 1029 | 1039 | 1049 | | 2009 | 2019 | | 2029 | 2039 | 2049 |
| Yukon Gold East | F13050 | | F13051 | F12057 | F13049 | Norland | F13051 | CV08253-1 | | CV011188-1 | CV08087-2 | F13026 |
| N 1010 | 1020 | | 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | 2051 | 2010 | 2020 | | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| F13020 | Snowden Ea | st | F13053 | F13026 | F12062 | CV03366-1 | CV10121-1 | F12051 | _ | CV011010-1 | Norland East | Kennebec |
| Guard 3 | m Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 3m Guard | 3m | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 6 m | | | | | 1 | 5m | | 6m | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| / | | | | | | | 105m | | | | | |



Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

AgriInnovation Program Stream B

2017-18 Annual Performance Report

For projects or activities that started late, it is expected that answers may be brief for some questions and not applicable or premature for other questions. Indicate "Not applicable" if the question is not relevant at this time.

| Name of Recipient: Alberta Agriculture and Forestry | |
|---|--|
| Project Title: | |
| Project Number: AIP- | Period Covered by Report: 2016-04-01 to 2017-03-31 |
| Activity #: 18 Name of Activity: Potato 17 | Principal Investigator: |

1. Performance Measures. See Annex A for an explanation of each measure.

| Innovation Items | Results Achieved | Provide a description (2-3 paragraphs) for each item produced and describe its importance to the target group or sector. Explain any variance between results achieved and targets. Use plain language. |
|--|---------------------|---|
| # of Intellectual property items flowing from the project | | |
| # of new/improved products | | |
| # of new/improved processes or systems | | |
| # of new/improved practices | | |
| # of new varieties | | Reported in key highlights for each area. |
| # of new/improved genetic materials | | |
| # of new/ improved gene sequences | | |
| # of improved knowledge | | Information provided to growers and industry members to aid in the decision making process of whether to grow a new variety for a new market, to replace a currently grown variety with a new line that will provide improved production or nutritional benefits. Development of production advice that will help growers improve economic and environmental sustainability when growing a new variety. |

| Information Items | Results Achieved | Provide the complete citation for each item. Please see Annex A for examples. |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |



| # of peer reviewed publications | | |
|---|----|---|
| # of information items | AB | Activity 18.v10 –Potato 17.v10 Canadian Potato Variety Evaluation Program – Alberta 2017 prepared by Michele Konschuh, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6. Sixteen sponsor-specific reports distributed to variety contributors. |
| # of media reports | | Not recorded. |
| # of information events | AB | Field Days, August 18, 2017 and August 24, 2017, Crop Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB; Mini-poster update presented to the Potato Growers of Alberta Annual General Meeting held November 14-16, 2017 in Red Deer by Michele Konschuh. |
| | | Provide the # of attendees |
| # of individuals attending information events | AB | Field day – 12; Field day - 30; Infographic for PGA meeting - 2017 |
| | | Provide the # of attendees who intended to adopt new information or technology |
| # of individuals attending information event who intend to adopt new innovation | | Not able to measure |
| | | Provide the name, degree completed and date of completion |
| # of persons who completed a M.Sc. or Ph.D. during project | | |

2. Executive Summary

The Executive summary contains two parts: Key highlights of activities and scientific results and Success story. Information may be used for internal and external communication purposes. Write for a general audience using plain language. Do not include sensitive or confidential information.

Key Highlights - This section describes the key activities and final scientific results of an activity/ project in such a way that readers can rapidly become acquainted with a large body of material without having to read it all. Include a brief statement of the problem(s), background information, concise analysis and main conclusions. Suggested length – maximum 1 page.

<u>Alberta</u>

In 2017, 137 varieties were evaluated for agronomic and culinary purposes. Varieties were provided by private industry and the AAFC national breeding program. AAFC evaluated 18 French fry, 5 chipping and 18 fresh market clones. Industry evaluated 38 creamer varieties, 8 chipping varieties, 18 French fry varieties, and 35 fresh market varieties. 17 entries were evaluated in alternate N plots to generate some agronomic information to support production of new varieties. Data collected included emergence data, stand count,



total yield, yield by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Some samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

Success Story - A success story presents a significant result or an important milestone achieved. It is intended to showcases achievements in applied research. Focus on research results, successful technology transfer, potential for pre-commercialization, and/or potential impact. A Success Story is not a progress report for each activity (suggested length 2 – 3 paragraphs).

3. Objectives/Outcomes (technical language is acceptable for this section)

Provide a brief summary that includes introduction, objectives, approach/methodology, deliverables/outputs, results and discussion, and any Ph.D or Master students recruited to work on the project.

Objective: There are three main types of trials being conducted under this project: 1) To help in the acceptance of new Canadian bred and foreign varieties, many that may be protected under Plant Breeders Rights, and sold in the domestic and/or export market. 2) To develop management protocols for newly released varieties being introduced into local areas. Information gained will help growers to produce these new varieties in the most productive and efficient manner possible to maximize both economic and environmental sustainability. Some of the parameters that may be looked at include variable fertilizer rates and spacing. 3) Nutrient Quality evaluation of new varieties and experimental lines.

Methodology: speicifcs varied slightly by location but randomized replicated plot design was used for field production. National grading standards were utilized to determine marketable yield, and standardized methods were used where specific gravity was measured. Various protocols were used to determine culinary quality in those trials where this was measured.

Outcome: Varieties will be grown under local growing conditions in participating provinces. Data from trials will be summarized and analysed and a report will be prepared. Promising new varieties or more efficient production methods could be adopted.

Results: Full reports provided to project collaborators. Results of project also shared via field days, grower and industry winter meetings, trade publications and peer reviewed journals. Information provided may be used by growers to determine potential new varieties they wish to evaluate on their own farm. As well results may be used by local variety agents to increase the commercial acreage of the varieties that they have the rights to by demonstrating the value a particular variety may have to a grower – either by satisfying a need in a niche market or improved production. Management profile trials provide growers with information that may help them produce specific varieties in a more economically and environmentally sustainable manner.



4. Issues

- Describe any challenges or concerns faced during the project. How were they overcome or how do you plan to overcome?
- Describe any potential changes to the work plan and the budget. How were or how will they be managed?

No variance to report. Trial planted, grown and harvested following commercial production practices common in local area. All data collected on time and as required.

Plan: no major changes planned – some issues to address re: financial reporting, approval of claims and dispersal of government funding to private contractors in a timely manner.

5. Lessons Learned:

Describe the key lessons learned gained as a result of executing the project (e.g., a more efficient approach to performing a specific task for activity / project).

The trial varieties change each year as clones/varieties are eliminated that didn't perform well and that new lines are introduced for evaluation trials and new varieties are chosen for management profile trials and nutritional analysis.

Those areas that had limited technology transfer of results via field days or presentations plan to expand these efforts in 2016.

In general, all the project collaborators are well positioned and have the necessary infrastructure and manpower in place to collaborate with breeders and the industry in potato adaptation trials.

6. Future Related Opportunities:

Describe the next steps for the innovation items produced by the activity/project. Is additional research required? Is there potential for commercialization or adoption?

In 2017, 3 of the entries for private industry sponsors were in the 2015 trial as AAFC selections, 6 had been evaluated by AAFC in 2016, and 8 were picked up from 2017 AAFC evaluations They were picked up for further industry evaluation through the Accelerated Release program of AAFC. Participants in the trial are not obligated to inform me if varieties are adopted and it takes years for significant acreage to develop from nuclear seed once a variety is introduced. The timelines for commercialization after selecting a variety put it largely outside the scope of the trial.



Annex A



| Innovation Items | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Measures | Description | | | |
| # of Intellectual property items flowing from the project | These include: declaration of invention, patent application, patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, signed license agreements, and royalties generated. This does not include IP for plant varieties; those should be reported under "# of new varieties" below. | | | |
| # of new/improved products | New products could include: a new commercial product, bacterial strain, cartographic product, cell culture, analysis certificate, computer software, database, enzyme, equipment/instrument, fertilizer, hormone, methodology, model, monoclonal antibody, pest control product, polyclonal antibody, standard reference-chemical, standard reference-biological, standard reference-plant, etc. | | | |
| # of new/improved processes or systems | This is the set of operations performed by equipment in which variables are monitored or controlled to produce an output. A combination of inter-related components or processes is arranged to perform a specific function and generate a given outcome. | | | |
| # of new/improved practices | This is for a research that generated new knowledge that can be applied directly on the ground by the sector. This is mostly for new agronomic practices but can also cover new practices by processors. | | | |
| # of new varieties | This includes registered varieties, cultivars, or breeds. This includes invention disclosure, protection and license for new plant varieties. For each new variety, please provide the registration number and the variety name. | | | |
| # of new/improved genetic materials | This could include genetic map and gene probes. Include new varieties, cultivars or breeds in category "New varieties." | | | |
| # of new/ improved gene sequences | The discovery of order of bases of a DNA [segment] making up a gene. | | | |
| # of improved knowledge | This category is for reporting results following completion of the final year of the activity, or results against an activity's improved knowledge target. It is intended for results that do not fit in any of the above categories. | | | |
| | Information Items | | | |
| Performance Measures | Description | | | |
| # of peer reviewed publications | These are published items such as: research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. Items that are not yet published (ex. manuscripts in development or review) should not be reported. | | | |
| | For each reported item, please provide the following: author(s), year of publication, article title, title of journal, volume (issue), and page number(s). | | | |
| | If the item is a book or a book chapter, add name of publisher. | | | |
| | If the item is an article for conference proceedings, add title of published proceedings, location, and year/month/day. | | | |
| # of information items | Information items include: posters, abstracts, pieces in publications such as trade journals, articles in industry magazines or press, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications. If an item is published in a medium whose audience is the general public, it should be reported in the # of media reports category below. | | | |
| | For each reported item, please provide the following: author(s), article title, title of magazine/trade publication etc., page number(s), type of information item such as poster or abstract or guide etc., and year/month/day. | | | |



| # of media reports | Examples include articles or interviews about project results in media such as newspaper, tv, radio, and internet (announcements about project funding are excluded). (These are items prepared by a third party, usually with input by the project). If an item is published in an industry journal, newspaper, or magazine, it should be reported in the # of information items category above. |
|---|---|
| | For each reported item, please provide the following: author(s), article title, name of interviewee(s), source of reports (TV or radio interview etc.), and year/month/day. |
| # of information events | These are events such as a scientific meeting, symposium, conference, industry meeting, or field day where a project participant has been invited to present a talk or presentation directly related to the activity. For each reported item, please provide the following: name of presenter, title of presentation, name of the event, location, and year/month/day. |
| # of individuals attending information events | Please provide the number of attendees per event. |
| # of individuals attending information event who intend to adopt new innovation | Please provide the number of attendees intending to adopt the new innovation per event. |
| # of persons who completed a MSc or PhD during project | Only students who completed their MSc or PhD in the last year should be included in this category. For each reported graduate, please provide the following: the name of the student, degree completed and date of completion. |



AgriInnovation Program Stream B

2016-17 Annual Performance Report

For projects or activities that started in 2015-2016, it is expected that answers may be brief for some questions and not applicable or premature for other questions. Indicate "Not applicable" if the question is not relevant at this time.

| Name of Recipient: | |
|---|--|
| Project Title: CHC Cluster 2 | |
| Project Number: AIP- | Period Covered by Report: 2015-04-01 to 2016-03- 31 |
| Activity #: 18 Name of Activity: Potato 17 | Principal Investigator: Mary Kay Sonier |

1. Performance Measures. See Annex A for an explanation of each measure.

| Innovation Items | Results Achieved | Provide a description (2-3 paragraphs) for each item produced and describe its importance to the target group or sector. Explain any variance between results achieved and targets. Use plain language. |
|--|---------------------|---|
| # of Intellectual property items flowing from the project | n/a | |
| # of new/improved products | n/a | |
| # of new/improved processes or systems | n/a | |
| # of new/improved practices | n/a | |
| # of new varieties | | Reported in key highlights for each area. |
| # of new/improved genetic materials | n/a | |
| # of new/ improved gene sequences | n/a | |
| # of improved knowledge | | Information provided to growers and industry members to aid in the decision making process of whether to grow a new variety for a new market, to replace a currently grown variety with a new line that will provide improved production or nutritional benefits. Development of production advice that will help growers improve economic and environmental sustainability when growing a new variety. |

| Information Items | Results Achieved | Provide the complete citation for each item |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| # of peer reviewed publications | ON | |



| # of information items | <mark>BC</mark> | Summary report is published in the proceedings for the LMHIA meeting. A report is sent via email or fax to all BC potato growers by ES Crop Consult Ltd. |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | AB | Activity 18.v10 – Potato 17.v10 Canadian Potato Variety Evaluation Program – Alberta 2016 prepared by Michele Konschuh, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Crop Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB T1R 1E6. Twelve sponsor-specific reports distributed to variety |
| | <mark>MB</mark> | contributors. |
| | ON | FINAL REPORT: Canadian Horticulture Council Canadian Agri- Science Cluster For Horticulture 2, Activity 18 Potato 17 Project title: Evaluation and Adaptation of French fry potato varieties for Manitoba. Project leader: Darin Gibson, Gaia Consulting Ltd. Collaborators: Stephen Paget, J. R. Simplot Company, Alan Christison, McCain Foods. The report was published in a bound printed publication and distributed at the Keystone Potato Producers Association spring meeting. |
| | | J. A. Sullivan and V. Currie. <i>2014. Ontario Cooperative Potato</i> <i>Variety Trials 2013</i> . Report to Ontario Potato Board Annual General Meeting. Dec 3, 2014. Cambridge ON. |
| | QC | Activity code and title: Potato – 17.v10: Canadian Potato Variety Evaluation Program, Name of Researchers: Dr. Rickey Y. Yada, Dr. Reena Grittle Pinhero, Dept. of Food Science, University of Guelph, Ontario. 4. ON – Nutrient Quality Evaluation of Processing and Table Stock Potatoes Potato Variety Evaluation in Quebec prepared by PROGEST 2001 INC., . 6833 Marie-Victorin, Sainte-Croix, Québec, GOS 2H0, André Gagnon <i>Research Coordinator, M.Sc.,</i> Sophie |
| | PEI | Massie <i>Project Manager, agr., M.Sc.</i> Report provided to all trial collaborators and suppliers of clones and varieties. Potato Cultivar Evaluation Trial Report – 2014, January 23, 2015, Collaborators: David Main, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Mary Kay Sonier, PEI Potato Board Report distributed to all collaborators and article summarizing trial results published in trade magazine <i>Prince Edward</i> <i>Island Potato Naws</i> |
| # of media reports | | Not recorded |
| | | Provide the name of the event and the participant, and the title of the presentation |
| # of information events | BC | Presentation at ES Crop Consult Potato AGM – made by Heather Meberg, ES Crop Consult Ltd. Presentation on trials made at grower short course at LMHIA Ag Show, January 2015, H. Meberg, ES Crop Consult Inc. |
| | АВ | Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road Diversification Centre South, 301 Horticultural Station Road East, Brooks, AB; Mini-poster update presented at the Potato Growers of Alberta Annual General Meeting held November 14-16, 2016 by Michele Konschuh. |



| | MB | Preliminary Results were presented to the Manitoba Potato Research Committee Results Meeting in December and then to the entire grower base at their spring meeting in March. <i>Tour of New Varieties for the Ontario Market</i> - Vanessa Currie, Potato Research Field Day. August 13, 2014. Elora, ON <i>New</i> |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | Shelburne Potato Day. September 12, 2014. Shelburne Ontario. Vanessa Currie and Reena Pinhero attended and highlighted trial results with growers. |
| | | Ontario Potato Board District 1 Annual Meeting, October 27, 2014. Leamington Ontario. Vanessa Currie discussed collaborative opportunities and research highlights with growers. |
| | | Ontario Potato Board District 2 Annual Meeting, November 10, 2014, Grand Bend, Ontario. Vanessa Currie discussed collaborative opportunities and research highlights with growers. |
| | | Potato Varieties for the Ontario Market |
| | | Agricultural Adaptation Council Annual General Meeting. Dec 11, 2014. Guelph ON. |
| | | Ontario Potato Conference. March 5, 2015. Guelph ON. Vanessa Currie and Reena Pinhero attended and highlighted research with growers. |
| | PEI | Variety Trial Field Day, August 29, 2015, AAFC Harrington Research Farm, PEI. |
| | | Provide the # of attendees |
| # of individuals attending information events | BC AB MB ON QC PEI | 125 Field day – 12; Field day - 30; Presentation at PGA meeting - 200 3 sponsors Research Station field day – 45, On-farm field day – 200 0 35 |
| | | Provide the # of attendees who intended to adopt new information or technology |
| # of individuals attending information event who intend to adopt new innovation | | Not able to measure |
| | | Provide the name and the degree completed |
| # of persons who completed a M.Sc. or Ph.D. during project | n/a | |

2. Executive Summary

The Executive summary contains two parts: Key highlights of activities and scientific results and Success story. Information may be used for internal and external communication purposes. Write for a general audience using plain language. Do not include sensitive or confidential information.



Key Highlights - This section describes the key activities and final scientific results of an activity/ project in such a way that readers can rapidly become acquainted with a large body of material without having to read it all. Include a brief statement of the problem(s), background information, concise analysis and main conclusions. Suggested length – maximum 1 page.

<u>British Columbia</u>

The BC Potato Variety Trial project demonstrates new varieties that may be of interest to our local growers. The 2014 variety trial included 52 varieties in the replicated trial. Five standards, 11 numbered varieties and 36 new to BC varieties were included in the agronomic portion of this trial for evaluation under BC growing conditions. There were three replicated plots and a demonstration plot for each variety. The goal of the project is to identify new potato varieties that will work in the BC marketplace and under local growing conditions that growers will be able to grow and market profitably.

Alberta

In 2016, 136 varieties were evaluated for agronomic and culinary purposes. Varieties were provided by private industry and the AAFC national breeding program. Data collected included emergence data, stand count, total yield, yield by size category relevant to end-use, specific gravity, internal defects, external deformities, and culinary evaluations. Some samples were returned to stakeholders for bruise testing, storage assessments or acrylamide testing by the stakeholders. Local production data supports adoption of new potato varieties that will enhance the competitiveness of our potato industry.

Manitoba

Three potato adaptation trials were conducted at an irrigated site near Carman, Manitoba in 2014 in order to study the effect of nitrogen levels and plant spacing on the tuber yield, size profile and quality. Blazer Russet, Classic Russet and Bannock Russet were included in this research.

Objectives:

- To test potato varieties to determine their potential as replacement varieties for those presently grown in Manitoba for French fry processing.
- To determine yield, grade and quality response of three potato varieties to three levels of N fertility and three in-row seed spacings.
- To evaluate the interaction between variety, seed piece spacing and N fertility.
- To develop management strategies for these varieties under Manitoba conditions.

Conclusions:

- Statistically significant differences were detected for total yield. Higher N rate and tighter spacing produced higher yield.
- No treatment differences were found for average tuber size.
- Incidence of hollow heart was not influenced by N rate or spacing.
- Very low specific gravity and high tissue NO₃ levels suggest immaturity.
- No treatment differences were found for any French fry parameters.
- Spacing effects could be exaggerated, as seed rot effectively made average spacing longer.
- No interaction (N x Spacing) effects were detected.

<u>Ontario</u>

Ontario Cooperative Potato Variety Trials 2014 – replicated plots are grown at the university of Guelph and on-farm demonstration plots are grown by potato industry co-operators: H.J. Vanderzaag Farms, Alliston and Dave Vanderzaag Farms, Shelburne. Seed from seven USA states, and six Canadian provinces and three European Seed companies of their promising new cultivars were provided and in addition the Ontario Seed Potato Growers' Association re-entered two new accelerated release lines. Ninety-seven lines, including standards, were included in the trials.

Nutrient Quality Evaluation of Processing and Table Stock Potatoes - The overall objective of the experiments were to select chipping potato varieties and elite clones from the potato breeding



programs for chipping quality attributes such as color, glucose, sucrose, higher nutritional quality such as high antioxidant potential and low asparagine content after storage in a commercial storage facility at 12°C as well as select varieties suitable for low temperature storage at 5°C. Twenty varieties including 15 elite clones, two colored potatoes and three existing commercial varieties were grown in a replicated field trial at the Elora Research Station. In addition, two existing commercial varieties Beacon Chipper and Waneta (NY138) were also grown in Beeton and Alliston, respectively, to study the effect of location.

Highest mean specific gravity was registered for W5015-12, Tundra, W2717-5, W8641-4 and W8822-3 while lowest values were obtained for F06058 followed by F06053. Highest chip scores were obtained for Beacon Chipper, W8603-1, Snowden, Waneta, W6822-3, W6822-3, W5955-1, Tundra and W8587-4 whereas chip scores for F06058 and F06053 were the lowest. Glucose and sucrose contents varied significantly between varieties and the highest values were obtained for F06058 and F06053. Among the top ten varieties with lowest glucose contents recorded consistently over the study period were Tundra, Waneta, W2715-5, W8867-5, W2438-3Y, W8848-3 and W6822-3. Also Tundra, Nicolette, W2438-3Y and W5955-1 had significantly lower sucrose contents for most of the storage periods during the study period. Total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities of potato dry matter and chips were significantly higher for F06058 and F06053. Varieties with the highest total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities besides F06058 and F06053 were Beacon Chipper, Waneta, W2438-3Y, Nicolette, W8615-5, and Tundra. Similarly, chips with the highest total phenolic content and antioxidant activities during most of the storage periods were derived from varieties F06058, F06053W2438-3Y, Nicolette, W8615-5, W8641-4, Beacon Chipper and Waneta. The asparagine content of potatoes did not differ significantly among varieties, only W8641-4 showed lower asparagine contents during storage periods studied. The low temperature storage study did not show any promising varieties suitable for storage at low temperature of 5°C.

Quebec

OBJECTIVES

- Gather information on the agronomic performance of new potato genotypes
- Collect information on external and internal tuber quality of new potato genotypes
- Collect information on cooking quality of new potato genotypes

The trial included potato clones from the Accelerate Release Program (AR) from Fredericton and Lethbridge research station of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC). Clones from the NE1231 program (Eastern Potato Variety Development Projects) were also evaluated. Clones from Cornell University, Michigan University, Wisconsin-Madison University and Progest 2001 respective breeding program were also integrated to the trials. Known varieties, chosen for their special characteristics, were used as controls: Andover, Chieftain, Goldrush, Snowden and Superior. A total of 66 genotypes were used in this trial.

Prince Edward Island

Collaborators – PEI Potato Board and AAFC Crop & Livestock Research Centre – Charlottetown – experimental and recently released cultivars were evaluated for production under PEI environmental conditions. Plotswere grow in a randomized replicate d plot design and evaluated for emergance, yield in different size categories, specific gravity and visual defects. Fresh Market: (1) Yellow-fleshed – Seven out of the ten entries had marketable yields significantly greater than the standard cultivar Yukon Gold. 70% of the entries had total yields greater than the 2014 PEI average yield of 32.5 t/ha; (2) Red-skinned - Two out of the four entries equalled the standard cultivar Norland in marketable yield. All four had total yields greater than the 2014 PEI average; (3) Round-whites – Only one out of the five entries statistically outperformed the standard cultivar Superior in marketable yield. All five had total yields higher than the 2014 PEI average; (4) Russet-skinned - Two out of the six entries had marketable yields greater than the standard cultivar Goldrush. All six had total yields greater than the 2014 PEI average. Chip: Neither entry could equal the



standard cultivar Atlantic in marketable yield. All three had total yields greater than the 2014 PEI average. Russet Burbank Clones: Two of the five clones outperformed the PEI standard clone in marketable yield. All clones had total yields above the 2014 PEI average.

Success Story - A success story presents a significant result or an important milestone achieved. It is intended to showcases achievements in applied research. Focus on research results, successful technology transfer, potential for pre-commercialization, and/or potential impact. A Success Story is not a progress report for each activity (suggested length 2 – 3 paragraphs).

Not recorded.

3. Objectives/Outcomes (technical language is acceptable for this section)

Provide a brief summary that includes introduction, objectives, approach/methodology, deliverables/outputs, results and discussion, and any Ph.D or Master students recruited to work on the project.

Objective: There are three main types of trials being conducted under this project: 1) To help in the acceptance of new Canadian bred and foreign varieties, many that may be protected under Plant Breeders Rights, and sold in the domestic and/or export market. 2) To develop management protocols for newly released varieties being introduced into local areas. Information gained will help growers to produce these new varieties in the most productive and efficient manner possible to maximize both economic and environmental sustainability. Some of the parameters that may be looked at include variable fertilizer rates and spacing. 3) Nutrient Quality evaluation of new varieties and experimental lines.

Methodology: speicifcs varied slightly by location but randomized replicated plot design was used for field production. National grading standards were utilized to determine marketable yield, and standardized methods were used where specific gravity was measured. Various protocols were used to determine culinary quality in those trials where this was measured.

Outcome: Varieties will be grown under local growing conditions in participating provinces. Data from trials will be summarized and analysed and a report will be prepared. Promising new varieties or more efficient production methods could be adopted.

Results: Full reports provided to project collaborators. Results of project also shared via field days, grower and industry winter meetings, trade publications and peer reviewed journals. Information provided may be used by growers to determine potential new varieties they wish to evaluate on their own farm. As well results may be used by local variety agents to increase the commercial acreage of the varieties that they have the rights to by demonstrating the value a particular variety may have to a grower – either by satisfying a need in a niche market or improved production. Management profile trials provide growers with information that may help them produce specific varieties in a more economically and environmentally sustainable manner.

4. Issues

- Describe any challenges or concerns faced during the project. How were they overcome or how do you plan to overcome?
- Describe any potential changes to the work plan and the budget. How were or how will they be managed?



No variance to report. Trial planted, grown and harvested following commercial production practices common in local area. All data collected on time and as required.

Plan: no major changes planned – some issues to address re: financial reporting, approval of claims and dispersal of government funding to private contractors in a timely manner.

5. Lessons Learned:

Describe the key lessons learned gained as a result of executing the project (e.g., a more efficient approach to performing a specific task for activity / project).

The trial varieties change each year as clones/varieties are eliminated that didn't perform well and that new lines are introduced for evaluation trials and new varieties are chosen for management profile trials and nutritional analysis.

Those areas that had limited technology transfer of results via field days or presentations plan to expand these efforts in 2016.

In general, all the project collaborators are well positioned and have the necessary infrastructure and manpower in place to collaborate with breeders and the industry in potato adaptation trials.

6. Future Related Opportunities:

Describe the next steps for the innovation items produced by the activity/project. Is additional research required? Is there potential for commercialization or adoption?

A step should be introduced to track the adoption of new varieties and acreage grown of new varieties that are being adopted by industry.

In 2016, 3 of the entries for private industry sponsors were in the 2015 trial as AAFC selections and 7 had been evaluated by AAFC in 2014. They were picked up for further industry evaluation through the Accelerated Release program of AAFC. Thirteen varieties that were evaluated in the project in 2016 were showcased for fresh market producers at storage workshops in Alberta in early 2017. Participants in the trial are not obligated to inform me if varieties are adopted and it takes years for significant acreage to develop from nuclear seed once a variety is introduced. The timelines for commercialization after selecting a variety put it largely outside the scope of the trial.



Annex A

| Innovation Items | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Performance Measures | Description | | |
| # of Intellectual property items flowing from the project | These include; declaration of invention, patent application, patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, signed license agreements, and royalties generated. This does not include IP for plant varieties. | | |
| # of new/improved products | New products could include: a new commercial product, bacterial strain, cartographic product, cell culture, analysis certificate, computer software, database, enzyme, equipment/instrument, fertilizer, hormone, methodology, model, monoclonal antibody, pest control product, polyclonal antibody, standard reference-chemical, standard reference-biological, standard reference-plant, etc. | | |
| # of new/improved processes or systems | This is the set of operations performed by equipment in which variables are monitored or controlled to produce an output. A combination of inter-related components or processes is arranged to perform a specific function and generate a given outcome. | | |
| # of new/improved practices | This is for a research that generated new knowledge that can be applied directly on the ground by the sector. This is mostly for new agronomic practices but can also cover new practices by processors. | | |
| # of new varieties | This includes varieties, cultivars, or breeds. This includes invention disclosure, protection and license for new plant varieties. | | |
| # of new/improved genetic materials | This could include genetic map and gene probes. Include new varieties, cultivars or breeds in category "New varieties." | | |
| # of new/ improved gene sequences | The discovery of order of bases of a DNA [segment] making up a gene. | | |
| # of improved knowledge | This category is for results that do not fit in any previous categories, and is normally used at the end of a research. For example, the innovation from a project aimed at defining the composition and properties of sugars in maple | | |
| | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. | | |
| | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items | | |
| Performance Measures | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications # of information items | Syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. These types of items include non-peer-reviewed scientific publications (posters, abstracts), and publications such as trade journal publications, popularizing articles in magazines, press articles, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, posters, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications (media items are not included here – see next). | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications # of information items # of media reports | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. These types of items include non-peer-reviewed scientific publications (posters, abstracts), and publications such as trade journal publications, popularizing articles in magazines, press articles, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, posters, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications (media items are not included here – see next). Examples include articles or interviews about project results in media such as newspaper, tv, radio, and internet (announcements about project funding are excluded). (These are items prepared by a third party, usually with input by the project). | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications # of information items # of media reports # of information events | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. These types of items include non-peer-reviewed scientific publications (posters, abstracts), and publications such as trade journal publications, popularizing articles in magazines, press articles, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, posters, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications (media items are not included here – see next). Examples include articles or interviews about project results in media such as newspaper, tv, radio, and internet (announcements about project funding are excluded). (These are items prepared by a third party, usually with input by the project). These are events such as a scientific meeting, symposium, conference, industry meeting, or field day where a project participant has been invited to present a talk or presentation. Provide the name of the event and a reference to the talk or presentation. | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications # of information items # of information items # of media reports # of information events # of individuals attending information events | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. These types of items include non-peer-reviewed scientific publications (posters, abstracts), and publications such as trade journal publications, popularizing articles in magazines, press articles, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, posters, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications (media items are not included here – see next). Examples include articles or interviews about project results in media such as newspaper, tv, radio, and internet (announcements about project funding are excluded). (These are items prepared by a third party, usually with input by the project). These are events such as a scientific meeting, symposium, conference, industry meeting, or field day where a project participant has been invited to present a talk or presentation. Provide the name of the event and a reference to the talk or presentation. | | |
| Performance Measures # of peer reviewed publications # of information items # of information items # of media reports # of information events # of individuals attending information events # of individuals attending information event who intend to adopt new innovation | syrup would fit under improved knowledge. Information Items Description These are items such as research papers published in scientific journals, books, book chapters, review articles, conference proceedings, research notes, or other that receive peer-review. These types of items include non-peer-reviewed scientific publications (posters, abstracts), and publications such as trade journal publications, popularizing articles in magazines, press articles, industrial reports (confidential or not), technical bulletins, posters, brochures, guides, flyers, newsletters, other technical transfer publications (media items are not included here – see next). Examples include articles or interviews about project results in media such as newspaper, tv, radio, and internet (announcements about project funding are excluded). (These are items prepared by a third party, usually with input by the project). These are events such as a scientific meeting, symposium, conference, industry meeting, or field day where a project participant has been invited to present a talk or presentation. Provide the name of the event and a reference to the talk or presentation. | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2017 CDCS, Brooks, AB

N Response Chipping Potatoes

> Prepared for: Old Dutch Foods

> > Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

April 17, 2018

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.085). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars are also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 180 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 100 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for chip processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new chipping varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (100 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Low N plots received an additional top-dressing (15 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 100 lbs/ac N. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (189 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 180 lbs/ac N. Within each level of nitrogen, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plans, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) was applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 30, 2017 (Low N Main) and May 29, 2017 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 7 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 25 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB June 26, 2017.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 1 to the Low N and Medium N plots. The Low N plots were harvested September 14 to 15, 2017 and Moderate N plots were harvested September 12 to 13 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Chipping tubers were stored at 14.5° C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 - 88mm, and over 88mm). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 - 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 10° C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for chip color using a Hunter Colorimeter in October 2017.

Marketable potatoes were made available to cooperators for additional storage evaluations, but data will not be provided here.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for each variety at different levels of N.

Results and Discussion - Chippers

Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2017. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Chipping varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) AC Hamer, b) AC Vigor, c) ODF007, d) ASPI17-5, e) Atlantic, f) Destiny, g) ODF009, and h) Monticello.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. When grown on moderate nitrogen (180 lbs/ac), total yield ranged from 22.4 ton/ac for ODF009 to over 30 ton/ac for AC Vigor and ASPI17-5. The yields of Destiny and ODF009 were significantly lower than yield of ASPI17-5, Atlantic and AC Vigor, but were not statistically different from Monticello or other cultivars in the trial. When grown on low N (100 lbs/ac), yield ranged from 24.1 ton/ac for Destiny and ODF009 to over 30 ton/ac for ODF007, but none of the yields were statistically different at this level of N. ASPI17-5 yielded significantly more on medium N (180 lbs/ac) compared to the low rate of N (100 lbs/ac) indicating that nitrogen fertilizer is required to optimize yield. On moderate N, specific gravity of tubers ranged from 1.088 for AC Hamer and AC Vigor to 1.107 for ODF009. Specific gravities ranged from 1.093 for ASPI17-5 to 1.109 for ODF009 when grown on lower N. All specific gravity measurements were above the threshold for light chip color, in fact, many were

perhaps too high. In 2017, the specific gravity of four entries were significantly reduced when grown on the moderate rate of N, AC Hamer, ODF007, Atlantic, and Destiny.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific | gravity for each chipping | variety grown on approximately |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 100 lbs/ac nitro | ogen (Low N). Data show | n is the mean of four replicates. |
| Data followed by the same letter in each column of the | e table are not significantl | y different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |
| | \mathbf{x} , 11 (1) | 00 |

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| AC Hamer | 26.6 abc | 1.088 c‡ |
| AC Vigor | 30.3 ab | 1.088 c |
| ODF007 | 27.9 abc | 1.097 bŧ |
| ASPI17-5 | 32.0 aŧ | 1.087 c |
| Atlantic | 28.9 ab | 1.097 bŧ |
| Destiny | 22.6 c | 1.101 ab ‡ |
| ODF009 | 22.4 c | 1.107 a |
| Monticello | 24.7 bc | 1.103 ab |
| Low N | | |
| AC Hamer | 24.4 a | 1.105 aŧ |
| AC Vigor | 26.7 a | 1.097 bc |
| ODF007 | 30.2 a | 1.103 ab‡ |
| ASPI17-5 | 25.4 aŧ | 1.093 c |
| Atlantic | 26.9 a | 1.106 a ‡ |
| Destiny | 24.1 a | 1.108 aŧ |
| ODF009 | 24.1 a | 1.109 a |
| Monticello | 26.7 a | 1.108 a |

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3. The majority of tubers for each variety fell into the marketable category (48 – 88mm) for all cultivars except Destiny whether grown on moderate or low N. AC Hamer and Destiny produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers in the small size category and a significantly lower percentage of medium sized tubers compared to the standard cultivars when grown on moderate N. When grown on moderate N, AC Hamer, Destiny and ASPI17-5 produced a significantly higher percentage of small tubers than the standard entries. All of the entries produced significantly lower percentages of oversized tubers than Atlantic grown on low N. ASPI17-5 was the only variety with a significant shift in the percentage of tubers in each size category as a response to N fertility, with a shift toward larger tuber size in response to moderate N.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48mm | 48 to 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 44.8 b | 54.5 c | 0.3 b | 0.5 a |
| AC Vigor | 26.3 c | 71.0 ab | 1.8 b | 0.5 a |
| ODF007 | 28.8 c | 69.8 ab | 0.5 b | 1.3 a |
| ASPI17-5 | 31.0 cŧ | 66.3 abc‡ | 2.3 ab‡ | 0.5 a |
| Atlantic | 14.8 d | 78.0 a | 6.3 a | 0.8 a |
| Destiny | 59.3 a | 40.3 d | 0.0 b | 0.8 a |
| ODF009 | 35.0 bc | 63.5 bc | 1.3 b | 0.0 a |
| Monticello | 28.8 c | 68.3 ab | 3.0 ab | 0.0 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 42.3 a | 57.3 bc | 0.2b | 0.3 b |
| AC Vigor | 26.8 b | 72.3 a | 0.5 b | 0.0 b |
| ODF007 | 25.8 b | 71.5 ab | 1.5 b | 1.0 b |
| ASPI17-5 | 41.5 aŧ | 52.3 c‡ | 0.0 b‡ | 6.3 a |
| Atlantic | 19.8 b | 71.3 ab | 7.3 a | 2.0 ab |
| Destiny | 49.3 a | 49.5 c | 0.0 b | 1.3 b |
| ODF009 | 28.8 b | 69.3 ab | 0.3 b | 1.0 b |
| Monticello | 27.0 b | 70.5 ab | 2.0 b | 0.5 b |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 4. Yield of medium sized tubers ranged from 14.7 ton/ac for Destiny to 26.2 ton/ac for ASPI17-5 on the moderate N plots. Yield of medium potatoes ranged from 18.1 ton/ac for ASPI17-5 to 25.7 ton/ac for ODF007 when grown on low N plots. When grown at a moderate rate of N, Destiny yielded significantly higher yield of tubers under 48mm than other cultivars and significantly lower yield of tubers of marketable size than other entries. Atlantic yielded more tubers over 88mm than other varieties at both levels of N. There were no significantly more deformed tubers than other cultivars. When grown on moderate rates of N, ASPI17-5 produced significantly more deformed tubers than other cultivars. When grown on moderate rates of N, ASPI17-5 produced significantly greater yields of tubers 48 to 88mm and over 88mm than when grown on low N.

| Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 41mm, and deformed tubers) |
|--|
| for each chipping variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (100 |
| lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table |
| are not significantly different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| CDCS | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Moderate N | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 4.9 b | 21.4 ab | 0.2 b | 0.1 a |
| AC Vigor | 2.9 c | 25.3 a | 1.6 b | 0.5 a |
| ODF007 | 3.4 c | 23.6 a | 0.5 b | 0.4 a |
| ASPI17-5 | 3.4 c | 26.2 a‡ | 2.2 ab‡ | 0.3 a |
| Atlantic | 1.4 d | 22.0 ab | 4.9 a | 0.5 a |
| Destiny | 7.6 a | 14.7 c | 0.0 b | 0.3 a |
| ODF009 | 3.4 c | 17.8 bc | 1.2 b | 0.0 a |
| Monticello | 2.5 cd | 20.5 ab | 1.8 b | 0.0 a |
| Low N | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 5.0 ab | 19.0 a | 0.2 b | 0.2 b |
| AC Vigor | 2.7 cd | 23.1 a | 0.7 b | 0.2 b |
| ODF007 | 2.6 cd | 25.7 a | 1.6 b | 0.3 b |
| ASPI17-5 | 4.5 abc | 18.1 a‡ | 0.0 bŧ | 2.8 a |
| Atlantic | 1.5 d | 20.1 a | 4.9 a | 0.3 b |
| Destiny | 5.8 a | 17.9 a | 0.0 b | 0.4 b |
| ODF009 | 3.2 bcd | 20.2 a | 0.4 b | 0.3 b |
| Monticello | 2.8 cd | 22.0 a | 1.8 b | 0.1 b |

 \ddagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p ≤ 0.05 level.

Tubers were assessed subjectively for Uniformity of Size and Overall Appearance. Scores are presented in Table 5. There were no significant differences in Uniformity of Size between cultivars grown at either rate of N. At a moderate rate of N, Destiny was scored significantly lower in overall appearance compared to other cultivars. At a lower rate of N, Atlantic scored lowest for overall appearance. AC Hamer, AC Vigor and Monticello scored significantly better than Atlantic for overall appearance. In 2017, there were no significant differences in overall appearance scores by cultivars between low and moderate N.

| Table 5: Subjective tuber assessments: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the |
|--|
| same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and |
| uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates. |

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Moderate N | | |
| AC Hamer | 3.8 a | 3.8 a |
| AC Vigor | 3.5 a | 3.5 a |
| ODF007 | 3.8 a | 3.5 a |
| ASPI17-5 | 3.5 a | 3.3 a |
| Atlantic | 2.3 a | 2.5 ab |
| Destiny | 2.5 a | 1.8 b |
| ODF009 | 3.0 a | 3.3 a |
| Monticello | 3.0 a | 3.3 a |
| Low N | | |
| AC Hamer | 3.3 a | 3.3 ab |
| AC Vigor | 3.3 a | 3.5 a |
| ODF007 | 3.0 a | 2.8 abc |
| ASPI17-5 | | |
| Atlantic | 2.0 a | 2.0 c |
| Destiny | 2.8 a | 2.3 bc |
| ODF009 | 3.3 a | 3.0 abc |
| Monticello | 3.0 a | 3.3 ab |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

²Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

 \ddagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \leq 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis and scab. At the moderate rate of N, very few tubers exhibited hollow heart or brown center. Many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but these were not tested for wilt organisms. Some level of black scurf was noted on several entries, especially AC Hamer, but no seed treatment was used in the trial. Common scab was noted on isolated tubers from a number of samples, including ODF007, ASPI17-5, and Monticello. At the low rate of N, very few tubers exhibited hollow heart or brown centre. A few tubers showed some stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration. Internal necrosis was evident in a small percentage of ODF007, Atlantic, and Monticello. Black scurf was noted on AC Hamer, AC Vigor, Atlantic, and Destiny, but no seed treatment was used in the trail. Scab was present at low levels on AC Vigor, Atlantic, Destiny and Monticello. Two cultivars in the low N trial, ODF009 and Atlantic, showed signs of white knot, which is often present in tubers with exceptionally high dry matter.

Chip colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 6. All of the samples gave excellent chip scores in 2017. A higher L-value indicates a lighter chip. At the moderate rate of N, the lightest chips were produced from ASPI17-5 and AC Hamer. At the low rate of N, the lightest chips were produced from AC Vigor, AC Hamer and Destiny. AC Hamer, AC Vigor, Destiny, ODF009 and Monticello had lighter chips when grown with low N, while Atlantic and ODF007 produced lighter chips from the moderate N plots. These are composite samples from one year of testing and additional testing may be required to determine optimal agronomic conditions for chip quality.

| | L (Moderate N) | L (Low N) |
|------------|----------------|-----------|
| AC Hamer | 69.9 | 72.3 |
| AC Vigor | 67.4 | 72.3 |
| ODF007 | 65.2 | 57.2 |
| ASPI17-5 | 69.0 | n/a |
| Atlantic | 68.8 | 64.0 |
| Destiny | 68.1 | 72.6 |
| ODF009 | 65.4 | 69.3 |
| Monticello | 63.6 | 68.0 |

Table 6: Chip colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown at moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (100 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample evaluated on a Hunter Colorimeter (L is a lightness score; higher numbers are lighter).

Conclusions

The 2017 variety trial included 5 chipping potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello were included in the trial as check varieties at both rates of N.

Total yield of Destiny and ODF009 was significantly lower than that of Atlantic when provided with moderate rates of N, but differences in total yield were not significant on the low N plots. Specific gravity was significantly higher for ODF007, Destiny, AC Hamer and ODF009 grown on low N compared to moderate N.

Yield of marketable sized tubers was greatest for ASPI17-5, although only significantly higher than Destiny and Kibbbitz on moderate N. This variety also responded positively to additional N.

All samples gave excellent chip colour. On Moderate N plots, the lightest chips were observed for ASPI17-5 and AC Hamer. On low N plots, AC Hamer, AC Vigor and Destiny had the highest chip scores.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton, Kaylene MacKinnon and Anneliese Gietz for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods McCain Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

Appendix A Plot Plan

| Low | N Variet | y Tria | al 2017 - | Septe | mber ha | rvest | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|---------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|------------------|----|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|---|------|------------------|----------|--------|--|--|
| 20 Se | ed pieces | per rov | v | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ν | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 24 X 66 | - 158 | 1 m2 | | _ | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 24 × 00 | = 150 | 4 1112 | | _ | | | _ | Guard - Pi | uccot [| Purban | | |
| .+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guar | rd | | Guar | ď | | Guar | d | | | |
| 33 | 1001 | | 1011 | | 1021 | | 1031 | | 2001 | | 2011 | | | 2021 | | | 2031 | L | | | |
| | PGP17-2 | | TT17-3 | | TT17-2 | | Monticell | 0 | TT17-10 | | ODF009 | | | RV013 | | | Yukon Go | id | | | |
| 22 | 1002 | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 1032 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | | 2022 | | | 2032 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | TT17-5 | | EPG17-3 | | TT17-7 | | Shepody | | TT17-7 | | TT17-1 | | | EPG17-2 | | | PGP17-2 | | | | |
| 21 | 1003 | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 1033 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | | 2023 | | | 2033 | | | | |
| | PGP17-4 | | RV008 | | AC Hamer | | EPG17-2 | | AC Hamer | | Destiny | | | PGP17-3 | | | Norland | | | | |
| 20 | 1004 | _ | 1014 | | 1024 | | 1034 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | | 2024 | | | 2034 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | 1117-9 | | ODF007 | | Blazer Rus | set | RV013 | | RV008 | | Kennebeo | 2 | | EPG17-3 | | | 1117-9 | | | | |
| 19 | 1005 | | 1015 | | 1025 | | 1035 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | | 2025 | | | 2035 | | | | |
| | 1000 | | RV014 | | 1020 | | PGP17-3 | | PGP17-4 | | 0DF010 | | | 1117-4 | | | Nonticell | <u>ə</u> | | | |
| 18 | 1006 | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 1030 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | | 2026 Chanadu | | | 2030 | | | | |
| | AC VIGOR | _ | 1017 | | 1027 | | 1027 | | 2007 | | AC VIGOR | | | snepody | | | 2027 | | | | |
| 17 | Norland | _ | 1017 | | 1027 TT17.6 | | TUS7 Vukon Go | Id | | | ZUT7 | liccot | | | | | 2037 TT17.6 | | | | |
| | 1008 | | 1018 | | 1028 | | 5001 | lu | 2008 | | 2018 | issei | | 2028 | | | 5004 | | | | |
| 16 | RV/011 | | | | 1020 RV/009 | | | | ASDI17-2 | | TT17-2 | | | 2020 TT17-5 | | | AC Hame | r | | | |
| | 1009 | | 1019 | | 1029 | | 5002 | | 2009 | | 2019 | | | 2029 | | | 5005 | | | | |
| 15 | TT17-8 | | ODF010 | | Atlantic | | ODF009 | | Atlantic | | TT17-3 | | | RV009 | | | Destiny | _ | | | |
| | 1010 | | 1020 | | 1030 | | 5003 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | | 2030 | | | 5006 | | | | |
| 14 | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-1 | | | | ODF010 | | TT17-8 | | RV014 | | | RV010 | | | AC Vigor | | | | |
| m | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | a | Guard | | Gua | ra | | Guar | a | | Guar | <u>a</u> | | | |
| | 0111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0[1] | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ÷ | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guar | rd | | Guar | ď | | Guar | d | | | |
| 11 | 3001 | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 3031 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | | 4021 | | | 4031 | L | | | |
| | AC Vigor | | Destiny | | TT17-2 | | PGP17-2 | | ASPI010 | | TT17-9 | | | PGP17-2 | | | TT17-6 | | | | |
| 10 | 3002 | | 3012 | | 3022 | | 3032 | | 4002 | | 4012 | | | 4022 | | | 4032 | | | | |
| | AC Hamer | | Shepody | | ASPI010 | | 111/-4 | | 1117-1 | | Monticel | 0 | | Kennebec | : | | 1117-10 | | | | |
| 6 | 3003 | | 3013 | | 3023 | | 3033 | | 4003 | | 4013 | | | 4023 | | | 4033 | | | | |
| | 1117-6 | | PGP17-3 | | ASPI17-2 | | Norland | | Norland | | 1117-5 | | | Shepody | | | PGP17-4 | | | | |
| ∞ | 3004 | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 3034 | | 4004 | | 4014 | | | 4024 TT17.2 | | | 4034 | | | | |
| | Atlantic | | RV014 | | 0DF009 | | YUKON GO | la | 1117-4 | | 1117-3 | | | 1117-2 | | | EPG17-2 | | | | |
| ~ | | | 5015 TT17 0 | | | | 5055 TT17.0 | | 4005 BV011 | | 4015 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 2026 | | 4006 | | 4016 | | | 4026 | | | 4026 | | | | |
| 9 | Konnohoo | | 5010 | | Monticollo | | 5050 BV010 | | | | 4010 | | | 4020 EDC17-2 | | | 4050 Vukon Co | | | | |
| | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 2027 | | A3P117-2 | | 1017 | | | 4027 | | | 1027 | u | - | | |
| ъ | TT17-3 | | 5017 FPG17-2 | | TT17-10 | | B//008 | | AC Vigor | | RV014 | | | | | | Lollinon | | | | |
| | 3008 | | 2018 | | 3028 | | 5007 | | 4008 | | 1018 | | | 4028 | | | Lompop | | | | |
| 4 | BV011 | | BV/009 | | TT17-5 | | Atlantic | | Destiny | | Atlantic | | | RV009 | | | | | - | | |
| | 3009 | | 3019 | | 3029 | | 5008 | | 4009 | | 4019 | | | 4029 | | | | | | | |
| ε | Blazer Russ | et | PGP17-4 | | TT17-7 | | Monticell | 0 | TT17-8 | | RV013 | \square | | AC Hame | r | | | | | | |
| | 3010 | | 3020 | | 3030 | | | | 4010 | | 4020 | | | 4030 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | RV013 | | ODF010 | | TT17-1 | | | | RV010 | | Blazer Ru | isset | | RV008 | | | | | | | |
| | Current | 0 | Current | | Current | | C | 4 | Cuert | | ^ | rd. | 2, | C | d | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2- | | |
| | 6m | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | u | Guard | | Gual | u | SIII | Guar | u | 5111 | Guar | u | 511 | | |

| Var | riety Me | diur | m N | Brook | s - 20 | 17 - Ful | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--------|----------------------------|--|--------|--|-----------|--|----------|---|-----|---|-----------|---|----------|--|-----|--|---|
| 20 5 | Seed piece | es pe | er rov | v | | | | | | | | | | | | N | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | _ | 21 v 99m | - 21 | 12m2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12" chacing | a . | | | | | | 24 X 0011 | = 21 | 121112 | | | | | _ | | | • | |
| 4 | 12 spacing | 5 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Guard | | _ | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | _ | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| 53 | 3001 | | 3 | 011 | | 3021 | | 3031 | _ | 3041 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | | 4031 | _ |
| | Destiny | | E | PG17-4 | | Russet Burb | ank Calif | Bonnata | | ODF007 | | Yukon Gol | d | LW17-1 | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-4 | _ |
| 22 | 3002 | | 3 | 012 | | 3022 | | 3032 | | | - | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | 4032 | _ |
| | Excellency | ' | M | Nonticello | | Atlantic | | ASPI17-9 | _ | | | ASPI17-5 | | ODF010 | | Norland | | ODF007 | _ |
| 21 | 3003 | | 3 | 013 | - 4 | 3023 | | 3033 | | | | 4003 | | 4013 Durant Dura | | 4023 Charachu | | 4033 | _ |
| | PGP17-1 | | 2 | slazer Russ | et | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | - | | | AC Vigor | | Russet Burb | ank | Snepody | | Destiny | _ |
| 20 | 3004 Konnohoo | | 3 | 014 CDI17 2 | | 3024 Basin Busso | | 3034 | | | - | 4004 Russet Ru | rhank Cal | 4014 | | 4024 A CD117-2 | | 4034 | _ |
| | Rennebec | | 7 | ASPI17-2 | | Basin Russe | τ | LW17-1 2025 | | | - | Russet Bu | bank Cal | ASPI010 | | ASPI17-2 | | ASPI17-7 | _ |
| 19 | AC Llamor | | 3 | SD17 1 | | SU25 Dece Celd | | 3035 ACD117 7 | | | | 4005 Konnohoo | | 4015 Corota | | 4025 Dridget | | 4035 | - |
| <u> </u> | AC Harrier | | | ASPI17-1 | | 2026 | | ASP117-7 | | | | 4000 | | Leidld | | Ande | | LVV17-2 | - |
| 18 | Bridget | | | SD10 | | ASPI010 | | 1/0/17-2 | - | | | 4000 ASDI17-0 | | Atlantic | | 4020 RV/012 | | 4030 | _ |
| <u> </u> | 3007 | | | 017 | | 3027 | | 2027 | | - I | | 4007 | | Atlantic 4017 | | 4027 | | 4037 | - |
| 17 | BV/012 | | ~ | ukon Gold | | ASDI17-5 | | 5037 FPG17-1 | | | | 4007 ASDI17-1 | | 4017 ASDI17-4 | | 4027 ASDI17-8 | | AC Hamer | - |
| | 3008 | | 3 | 018 | | 3028 | | 3038 | | | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | 4038 | - |
| 16 | Norland | | c | | | 5020 FPG17-3 | | Busset Burba | nk | | | 0.05009 | | PGP17-1 | | Red Annle | | Bonnata | - |
| | 3009 | | 2 | 019 | | 3029 | | 3039 | 1 | | | 4009 | | 4019 | | 4029 | | 4039 | - |
| ÷. | EPG17-2 | | Ā | AC Vigor | | Shepody | | Cerata | | | | Blazer Rus | set | EPG17-3 | | Basin Russ | et | Excellency | - |
| - | 3010 | | 3 | 020 | | 3030 | | 3040 | | 4041 | | 4010 | | 4020 | | 4030 | | 4040 | |
| 5 | EPG17-5 | | A | AC Hamer | | ODF009 | | ASPI17-2 | | Monticello | | EPG17-2 | | Rosa Gold | | EPG17-5 | | ASPI17-2 | |
| 13 | Guard | | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| <u> </u> | Guaru | | , | Guaru | _ | Guaru | _ | Guaru | | Guaru | _ | Guaru | _ | | _ | Guaru | _ | Guaru | - |
| | 0111 1.2" cpacing | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | 12 Spacing | 8 | urband | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 2 | Guaru - Kus | set bu | Illiani | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Η | Guard | | _ | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| 11 | 1001 | | 1 | .011 | | 1021 | | 1031 | | 1041 | | 2001 | | 2011 | | 2021 | | 2031 | _ |
| | ASPI17-2 | | C | DDF009 | | Destiny | | EPG17-2 | | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-2 | | ODF007 | | LW17-1 | | ASPI17-7 | _ |
| 10 | 1002 | | 1 | .012 | | 1022 | | 1032 | _ | 5001 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | | 2032 | _ |
| | ASPI17-2 | | E | Basin Russe | et | Rosa Gold | | Bonnata | _ | ODF007 | | ASPI17-5 | | Destiny | | ASPI17-9 | | EPG17-4 | _ |
| б | 1003 | | 1 | .013 | | 1023 | | 1033 | | 5002 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | 2033 | _ |
| | ASPI17-8 | | E | oriaget | | YUKON GOID | | ASP117-4 | - | 0DF009 | | Basin Russ | et | EPG17-5 | | Rosa Gold | | EPG17-1 | _ |
| ∞ | 1004 Konnohoo | | | 014 | | 1024 FDC17 F | | 1054 Duccot Durba | | Monticollo | | 2004 Dridget | | 2014 AC \/iaor | | 2024 A.C. Uomor | | 2034 | - |
| <u> </u> | 100F | | 1 | 015 | | 1025 | | 1025 | | FOOA | | and | | AC VIGOI | | AC Hamer | | 00F009 | - |
| ~ | Shopody | | | 015 | | 1025 Norland | | 1055 ASDI17 E | | 005010 | | 2005 | | Atlantic | | 2025 EDC17.2 | | 2030 EDC17-2 | - |
| | 1006 | | 1 | 016 | | 1026 | | 1036 | | 5005 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 2036 | _ |
| 9 | ΔSPI17-1 | | 4 | C Vigor | | 1020 I W/17-1 | | FPG17-4 | - | Atlantic | - | Excellence | , | PGP17-1 | | RV012 | | AC Hamer | - |
| | 1007 | | 1 | 017 | | 1027 | | 1037 | - | 5006 | - | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 2037 | - |
| 2 | ASDI17-0 | | 1 | W17-2 | | AC Hamer | | Russet Burba | nk Calif | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | | L021 | | ASPI17-1 | - |
| | 10 11 11 / - / | | - | 018 | | 1028 | | 1038 | | 5007 | | 2008 | | 2018 | | 2028 | | 2038 | 1 |
| <u> </u> | 1008 | | | 010 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | _ | | | | - |
| 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 | | L F | GP17-1 | | Atlantic | | ASPI010 | | Destiny | | Bonnata | | Shepody | | ASPI17-8 | | Kennebec | |
| 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 1009 | | F 1 | GP17-1 | | Atlantic 1029 | | ASPI010 1039 | | Destiny 5008 | | Bonnata 2009 | | Shepody 2019 | | ASPI17-8 2029 | | Kennebec 2039 | - |
| 3 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 1009 Monticello | | 1 1 1 | GP17-1 .019 Excellency | | Atlantic 1029 ODF007 | | ASPI010 1039 Red Apple | | Destiny 5008 AC Vigor | | Bonnata 2009 Russet Bu | rbank | Shepody 2019 Russet Burb | ank Cali | ASPI17-8 2029 Cerata | _ | Kennebec 2039 Yukon Gold | |
| 3 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 1009 Monticello 1010 |) | 1 F 1 E | OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF | | Atlantic 1029 ODF007 1030 | | ASPI010 1039 Red Apple 1040 | | Destiny 5008 AC Vigor 2041 | | Bonnata 2009 Russet Bur 2010 | rbank | Shepody 2019 Russet Burb 2020 | ank Cali | ASPI17-8 2029 Cerata 2030 | | Kennebec 2039 Yukon Gold 2040 | |
| 2 3 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 1009 Monticello 1010 EPG17-3 |)) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | PGP17-1 019 Excellency 020 Blazer Russ | et | Atlantic 1029 ODF007 1030 ASPI17-7 | | ASPI010 1039 Red Apple 1040 Cerata | | Destiny 5008 AC Vigor 2041 ODF010 | | Bonnata 2009 Russet Bur 2010 ASPI17-2 | rbank | Shepody 2019 Russet Burb 2020 Norland | ank Cali | ASPI17-8 2029 Cerata 2030 Blazer Rus | set | Kennebec 2039 Yukon Gold 2040 Monticello | |
| 1 2 3 4 | 1008 EPG17-1 1009 Monticello 1010 EPG17-3 |) | F 1 1 1 E | PGP17-1 019 Excellency 020 Blazer Russ | et | Atlantic 1029 ODF007 1030 ASPI17-7 | | ASPI010 1039 Red Apple 1040 Cerata | | Destiny 5008 AC Vigor 2041 ODF010 | 13m | Bonnata 2009 Russet Bui 2010 ASPI17-2 | rbank | Shepody 2019 Russet Burb 2020 Norland | ank Cali | ASPI17-8 2029 Cerata 2030 Blazer Rus | set | Kennebec 2039 Yukon Gold 2040 Monticello | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2017 CDCS, Brooks, AB

Creamer Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

April 11, 2018
Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal gourmet potato variety would produce a good yield of small sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Blemish-free tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 180 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 100 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for the creamer market;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new creamer varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (100 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Low N plots received an additional top-dressing (15 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 100 lbs/ac N. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (189 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 180 lbs/ac N. Within each level of nitrogen, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plans, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) was applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 18 (Early plots), May30, 2017 (Low N Main) and May 29, 2017 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 7 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 25 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 14, 2017.

Early plots were harvested green August 14, 2017. Reglone (1.0 L/ac) was applied September 1 to desiccate the main harvest plots. The Low N early plots were harvested August 18, 2016; the Low N Main plots were harvested September 19 to 20, 2017 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Creamer sized tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 25mm, 25 to 41mm, over 41mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (25 to 41mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 32 tubers (8 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for bake and boil by the Food Science and Technology Centre, Brooks, in January 2018.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

Results and Discussion - Fresh Market

Sample hills of each creamer variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2017. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Fresh market creamer sized varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) Anouk, b) RV010, c) Rosa Gold, d) Yellow Star, and e) Lollipop.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the creamer-style cultivars are shown in Table 2. Four cultivars were planted with little additional nitrogen and were harvested in August (Low N Early harvest). Of these early maturing cultivars, Yellow Star produced significantly greater total yield than the other cultivars, an indication that this cultivar is an efficient nitrogen utilizer. Specific gravity of Yellow Star was significantly higher than Rosa Gold, but not statistically different from the other two cultivars in these plots.

Another two cultivars were planted in low N plots (100 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield was higher for RV010 than for Lollipop. RV010 was grown in early and full season plots. Total yield was significantly higher from full season plots.

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each creamer potato variety grown on approximately 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | |
| Anouk | 13.4 ab | 1.068 bc |
| RV010 | 10.9 ab¥ | 1.077 a |
| Rosa Gold | 7.7 b | 1.065 c |
| Yellow Star | 17.1 a | 1.073 ab |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| Lollipop | 15.5 b | n/a |
| RV010 | 26.7 a¥ | n/a |

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for creamer-style cultivars is shown in Table 3. Some differences in size distribution was noted for the creamer entries in 2017. Rosa Gold produced a higher percentage of tubers over 41mm and lower percentage of creamer-sized (25 to 41mm) tubers than RV010 or Anouk.

Size distribution for the two creamer-style cultivars grown on low N for the full season were similar (Table 3). Both of the varieties yielded around 50% of tubers over 41 mm indicating that desiccation or harvest dates may need to be adjusted for optimal yield of creamer sized potatoes. There was a difference in size distribution for RV010 grown full season compared to RV010 harvested early, with a significantly lower percentage of tubers under 25mm and a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 41mm from full season plots.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 25mm, 25-41mm, > 41mm and deformed) for each creamer potato variety grown on 100lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 25 mm | 25–41mm | >41mm | Deformed |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Anouk | 32.5 a | 51.5 a | 15.5 b | 0.3 a |
| RV010 | 35.8 a¥ | 52.8 a | 11.3 b¥ | 0.0 a |
| Rosa Gold | 18.3 a | 36.3 b | 43.8 a | 1.8 a |
| Yellow Star | 12.5 a | 45.5 ab | 42.3 a | 0.0 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| Lollipop | 3.6 a | 41.2 a | 55.1 a | 0.3 b |
| RV010 | 8.0 a¥ | 41.9 a | 49.4 a¥ | 3.0 a |

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each creamer-style variety is shown by size category in Table 4. There were significant differences in yield by size category between the four cultivars grown in the early harvest plots. Anouk yielded significantly more tubers 25 to 41mm in diameter than RV010, Rosa Gold or Yellow Star. Yellow Star yielded significantly more tubers over 41mm than Anouk and RV010.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, RV010 yielded significantly more tubers over 41mm than Lollipop. RV010 was harvested at two different times. The later harvest resulted in significantly greater yield of tubers in the > 41mm category.

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 25mm, 25-41mm, > 41mm, and deformed) for each creamer potato variety grown on a lower rate of N (100 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 25 mm | 25–41mm | > 41mm | Deformed |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Anouk | 1.20 ab | 7.8 a | 4.3 b | 0.1 a |
| RV010 | 1.3 a | 6.1 b | 3.5 b | 0.1 a |
| Rosa Gold | 0.3 c | 1.3 c | 6.0 ab | 0.1 a |
| Yellow Star | 0.4 bc | 5.2 b | 11.5 a¥ | 0.0 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| Lollipop | 0.1 a | 3.9 a | 11.4 b | 0.0 b |
| RV010 | 0.3 a | 6.7 a | 19.5 a¥ | 0.2 a |

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. For creamer-style cultivars, very few tubers exhibited internal defects.

Tuber set parameters are presented in Table 5. The number of tubers per plant is often an indication of the potential for creamer potato production. RV010 and Anouk produced the highest number of tubers per plant on low N in the early harvest, but Yellow Star was not significantly lower. For cultivars planted on low N and harvested in September, RV010 set significantly more tubers per plant than Lollipop. A similar set for RV010 grown in early and full season plots indicates that tuber set for this cultivar is not affected by length of the growing season.

| | Tubers per stem | Tubers per plant |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| <i>Low N – early harvest</i> | | |
| Anouk | n/a | 17.1 a |
| RV010 | n/a | 17.5 a |
| Rosa Gold | n/a | 6.9 b |
| Yellow Star | n/a | 14.6 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| Lollipop | 1.8 b | 9.8 b |
| RV010 | 2.8 a | 16.0 a |

Table 5: Tuber set parameters for each creamer potato variety: Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Culinary evaluations were conducted on all cultivars in the trial. Results for the creamer-style cultivars are presented in Table 6. Texture differences were noted after boiling and baking. None of the entries showed evidence of sloughing. Moderate after cooking discolouration was noted for Rosa Gold on early harvested plots after boiling.

Table 6: Culinary evaluations of each creamer potato variety grown on low nitrogen (approx. 100lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Low N – early harvest | | | | |
| Anouk | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Yellow Star | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Lollipop | Of-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | .1 . 2 . 1. 1.1 | 1.1) |

* Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture [‡] | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Low N – early harvest | | | |
| Anouk | Deep yellow | 2 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Rosa Gold | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Yellow Star | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| Lollipop | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| RV010 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 |

[‡]Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Conclusions

The 2017 variety trial included 5 cultivars being evaluated for the creamer-sized market in southern Alberta. There was no check variety included in the trial as this market is still developing. Yield of creamer-sized potatoes was modest for most cultivars, and yield of tubers over 41mm indicates that desiccation and harvest dates may need to be optimized for each cultivar in order to increase the yield of desired sizes. Many cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. No cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing or internal defects, and only one showed moderate after-cooking darkening.

RV010 was the only cultivar grown in early and full-season plots. For that variety, the length of time in the field had an impact on total yield and yield of specific size categories, but not on tubers per plant or culinary parameters evaluated.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton, Kaylene MacKinnon and Anneliese Gietz for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods McCain Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

Appendix A Plot Plan

| 7 w Guard | | | | | N | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Guard | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guard | | | | | | | | Planted | | | | | |
| Guard | | | | | | 8 x 115 = 9 | 20 m | 2 | | | | | |
| Guard | - · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 1007 | 1013 | 2001 | 2007 | | 2013 | 3001 | | 3007 | | 3013 | 4001 | 4007 | 4013 |
| Anouk | Athlete (G) | Shepody | Russet Burbar | ık | Yukon Gold (L) | Arizona | | EPG17-4 | | Yellow Star | LW1 | Yukon Gold | EPG17-4 |
| 1008 | 1014 | 2002 | 2008 | | 2014 | 3002 | | 3008 | | 3014 | 4002 | 4008 | 4014 |
| Penni (L) | EPG17-4 | LW2 | Norland | | Penni (L) | Yukon Gold (L | .) | Rosa Gold | | Volare | Athlete (G) | Russet Burbank | Yellow Star |
| 1009 | 1015 | 2003 | 2009 | | 2015 | 3003 | | 3009 | | 3015 | 4003 | 4009 | 4015 |
| LW1 | EPG17-5 | LW1 | Yellow Star | | Anouk | Yukon Gold | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-5 | Arizona | Penni (L) | Norland |
| 1010 | 1016 | 2004 | 2010 | | 2016 | 3004 | | 3010 | | 3016 | 4004 | 4010 | 4016 |
| Yellow Star | LW2 | Rosa Gold | Yukon Gold | | Arizona | LW2 | | Shepody | | Norland | EPG17-1 | EPG17-5 | Yellow Star |
| 1011 | 1017 | 2005 | 2011 | | 2017 | 3005 | | 3011 | | 3017 | 4005 | 4011 | 4017 |
| EPG17-1 | Yukon Gold | EPG17-5 | Yellow Star | | EPG17-1 | LW1 | | Anouk | | Penni (L) | Shepody | Anouk | LW2 |
| 1012 | 1018 | 2006 | 2012 | | 2018 | 3006 | | 3012 | | 3018 | 4006 | 4012 | 4018 |
| Arizona | Rosa Gold | EPG17-4 | Volare | | Athlete (G) | Yellow Star | | Athlete (G) | | Russet Burbank | Volare | Yukon Gold (L) | Rosa Gold |
| n Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| | | | | 15m | | | | 6m | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Guard = Rosa Go | old | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 115m | | | | | | | |
| r | Anouk 1008 Penni (L) 1009 LW1 1010 Yellow Star 1011 EPG17-1 1012 Arizona Guard | Anouk Athlete (G) 1008 1014 Penni (L) EPG17-4 1009 1015 LW1 EPG17-5 1010 1016 Yellow Star LW2 1011 1017 EPG17-1 Yukon Gold 1012 1018 Arizona Rosa Gold Guard Guard | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody 1008 1014 2002 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 1009 1015 2003 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 1010 1016 2004 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold 1011 1017 2005 EPG17-1 Yukon Gold EPG17-5 1012 1018 2006 Arizona Rosa Gold EPG17-4 Guard Guard Guard | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbar 1008 1014 2002 2008 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland 1009 1015 2003 2009 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 Yellow Star 1010 1016 2004 2010 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold 1011 1017 2005 2011 EPG17-1 Yukon Gold EPG17-5 Yellow Star 1012 1018 2006 2012 Arizona Rosa Gold EPG17-4 Volare Guard Guard Guard Guard Guard | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank 1008 1014 2002 2008 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland 1009 1015 2003 2009 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 Yellow Star 1010 1016 2004 2010 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold 1011 1017 2005 2011 EPG17-1 Yukon Gold EPG17-5 Yellow Star 1012 1018 2006 2012 Arizona Rosa Gold EPG17-4 Volare Guard Guard Guard 15m | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland Penni (L) 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 Yellow Star Anouk 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold Arizona 1011 1017 2005 2011 2017 EPG17-1 Yukon Gold EPG17-5 Yellow Star EPG17-1 1012 1018 2006 2012 2018 Arizona Rosa Gold EPG17-4 Volare Athlete (G) Guard Guard Guard Guard Guard Guard | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 1002 3002 1002 3002 1002 3002 1003 1003 1002 | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 Penni (L) Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Arizona 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 3003 Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 3003 Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Penni (L) | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona EPG17-4 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 3008 2008 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Rosa Gold 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 3003 3009 2009 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 Yellow Star Anouk Yukon Gold EPG17-1 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold Arizona LW2 Shepody 1011 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold Arizona LW2 Shepody 1011 1017 2005 2011 2017 3005 3011 1012 1018 2006 2012 2018 3006 | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona EPG17-4 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 3008 Image: Constraint of the system of | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona EPG17-4 Yellow Star 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 3008 3014 2 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Rosa Gold Volare Volare 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 3003 3009 2009 2015 3003 3009 Volare 1009 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 3016 EPG17-5 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 3016 EPG17-5 1010 1016 2004 2010 2017 3005 3011 3010 3016 EPG17-5 1011 1017 2005 2011 2017 3005 3011 3017 EPG17-1 1012 1018 2006 2012 2018 3006 | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona EPG17-4 Yellow Star LW1 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 3008 3014 4002 1 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Rosa Gold Volare Athlete (G) 1009 1015 2003 2009 2015 3003 3009 3015 4003 4003 1009 1016 2003 2009 2015 3003 3009 3016 4003 4003 LW1 EPG17-5 LW1 Yellow Star Anouk Yukon Gold EPG17-1 EPG17-5 Anizona 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 3016 4004 Yellow Star LW2 Rosa Gold Yukon Gold Arizona LW2 Shepody Norland EPG17-1 4005 1011 1017 2006 20 | Anouk Athlete (G) Shepody Russet Burbank Yukon Gold (L) Arizona EPG17-4 Yellow Star LW1 Yukon Gold 1008 1014 2002 2008 2014 3002 3008 3014 4002 4008 Penni (L) EPG17-4 LW2 Norland Penni (L) Yukon Gold (L) Rosa Gold Volare Athlete (G) Russet Burbank 1009 1015 2003 2008 2015 3003 3009 3015 4003 4009 1009 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3010 3016 4004 4010 1010 1016 2004 2010 2016 3004 3011 3016 4004 4010 Yukon Gold Arizona LW2 Shepody Norland EPG17-1 EPG17-5 1011 1017 2005 2011 2017 3005 3011 3017 4005 4011 1012 1018 2006 2012 2018 3006 3012 3018 4006 4012 < |

| Low | N Variety | / Tria | al 2017 - Se | eptember harves | t | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----|---------------|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|--------|
| 20 Se | ed pieces p | er row | / | | | | | | | | | N | |
| | | | | | | 24 X 66 - | 158 | 4 m2 | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | 24 X 00 = | 100 | 4 1112 | | | | Guard = Russet | Burban |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | Guara - Russet | |
| 2 | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | _ |
| 23 | 1001 | | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 2001 | | 2011 | | 2021 | - | 2031 | |
| | PGP17-2 | _ | 1117-3 | 1117-2 | IVIONTICEIIO | 1117-10 | _ | 0DF009 | | RV013 | | Yukon Gold | _ |
| 22 | 1002 | | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | | 2032 | _ |
| | 1002 | _ | EPG17-3 | 1022 | Snepody | 2002 | _ | 2012 | | EPG17-2 | _ | PGP17-2 | _ |
| 21 | 1005 DCD17.4 | | 1015 | AC Hamor | EDC17.2 | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 DCD17 2 | | 2033 Norland | - |
| | 1004 | _ | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 2004 | _ | 2014 | | 2024 | - | 2034 | - |
| 20 | TT17-9 | | 005007 | Blazer Russet | RV013 | 2004 BV/008 | _ | Kennebec | | 2024 FPG17-3 | | TT17-9 | - |
| | 1005 | _ | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 2005 | _ | 2015 | | 2025 | - | 2035 | - |
| 19 | TT17-10 | | RV014 | TT17-4 | PGP17-3 | PGP17-4 | _ | ODF010 | | TT17-4 | | Monticello | _ |
| | 1006 | | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 2006 | - | 2016 | | 2026 | | 2036 | |
| 18 | AC Vigor | | Kennebec | Destiny | RV010 | RV011 | _ | AC Vigor | | Shepody | | ODF007 | |
| ~ | 1007 | | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 2037 | _ |
| 11 | Norland | | ODF009 | TT17-6 | Yukon Gold | Lollipop | | Blazer Russet | | ASPI010 | | TT17-6 | |
| .0 | 1008 | | 1018 | 1028 | 5001 | 2008 | | 2018 | | 2028 | | 5004 | |
| 1 | RV011 | | ASPI010 | RV009 | ODF007 | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-2 | | TT17-5 | | AC Hamer | |
| ы | 1009 | | 1019 | 1029 | 5002 | 2009 | | 2019 | | 2029 | | 5005 | |
| ÷ | TT17-8 | | ODF010 | Atlantic | ODF009 | Atlantic | | TT17-3 | | RV009 | | Destiny | |
| 4 | 1010 | | 1020 | 1030 | 5003 | 2010 | | 2020 | | 2030 | | 5006 | |
| ÷. | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-1 | Lollipop | ODF010 | TT17-8 | | RV014 | | RV010 | | AC Vigor | |
| 13 | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 6m | | ouuru | Guara | ouuru | Ouuru | | Oddid | | Ouuru | | 6m | _ |
| | 0 | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 3001 | | 3011 | 3021 | 3031 | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | | 4031 | _ |
| ÷ | AC Vigor | | Destiny | TT17-2 | PGP17-2 | ASPI010 | | TT17-9 | | PGP17-2 | | TT17-6 | _ |
| | 3002 | | 3012 | 3022 | 3032 | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | 4032 | |
| н Н | AC Hamer | | Shepody | ASPI010 | TT17-4 | TT17-1 | | Monticello | | Kennebec | | TT17-10 | |
| _ | 3003 | | 3013 | 3023 | 3033 | 4003 | | 4013 | | 4023 | | 4033 | |
| റ | TT17-6 | | PGP17-3 | ASPI17-2 | Norland | Norland | | TT17-5 | | Shepody | | PGP17-4 | |
| | 3004 | | 3014 | 3024 | 3034 | 4004 | | 4014 | | 4024 | | 4034 | |
| 8 | Atlantic | | RV014 | ODF009 | Yukon Gold | TT17-4 | | TT17-3 | | TT17-2 | | EPG17-2 | |
| ~ | 3005 | | 3015 | 3025 | 3035 | 4005 | | 4015 | | 4025 | | 4035 | |
| | ODF007 | | TT17-8 | Lollipop | TT17-9 | RV011 | | PGP17-3 | | ODF007 | | ODF009 | |
| | 3006 | | 3016 | 3026 | 3036 | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | 4036 | |
| Ű | Kennebec | | EPG17-3 | Monticello | RV010 | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-7 | | EPG17-3 | | Yukon Gold | |
| | 3007 | | 3017 | 3027 | 3037 | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | 4037 | |
| ഗ | TT17-3 | | EPG17-2 | TT17-10 | RV008 | AC Vigor | | RV014 | | ODF010 | | Lollipop | |
| _ | 3008 | | 3018 | 3028 | 5007 | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | | |
| ~ | RV011 | | RV009 | TT17-5 | Atlantic | Destiny | | Atlantic | | RV009 | | | |
| ~ | 3009 | | 3019 | 3029 | 5008 | 4009 | | 4019 | | 4029 | | | |
| ניז | Blazer Russe | t | PGP17-4 | TT17-7 | Monticello | TT17-8 | | RV013 | | AC Hamer | | | |
| | 3010 | | 3020 | 3030 | | 4010 | | 4020 | | 4030 | | | |
| | RV013 | | ODF010 | TT17-1 | | RV010 | | Blazer Russet | | RV008 | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guard | 3m |
| | 6m | 5.11 | - dui u | Cuuru | Clara | Julia | - | Caulu | | Caula | | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2017 CDCS, Brooks, AB

French Fry Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

November 6, 2017

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 180 lbs/ac N (Medium N rate), 1609 lbs/ac (Early Harvest) and, if requested, 100 lbs/ac N (Low N rate). Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for French fry processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new French fry varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the Early Harvest plots (160 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. EarlyHarvest plots received an additional top-dressing (145 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 160 lbs/ac N. Fertility for the low N plots (100 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling. Low N plots received an additional top-dressing (15 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Low N plots received an additional top-dressing (189 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 180 lbs/ac N. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (189 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 180 lbs/ac N. Within each harvest or level of fertility, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Russet Burbank and/or Shepody). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plans, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) was applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 18 (Early Harvest) May 30, 2017 (Low N Main) and May 29, 2017 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 7 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 25 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB June 26, 2017.

The Early Harvest plots were harvested green. Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 1 to the Low N and Medium N plots. The Early Harvest plots were harvested August 14, 2017. The Low N plots were harvested September 14 to 15, 2017 and Moderate N plots were harvested September 12 to 13 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

French fry tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, over 284g and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (113 to 284g) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 8 tubers (2 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for fry colour using a USDA colour chart in November 2017.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

<u>Results and Discussion – French fries</u> Sample hills of each variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2017. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.





Figure 2. French fry varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) LW17-1, b) LW17-2, c) Russet Burbank, d) Shepody, e) ASPI010, f) EPG17-2, g) EPG17-3, h) ASPI17-2, i) Blazer Russet, j) Kennebec, k) ASPI17-1, l) ASPI17-3, m) ASPI17-4, n) Basin Russet, o) Bridget, p) Excellency, q) California Russet Burbank.

Yield data (total yield and marketable yield; ton/ac), mean tubers size (oz.) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 2. Two cultivars and two standard varieties were planted with a moderate rate of nitrogen and were harvested in August (Early Harvest). There were no significant differences in total yield between cultivars. Marketable yield of LW17-1 was not significantly different from that of Shepody or Russet Burbank in these plots. Mean tuber size of both trial cultivars was significantly lower than that of Shepody in these plots, but specific gravity was higher than either standard. LW17-2 appeared to require additional N or a longer season to reach its potential.

Another six cultivars and two standards were planted in low N plots (100 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 14.3 for ASPI17-2 to 38.3 ton/ac for EPG17-3. The total yield of EPG17-3 was significantly higher than the check varieties and other cultivars (Table 2). Marketable yield of EPG17-3 was significantly higher than that of Shepody, but was not statistically different from that of Kennebec. Marketable yield of EPG17-2 was second highest, but not significantly different from the check varieties. Kennebec produced tubers with the greatest mean tuber size. Mean tuber size of EPG17-2, EPG17-3, and Blazer Russet were not statistically different from Shepody. Specific gravities ranged from 1.084 for EPG17-3 to 1.098 EPG17-2. Specific gravities of most of the entries were suitable for French fry production.

Fourteen cultivars and three standards were grown on a moderate level of N (180 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N – main harvest). At this level of N, total yield ranged from 17.3 ton/ac for ASPI17-2 to 39.4 ton/ac of EPG17-3. Although there were some significant differences, most of the cultivars overlap with the standards. The total yield of EPG17-3 and ASPI17-1 was significantly greater than both standards in these plots. Marketable yield ranged from 14.0 ton/ac (ASPI010) to 33.9 ton/ac for EPG17-3. The marketable yield of EPG17-3 was significantly greater than yield of the standards, but was not statistically different from EPG17-2, ASPI17-1, Bridget, Excellency or Kennebec (Table 2). Mean tuber size ranged from 5.6 oz (ASPI010) to 10.7 oz for Kennebec, and most cultivars were not significantly different from the standards, Shepody and Russet Burbank. Specific gravity ranged from 1.079 for ASPI17-1 to 1.099 for LW17-2. Specific gravity of ASPI010, ASPI17-4, LW17-1, and LW17-2 were significantly higher than the standard varieties.

Total yield, marketable yield, mean tuber size and specific gravity of LW17-1 were all significantly affected by harvest date (Table 2). For LW17-2, the mean tuber weight was not significantly different between the August and September harvest dates, but total yield, marketable yield and specific gravity were higher at the September harvest.

Seven of the cultivars were grown at two levels of N. There were no statistical differences in total yield, marketable yield, mean tuber size or specific gravity for cultivars grown at 100 and 180 lbs/ac N in 2017. Specific gravity was significantly lower for ASPI17-2 grown on moderate N compared to low N. The specific gravity for other entries was not affected (Table 2).

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre), marketable yield (ton/ac), mean tuber size (oz.) and specific gravity for each French fry variety grown on approximately 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N), 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 150 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early Harvest). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | Marketable Yield | Mean Tuber Size | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Early harvest | | | | |
| LW17-1 | 12.9 a¥ | 5.1 a¥ | 5.0 b¥ | 1.080 a¥ |
| LW17-2 | 11.4 a¥ | 1.1 b¥ | 5.0 b | 1.079 a¥ |
| Russet Burbank | 13.6 a¥ | 5.7 a¥ | 5.7 ab¥ | 1.065 b¥ |
| Shepody | 11.2 a¥ | 4.9 a¥ | 5.8 a¥ | 1.067 b¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 25.8 bc | 17.2 bc | 6.4 c | 1.097 ab |
| EPG17-2 | 30.3 b | 26.0 ab | 7.1 bc | 1.098 a |
| EPG17-3 | 38.3 a | 31.0 a | 7.9 abc | 1.084 b |
| ASPI17-2 | 14.3 d | 13.4 c | 7.9 abc | 1.090 ab‡ |
| Blazer Russet | 23.6 bc | 20.3 bc | 8.9 ab | 1.091 ab |
| Kennebec | 27.5 bc | 22.7 abc | 9.6 a | 1.087 ab |
| Shepody | 20.3 cd | 16.3 bc | 8.6 ab | 1.096 ab |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 27.2 b-е | 14.0 d | 5.6 g | 1.098 ab |
| EPG17-2 | 30.1 b-e | 24.9 abc | 7.6 c-f | 1.094 a-d |
| EPG17-3 | 39.4 a | 33.9 a | 8.9 abc | 1.084efg |
| ASPI17-1 | 32.5 а-с | 26.9 ab | 8.3 bcd | 1.079 g |
| ASPI17-2 | 17.3 f | 15.3 cd | 8.6 bcd | 1.086 efg‡ |
| ASPI17-3 | 28.3 b-e | 21.8 bcd | 8.6 bcd | 1.086 efg |
| ASPI17-4 | 30.7 а-е | 20.0 bcd | 6.2 efg | 1.097 ab |
| Basin Russet | 23.7 def | 18.6 bcd | 8.4 bcd | 1.092 b-e |
| Blazer Russet | 21.3 ef | 18.0 bcd | 8.7 bcd | 1.086 efg |
| Bridget | 34.3 abc | 26.9 ab | 7.0 c-g | 1.089 c-f |
| Excellency | 34.0 ab | 24.9 abc | 7.1 c-g | 1.086 efg |
| Kennebec | 30.7 а-е | 25.7 abc | 10.7 a | 1.084 efg |
| LW17-1 | 25.6 c-f¥ | 21.7 bcd¥ | 7.4 c-g¥ | 1.096 abc¥ |
| LW17-2 | 29.7 b-e¥ | 18.2 bcd¥ | 5.8 fg | 1.099 a¥ |
| California Russet Burbank | 22.7 ef | 14.3 d | 6.7 d-g | 1.084 efg |
| Russet Burbank | 28.2 b-e¥ | 20.3 bcd¥ | 8.0 b-e¥ | 1.088 def¥ |
| Shepody | 25.3 c-f¥ | 20.7 bcd¥ | 9.9 ab¥ | 1.082 fg¥ |

[‡] Data between the moderate and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Data between the Early Harvest and Main Harvest was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3. For cultivars harvested from Early Harvest plots in August, LW17-2 produced a significantly higher percentage of small tubers (< 4 oz) and a significantly lower percentage of tubers in the 4 to 6 oz. range compared to LW17-1 and the standards. LW17-2 may require a longer growing season to shift the size profile. LW17-1 and LW17-2 produced a significantly lower percentage of tubers in the 6 to 10 oz. category than either standard as well as a lower percentage of deformed tubers.

For varieties grown on low N (100 lbs/ac) and harvested in September, there were some differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category. In particular, ASPI010 had a significantly higher percentage of small tubers then the standards and ASPI17-2 produced a significantly greater percentage of tubers in the 6 to

10 oz. category compared to most other entries (Table 3). EPG17-2, EPG17-3, Blazer Russet, and Kennebec produced size profiles quite similar to those of the standards with a lower percentage of deformed tubers.

The size profiles of entries grown on moderate N (180 lbs/ac) differed between entries and some differed significantly from the standards. Most of the entries had a smaller percentage of deformed tubers than the standards. ASPI010 produced significantly higher percentages of small tubers (Table 3).

A comparison of LW17-1 and LW17-2 harvested in August versus September indicated a significant shift in size profile toward larger tubers, as expected.

Surprisingly, there were few significant differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category for potatoes grown on low N compared to moderate N (Table 3). Nitrogen had a greater impact on the size distribution for ASPI010. ASPI010 grown on moderate N produced significantly higher percentage of small tubers and significantly lower percentage of tubers in the over 10 oz. category than when grown on low N. These results suggest that ASPI10 may have better nitrogen use efficiency than Russet Burbank and may be suitable for low input production.

| Data followed by the | same letter in ea | ich column of the t | able are not signific | cantly different at i | the $p < 0.05$ level. |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| CDCS | < 4 oz | 4 to 6 oz | 6 to 10 oz | > 10 oz | Deformed |
| Early harvest | | | | | |
| LW17-1 | 60.8 b¥ | 29.9 a | 8.2 b¥ | 0.4 a¥ | 0.7 b |
| LW17-2 | 91.0 a¥ | 6.9 b¥ | 1.7 b¥ | 0.0 a¥ | 0.4 b |
| Russet Burbank | 47.9 b¥ | 21.4 a¥ | 17.5 a¥ | 2.7 a¥ | 10.5 a |
| Shepody | 49.0 b¥ | 21.7 a¥ | 17.8 a¥ | 3.6 a¥ | 7.9 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 33.7 a i | 30.8 a | 27.8 b | 7.3 dŧ | 0.4 b |
| EPG17-2 | 13.4 bc | 20.5 ab | 41.9 ab | 23.1 bcd | 1.1 b |
| EPG17-3 | 13.6 bc | 18.2 ab | 34.2 ab | 28.5 a-d | 5.5 ab |
| ASPI17-2 | 5.5 cŧ | 19.2 b | 49.4 a | 25.2 a-d | 0.7 b |
| Blazer Russet | 11.9 bc | 14.4 b | 31.0 b | 39.6 ab | 3.1 ab |
| Kennebec | 11.3 bc | 10.5 b | 24.8 b | 47.2 a | 6.2 ab |
| Shepody | 14.8 bc | 13.9 b | 30.4 b | 36.3 abc | 4.7 ab |
| Moderate N – main ha | ervest | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 47.9 aŧ | 31.4 ab | 18.4 de | 1.6 g‡ | 0.8 d |
| EPG17-2 | 16.2 ef | 21.1 cde | 36.6 abc | 24.7 a-f | 1.3 d |
| EPG17-3 | 11.9 f | 13.3 ef | 32.6 abc | 39.6 ab | 2.5 bcd |
| ASPI17-1 | 16.5 cf | 14.5 def | 30.2 a-d | 37.8 abc | 1.0 d |
| ASPI17-2 | 10.3 fŧ | 15.9 c-f | 35.5 abc | 37.3 abc | 1.1 d |
| ASPI17-3 | 16.2 ef | 14.9 def | 27.9 а-е | 33.4 a-d | 7.5 bcd |
| ASPI17-4 | 34.3 bc | 32.8 a | 23.2 cde | 8.9 efg | 0.8 d |
| Basin Russet | 11.4 f | 15.6 c-f | 29.5 а-е | 33.7 a-d | 9.8 ab |
| Blazer Russet | 12.9 f | 15.6 c-f | 28.9 а-е | 40.2 ab | 2.5 bcd |
| Bridget | 21.2 def | 25.0 a-d | 33.2 abc | 20.0 b-g | 0.5 d |
| Excellency | 28.7 bcd | 26.2 а-е | 27.8 а-е | 15.5 c-g | 1.9 cd |
| Kennebec | 12.1 f | 8.4 f | 16.9 e | 47.6 a | 2.0 cd |
| LW17-1 | 13.6 ef¥ | 23.3 а-е | 39.1 a¥ | 22.1 b-g¥ | 1.2 d |
| LW17-2 | 38.0 ab¥ | 32.3 a¥ | 23.9 b-e¥ | 4.6 fg¥ | 11.3 a |
| California Russet Burbank | 25.6 bcd | 24.0 а-е | 27.6 а-е | 11.4 d-g | 12.6 a |
| Russet Burbank | 15.9 ef¥ | 15.3 c-f¥ | 27.2 a-e¥ | 29.0 a-e¥ | 12.6 a |
| Shepody | 9.0 f¥ | 9.0 f¥ | 26.7 a-e¥ | 45.8 a¥ | 9.5 abc |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each French fry variety grown on approximately 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N), 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 160 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early Harvest). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

 \pm Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.\ \pm Data between the Early Harvest and Main Harvest was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each variety is shown by size category in Table 4. The size profile of LW17-1 was not statistically different from that of Russet Burbank and Shepody in most of the size categories. LW17-2 had a significantly greater yield of tubers under 4 oz. and a significantly lower yield of tubers in the 6 to 10 category than LW17-1 or the standards and may not be well suited to an early harvest.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, EPG17-2 and EPG17-3 yielded significantly more tubers in the 4 to 6 oz. and 6 to 10 oz. categories than Russet Burbank and Shepody (Table 4). Blazer Russet and Kennebec produced tuber size profiles similar to those of Russet Burbank and Shepody.

At the moderate level of N, there were some significant differences within size categories. ASPI010 yielded significantly more tubers in the smaller size categories. EPG17-3 yielded significantly more tubers in the 6 to 10 oz. category compared to the standards. EPG17-3 was not significantly different from EPG17-2, ASPI17-1, Bridget, Excellency and LW17-1 (Table 4). Several entries yielded size profiles similar to that of Russet Burbank. These include ASPI17-3, Basin Russet, Blazer Russet, Bridget, Kennebec, and LW17-1.

There were significant differences in the yield of tubers in most size categories for LW17-1 when comparing early harvest to September harvest. LW17-2 resulted in greater yield of tubers in all size categories except the under 4 oz. category in the full season plots. Both varieties benefited significantly from additional time in the field. Likely, more agronomic work is required with each of these to determine the best combination of fertility and growing season length.

Several entries were grown at low N (100 lbs/ac) and at a moderate rate of N (180 lbs/ac). ASPI010 yielded significantly more undersized tubers and a significantly lower yield of tubers over 10 oz. when provided with additional N. This variety may be an efficient user of nitrogen. Shepody produced a significantly lower yield of undersized tubers in response to the moderate rate of N. Fe other differences were observed for the entries in the study (Table 4).

| CDCS | < 4 oz | 4 to 6 oz | 6 to 10 oz | > 10 oz | Deformed |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Early harvest | | | | | |
| LW17-1 | 7.7 b¥ | 3.9 a | 1.1 bc¥ | 0.1 a¥ | 0.1 b |
| LW17-2 | 10.2 a | 0.9 b¥ | 0.2 c¥ | 0.0 a¥ | 0.1 b |
| Russet Burbank | 6.4 b¥ | 2.9 a¥ | 2.4 a¥ | 0.4 a¥ | 1.5 a¥ |
| Shepody | 5.4 b¥ | 2.5 ab | 2.0 ab¥ | 0.4 a¥ | 0.9 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 8.5 a‡ | 7.9 a | 7.3 b | 2.0 c‡ | 0.1 a |
| EPG17-2 | 4.0 bc | 6.2 a | 12.7 a | 7.2 abc | 0.3 a |
| EPG17-3 | 5.0 b | 6.9 a | 13.1 a | 11.0 a | 2.2 a |
| ASPI17-2 | 0.8 dŧ | 2.8 b | 6.9 b | 3.8 bc | 0.1 a |
| Blazer Russet | 2.7 c | 3.3 b | 7.4 b | 9.5 ab | 0.7 a |
| Kennebec | 3.1 bc | 2.8 b | 6.8 b | 13.1 a | 1.7 a |
| Shepody | 3.0 cŧ | 2.7 b | 5.8 b | 7.8 abc | 1.0 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 12.9 a‡ | 8.6 ab | 5.0 e | 0.4 eŧ | 0.2 c |
| EPG17-2 | 4.8 b-e | 6.1 ab | 10.9 abc | 7.8 cde | 0.4 bc |
| EPG17-3 | 4.5 b-e | 5.1 c-f | 12.9 a | 16.0 ab | 1.0 bc |
| ASPI17-1 | 5.3 bcd | 4.6 c-f | 9.8 a-d | 12.5 abc | 0.3 bc |
| ASPI17-2 | 1.8 eŧ | 2.5 ef | 6.2 de | 6.6 cde | 0.2 c |
| ASPI17-3 | 4.5 b-e | 4.1 c-f | 7.9 b-e | 9.7 a-d | 2.0 abc |
| ASPI17-4 | 10.4 a | 10.1 a | 7.2 b-e | 2.8 de | 0.2 c |
| Basin Russet | 2.6 cde | 3.7 c-f | 7.1 b-e | 7.8 cde | 2.5 abc |
| Blazer Russet | 2.7 cde | 3.3 c-f | 6.1 de | 8.6 b-e | 0.6 bc |
| Bridget | 7.2 b | 8.6 ab | 11.4 ab | 6.9 cde | 0.2 c |
| Excellency | 10.4 a | 9.4 a | 10.0 a-d | 5.5 cde | 0.7 bc |
| Kennebec | 3.6 cde | 3.1 def | 6.1 de | 16.5 a | 1.4 bc |
| LW17-1 | 3.4 cde¥ | 5.9 bcd | 10.1 a-d¥ | 5.7 cde¥ | 0.5 bc |
| LW17-2 | 11.2 a | 9.6 a¥ | 7.2 b-e¥ | 1.4 de¥ | 0.4 bc |
| California Russet Burbank | 5.7 bc | 5.5 cde | 6.2 de | 2.6 de | 2.6 ab |
| Russet Burbank | 4.4 b-e¥ | 4.2 c-f¥ | 7.6 b-e¥ | 8.5 b-e¥ | 3.6 a¥ |
| Shepody | 2.3 de¥‡ | 2.3 f | 6.7 cde¥ | 11.6 abc¥ | 2.4 abc |

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each French fry variety grown on approximately 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N), 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 160 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early Harvest). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

 \ddagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \le 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the Early Harvest and Main Harvest was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. Very few internal defects were observed in French fry varieties in 2017. Some stem-end discoloration was observed, but none of the tubers were tested for wilt organisms. EPG17-2 and Kennebec had some common scab lesions. All of the varieties had at least one tuber affected by black scurf, but no fungicide seed treatments were used in the trial.

French fry colour scores of composite samples are presented in Table 5. Some impressive fry scores were observed in the 2017 samples. LW17-2 produced light fries even when harvested early. From the low N plots, ASPI010 produced the lightest fries. On moderate N, ASPI010, EPG17-2, EPG17-3, ASPI17-3, Basin Russet, Bridget, Excellency, LW17-1, LW17-2 and California Russet Burbank produced light fries. Some of these also had good overall ratings taking texture and colour uniformity into consideration as well.

| higher the number, the better the | fry colour). Data s | hown is the result o | f one composite sampl | e run in duplicate. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| CDCS | External Colour ¹ | Internal Texture ² | Colour Uniformity ³ | Total Score |
| Early harvest | | | | |
| LW17-1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| LW17-2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| Russet Burbank | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Shepody | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| EPG17-2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| EPG17-3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| ASPI17-2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| Blazer Russet | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| Kennebec | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| Shepody | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI010 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| EPG17-2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| EPG17-3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| ASPI17-1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| ASPI17-2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| ASPI17-3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| ASPI17-4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| Basin Russet | 5 | 3 | 5 | 13 |
| Blazer Russet | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Bridget | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 |
| Excellency | 5 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Kennebec | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| LW17-1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| LW17-2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| California Russet Burbank | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 |
| Russet Burbank | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Shepody | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |

Table 5: Fry colour scores from subsamples of each variety grown on approximately 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Low N), 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 150 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early Harvest). Fry Colour was assessed visually by comparison with a USDA fry colour chart and converted to a scale of 1 to 7 (000 = 7 and 4 = 1; the higher the number, the better the fry colour). Data shown is the result of one composite sample run in duplicate. CDCS

¹External Colour was assessed visually and compared with a USDA Color Chart (000 to 4; the lower the score, the better the fry colour); these scores were converted to a scale of 1 to 7 where higher scores are lighter fries.

²Internal texture: 1 (wet) - 4 (mealy)

³Color uniformity: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

Conclusions

The 2017 variety trial included 14 French fry potato cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Shepody was included in the trial as a check variety for early harvested cultivars, Shepody and Kennebec were included as standards for the low N plots, and Shepody, Kennebec and Russet Burbank were included as a full-season standard at the moderate rate of N.

Excellent yield and size distribution was observed with many f the varieties in the trial. The greatest total and marketable yield were observed with EPG17-3. Almost all of the varieties produced tubers with specific gravities in the desired range (1.085 to 1.095). Some of the varieties gave impressive fry scores. In particular, LW17-1, LW17-2, ASPI010, EPG17-2, Basin Russet, Blazer Russet and California Russet Burbank produced light fry colour.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton, Kaylene MacKinnon and Anneliese Gietz for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods McCain Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

Appendix A Plot Plans

| arly Harvest 20 | 17 | | | | | N | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----|----------------|-----------------|------|-------------|----|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Seed pieces per r | ow | | | | | | | | Planted | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | , 8 x 115 = 9 | 20 m | 2 | | | | | |
| Guard = Columbo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 1001 | 1007 | 1013 | 2001 | 2007 | | 2013 | 3001 | | 3007 | | 3013 | 4001 | 4007 | 4013 |
| Shepody | Anouk | Athlete (G) | Shepody | Russet Burba | nk | Yukon Gold (L) | Arizona | | EPG17-4 | | Yellow Star | LW1 | Yukon Gold | EPG17-4 |
| 1002 | 1008 | 1014 | 2002 | 2008 | | 2014 | 3002 | | 3008 | | 3014 | 4002 | 4008 | 4014 |
| Norland | Penni (L) | EPG17-4 | LW2 | Norland | | Penni (L) | Yukon Gold (L |) | Rosa Gold | | Volare | Athlete (G) | Russet Burbank | Yellow Star |
| 1003 | 1009 | 1015 | 2003 | 2009 | | 2015 | 3003 | | 3009 | | 3015 | 4003 | 4009 | 4015 |
| Yellow Star | LW1 | EPG17-5 | LW1 | Yellow Star | | Anouk | Yukon Gold | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-5 | Arizona | Penni (L) | Norland |
| 1004 | 1010 | 1016 | 2004 | 2010 | | 2016 | 3004 | | 3010 | | 3016 | 4004 | 4010 | 4016 |
| Volare | Yellow Star | LW2 | Rosa Gold | Yukon Gold | | Arizona | LW2 | | Shepody | | Norland | EPG17-1 | EPG17-5 | Yellow Star |
| 1005 | 1011 | 1017 | 2005 | 2011 | | 2017 | 3005 | | 3011 | | 3017 | 4005 | 4011 | 4017 |
| Russet Burbank | EPG17-1 | Yukon Gold | EPG17-5 | Yellow Star | | EPG17-1 | LW1 | | Anouk | | Penni (L) | Shepody | Anouk | LW2 |
| 1006 | 1012 | 1018 | 2006 | 2012 | | 2018 | 3006 | | 3012 | | 3018 | 4006 | 4012 | 4018 |
| Yukon Gold (L) | Arizona | Rosa Gold | EPG17-4 | Volare | | Athlete (G) | Yellow Star | | Athlete (G) | | Russet Burbank | Volare | Yukon Gold (L) | Rosa Gold |
| Guard 3 | m Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | Guard | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 6 m | | | | | 15m | | | | 6m | | | | | |
| Guard = Columbo | | | | | | | Guard = Rosa Ge | bld | | | | | | |
| / | | | | | | | 115m | | | | | | | |

| Low | N Variety | Tria | al 2017 - S | Septe | mber har | vest | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------|--------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------|-----|----------|-------|-----------|----------|------|----------|-----|------------|-------|--------|
| 20 S | eed pieces pe | r row | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ν | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 24 X 66 | _ 150 | 1 m2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | | | | 24 \ 00 | = 150 | 4 1112 | | _ | | | Cuard - D | t | Durhan |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | Guaru = Ri | isset | Burban |
| 5 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Gua | rd | Guard | 1 | Guar | ď | | Guard | | Guar | d | |
| g | 1001 | | 1011 | | 1021 | 10 | 31 | | 2001 | | 2011 | | | 2021 | | 2031 | | |
| (1 | PGP17-2 | | TT17-3 | | TT17-2 | Mo | ontice | lo | TT17-10 | | ODF009 | | | RV013 | | Yukon Go | ld | |
| 2 | 1002 | | 1012 | _ | 1022 | 10 | 32 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | | 2022 | | 2032 | | |
| (1 | TT17-5 | | EPG17-3 | | TT17-7 | Sh | epody | | TT17-7 | | TT17-1 | | | EPG17-2 | | PGP17-2 | | |
| 5 | 1003 | | 1013 | _ | 1023 | 10 | 33 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | | 2023 | | 2033 | | |
| | PGP17-4 | | RV008 | _ | AC Hamer | EP | G17-2 | | AC Hamer | | Destiny | | | PGP17-3 | | Norland | | |
| 2 | 1004 | | 1014 | _ | 1024 | 10 | 34 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | | 2024 | | 2034 | | |
| | TT17-9 | | ODF007 | _ | Blazer Russe | et RV | 013 | | RV008 | | Kennebeo | : | | EPG17-3 | | TT17-9 | | |
| പ | 1005 | | 1015 | | 1025 | 10 | 35 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | | 2025 | | 2035 | | |
| | TT17-10 | | RV014 | _ | TT17-4 | PG | P17-3 | | PGP17-4 | | ODF010 | | | TT17-4 | | Monticell | 0 | |
| 18 | 1006 | | 1016 | | 1026 | 10 | 36 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | | 2026 | | 2036 | | |
| | AC Vigor | | Kennebec | | Destiny | RV | 010 | _ | RV011 | | AC Vigor | | | Shepody | | ODF007 | | |
| 17 | 1007 | | 1017 | | 1027 | 10 | 37 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | | 2027 | | 2037 | | |
| | Norland | | ODF009 | | TT17-6 | Yu | kon G | old | Lollipop | | Blazer Ru | sset | | ASPI010 | | TT17-6 | | |
| 16 | 1008 | | 1018 | | 1028 | 50 | 01 | | 2008 | | 2018 | | | 2028 | | 5004 | | |
| | RV011 | | ASPI010 | | RV009 | 00 | F007 | _ | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-2 | | | TT17-5 | | AC Hame | r | _ |
| 15 | 1009 | | 1019 | | 1029 | 50 | 02 | | 2009 | | 2019 | | | 2029 | | 5005 | | _ |
| | TT17-8 | | ODF010 | | Atlantic | 10 | F009 | _ | Atlantic | | TT17-3 | | | RV009 | | Destiny | | _ |
| 14 | 1010 | | 1020 | | 1030 | 50 | 03 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | | 2030 | | 5006 | | _ |
| | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-1 | _ | Lollipop | 00 | F010 | | TT17-8 | _ | RV014 | | | RV010 | | AC Vigor | | _ |
| 13 | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Gua | rd | Guard | 1 | Guar | ď | | Guard | | Guar | d | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6m | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | ĺ | Guard | | Guard | | Gua | rd | Guard | 1 | Guar | ď | | Guard | | Guar | d | |
| - | 3001 | | 3011 | | 3021 | 30 | 31 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | | 4021 | | 4031 | | |
| ÷ | AC Vigor | | Destiny | | TT17-2 | PG | P17-2 | | ASPI010 | | TT17-9 | | | PGP17-2 | | TT17-6 | | |
| 0 | 3002 | | 3012 | | 3022 | 30 | 32 | | 4002 | | 4012 | | | 4022 | | 4032 | | |
| - | AC Hamer | | Shepody | | ASPI010 | TT | 17-4 | | TT17-1 | | Monticell | 0 | | Kennebec | | TT17-10 | | |
| _ | 3003 | | 3013 | | 3023 | 30 | 33 | | 4003 | | 4013 | | | 4023 | | 4033 | | |
| 01 | TT17-6 | | PGP17-3 | | ASPI17-2 | No | rland | | Norland | | TT17-5 | | | Shepody | | PGP17-4 | | |
| ~ | 3004 | | 3014 | | 3024 | 30 | 34 | | 4004 | | 4014 | | | 4024 | | 4034 | | |
| | Atlantic | | RV014 | | ODF009 | Yu | kon G | old | TT17-4 | | TT17-3 | | | TT17-2 | | EPG17-2 | | |
| _ | 3005 | | 3015 | | 3025 | 30 | 35 | | 4005 | | 4015 | | | 4025 | | 4035 | | |
| | ODF007 | | TT17-8 | | Lollipop | TT | 17-9 | | RV011 | | PGP17-3 | | | ODF007 | | ODF009 | | |
| | 3006 | | 3016 | | 3026 | 30 | 36 | | 4006 | | 4016 | | | 4026 | | 4036 | | |
| Ű | Kennebec | | EPG17-3 | | Monticello | RV | 010 | | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-7 | | | EPG17-3 | | Yukon Go | ld | |
| 10 | 3007 | | 3017 | | 3027 | 30 | 37 | | 4007 | | 4017 | | | 4027 | | 4037 | | |
| | TT17-3 | | EPG17-2 | | TT17-10 | RV | 800 | | AC Vigor | | RV014 | | | ODF010 | | Lollipop | | |
| .+ | 3008 | | 3018 | | 3028 | 50 | 07 | | 4008 | | 4018 | | | 4028 | | | | |
| ~ | RV011 | | RV009 | | TT17-5 | Atl | antic | | Destiny | | Atlantic | | | RV009 | | | | |
| ~ | 3009 | | 3019 | | 3029 | 50 | 08 | | 4009 | | 4019 | | | 4029 | | | | |
| | Blazer Russet | | PGP17-4 | | TT17-7 | Mo | ntice | lo | TT17-8 | | RV013 | | | AC Hamer | | | | |
| ~ | 3010 | | 3020 | | 3030 | | | | 4010 | | 4020 | | | 4030 | | | | |
| | RV013 | | ODF010 | | TT17-1 | | | | RV010 | | Blazer Ru | sset | | RV008 | | | | |
| | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Gua | rd | Guard | | Guar | d | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guar | d | 3m |
| | 6m | 10 111 | Guard | | Guard | | Jud | | Guard | • | Gual | u | 5111 | Guard | 511 | Juai | | |

| Var | iety Mee | dium | N Brooks | - 20 | 17 - Ful | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|----------|-----------------|------|---------------|---------|------------------|-------------|------------|-----|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| 20 S | eed piece | s per i | ow | | | | | | | | | | | | N | | | |
| | | | | | | | 24 x 88m | ו 1 = 21 | 12m2 | | | | | | | | Ļ | |
| | 12" spacing | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 4 | | | Guard | 1 | Guard | |
| ~ | 3001 | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 3031 | | 3041 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | | 4031 | |
| 5 | Destiny | | EPG17-4 | | Russet Burban | k Calif | Bonnata | | ODF007 | | Yukon Go | ld | LW17-1 | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-4 | |
| 5 | 3002 | | 3012 | | 3022 | | 3032 | | | | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | 4032 | |
| 2 | Excellency | | Monticello | | Atlantic | | ASPI17-9 | | | | ASPI17-5 | | ODF010 | | Norland | | ODF007 | |
| н, | 3003 | | 3013 | | 3023 | | 3033 | | | | 4003 | | 4013 | | 4023 | | 4033 | |
| 2 | PGP17-1 | | Blazer Russe | t | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | | | | AC Vigor | | Russet Bu | rbank | Shepody | | Destiny | |
| 0 | 3004 | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 3034 | | | | 4004 | | 4014 | | 4024 | | 4034 | |
| ~ | Kennebec | | ASPI17-2 | | Basin Russet | | LW17-1 | | | | Russet Bu | rbank Cal | ASPI010 | | ASPI17-2 | | ASPI17-7 | |
| 6 | 3005 | | 3015 | | 3025 | | 3035 | | | | 4005 | | 4015 | | 4025 | | 4035 | |
| | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-1 | | Rosa Gold | | ASPI17-7 | | | | Kennebeo | <u> </u> | Cerata | | Bridget | | LW17-2 | |
| 18 | 3006 | | 3016 | | 3026 | | 3036 | | | | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | 4036 | |
| | Bridget | | ASPI17-8 | | ASPI010 | _ | LW17-2 | | | | ASPI17-9 | | Atlantic | | RV012 | | AC Hamer | _ |
| 17 | 3007 | | 3017 | _ | 3027 | _ | 3037 | | | | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | 4037 | |
| | RV012 | | Yukon Gold | _ | ASPI17-5 | | EPG17-1 | | | | ASPI17-1 | | ASPI17-4 | | ASPI17-8 | | AC Hamer | |
| 16 | 3008 | | 3018 | | 3028 | | 3038 | | | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | 4038 | |
| | Norland | | ODF010 | _ | EPG17-3 | | Russet Burba | ink | | | ODF009 | | PGP17-1 | | Red Apple | 2 | Bonnata | |
| 15 | 3009 | | 3019 | | 3029 | - | 3039 | _ | | | 4009 Diana a Dia | | 4019 | | 4029 Dania Dua | | 4039 | _ |
| | 2010 | | AC VIgor | _ | Snepody | | Cerata | | 4044 | | Blazer Rus | sset | EPG17-3 | | Basin Russ | set | Excellency | _ |
| 14 | 5010 | | AC Upmor | _ | 005000 | | 3040 ACD117-2 | _ | 4041 | | 4010 | | 4020 Doco Cold | | 4030 FDC17 F | | 4040 | _ |
| ~ | EPG17-5 | | AC Harrier | | ODF009 | | ASPI17-2 | | Monticento | | EPG17-2 | | Rosa Golu | | EPG17-5 | | ASPI17-2 | |
| Ĥ | Guard | 3 m | Guard | _ | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | t | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard = Rus | set Burb | ank | _ | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| 12 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 1001 | | 1011 | | 1021 | | 1031 | | 1041 | | 2001 | | 2011 | | 2021 | | 2031 | |
| - | ASPI17-2 | | ODF009 | | Destiny | | EPG17-2 | | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-2 | | ODF007 | | LW17-1 | | ASPI17-7 | |
| 0 | 1002 | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 1032 | | 5001 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | | 2032 | |
| - | ASPI17-2 | | Basin Russet | | Rosa Gold | | Bonnata | | ODF007 | | ASPI17-5 | | Destiny | | ASPI17-9 | | EPG17-4 | |
| 6 | 1003 | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 1033 | | 5002 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | 2033 | |
| | ASPI17-8 | | Bridget | | Yukon Gold | | ASPI17-4 | | ODF009 | | Basin Rus | set | EPG17-5 | | Rosa Gold | | EPG17-1 | |
| ∞ | 1004 | | 1014 | | 1024 | | 1034 | | 5003 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | 2024 | | 2034 | |
| | Kennebec | | RV012 | | EPG17-5 | | Russet Burba | nk | Monticello | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | AC Hamer | | ODF009 | |
| ~ | 1005 | | 1015 | _ | 1025 | _ | 1035 | | 5004 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | 2025 | | 2035 | |
| | Shepody | | ODF010 | _ | Norland | _ | ASPI17-5 | | ODF010 | | ASPI010 | | Atlantic | | EPG17-3 | | EPG17-2 | |
| 9 | 1006 | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 1036 | _ | 5005 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 2036 | |
| | ASPI17-1 | | AC Vigor | _ | LW17-1 | _ | EPG17-4 | | Atlantic | | Excellenc | У | PGP17-1 | | RV012 | | AC Hamer | |
| ъ | 1007 | | 1017 | _ | 1027 | _ | 1037 | | 5006 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 2037 | _ |
| | ASPI17-9 | | LW17-2 | _ | AC Hamer | | Russet Burba | ank Calif | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | | LW1/-2 | | ASPI17-1 | |
| 4 | 1008 | | 1018 DCD17 1 | - | 1028 | - | 1038 | - | Doctiny | | 2008 Ronnata | \square | 2018 Shoredu | | 2028 | | 2038 | _ |
| | 1000 | | 1010 | - | 1020 | - | ASPIULU 1020 | - | FOOR | | 2000 | | 2010 | | ASPI17-8 | _ | 2020 | _ |
| m | Monticolla | | 1019 | - | 1029 | 1 - | Red Applo | - | | | ZUUS Russot D. | rhank | ZUIS Russot D. | rhank Cal | 2029 Cerata | \square | 2039 Vukon Cold | |
| | 1010 | | 1020 | - | 1030 | | 1040 | | 20/1 | | 2010 | Udlik | 2020 | Dalik Cal | 2030 | | 2040 | |
| 7 | FPG17-3 | | Blazer Pusco | + | ΔSPI17-7 | 1 | Cerata | - | ODE010 | 1 | ΔSD117-2 | \square | Norland | | 2030 Blazer Pro | set | Monticello | _ |
| | LI 01/-3 | | Diazer Russe | 4 | A3F11/*/ | 1 | Cerata | | 001010 | | A3F117=Z | | Norialiu | | Diazer Rus | .JCL | Monticento | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | - | Guard | - | Guard | - | Guard | 13m | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |

Project Report

Alberta Potato Variety Development 2017 CDCS, Brooks, AB

Fresh Market Potatoes

Prepared for: Various Sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

April 10, 2018

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. An ideal fresh market variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westerman, 1993). As noted by Love et al. (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification Centre in Brooks, AB and were provided with 180 lbs/ac N and, if requested, 100 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate potential new varieties for fresh market processing;
- B. To provide the potato industry an opportunity to assess varieties grown under local conditions;
- C. To compare varieties from several breeding programs (including AAFC) under Alberta conditions; and
- D. To determine the response of new fresh market varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the low N plots (100 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (83 lbs/ac N; 253 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated prior to planting. Low N plots received an additional top-dressing (15 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 100 lbs/ac N. Moderate N plots received an additional top-dressing (189 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling, for a total of 180 lbs/ac N. Within each level of nitrogen, varieties were planted in four replicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties (Atlantic, AC Vigor and Monticello). Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plans, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) was applied prior to planting (May 4) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars was provided by Edmonton Potato Growers and seed of test cultivars was provided by each participant. Potatoes were planted May 30, 2017 (Low N Main) and May 29, 2017 (Moderate N Main) approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 7 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 25 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 14, 2017.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 1 to the Low N and Medium N plots. The Low N plots were harvested September 14 to 15, 2017 and Moderate N plots were harvested September 12 to 13 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Fresh market tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 to 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). A sample of twenty-five tubers (48 to 88mm) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. A composite sample of 16 tubers (4 per rep) was stored at 8°C until culinary analyses were performed. Samples were evaluated for bake and boil by the Food Science and Technology Centre, Brooks, in November 2017.

The data presented here have been statistically analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; (SPSS; $p \le 0.05$). Statistical summaries are available upon request. T-tests were used to compare results for varieties grown at different levels of N.

<u>Results and Discussion – Fresh Market</u> Sample hills of each yellow or white variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2017. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 2.





Figure 2. Fresh market yellow or white varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) TT17-2, b) AC Hamer, c) PGP17-1, d) Bonnata, e) TT17-3, f) PGP17-4, g) PGP17-3, h) RV009, i) TT17-1, j) RV011, k) TT17-4, l) TT17-5, m) Volare, n) Yellow Star, and o) Yukon Gold.

Sample hills of each red-skinned variety were dug for a field day at CDCS August 24, 2017. Photos of these varieties are shown in Figure 3.





Figure 3. Fresh market red-skinned varieties at CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) EPG17-1, b) EPG17-4, c) EPG17-5, d) RV012, e) ASPI17-7, f) ASPI17-8, g) ASPI17-9, h) RV008, i) Cerata, j) PGP17-2, k) TT17-7, l) Norland, m) TT17-6, n) Red Apple, o) Rosa Gold, p) TT17-8, q) TT17-10, and r) TT17-9.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the yellow and white fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 2. Four cultivars were planted with little additional nitrogen and were harvested in August (Low N Early harvest). There were no statistical differences in total yield between cultivars in the early harvest. Specific gravity ranged between 1.061 for Volare and 1.089 for Yukon Gold.

Another ten cultivars were planted in low N plots (100 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 16.4ton/ac for TT17-3 to 30.8 for PGP17-3 (Table 2). Specific gravities ranged from 1.078 for PGP17-4 to 1.123 for TT17-3.

Four cultivars were grown on a moderate level of N (180 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N – main harvest). At this level of N, the total yield ranged from 22.8 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 37.2 ton/ac for PGP17-1, although total yield is not always a good predictor of good marketable yield. Specific gravity of PGP17-1 was significantly lower than that of Yukon Gold, and other cultivars grown at moderate levels of N.

Yukon Gold was grown at two levels of N and harvested at two different times. Yukon Gold yielded better when grown at full season than when harvested early. Specific gravity of Yukon Gold was higher when harvested later. N level did not significantly affect yield or SG of Yukon Gold harvested in August or September in 2017 (Table 2).

Further addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Early harvest | | |
| Arizona | 10.7 a | 1.069 c |
| Volare | 18.8 a | 1.061 d |
| Yellow Star | 16.7 a | 1.082 b |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | 12.8 a¥ | 1.089 a |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | 11.6 a¥ | 1.088 ab¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| TT17-2 | 23.2 b-e | 1.089 bcd |
| TT17-3 | 16.4 e | 1.123 a |
| PGP17-4 | 26.2 a-d | 1.078 de |
| PGP17-3 | 30.8 ab | 1.096 b |
| RV009 | 25.3 а-е | 1.096 b |
| TT17-1 | 22.1 b-е | 1.081 de |
| RV011 | 28.3 abc | 1.086 b-e |
| TT17-4 | 21.4 b-е | 1.087 b-е |
| TT17-5 | 29.9 abc | 1.087 b-e |
| Yukon Gold | 22.9 b¥ | 1.095 bc¥ |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| AC Hamer | 25.0 bc | 1.094 b |
| PGP17-1 | 37.2 a | 1.079 fg |
| Bonnata | 31.8 abc | 1.090 bcd¥ |
| Yukon Gold | 22.8 bc¥ | 1.092 bc |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each **yellow or white** fresh market variety grown on approximately 180bs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early and Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

F Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

Four cultivars were grown on low N (100 lbs/ac) and harvested in August (Early – Low N). There were no significant differences in total yield between these cultivars. Specific gravity of EPG17-5 was significantly higher than that of other cultivars harvest in August.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 3. Ten cultivars were planted in low N plots (100 lbs/ac) and were harvested in September (Low N – main harvest). Total yield ranged from 18.0 ton/ac for TT17-6 to 29.9 for Norland (Table 3). Specific gravities ranged from 1.076 for TT17-8 to 1.094 for TT17-7 and AR207-04.

Eleven cultivars were grown on a moderate level of N (180 lbs/ac) and harvested in September (Moderate N – main harvest). At this level of N, the total yield ranged from 24.6 ton/ac for EPG17-4 to 32.8 ton/ac for Norland. Specific gravities ranged from 1.071 for Norland to 1.108 for ASPI17-5.

Norland was grown at both levels of N and in Early and Late harvested trials. Yield of Norland was statistically higher when harvested in September rather than August. Specific gravity of Norland was higher in the later harvested material than when harvested in August. Total yield of Norland harvested in September was not statistically affected by N level, but SG was significantly higher when grown on low N compared to the moderate level of N (Table 3).

| CDCS | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Early harvest | | |
| EPG17-1 | 14.5 a | 1.073 c |
| EPG17-4 | 12.5 a | 1.071 c |
| EPG17-5 | 14.7 a | 1.086 ab |
| Norland | 18.0 a¥ | 1.073 c¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 26.3 a-d | 1.094 bc |
| ASPI17-8 | 24.4 a-d | 1.089 bcd |
| RV008 | 28.5 bca | 1.082 de |
| PGP17-2 | 33.5 a | 1.078 de |
| TT17-7 | 22.1 b-e | 1.094 bc |
| Norland | 29.9 abc¥ | 1.084 cdeŧ¥ |
| TT17-6 | 18.0 de | 1.086 b-e |
| TT17-8 | 20.6 cde | 1.076 e |
| TT17-10 | 23.6 b-е | 1.089 bcd |
| TT17-9 | 27.7 abc | 1.085 b-e |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| EPG17-1 | 32.0 abc | 1.075 gh |
| EPG17-4 | 24.6 bc | 1.073 gh |
| EPG17-5 | 25.3 bc | 1.086 c-f |
| ASPI17-5 | 31.6 abc | 1.108 a |
| RV012 | 28.2 abc | 1.088 b-e |
| ASPI17-7 | 31.0 abc | 1.088 b-e |
| ASPI17-8 | 27.7 abc | 1.084 def |
| ASPI17-9 | 29.2 abc | 1.087 b-e |
| Cerata | 28.8 abc | 1.080 efg |
| Norland | 32.8 ab | 1.071 h ‡ |
| Red Apple | 28.8 abc | 1.085 c-f |

Table 3: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each **red-skinned** fresh market variety grown on approximately 180 lbs/ac nitrogen (Moderate N) and 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early and Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

^{\ddagger} Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for yellow and white cultivars is shown in Table 4. Of the four cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August, produced mostly small (<48mm) and marketable (48 to 88mm) tubers as expected. The percentage of Arizona and Volare tubers in various size categories were not statistically different from Yukon Gold. Yellow Star produced a significantly lower percentage of tubers 48 to 88 mm than Arizona and Yukon Gold, and a significantly higher percentage of tubers under 48mm than Arizona, Volare and Yukon Gold. Arizona and Volare produced a significantly higher percentage of jumbo (> 88mm) tubers than the other cultivars grown in these plots.

Of the ten yellow and white cultivars grown on low N for the full season, RV011 and PGP17-4 produced a greater percentage of tubers under 48mm in diameter than Yukon Gold (Table 4). TT17-5 and Yukon Gold produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers over 88mm than other cultivars from the low N full season plots.

At a moderate level of N, most of the cultivars produced a higher percentage of small tubers than Yukon Gold and a lower percentage of marketable and jumbo tubers (Table 4).

Yukon Gold was grown at low and moderate N for the early and the full season plots. The only statistical difference observed for these cultivars was that Yukon Gold produced a significantly higher percentage of tubers under 48mm when grown at a lower N and a correspondingly higher percentage of 48 to 88 mm tubers at the moderate level of N (Table 4).

| Table 4: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48-88mm, > 88mm and deformed) |
|--|
| for each fresh market yellow or white variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and 100 |
| lbs/ac nitrogen (Early and Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter |
| in each column of the table are not significantly different at the $p < 0.05$ level. |

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48 - 88 mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| Early harvest | | | | |
| Arizona | 42.5 b | 54.8 a | 2.8 a | 0.0 a |
| Volare | 37.5 b | 60.8 a | 1.5 a | 0.0 a |
| Yellow Star | 75.8 a | 24.3 b | 0.0 b | 0.3 a |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | 46.3 b¥ | 53.8 a | 0.3 b¥ | 0.0 a¥ |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | 43.7 b¥ | 55.8 a | 0.3 b¥ | 0.3 a¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| TT17-2 | 33.3 b-f | 65.8 ab | 0.5 b | 0.3 ab |
| TT17-3 | 39.5 bcd | 57.3 ab | 0.0 b | 3.3 ab |
| PGP17-4 | 45.0 bc | 51.8 b | 0.0 b | 3.0 ab |
| PGP17-3 | 40.8 bcd | 57.3 ab | 0.8 b | 1.5 ab |
| RV009 | 37.8 bcd | 62.3 ab | 0.0 b | 0.0 b |
| TT17-1 | 37.0 b-е | 59.5 ab | 1.0 b | 2.5 ab |
| RV011 | 46.5 bc | 53.0 b | 0.0 b | 0.5 ab |
| TT17-4 | 34.0 b-f | 64.5 ab | 2.5 b | 1.3 ab |
| TT17-5 | 19.8 ef | 68.5 ab | 9.0 a | 2.5 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 22.8 def¥ | 62.0 ab‡ | 10.8 a¥ | 4.5 a¥ |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 50.0 b | 47.5 e | 1.0 c | 1.5 ab |
| PGP17-1 | 41.2 bcd | 58.0 b-e | 0.0 c | 0.5 ab |
| Bonnata | 35.0 b-f | 64.3 a-d | 0.5 c | 0.5 ab |
| Yukon Gold | 14.5 g¥ | 74.8 aŧ | 8.8 a¥ | 2.3 ab¥ |

 \ddagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \leq 0.05$ level.

F Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the p \leq 0.05 level.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category for red-skinned cultivars is shown in Table5. EPG17-5 produced a significantly higher percentage of potatoes under 48mm in the early harvested plots indicating the potential for creamer potato production.

On low N, the majority of cultivars tested produced over 50% of the tubers in the middle-size category (48 to 88), but TT17-7 yielded a significantly higher percentage of small tubers.

At the moderate level of N, there were statistical differences in the percentage of tubers in each size category, (Table 5). Red Apple produced a significantly higher percentage of small potatoes than any other cultivar. EPG17-1, EPG17-4, Cerata and Norland produced a greater percentage of medium-sized tubers and Norland produced the highest percentage of jumbo (> 88mm) tubers on moderate N.
EPG17-1, EPG17-4, EPG17-5, and Norland were harvested in early and full-season plots. The length of growing season significantly affected the percentage of EPG17-1 tubers in the medium, jumbo and deformed categories. A greater percentage of tubers in the larger categories were harvested from the full-season plots. For EPG17-4, significantly fewer tubers were small in the full-season plots and significantly more tubers were jumbo in the full-season when compared to the early harvested plots. EPG17-5 produced significantly fewer small tubers and significantly more medium sized tubers when grown full season than when harvested early.

ASPI17-7, ASPI17-8, and Norland were grown full-season at both levels of N. There were no significant differences in the percentage of ASPI17-7 tubers in each size category as a result of the different N fertility, ASPI17-8 produced a higher percentage of small tubers on moderate N than on low N, and Norland produced a significantly greater percentage of jumbo tubers on moderate N than low N (Table 5).

Table 5: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48-88mm, > 88mm and deformed) for each fresh market red-skinned variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and 100 lbs/ac nitrogen (Early and Low N). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 49 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Early harvest | | | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | 55.0 b | 44.5 a¥ | 0.3 b¥ | 0.3 a¥ | | |
| EPG17-4 | 40.5 b¥ | 58.5 a | 0.0 b¥ | 1.3 a | | |
| EPG17-5 | 81.8 a¥ | 18.3 b¥ | 0.0 b | 0.0 a | | |
| Norland | 46.5 b¥ | 53.5 a¥ | 0.0 b¥ | 0.0 a¥ | | |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 35.5 b-f | 62.8 ab | 1.0 b | 0.5 ab | | |
| ASPI17-8 | 35.0 b-f | 62.0 ab | 1.3 b | 1.8 ab | | |
| RV008 | 30.5 b-f | 66.8 ab | 1.0 b | 1.3 ab | | |
| PGP17-2 | 28.3 c-f | 69.6 ab | 1.3 b | 1.0 ab | | |
| TT17-7 | 79.0 a | 19.8 c | 0.0 b | 0.8 ab | | |
| Norland | 19.3 f | 75.3 a | 3.3 bŧ | 2.3 ab‡ | | |
| TT17-6 | 38.3 bcd | 58.8 ab | 1.0 b | 2.0 ab | | |
| TT17-8 | 42.3 bc | 55.8 b | 0.3 b | 2.3 ab | | |
| TT17-10 | 47.5 b | 52.5 b | 0.0 b | 0.0 b | | |
| TT17-9 | 45.2 bc | 53.3 b | 0.3 b | 1.3 ab | | |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | 25.3 efg | 71.3 ab¥ | 2.8 bc¥ | 0.8 ab¥ | | |
| EPG17-4 | 26.0 efg¥ | 68.3 abc | 5.3 b¥ | 0.8 ab | | |
| EPG17-5 | 48.3 bc¥ | 50.7 de¥ | 0.3 c | 0.3 ab | | |
| ASPI17-5 | 33.5 c-f | 65.0 a-d | 1.0 c | 0.5 ab | | |
| RV012 | 42.3 bcd | 57.3 b-e | 0.3 c | 0.0 b | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 36.8 b-e | 61.5 а-е | 1.0 c | 0.8 ab | | |
| ASPI17-8 | 46.8 bc | 52.8 с-е | 0.5 c | 0.0 b | | |
| ASPI17-9 | 39.0 b-e | 59.8 а-е | 0.5 c | 0.0 b | | |
| Cerata | 27.3 d-g | 71.3 ab | 1.5 c | 0.3 ab | | |
| Norland | 20.8 fg¥ | 70.0 ab¥ | 9.3 a¥ | 0.3 abŧ¥ | | |
| Red Apple | 68.0 a | 29.3 f | 0.0 c | 2.8 a | | |
| Rosa Gold | 42.0 bcd | 57.0 b-e | 0.5 c | 0.5 ab | | |

[‡] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each yellow or white variety is shown by size category in Table 6. There were significant differences in yield by size category between the four cultivars grown in the Low N plots and harvested in August. Yellow Star yielded significantly more tubers under 48mm in diameter than other cultivars in these plots.

For varieties grown on low N and harvested in September, a significantly greater yield of tubers under 48mm for cultivars such as PGP17-4 and RV011, suggest that these may be suitable for marketing in more than one size category. Yield of tubers 48 to 88mm ranged from 12.6 ton/ac for TT17-7 to 24.2 ton/ac for PGP17-3 (Table 6). Yield of marketable PGP17-3 was significantly greater than that of Yukon Gold, but not significantly different from the other cultivars. TT17-5 and Yukon Gold produced significantly greater yield of tubers over 88mm than other cultivars in these plots.

Four yellow or white cultivars were grown on moderate N and harvested in September. Yield of tubers under 48mm ranged from 0.9 ton/ac for Yukon Gold to 6.7 ton/ac of PGP17-1 (Table 6). Yield of 48 – 88mm tubers ranged from 1536 ton/ac of Yukon Gold to 30.1 ton/ac of PGP17-1.

Yukon Gold was grown in early and full season plots at both levels of N. Yukon Gold yielded significantly more tubers in all categories when grown full season compared to early harvest (Table 6). Yield of Yukon Gold tubers seemed unaffected by the level of N within a particular harvest window.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48 – 88mm | > 88mm | Deformed |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Early harvest | | | | |
| Arizona | 1.5 c | 7.7 ab | 1.4 a | 0.0 a |
| Volare | 3.0 bc | 14.9 a | 1.0 a | 0.0 a |
| Yellow Star | 9.3 a | 7.4 ab | 0.0 a | 0.0 a |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | 2.7 bc | 10.0 ab | 0.1 a | 0.0 a |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | 2.4 c¥ | 9.1 ab¥ | 0.1 a¥ | 0.1 a |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| TT17-2 | 3.3 e-h | 19.2 bcd | 0.5 b | 0.1 a |
| TT17-3 | 3.1 e-h | 12.6 de | 0.1 b | 0.6 a |
| PGP17-4 | 6.2 bc | 18.6 bcd | 0.2 b | 1.3 a |
| PGP17-3 | 5.3 b-e | 24.2 ab | 0.8 b | 0.5 a |
| RV009 | 5.1 b-f | 20.2 bcd | 0.0 b | 0.1 a |
| TT17-1 | 2.5 gh | 17.6 bcd | 1.3 b | 0.7 a |
| RV011 | 6.9 b | 20.9 a-d | 0.1 b | 0.4 a |
| TT17-4 | 2.7 fgh | 18.1 bcd | 0.2 b | 0.4 a |
| TT17-5 | 1.4 h | 20.4 bcd | 7.5 a | 0.6 a |
| Yukon Gold | 1.2 h¥ | 14.3 cde¥ | 6.2 a¥ | 1.1 a |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 5.1 bc | 18.2 bcd | 1.2 de | 0.5 b |
| PGP17-1 | 6.7 b | 30.1 a | 0.2 e | 0.3 b |
| Bonnata | 4.5 bcd | 26.5 ab | 0.5 e | 0.2 b |
| Yukon Gold | 0.9 e | 15.6 de | 4.9 ab | 0.5 b |

Table 6: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each fresh market yellow or white variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (100 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

 \ddagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the p \le 0.05 level.

¥ Data between the early and main harvest plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

EPG17-1, EPG17-4, EPG17-5 and Norland were grown in early harvest plots as well as full season plots. EPG17-1 and Norland yielded significantly better in all size categories on full season plots than on early harvested plots (Table 7). For EPG17-4 and EPG17-5 yield of middle-sized tubers was greater in full season plots.

Red-skinned potatoes were grown on low N, moderate N or both and harvested in September. Yield results by size category are shown in Table 7. On low N, TT17-7 produced a significantly higher yield of tubers under 48mm than other cultivars and significantly lower yield of tubers 48 to 88mm in diameter. Marketable yield of other cultivars were not significantly different from one another at this level of N.

On moderate N, Red Apple yielded significantly higher yield of tubers under 48mm. Norland yielded significantly greater yield of jumbo tubers (Table 7). Marketable tubers ranged from 15.6 ton/ac for Red Apple-15 to 27.0 ton/ac for ASPI17-5.

ASPI17-7, ASPI17-8 and Norland were grown at both level of N. Norland yielded more jumbo tubers on moderate N than on low N. ASPI17-8 yielded more small tubers when grown at moderate N than low N (Table 7).

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 4 oz, 4 to 6 oz, 6 to 10 oz, > 10 oz, and deformed) for each fresh market red-skinned variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180 lbs/ac) and at a lower rate of N (100 lbs/ac). Data shown is the mean of four replicates. Data followed by the same letter in each column of the table are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level.

| CDCS | < 48 mm | 48-88mm | > 88mm | Deformed | | |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|--|--|
| Early harvest | | | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | 4.3 b¥ | 9.9 ab¥ | 0.2 a¥ | 0.1 a | | |
| EPG17-4 | 2.2 c | 10.2 ab¥ | 0.0 a¥ | 0.1 a | | |
| EPG17-5 | 9.2 a | 5.4 b¥ | 0.0 a¥ | 0.0 a | | |
| Norland | 4.4 b¥ | 13.6 ab¥ | 0.0 a¥ | 0.0 a¥ | | |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 3.6 d-h | 21.6 abc | 1.0 b | 0.1 a | | |
| ASPI17-8 | 3.4 d-h‡ | 19.8 bcd | 1.0 b | 0.2 a | | |
| RV008 | 2.8 fgh | 23.9 ab | 1.2 b | 0.6 a | | |
| PGP17-2 | 3.2 e-h | 28.7 a | 1.2 b | 0.3 a | | |
| TT17-7 | 13.9 a | 8.0 e | 0.0 b | 0.1 a | | |
| Norland | 1.5 h¥ | 24.9 ab¥ | 2.6 b¥‡ | 0.8 a¥ | | |
| TT17-6 | 2.9 fgh | 14.0 cde | 0.6 b | 0.5 a | | |
| TT17-8 | 4.0 c-g | 15.5 cde | 0.3 b | 0.8 a | | |
| TT17-10 | 5.8 bcd | 17.8 bcd | 17.8 bcd 0.0 b | | | |
| TT17-9 | 5.7 bcd | 21.0 abc | 0.4 b | 0.6 a | | |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | 2.7 cde¥ | 25.9 abc¥ | 2.8 cd¥ | 0.5 b | | |
| EPG17-4 | 1.8 cde | 19.3 bcd¥ | 3.3 bc¥ | 0.2 b | | |
| EPG17-5 | 6.3 b | 18.5 bcd¥ | 0.3 e¥ | 0.2 b | | |
| ASPI17-5 | 3.6 b-e | 27.0 ab | 0.9 de | 0.1 b | | |
| RV012 | 4.4 bcd | 23.6 a-d | 0.2 e | 0.0 b | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 4.2 b-e | 25.4 abc | 1.2 de | 0.2 b | | |
| ASPI17-8 | 6.3 b‡ | 21.0 a-d | 0.5 e | 0.0 b | | |
| ASPI17-9 | 4.1 b-e | 24.5 a-d | 0.6 e | 0.0 b | | |
| Cerata | 2.4 cde | 24.9 a-d | 1.4 cde | 0.1 b | | |
| Norland | 1.5 de | 24.7 a-d | 6.6 a‡ | 0.1 b | | |
| Red Apple | 11.6 a | 15.6 d | 0.1 e | 1.5 a | | |
| Rosa Gold | 3.8 b-e | 17.0 cd | 0.5 e | 0.2 b | | |

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, brown center, stem-end discoloration, other types of internal necrosis, scab and black scurf. For cultivars grown on low N and harvested in August, very few tubers exhibited internal defects. For tubers grown on low N and harvested in September, a few tubers exhibited stem-end discoloration and vascular discoloration, but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. At the moderate rate of N, many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. Black scurf was noted on several cultivars, such as Yukon Gold, PGP17-4 and Bonnata, however no seed treatment was used in the trial.

Red Apple had some anthocyanin pigment in the flesh of some tubers. PGP17-2 broke dormancy in December and would need sprout inhibition to store longer. At the low and the moderate rate of N, many of the samples had some level of stem-end discoloration or vascular discoloration but tubers were not tested for wilt organisms. Black scurf was noted on a number of red-skinned tubers, such as EPG17-4, EPG17-1, EPG17-5, ASPI17-7, ASPI17-8 and Norland, however, no seed treatment was used in the trial.

Subjective assessments of yellow and white tubers are shown in Table 8. For the early harvested trial on low N, there were no significant differences in uniformity of size or overall appearance. RV011, PGP17-3 and RV009 scored significantly better than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and overall appearance when grown on low N. Bonnata scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher than Yukon Gold for uniformity of size and Bonnata and PGP17-1 scored higher

Table 8: Subjective tuber assessments for each fresh market yellow or white variety: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Early harvest | | | | | | |
| Arizona | 2.00 a | 4.3 a | | | | |
| Volare | 2.00 a | 3.5 ab | | | | |
| Yellow Star | 2.00 a | 3.8 ab | | | | |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | 2.00 a | 3.0 ab | | | | |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | 2.00 a | 4.3 a¥ | | | | |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| TT17-2 | 3.50 ab | 3.75 ab | | | | |
| TT17-3 | 2.25 b | 2.25 d | | | | |
| PGP17-4 | 3.00 ab | 3.25 a-d | | | | |
| PGP17-3 | 3.75 a | 3.50 abc | | | | |
| RV009 | 4.00 a | 3.75 ab | | | | |
| TT17-1 | 2.75 ab | 3.00 a-d | | | | |
| RV011 | 3.75 a | 4.00 a | | | | |
| TT17-4 | 2.75 ab | 2.50 cd | | | | |
| TT17-5 | 2.75 ab | 2.75 bcd | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | 2.25 b | 2.25 d¥ | | | | |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | | | |
| AC Hamer | 3.00 abc | 3.00 ab | | | | |
| PGP17-1 | 3.25 abc | 4.00 a | | | | |
| Bonnata | 3.75 ab | 3.75 a | | | | |
| Yukon Gold | 2.0 c | 2.25 b | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

[†] Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \le 0.05$ level.

Subjective assessments of red-skinned cultivars are shown in Table 9. In the early harvest plots, there were no significant differences between cultivars for uniformity of size or overall appearance. At the low rate of N, there was no significant difference between cultivars for uniformity of size. TT17-10 rated highest for overall appearance. At the moderate rate of N. EPG17-5 rated higher than Norland for uniformity of size and EPG17-5 and Red Apple were rated highest for overall appearance.

Table 9: Subjective tuber assessments for each fresh market red-skinned variety: Uniformity of Size was subjectively assessed on each replicate by the same individual during the grading process. Overall Appearance was based on uniformity of size and uniformity of shape, skin colour, deformities and eye depth. Data shown is the mean of 4 replicates.

| | Uniformity of Size ¹ | Overall Appearance ² |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Early harvest | | |
| EPG17-1 | 4.00 a | 3.0 ab |
| EPG17-4 | 4.00 a | 3.5 ab |
| EPG17-5 | 4.00 a | 3.8 ab |
| Norland | 4.00 a | 2.3 b¥ |
| Low N – main harvest | | |
| ASPI17-7 | 3.00 ab | 3.00 a-d |
| ASPI17-8 | 3.25 ab | 3.00 a-d |
| RV008 | 3.00 ab | 3.25 a-d |
| PGP17-2 | 3.00 ab | 3.00 a-d |
| TT17-7 | 3.00 ab | 3.25 a-d |
| Norland | 3.00 ab | 3.00 a-d¥ |
| TT17-6 | 3.00 ab | 3.25 a-d |
| TT17-8 | 3.50 ab | 2.75 bcd |
| TT17-10 | 4.00 a | 4.00 a |
| TT17-9 | 3.25 ab | 3.50 abc |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | |
| EPG17-1 | 3.25 abc | 3.25 ab |
| EPG17-4 | 3.00 abc | 3.50 a |
| EPG17-5 | 4.33 a | 4.00 a |
| ASPI17-5 | 3.25 abc | 3.75 a |
| RV012 | 3.67 ab | 3.33 ab |
| ASPI17-7 | 3.00 abc | 3.25 ab |
| ASPI17-8 | 3.00 abc | 3.00 ab |
| ASPI17-9 | 3.50 abc | 2.75 ab |
| Cerata | 3.50 abc | 3.25 ab |
| Norland | 2.50 bc | 3.00 ab |
| Red Apple | 3.50 abc | 4.00 a |
| Rosa Gold | 3.00 abc | 2.75 ab |

¹Uniformity of Size: 1 (very variable) - 5 (very uniform)

² Overall Appearance: 1 (very poor) - 5 (outstanding)

 \dagger Data between the regular and low N plots was statistically different at the $p \leq 0.05$ level.

Culinary evaluations were conducted on all cultivars in the trial. Results for the yellow and white cultivars are presented in Table 10. There was variation in flesh colour and tuber texture noted after boiling and baking samples. Moderate sloughing was observed after boiling Yukon Gold grown on moderate N and for PGP17-3, and TT17-5 grown on low N. Yukon Gold TT17-3 grown on low N exhibited severe sloughing. No after cooking discoloration was observed for any of the cultivars in the trial.

| Table 10: Culinary evaluations of each yellow or white fresh market variety grown on moderate nitrogen |
|---|
| (approximately 180lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 100lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of |
| duplicate analyses of a composite sample. |

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Early harvest | | | | |
| Arizona | Yellow | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Volare | White | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Yellow Star | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | Yellow | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| TT17-2 | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-3 | Yellow | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| PGP17-4 | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| PGP17-3 | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| RV009 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-1 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| RV011 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-4 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-5 | Off-white | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main har | rvest | | | |
| AC Hamer | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PGP17-1 | Deep Yellow | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Bonnata | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |

† Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration‡ |
| Early harvest | | | |
| Arizona | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Volare | Yellow | 1 | 3 |
| Yellow Star | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold (Mod N) | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold (Low N) | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| TT17-2 | Yellow | 1 | 3 |
| TT17-3 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| PGP17-4 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| PGP17-3 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV009 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-1 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| RV011 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-4 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-5 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | |
| AC Hamer | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| PGP17-1 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Bonnata | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Yukon Gold | Yellow | 3 | 3 |

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

Table 10 continued.

^{\ddagger} After Cooking discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Results of the culinary evaluation of red-skinned cultivars are presented in Table 11. Flesh colour and texture differences were noted after boiling and baking. Moderate sloughing was observed for ASPI17-7, TT17-7 and TT-11-012/2012-01 grown on low N. No after cooking discolouration was noted for any of the red-skinned cultivars in the trial after boiling or baking.

| Boiled Potatoes | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Waxiness† | Sloughing | After Cooking Discoloration* |
| Early harvest | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-4 | Off-white | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-5 | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | | |
| ASPI17-7 | Off-white | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| ASPI17-8 | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| RV008 | Deep Yellow | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PGP17-2 | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-7 | Yellow | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-6 | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-8 | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| TT17-10 | Yellow | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-9 | White | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | | |
| EPG17-1 | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-4 | Off-white | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-5 | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-5 | Yellow | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| RV012 | Off-white | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-7 | Off-white | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-8 | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-9 | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cerata | Off-white | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Red Apple | Yellow | 2 | 3 | 3 |

Table 11: Culinary evaluations of each yellow or white fresh market variety grown on moderate nitrogen (approximately 180lbs/ac) and low nitrogen (approximately 100lbs/ac) at CDCS. Data shown is the mean of duplicate analyses of a composite sample.

[†] Waxiness: 1 = very waxy (very clean cuts); 2 = waxy (clean cuts with some residue); 3 = slightly waxy (more mealy than waxy); 4 = not waxy (fluffy/mealy)

* After Cooking discoloration and sloughing: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

| Baked Potatoes | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|---|
| CDCS | Flesh color | Texture* | After Cooking Discoloration ! |
| Early harvest | | | |
| EPG17-1 | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-4 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| EPG17-5 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 1 | 3 |
| Low N – main harvest | | | |
| ASPI17-7 | Off-white | 1 | 3 |
| ASPI17-8 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| RV008 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| PGP17-2 | White | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-7 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 1 | 3 |
| TT17-6 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-8 | Deep Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-10 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| TT17-9 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| Moderate N – main harvest | | | |
| EPG17-1 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| EPG17-4 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| EPG17-5 | Yellow | 2 | 3 |
| ASPI17-5 | Yellow | 3 | 3 |
| RV012 | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-7 | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| ASPI17-8 | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| ASPI17-9 | Off-white | 3 | 3 |
| Cerata | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| Norland | Off-white | 2 | 3 |
| Red Apple | Yellow | 2 | 3 |

Table 11 continued.

* Texture: 1 = wet; 2 = slightly wet; 3 = slightly mealy; 4 = mealy

[‡] After Cooking discoloration: 1 = severe; 2 = moderate; 3 = none

Conclusions

The 2017 variety trial included 16 yellow or white potato cultivars and 18 red-skinned potato cultivars with fresh market potential in southern Alberta. Yukon Gold was included in the trial as a check variety for early harvested cultivars grown on low N and full-season standards at both rates of N. For early harvested cultivars on low N, Yellow Star produced the highest yield of creamer sized potatoes. Volare produced the greatest yield of medium sized tubers from early harvested plots. In the full season plots grown on low N, PGP17-3 produced the greatest yield of medium sized tubers. At the moderate rate of N, PGP17-1 yielded very well compared to other white and yellow entries. Arizona, Yukon Gold (early on low N), RV011, and PGP17-1 scored very well for overall appearance. Many cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. A few cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing and internal defects, but none showed after-cooking darkening.

Yukon Gold was grown at more than one level of N. Although the level of N affected the percentage of tubers in small and medium categories, yield was not significantly affected by N level in 2017.

Norland was included in the trial at both levels of N as a check in the early harvested trial. In the early harvested plots, EPG17-5 produced the greatest yield of creamer sized red potatoes. In the low N full season plots, PGP17-2 produced the greatest yield of medium sized red tubers, but not statistically more than Norland. ASPI17-5 yielded the greatest of the red cultivars at the moderate rate of N, however, none of the red entries yielded significantly more than Norland. TT17-10, EPG17-5, and Red Apple scored very well for overall appearance. Many of the red-skinned cultivars had different culinary attributes that will need to be considered when developing a marketing approach. A few cultivars in the trial had issues with sloughing and internal defects but none showed after-cooking darkening.

Norland was grown at both levels of N. Only the yield of jumbo tubers was significantly affected by the N level.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. Addressing the agronomic needs of each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this year of the trial.

Recommendations

- Varieties should be grown in southern Alberta for at least 3 years and these results need to be compiled to ensure a reasonable evaluation.
- To establish better estimates of yield potential and size profile for the varieties, each variety should be grown under optimal agronomic conditions (fertility, plant density, etc.).

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, William Lai, Rebecca Pemberton, Kaylene MacKinnon and Anneliese Gietz for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 2, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 2 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Little Potato Company Old Dutch Foods McCain Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Prairie Gold Produce Rockyview Seed Potatoes Solanum International Inc. Tuberosum Technologies Inc.

Contact Information:

Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

> 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax

Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

Appendix A Plot Plan

| | | | | | | _ | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | - |
|----|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|---|-------------|------|-------------|-----|----------------|------|-------------|----|----------------|-------------|------|-------------|-----|-------------|---|
| Ea | arly Harvest 201 | 7 | | | | | | | Ν | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Seed pieces per row | v | | | | | | | | | | | Planted | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 8 x 115 = 9 | 20 m | 12 | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard = Columbo | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Guard | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | |
| ~ | 1001 | 1007 | 1013 | | 2001 | | 2007 | | 2013 | | 3001 | | 3007 | | 3013 | 4001 | 400 | 7 | 4 | 4013 | l |
| | Shepody | Anouk | Athlete (G) | | Shepody | | Russet Burb | bank | Yukon Gold | (L) | Arizona | | EPG17-4 | | Yellow Star | LW1 | Yuko | on Gold | E | EPG17-4 | |
| ~ | 1002 | 1008 | 1014 | | 2002 | | 2008 | | 2014 | | 3002 | | 3008 | | 3014 | 4002 | 400 | 8 | 4 | 4014 | l |
| ~ | Norland | Penni (L) | EPG17-4 | | LW2 | | Norland | | Penni (L) | | Yukon Gold (L | .) | Rosa Gold | | Volare | Athlete (G) | Rus | set Burbank | ۲ N | Yellow Star | |
| 4 | 1003 | 1009 | 1015 | | 2003 | | 2009 | | 2015 | | 3003 | | 3009 | | 3015 | 4003 | 400 | 9 | 4 | 4015 | |
| _ | Yellow Star | LW1 | EPG17-5 | | LW1 | | Yellow Star | | Anouk | | Yukon Gold | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-5 | Arizona | Pen | ni (L) | 1 | Norland | |
| S | 1004 | 1010 | 1016 | | 2004 | | 2010 | | 2016 | | 3004 | | 3010 | | 3016 | 4004 | 401 | 0 | 4 | 4016 | |
| | Volare | Yellow Star | LW2 | | Rosa Gold | | Yukon Gold | | Arizona | | LW2 | | Shepody | | Norland | EPG17-1 | EPC | 617-5 | 1 | Yellow Star | 1 |
| 9 | 1005 | 1011 | 1017 | | 2005 | | 2011 | | 2017 | | 3005 | | 3011 | | 3017 | 4005 | 401 | 1 | 4 | 4017 | 1 |
| | Russet Burbank | EPG17-1 | Yukon Gold | 1 | EPG17-5 | | Yellow Star | | EPG17-1 | | LW1 | | Anouk | | Penni (L) | Shepody | Ano | uk | L | _W2 | 1 |
| ~ | 1006 | 1012 | 1018 | | 2006 | _ | 2012 | | 2018 | | 3006 | | 3012 | | 3018 | 4006 | 401 | 2 | 4 | 4018 | 1 |
| | Yukon Gold (L) | Arizona | Rosa Gold | | EPG17-4 | | Volare | | Athlete (G) | | Yellow Star | | Athlete (G) | _ | Russet Burbank | Volare | Yuko | on Gold (L) | F | Rosa Gold | |
| ∞ | Guard 3 m | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guard | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 6 m | | | | | | | 15m | | | | | 6m | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard = Columbo | | | | | | | | | | Guard = Rosa G | old | | | | | | | | | |
| | < − | | | | | | | | | | 115m | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ĺ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Low | N Variety | Tria | ul 2017 - S | Septe | mber ha | rvest | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|----|----------|-------|------------|------|----------|-----------|----|------------------|-------|--------|
| 20 S | eed pieces pe | r row | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ν | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 24 X 66 | = 158 | 4 m2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Guard = R | usset | Burban |
| 4 | Guard | | Guard | | Quand | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guara | | Gua | d | 1 | Gua | d | |
| | 1001 | | 1011 | | 1021 | | 1031 | u | 2001 | | 2011 | 1 | 2021 | u | | 2031 | u | |
| 23 | PGP17-2 | | TT17-3 | | TT17-2 | | Monticell | 0 | TT17-10 | | ODF009 | | RV013 | | | ZUUT Yukon Go | ld | |
| | 1002 | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 1032 | Ŭ | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | | | 2032 | | - |
| 52 | TT17-5 | | EPG17-3 | | TT17-7 | | Shepody | | TT17-7 | | TT17-1 | | EPG17-2 | | | PGP17-2 | | 1 |
| _ | 1003 | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 1033 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | | 2033 | | |
| 5 | PGP17-4 | | RV008 | | AC Hamer | | EPG17-2 | | AC Hamer | | Destiny | | PGP17-3 | | | Norland | | 1 |
| 0 | 1004 | | 1014 | | 1024 | | 1034 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | 2024 | | | 2034 | | |
| Ř | TT17-9 | | ODF007 | | Blazer Russ | set | RV013 | | RV008 | | Kennebec | | EPG17-3 | | | TT17-9 | | |
| ნ | 1005 | | 1015 | | 1025 | | 1035 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | 2025 | | | 2035 | | |
| - | TT17-10 | | RV014 | | TT17-4 | | PGP17-3 | | PGP17-4 | | ODF010 | | TT17-4 | | | Monticell | 0 | |
| ×. | 1006 | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 1036 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | | 2036 | | |
| - | AC Vigor | | Kennebec | | Destiny | | RV010 | | RV011 | | AC Vigor | | Shepody | | | ODF007 | | |
| r. | 1007 | | 1017 | | 1027 | | 1037 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | | 2037 | | |
| - | Norland | | ODF009 | | TT17-6 | | Yukon Go | ld | Lollipop | | Blazer Rus | set | ASPI010 | | | TT17-6 | | |
| <u>г</u> е | 1008 | | 1018 | | 1028 | | 5001 | | 2008 | | 2018 | | 2028 | | | 5004 | | |
| | RV011 | | ASPI010 | | RV009 | | ODF007 | | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-2 | | TT17-5 | | | AC Hame | r | |
| L5 | 1009 | | 1019 | | 1029 | | 5002 | | 2009 | | 2019 | | 2029 | | | 5005 | | _ |
| | TT17-8 | | ODF010 | | Atlantic | | ODF009 | | Atlantic | | TT17-3 | | RV009 | | | Destiny | | |
| 14 | 1010 | | 1020 | | 1030 | | 5003 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | 2030 | | | 5006 | | |
| | ASPI17-2 | | 1117-1 | | Lollipop | | ODF010 | | 1117-8 | _ | RV014 | _ | RV010 | | | AC Vigor | | |
| 13 | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guard | k | Guar | ď | | Guar | d | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6m | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guard | ł | Guar | d | | Guar | d | |
| - | 3001 | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 3031 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | | | 4031 | | |
| - | AC Vigor | | Destiny | | TT17-2 | | PGP17-2 | | ASPI010 | | TT17-9 | | PGP17-2 | | | TT17-6 | | |
| 0 | 3002 | | 3012 | | 3022 | | 3032 | | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | | 4032 | | |
| - | AC Hamer | | Shepody | | ASPI010 | | TT17-4 | | TT17-1 | | Monticello |) | Kennebeo | : | | TT17-10 | | |
| ~ | 3003 | | 3013 | | 3023 | | 3033 | | 4003 | | 4013 | | 4023 | | | 4033 | | |
| • | TT17-6 | | PGP17-3 | | ASPI17-2 | | Norland | | Norland | | TT17-5 | | Shepody | | | PGP17-4 | | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 3004 | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 3034 | | 4004 | | 4014 | | 4024 | | | 4034 | | |
| | Atlantic | | RV014 | | ODF009 | | Yukon Go | ld | TT17-4 | | TT17-3 | | TT17-2 | | | EPG17-2 | | |
| ~ | 3005 | | 3015 | | 3025 | | 3035 | | 4005 | | 4015 | | 4025 | | | 4035 | | |
| | ODF007 | | TT17-8 | | Lollipop | | TT17-9 | | RV011 | | PGP17-3 | | ODF007 | | | ODF009 | | |
| 9 | 3006 | | 3016 | | 3026 | | 3036 | | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | | 4036 | | |
| | Kennebec | | EPG17-3 | | Monticello | | RV010 | | ASPI17-2 | | TT17-7 | | EPG17-3 | | | Yukon Go | ld | |
| ம | 3007 | | 3017 | | 3027 | | 3037 | | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | | 4037 | | |
| | TT17-3 | | EPG17-2 | | TT17-10 | | RV008 | | AC Vigor | | RV014 | | ODF010 | | | Lollipop | | _ |
| 4 | 3008 | | 3018 | | 3028 | | 5007 | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | | | | _ |
| | RV011 | | RV009 | _ | 1117-5 | | Atlantic | | Destiny | | Atlantic | | RV009 | | | | | _ |
| ო | 3009 | | 3019 | | 3029 | | 5008 | | 4009 | | 4019 | | 4029 | \square | | | | _ |
| | Blazer Russet | | PGP17-4 | | 1117-7 | _ | Monticell | 0 | 1117-8 | | KV013 | | AC Hame | r | | - | | _ |
| 5 | 3010 | | 3020 | | 3030 | | | | 4010 | | 4020 | | 4030 | \vdash | | | | |
| | KV013 | | ODFUIU | | 111/-1 | | | | KVU10 | | Biazer Rus | set | KV008 | | | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Guar | d | Guard | | Guard | d 3m | Guai | ď | 3m | Guar | ď | 3m |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Var | iety Me | dium | N Brook | ks - 20 | 17 - Ful | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------|-----|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| 20 5 | Seed piece | es per | row | | | | | | | | | | | | N | | | |
| | | · · | | | | | 24 x 88m | = 21 | 12m2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | , | | | | | 21 x 00111 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Guard | , | Guard | | Guard | 1 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | | 1 I | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 3001 | | 3011 | | 3021 | | 3031 | | 3041 | | 4001 | | 4011 | | 4021 | | 4031 | |
| 53 | Destiny | | EPG17-4 | | Russet Burbank | Calif | Bonnata | | ODF007 | | Yukon Go | d | LW17-1 | | EPG17-1 | | EPG17-4 | |
| ~ | 3002 | | 3012 | | 3022 | 1 | 3032 | | | | 4002 | | 4012 | | 4022 | | 4032 | |
| 5 | Excellency | | Monticello |) | Atlantic | | ASPI17-9 | | | | ASPI17-5 | | ODF010 | | Norland | | ODF007 | |
| - | 3003 | | 3013 | | 3023 | | 3033 | | | | 4003 | | 4013 | | 4023 | | 4033 | |
| 2 | PGP17-1 | | Blazer Rus | set | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | | | | AC Vigor | | Russet Burb | ank | Shepody | | Destiny | |
| 0 | 3004 | | 3014 | | 3024 | | 3034 | | | | 4004 | | 4014 | | 4024 | | 4034 | |
| 5 | Kennebec | | ASPI17-2 | | Basin Russet | | LW17-1 | | | | Russet Bu | rbank Cal | i ASPI010 | | ASPI17-2 | | ASPI17-7 | |
| 6 | 3005 | | 3015 | | 3025 | | 3035 | | | | 4005 | | 4015 | | 4025 | | 4035 | |
| - | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-1 | | Rosa Gold | | ASPI17-7 | | | | Kennebed | : | Cerata | | Bridget | | LW17-2 | |
| 00 | 3006 | | 3016 | | 3026 | | 3036 | | | | 4006 | | 4016 | | 4026 | | 4036 | |
| | Bridget | | ASPI17-8 | | ASPI010 | | LW17-2 | | | | ASPI17-9 | | Atlantic | | RV012 | | AC Hamer | |
| 1 | 3007 | | 3017 | | 3027 | | 3037 | | | | 4007 | | 4017 | | 4027 | | 4037 | |
| | RV012 | | Yukon Gol | d | ASPI17-5 | | EPG17-1 | | | | ASPI17-1 | | ASPI17-4 | | ASPI17-8 | | AC Hamer | |
| 16 | 3008 | | 3018 | | 3028 | | 3038 | | _ | | 4008 | | 4018 | | 4028 | | 4038 | |
| | Norland | _ | ODF010 | | EPG17-3 | | Russet Burbar | hk | | | ODF009 | _ | PGP17-1 | | Red Apple | 2 | Bonnata | |
| 15 | 3009 | _ | 3019 | | 3029 | | 3039 | | | | 4009 | | 4019 | | 4029 | | 4039 | |
| | EPG17-2 | | AC Vigor | | Shepody | | Cerata | | | | Blazer Rus | set | EPG17-3 | | Basin Russ | set | Excellency | |
| 14 | 3010 | _ | 3020 | | 3030 | | 3040 | | 4041 | | 4010 | | 4020 | | 4030 | | 4040 | |
| - | EPG17-5 | | AC Hamer | _ | ODF009 | | ASPI17-2 | | Monticello | | EPG17-2 | | Rosa Gold | _ | EPG17-5 | | ASPI17-2 | _ |
| Ĥ | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| | 6m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12" spacing | g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard = Rus | set Burk | ank | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | 1 | | | Guard | | Guard | |
| - | 1001 | | 1011 | | 1021 | | 1031 | | 1041 | | 2001 | | 2011 | | 2021 | | 2031 | |
| - | ASPI17-2 | | ODF009 | | Destiny | | EPG17-2 | | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-2 | | ODF007 | | LW17-1 | | ASPI17-7 | |
| 0 | 1002 | | 1012 | | 1022 | | 1032 | | 5001 | | 2002 | | 2012 | | 2022 | | 2032 | |
| | ASPI17-2 | | Basin Russ | et | Rosa Gold | | Bonnata | | ODF007 | | ASPI17-5 | | Destiny | | ASPI17-9 | | EPG17-4 | |
| 6 | 1003 | | 1013 | | 1023 | | 1033 | | 5002 | | 2003 | | 2013 | | 2023 | | 2033 | |
| | ASPI17-8 | | Bridget | | Yukon Gold | | ASPI17-4 | | ODF009 | | Basin Rus | set | EPG17-5 | | Rosa Gold | | EPG17-1 | |
| ∞ | 1004 | | 1014 | | 1024 | | 1034 | | 5003 | | 2004 | | 2014 | | 2024 | | 2034 | |
| | Kennebec | | RV012 | | EPG17-5 | | Russet Burbar | hk | Monticello | | Bridget | | AC Vigor | | AC Hamer | | ODF009 | |
| ~ | 1005 | | 1015 | | 1025 | | 1035 | | 5004 | | 2005 | | 2015 | | 2025 | | 2035 | |
| | Shepody | | ODF010 | | Norland | | ASPI17-5 | | ODF010 | | ASPI010 | | Atlantic | | EPG17-3 | | EPG17-2 | |
| 9 | 1006 | | 1016 | | 1026 | | 1036 | | 5005 | | 2006 | | 2016 | | 2026 | | 2036 | |
| | ASPI17-1 | | AC Vigor | | LW17-1 | | EPG17-4 | | Atlantic | | Excellence | y | PGP17-1 | | RV012 | | AC Hamer | |
| S | 1007 | | 101/ | | 1027 | | 1037 | | 5006 | | 2007 | | 2017 | | 2027 | | 2037 | |
| | ASPI17-9 | | LW1/-2 | | AC Hamer | | Russet Burbar | тк сант Т | AC Hamer | | ASPI17-4 | | Red Apple | | LW17-2 | | ASPI17-1 | |
| 4 | 1008 | | 1018 | | 1028 | - | 1038 | | Destinu | | ∠008 Depret- | Щ— | 2018 Shanadu | _ | 2028 | \square | ∠U38 | - |
| | 1000 | _ | 1010 | | 1029 | - | ASPIULU 1030 | | 5008 | | 2000 | | 2010 | | 2020 | | 2030 | |
| m | Monticello | | Excellence | | 005007 | - | Red Apple | 1 | | | 2009 Russet Pu | rbank | 2013 Russet Burb | ank Cali | Corata | | ZUUU Vukon Gold | - |
| - | 1010 | | 1020 | | 1030 | - | 1040 | | 2041 | | 2010 | | 2020 | arik Cdl | 2030 | | 2040 | _ |
| 2 | EPG17-3 | | Blazer Rus | set | ASPI17-7 | | Cerata | | ODF010 | | ASPI17-2 | | Norland | | Blazer Rus | set | Monticello | |
| - | | | Didicer Hus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard | 3 m | Guard | _ | Guard | I | Guard | | Guard | 13m | Guard | | Guard | _ | Guard | | Guard | |
| | | | | | 1 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | |

Project Report

AAFC National Potato Variety Trial Results from CDCS, Brooks, AB 2018

Prepared for: Funding agencies and industry sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh¹ and Virginia Dickison² ¹Alberta Agriculture and Forestry Crop Diversification Centre South 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre
850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7

January 16, 2019





2018 NPVT - Brooks

Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westermann, 1993). As noted by Love et. al (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have field is an asset. Tubers with a good skin set, and produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification in Brooks, AB and were provided with 200 lbs/ac N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate new cultivars for French fry processing;
- B. To evaluate new cultivars for chip processing;
- C. To evaluate new cultivars for fresh consumption; and
- D. To evaluate cultivars from AAFC's National Potato Breeding Program under Alberta conditions.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the AAFC plots (200 lbs/ac) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (40 lbs/ac N; 26 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (90 lbs/ac of 11-52-0) incorporated May 8 prior to planting. AAFC plots received an additional top-dressing (326 lbs/ac of 46-0-0) at hilling (June 7), for a total of 200 lbs/ac N. Entries were planted in duplicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) and Sencor (150g/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 7) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars and test cultivars was provided by AAFC. Potatoes were planted May 17 approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Plots were hilled June 7 with a power hiller. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied twice during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2017 to prevent early and late blight development.

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 11 July | Ridomil Gold/Bravo | 0.83L/ac |
| 30 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 27, 2018.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) August 23, 2018. Potatoes were harvested September 5 and 6 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Fresh market and French fry tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Chipping tubers were stored at 14.5°C until graded. Chipping and Fresh Market tubers were graded into size categories (less than 48mm, 48 – 88mm, over 88mm and deformed). French Fries were graded by weight into categories (< 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 396g, > 396g and deformed). A sample of twenty tubers (48 – 88mm or 113 to 396g) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Subsamples of marketable tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have not been statistically analyzed. Data reported are the mean of two replicate rows.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 14, 2018. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 14, 2018: a) Atlantic E, b) Atlantic West, c) F14025, d) F14026, e) F14028, f) F14030, g) F14031, h) F14032, i) F14034, j) F14035, k) F14036, l) F14037, m) WV10655-1, n) Snowden East, and o) Snowden West.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 24.2 for F14030 to 37.2 ton/ac for F14036. Specific gravity ranged from 1.083 for WV10655-1 to 1.109 for Atlantic East.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Atlantic East | 32.0 | 1.109 |
| Atlantic West | 36.1 | 1.107 |
| F14025 | 27.6 | 1.096 |
| F14026 | 34.7 | 1.088 |
| F14028 | 31.8 | 1.093 |
| F14030 | 24.2 | 1.106 |
| F14031 | 34.7 | 1.101 |
| F14032 | 33.9 | 1.092 |
| F14034 | 32.7 | 1.099 |
| F14035 | 24.6 | 1.100 |
| F14036 | 37.2 | 1.100 |
| F14037 | 31.2 | 1.106 |
| WV10655-1 | 29.0 | 1.083 |
| Snowden East | 27.2 | 1.105 |
| Snowden West | 28.7 | 1.104 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 200 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Atlantic East | 7 | 82 | 10 | 0 |
| Atlantic West | 11 | 81 | 8 | 0 |
| F14025 | 10 | 67 | 22 | 0 |
| F14026 | 18 | 79 | 3 | 0 |
| F14028 | 17 | 77 | 5 | 1 |
| F14030 | 37 | 62 | 0 | 1 |
| F14031 | 20 | 78 | 2 | 0 |
| F14032 | 13 | 81 | 6 | 0 |
| F14034 | 17 | 72 | 10 | 2 |
| F14035 | 16 | 80 | 4 | 0 |
| F14036 | 13 | 79 | 8 | 0 |
| F14037 | 19 | 76 | 5 | 0 |
| WV10655-1 | 29 | 47 | 21 | 7 |
| Snowden East | 11 | 81 | 7 | 0 |
| Snowden West | 11 | 84 | 4 | 0 |

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 200 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Marketable yield ranged from 13.1 ton/acre for WV10655-1 to 29.6 ton/ac for F14036.

| | Yield of <48mm (ton/ac) | Yield of 48 to 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of > 88mm (ton/ac) | Yield of deformed (ton/ac) |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Atlantic East | 0.6 | 24.5 | 6.9 | 0.1 |
| Atlantic West | 0.9 | 29.0 | 6.1 | 0.2 |
| F14025 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 11.7 | 0.1 |
| F14026 | 1.9 | 28.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| F14028 | 1.0 | 26.8 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| F14030 | 3.8 | 19.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| F14031 | 2.3 | 31.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| F14032 | 1.1 | 28.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| F14034 | 1.2 | 23.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 |
| F14035 | 1.3 | 20.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| F14036 | 1.1 | 29.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
| F14037 | 1.8 | 25.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| WV10655-1 | 1.6 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 0.6 |
| Snowden East | 0.9 | 22.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Snowden West | 1.1 | 24.8 | 2.5 | 0.2 |

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 200 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart or brown center was noted in a few tubers. F14037 had internal necrotic lesions in almost half of the tubers. Snowden and WV10655-1 seemed somewhat susceptible to black scurf. No common scab lesions were noted.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 14, 2018. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 14, 2018: a) CV011010-2, b) CV011238-1, c) CV08212-1, d) F14002, e) F14003, f) F14005, g) F14008, h) F14010, i) F14011, j) F14015, k) F14016, l) F14017, m) F14018, n) F14020, o) F14021, p) F14022, q) F14023, r) F14057, s) Russet Burbank E, t) Russet Burbank W, u) Shepody E, and v) Shepody W.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5. Total yield ranged from 31.2 ton/ac for F14057 to 47.7 ton/ac for F14021. Marketable yield ranged from 24.7 ton/ac for CV08212-1 to 44.4 ton/ac for F14021. Specific gravity ranged from 1.079 for F14003 to 1.117 for F14023.

| | Total Yield (ton/ac) | Mkt Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| CV011010-2 | 46.9 | 40.9 | 1.085 |
| CV011238-1 | 40.3 | 37.0 | 1.094 |
| CV08212-1 | 30.9 | 24.7 | 1.095 |
| F14002 | 40.4 | 37.8 | 1.094 |
| F14003 | 33.0 | 29.5 | 1.079 |
| F14005 | 39.2 | 35.5 | 1.089 |
| F14008 | 31.9 | 29.9 | 1.086 |
| F14010 | 37.4 | 32.8 | 1.088 |
| F14011 | 39.0 | 35.7 | 1.088 |
| F14015 | 41.6 | 39.6 | 1.094 |
| F14016 | 39.4 | 33.9 | 1.105 |
| F14017 | 32.5 | 29.1 | 1.091 |
| F14018 | 37.6 | 33.9 | 1.090 |
| F14020 | 34.6 | 31.0 | 1.082 |
| F14021 | 47.7 | 44.4 | 1.089 |
| F14022 | 36.6 | 33.7 | 1.091 |
| F14023 | 33.5 | 28.9 | 1.117 |
| F14057 | 31.2 | 28.9 | 1.106 |
| R.Burbank East | 44.2 | 39.1 | 1.096 |
| R.Burbank West | 36.9 | 31.5 | 1.088 |
| Shepody East | 37.2 | 32.2 | 1.088 |
| Shepody West | 40.6 | 35.7 | 1.092 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield and marketable yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 200 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

| | % of <113g | % of 113-170g | % of 170-284g % | 6 of 284-396 | g % of >396g | % deformed |
|----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| CV011010-2 | 8 | 10 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 5 |
| CV011238-1 | 8 | 16 | 37 | 25 | 14 | 0 |
| CV08212-1 | 13 | 12 | 21 | 19 | 28 | 7 |
| F14002 | 6 | 11 | 33 | 29 | 20 | 0 |
| F14003 | 10 | 22 | 46 | 15 | б | 1 |
| F14005 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 28 | 37 | 4 |
| F14008 | 6 | 9 | 34 | 25 | 26 | 1 |
| F14010 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 22 | 27 | 6 |
| F14011 | 7 | 9 | 22 | 28 | 32 | 2 |
| F14015 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 27 | 37 | 0 |
| F14016 | 7 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 7 |
| F14017 | 10 | 14 | 36 | 25 | 15 | 0 |
| F14018 | 7 | 14 | 35 | 27 | 14 | 2 |
| F14020 | 7 | 8 | 20 | 24 | 37 | 3 |
| F14021 | 7 | 8 | 27 | 31 | 27 | 0 |
| F14022 | 8 | 11 | 32 | 28 | 22 | 0 |
| F14023 | 14 | 15 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 0 |
| F14057 | 7 | 17 | 41 | 17 | 18 | 1 |
| R.Burbank East | 9 | 13 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 2 |
| R.Burbank West | 10 | 14 | 40 | 18 | 14 | 4 |
| Shepody East | 6 | 10 | 20 | 23 | 34 | 7 |
| Shepody West | 10 | 15 | 31 | 19 | 23 | 3 |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 396g and > 396g, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 200 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each French fry cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7. Yield of 170 to 284g tubers ranged from 6.3 ton/ac of CV08212-1 to 15.3 ton/ac of F14003. Yield of 284 to 396g tubers ranged from 5.1 for F14003 to 14.8 for F14021

| | % of | % of 113-170g | % of 170-284g | % of 284-396g | % of >396g | % deformed |
|----------------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| | <113g | | | | | |
| CV011010-2 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 2.4 |
| CV011238-1 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 14.9 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 0.0 |
| CV08212-1 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 2.2 |
| F14002 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 13.3 | 11.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| F14003 | 3.4 | 7.2 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| F14005 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 14.1 | 1.7 |
| F14008 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| F14010 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 2.1 |
| F14011 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 0.6 |
| F14015 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| F14016 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 2.7 |
| F14017 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| F14018 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 5.3 | 0.9 |
| F14020 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 13.1 | 1.2 |
| F14021 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 12.7 | 14.8 | 13.1 | 0.0 |
| F14022 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| F14023 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| F14057 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 12.8 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| R.Burbank East | 4.0 | 5.8 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 1.1 |
| R.Burbank West | 3.8 | 5.2 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 1.6 |
| Shepody East | 2.2 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 12.5 | 2.8 |
| Shepody West | 3.9 | 6.2 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 1.1 |

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 396g and > 396g, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was noted in approximately 25% of CV08212-1 and F14057 tubers and a couple of Russet Burbank tubers. Black scurf was not noted on any tubers but several tubers of F14005 had common scab lesions.

Results - Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 14, 2018. Photos of the yellow/white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 24, 2017: a) F14057, b) F14067, c) F14068, d) F14126, e) F14128, f) F14132, g) Kennebec, and h) Yukon Gold East.



Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in **Figure 5**.

Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 14, 2018: a) Chieftain E, b) F14052, c) F14071, d) F14075, e) F14085, f) F14090, g) F14096, h) F14100, i) F14112, j) F14114, k) F14118, l) F14119, m) F14120, n) F14134, o) Norland E.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8. Total yield ranged from 23.0 ton/ac for F14067 to 40.9 ton/ac for F14118. Specific gravity ranged from 1.076 for F14119 to 1.106 for F14057.

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow/White-skinned | | | |
| F14057 | FM/FF | 31.4 | 1.106 |
| F14067 | FM | 23.0 | 1.093 |
| F14068 | FM | 35.2 | 1.089 |
| F14126 | FM | 30.8 | 1.071 |
| F14128 | FM | 42.5 | 1.076 |
| F14132 | FM | 30.3 | 1.095 |
| Kennebec | FM CK | 39.7 | 1.087 |
| Yukon Gold East | FM CK | 30.9 | 1.095 |
| Red/Purple-skinned | | | |
| F14052 | FM | 24.3 | 1.096 |
| F14071 | FM | 29.5 | 1.099 |
| F14075 | FM | 30.8 | 1.079 |
| F14085 | FM | 24.0 | 1.094 |
| F14090 | FM | 32.9 | 1.095 |
| F14096 | FM | 30.0 | 1.085 |
| F14100 | FM | 32.1 | 1.087 |
| F14112 | FM | 24.5 | 1.091 |
| F14114 | FM | 37.8 | 1.089 |
| F14118 | FM | 40.9 | 1.081 |
| F14119 | FM | 33.4 | 1.076 |
| F14120 | FM | 31.6 | 1.084 |
| F14134 | FM | 31.7 | 1.084 |
| Chieftain East | FM | 36.0 | 1.081 |
| Norland East | FM | 36.8 | 1.080 |

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM) cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 200 lbs/ac nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

| | No. of <48mm | No. of 48 to 88mm | No. of > 88mm | No. of deformed |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Yellow/White-skinr | ned | | | |
| F14057 | 20 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| F14067 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| F14068 | 12 | 85 | 3 | 0 |
| F14126 | 22 | 76 | 1 | 0 |
| F14128 | 14 | 73 | 11 | 1 |
| F14132 | 15 | 84 | 1 | 0 |
| Kennebec | 11 | 69 | 19 | 2 |
| Yukon Gold East | 10 | 69 | 20 | 0 |
| Red/Purple-skinn | ed | | | |
| F14052 | 39 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| F14071 | 16 | 82 | 1 | 1 |
| F14075 | 11 | 70 | 19 | 0 |
| F14085 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| F14090 | 11 | 70 | 18 | 1 |
| F14096 | 16 | 67 | 18 | 0 |
| F14100 | 13 | 82 | 4 | 1 |
| F14112 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| F14114 | 21 | 70 | 8 | 1 |
| F14118 | 14 | 74 | 13 | 0 |
| F14119 | 11 | 79 | 9 | 1 |
| F14120 | 6 | 55 | 34 | 5 |
| F14134 | 23 | 71 | 5 | 2 |
| Chieftain East | 8 | 85 | 6 | 0 |
| Norland East | 15 | 74 | 11 | 0 |

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 200 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10.

| | Yield of <48mm | Yield of 48 to | Yield of > 88mm | Yield of deformed |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | (ton/ac) | 88mm (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow/White-skinn | ned | | | |
| F14057 | 2.4 | 28.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F14067 | 3.1 | 19.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F14068 | 1.1 | 31.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| F14126 | 2.2 | 27.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| F14128 | 1.3 | 29.3 | 11.0 | 0.9 |
| F14132 | 1.4 | 27.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Kennebec | 0.7 | 23.8 | 14.3 | 1.0 |
| Yukon Gold East | 0.5 | 18.0 | 12.2 | 0.2 |
| Red/Purple-skinn | ed | | | |
| F14052 | 3.9 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| F14071 | 1.9 | 26.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| F14075 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 11.5 | 0.1 |
| F14085 | 2.3 | 21.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| F14090 | 0.8 | 21.4 | 10.4 | 0.3 |
| F14096 | 1.0 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 0.0 |
| F14100 | 1.2 | 27.8 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| F14112 | 3.9 | 19.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| F14114 | 1.8 | 28.4 | 7.2 | 0.4 |
| F14118 | 1.0 | 26.9 | 13.0 | 0.0 |
| F14119 | 0.9 | 25.4 | 6.3 | 0.7 |
| F14120 | 0.3 | 12.8 | 16.8 | 1.6 |
| F14134 | 2.0 | 24.8 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Chieftain East | 0.7 | 30.0 | 5.2 | 0.1 |
| Norland East | 1.2 | 25.9 | 9.5 | 0.2 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 48mm, 48 to 88mm, > 88mm, and deformed tubers) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 209 lbs/ac. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. Approximately 25% of F14057 tubers displayed hollow heart while brown center and hollow heart were only observed in individual tubers of other lines. F14071 and F14120 tubers were affected by internal necrotic lesions, over 50% for F14071 and 25% for F14120. Black scurf was mostly absent, F14085 seemed susceptible to common scab.

Conclusions

The 2018 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 11 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare 18 French fry cultivars with. Yukon Gold, Chieftain, Kennebec and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 18 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 200 lbs/ac, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to seasonal staff Mary-Lou Benci, Rebecca Pemberton, and Bernice Kruger for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 3, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 3 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Old Dutch Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Rockyview Seed Potatoes

> <u>Contact Information:</u> Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Potato Research Scientist Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, CDCS 301 Horticultural Station Road East Brooks, AB T1R 1E6 403-362-1314 phone 403-362-1306 fax Michele.Konschuh@gov.ab.ca

> > and

Benoit Bizimungu, Ph.D. Potato Breeder Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre 850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7 506-452-4880 506-452-3316 Benoit.Bizimungu@AGR.GC.CA

| AFC - 2018 - B | rooks | | | | N | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
|) Seed pieces per | row | | | | | | Planted: | 17-May | | | |
| | | | | | | 12 x 105 = 1 | 260 m2 | | | | |
| Guard = Norland | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 1001 | 1011 | 1021 | 1031 | 1041 | 1051 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 | 2041 | 2051 |
| F14026 | F14034 | WV10655-1 | F14037 | F14032 | F14028 | F14030 | F14028 | Snowden West | F14032 | Atlantic West | Atlantic East |
| 1002 | 1012 | 1022 | 1032 | 1042 | 1052 | 2002 | 2012 | 2022 | 2032 | 2042 | 2052 |
| F14025 | F14031 | F14036 | Atlantic West | Atlantic East | F14030 | F14031 | F14036 | WV10655-1 | F14034 | F14026 | Snowden E |
| 1003 | 1013 | 1023 | 1033 | 1043 | 1053 | 2003 | 2013 | 2023 | 2033 | 2043 | 2053 |
| F14035 | Snowden West | Snowden East | F14023 | F14002 | F14011 | F14025 | F14035 | F14037 | F14021 | Shepody West | F14005 |
| 1004 | 1014 | 1024 | 1034 | 1044 | 1054 | 2004 | 2014 | 2024 | 2034 | 2044 | 2054 |
| CV011238-1 | F14020 | F14003 | Shepody East | F14018 | F14005 | F14022 | F14008 | F14003 | Shepody East | F14015 | F14002 |
| 1005 | 1015 | 1025 | 1035 | 1045 | 1055 | 2005 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | 2045 | 2055 |
| F14016 | R.Burbank West | F14008 | F14015 | R.Burbank East | F14022 | F14016 | F14018 | R.Burbank West | F14011 | F14020 | R.Burbank |
| 1006 | 1016 | 1026 | 1036 | 1046 | 1056 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2036 | 2046 | 2056 |
| F14017 | Shepody West | CV011010-2 | F14010 | F14021 | CV08212-1 | F14023 | CV011238-1 | CV011010-2 | F14010 | CV08212-1 | F14017 |
| 1007 | 1017 | 1027 | 1037 | 1047 | 1057 | 2007 | 2017 | 2027 | 2037 | 2047 | 2057 |
| F14067 | F14112 | F14100 | F14068 | Norland East | F14132 | Kennebec | F14085 | F14067 | Norland East | F14118 | F14068 |
| 1008 | 1018 | 1028 | 1038 | 1048 | 1058 | 2008 | 2018 | 2028 | 2038 | 2048 | 2058 |
| F14096 | F14085 | F14118 | F14090 | F14119 | F14071 | Chieftain East | F14112 | F14057 | F14119 | F14134 | F14114 |
| 1009 | 1019 | 1029 | 1039 | 1049 | 1059 | 2009 | 2019 | 2029 | 2039 | 2049 | 2059 |
| Chieftain East | F14128 | F14052 | Kennebec | F14057 | F14075 | F14120 | F14052 | F14128 | F14071 | F14100 | Yukon Gold |
| 1010 | 1020 | 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 | |
| F14114 | F14126 | Yukon Gold East | F14120 | F14134 | Norland | F14090 | F14075 | F14132 | F14096 | F14126 | Norland |
| Guard | 3 m Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard | 3m Guard | 3m Guard | Guard | Guard | Guard |
| 6 m | | | | | | | 6m | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | 105m | | | | | |

Project Report

AAFC National Potato Variety Trial Results from CDCS, Brooks, AB 2020

Prepared for: Funding agencies and industry sponsors

Prepared by:

Michele Konschuh¹ and Erica Fava² ¹University of Lethbridge Lethbridge, AB

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre
850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7

Fall, 2020




Introduction

In Alberta, potato industry stakeholders are looking for replacement varieties that use less nitrogen, less water, less pesticide, yet yield superior processing or culinary quality and tonnage. Varieties from breeding programs in Canada, Europe and the United States are often being assessed. Many breeding programs target disease resistance, nitrogen use efficiency and excellent storage potential in addition to increased yield. Tuber yield potential and nutritional requirements are impacted by variety characteristics and by environmental characteristics such as the length of the growing season (Westermann, 1993). As noted by Love et. al (2003), the full potential of a new variety may not be realized until proper management is implemented. There is increasing pressure on potato producers to utilize best management practices to reduce the environmental footprint for potatoes. The costs of such shifts in production practices will be borne primarily by producers.

An ideal French fry variety would have earlier maturity than Russet Burbank, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, yield well and have specific gravity in the desired range (1.086 to 1.092). Good fry color out of the field is an asset, and good fry color out of storage is also very desirable. An ideal chipping variety would produce a good yield of medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have high specific gravity in the desired range (above 1.086). Tubers with a good skin set, good maturity at harvest and low concentration of reducing sugars is also very desirable. Varieties that store well at cooler temperatures are an asset. Ideal fresh market varieties would produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have field is an asset. Tubers with a good skin set, and produce a good yield of creamer or medium sized tubers, be relatively tolerant of environmental fluctuations, have few defects, and have an attractive appearance. Tubers with a good skin set that store well are very desirable.

The purpose of this project was to pool resources to evaluate potential varieties from a range of sources, using a cooperative approach. This trial was established to collect local agronomic data on varieties from breeding programs in Canada, the U.S. and elsewhere. The varieties were planted in replicated plots at the Crop Diversification in Brooks, AB and were provided with 182 kg/ha N. Alberta data is essential when selecting varieties appropriate for our climate, our customers and industry stakeholders.

Objectives

- A. To evaluate new cultivars for French fry processing;
- B. To evaluate new cultivars for chip processing;
- C. To evaluate new cultivars for fresh consumption; and
- D. To evaluate cultivars from AAFC's National Potato Breeding Program under Alberta conditions.

Materials and Methods

The variety evaluation was conducted in small plots at the Crop Diversification Centre South in Brooks, AB. Fertility for the AAFC plots (182 kg/ha) was achieved through a combination of soil fertility (112 lbs/ac N; 347 lbs/ac P) and broadcast fertilizer (96 kg/ha of 11-52-0 and 130 kg/ha 46-0-0) incorporated May 13 prior to planting. Entries were planted in duplicate rows in a randomized complete block design along with standard varieties. Each block was planted adjacent to guard rows to reduce any edge effects (see plot plan, Appendix A).

Eptam 8E (1.8 L/ac) and Sencor (150g/ac) were applied prior to planting (May 13) to control weeds. Seed of standard cultivars and test cultivars was provided by AAFC. Potatoes were planted May 28 approximately 12 to 15cm deep using a two-row tuber unit planter. Seed of French fry varieties was planted at 30cm spacing in 6m rows spaced 90cm apart. Seed of Chip and Fresh Market varieties were planted at 20cm spacing in 6m rows. Plots were hilled June 11 with a power hiller. Lorox (0.91 L/ac) was applied prior to emergence of the potatoes to assist with weed suppression. The plots were irrigated to maintain soil moisture close to 70%. Foliar fungicides were applied four times during the growing season to prevent early and late blight from developing (Table 1).

| Date of Application | Fungicide | Rate |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| 8 July | Ridomil Gold and Bravo | 0.64L/ac |
| 31 July | Quadris | 324mL/ac |
| 19 Aug | Dithane | 0.91 L/ac |
| 26 Aug | Dithane | 0.91 L/ac |

Table 1: Foliar fungicides applied to the potato crop in 2020 to prevent early and late blight development.



Figure 1: Variety evaluation trial at CDCS in Brooks, AB July 15, 2020.

Reglone was applied (1.0 L/ac) September 18, 2020. Potatoes were harvested September 24 using a 1-row Grimme harvester.

Fresh market and French fry tubers were stored at 8°C until graded. Chipping tubers were stored at 14.5°C until graded. Chipping and Fresh Market tubers were graded into size categories (less than 1.5", 1.5 to 2.25", 2.25: to 3.5", 3.5 to 4.5", over 4.5" and deformed). French Fries were graded by weight into categories (< 2", < 2" and 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 340g, > 340g and deformed). A sample of twenty tubers (1.5 to 3.5" or 170 to 340g) from each replicate was used to determine specific gravity using the weight in air over weight in water method. These tubers were cut longitudinally to assess internal defects. Sub-samples of marketable tubers were provided to Lethbridge Research Centre staff for culinary and post-harvest evaluations.

The data presented here have not been statistically analyzed. Data reported are the mean of two replicate rows.

<u>Results – Chipping Cultivars</u>

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a virtual field day August 21, 2020. Photos of the chipping cultivars are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. AAFC chipping cultivars at the CDCS field day August 21, 2020: a) Atlantic, b) CV10028-1, c) F150985-04, d) F150992-06, e) FV16324-08, f) FV16475-16, g) Snowden, h) Vigor, and i) WV10655-1.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the chipping cultivars are shown in Table 2. Yield ranged from 13.7 for F1150985.04 to 22.7 ton/ac for WV10655-1. Specific gravity ranged from 1.094 for FV16324.08 to 1.109 for Atlantic and F150985.04.

| | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|----------------|-------|
| ATLANTIC | 18.07 | 1.109 |
| CV10028-1 | 15.49 | 1.105 |
| F150985-04 | 13.74 | 1.109 |
| F150992-06 | 20.49 | 1.102 |
| FV16324-08 | 12.85 | 1.094 |
| FV16475-16 | 20.97 | 1.095 |
| SNOWDEN | 18.30 | 1.105 |
| VIGOR | 19.77 | 1.097 |
| WV10655-1 | 22.72 | 1.097 |

Table 2: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each chipping cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 182 kg/ha nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 1.5", 1.5 to 2.25", 2.25 to 3.5", 3.5 to 4.5", > 4.5, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha N. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | No. of < | No. of 1.5 to | No. of 2.25 | No. of 3.5 to | No. of > 4.5" | No of Def. |
|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | 1.5" | 2.25" | to 3.5" | 4.5" | | |
| ATLANTIC | 12% | 37% | 48% | 2% | 0% | 1% |
| CV10028-1 | 16% | 36% | 35% | 0% | 0% | 13% |
| F150985-04 | 12% | 70% | 18% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| F150992-06 | 9% | 45% | 44% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| FV16324-08 | 17% | 54% | 28% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| FV16475-16 | 9% | 32% | 57% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| SNOWDEN | 10% | 51% | 39% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| VIGOR | 8% | 60% | 31% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| WV10655-1 | 8% | 32% | 57% | 3% | 0% | 0% |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each chipping cultivar is shown by size category in Table 4. Yield of tubers 1.5" to 3.5" in diameter ranged from 11.9 ton/acre for FV16324.08 to 20.4 ton/ac for WV10655-1.

| 0 | Yield of < | Yield of 1.5 | Yield of 2.25 | Yield of 3.5 to | Yield of > 4.5 " | Yield of |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
| | 1.5" (ton/ac) | to 2.25" | to 3.5" | 4.5" (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | deformed |
| | 0.4 | (IUT#aC) 3.8 | 12.5 | 13 | 0.0 | |
| ATLANTIC | 0.4 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| CV10028-1 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| F150985-04 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| F150992-06 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 14.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| FV16324-08 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| FV16475-16 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 16.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| SNOWDEN | 0.5 | 6.6 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| VIGOR | 0.4 | 8.7 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| WV10655-1 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 4: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 1.5", 1.5 to 2.25", 2.25 to 3.5", 3.5 to 4.5", > 4.5, and deformed) for each chipping cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha N. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. No hollow heart was noted in 2020 samples. Brown center was noted only in a few tubers. F14037 had internal necrotic lesions in almost half of the tubers. FV16324-08, CV10028-1 and AC Vigor had some black scurf. Tubers were not protected by seed treatments in the trial. No common scab lesions were noted. FV16324-08 seemed to have very short inherent dormancy.

Results- French Fry Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a virtual field day August 21, 2020. Photos of the French fry cultivars are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. AAFC French fry cultivars at the CDCS field day August 21, 2020: a) CV12202-2, b) CV12267-1, c) CV13010-4, d) CV13010-5, e) F15019, f) Ranger Russet, g) Russet Burbank, h) Shepody, i) VF140855-03, j) VF140855-05, k) VF140855-07, l) VF140855-11, m) VF150081-01, n) VF150083-02, o) VF150086-02, and p) VF150091-01.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the French fry cultivars are shown in Table 5. Total yield ranged from 15.7 ton/ac for VF140855-05 to 24.8 ton/ac for VF150091.01. Marketable yield ranged from 10.0 ton/ac for CV13010-4 to

22.3 ton/ac for VF140855-11. Specific gravity ranged from 1.091 for CV13010-5 to 1.114 for VF140855-07.

| | Total Yield (ton/ac) | Mkt Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| CV12202-2 | 17.7 | 14.0 | 1.092 |
| CV12267-1 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 1.108 |
| CV13010-4 | 21.5 | 10.0 | 1.094 |
| CV13010-5 | 19.7 | 13.1 | 1.091 |
| F15019 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 1.098 |
| RANGER RUSSET | 21.4 | 18.2 | 1.099 |
| RUSSET BURBANK | 24.0 | 20.7 | 1.096 |
| SHEPODY | 21.3 | 18.6 | 1.096 |
| VF140855-03 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 1.107 |
| VF140855-05 | 15.7 | 14.1 | 1.101 |
| VF140855-07 | 23.7 | 21.3 | 1.114 |
| VF140855-11 | 24.5 | 22.3 | 1.095 |
| VF150081-01 | 19.8 | 17.4 | 1.098 |
| VF150083-02 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 1.109 |
| VF150086-02 | 21.7 | 17.6 | 1.107 |
| VF150091-01 | 24.8 | 21.8 | 1.105 |

Table 5: Estimated total yield and marketable yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each French fry cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 182 kg/ha nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 6.

| 0 | # of <2.0" | # of > 2.0; > 170g | # of 170-284g | # of 284-340g | # of >340g | # deformed |
|----------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| CV12202-2 | 42% | 26% | 24% | 4% | 3% | 0% |
| CV12267-1 | 24% | 20% | 37% | 9% | 10% | 0% |
| CV13010-4 | 68% | 21% | 9% | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| CV13010-5 | 57% | 19% | 20% | 2% | 1% | 0% |
| F15019 | 55% | 21% | 19% | 5% | 1% | 0% |
| RANGER RUSSET | 32% | 16% | 35% | 8% | 7% | 2% |
| RUSSET BURBANK | 30% | 37% | 22% | 3% | 7% | 1% |
| SHEPODY | 23% | 17% | 33% | 7% | 15% | 5% |
| VF140855-03 | 28% | 24% | 34% | 7% | 7% | 1% |
| VF140855-05 | 17% | 12% | 32% | 15% | 21% | 3% |
| VF140855-07 | 24% | 24% | 31% | 9% | 7% | 1% |
| VF140855-11 | 27% | 24% | 35% | 8% | 12% | 0% |
| VF150081-01 | 33% | 32% | 29% | 6% | 6% | 0% |
| VF150083-02 | 38% | 32% | 23% | 4% | 3% | 1% |
| VF150086-02 | 41% | 18% | 26% | 5% | 9% | 1% |
| VF150091-01 | 23% | 15% | 36% | 13% | 16% | 1% |

Table 6: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category ($< 2.0^{\circ}$, $> 2.0^{\circ}$ and > 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 340g and > 340g, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each French fry cultivar is shown by size category in Table 7. Yield of 170 to 284g tubers ranged from 4.0 ton/ac of CV13010-4 to 9.9 ton/ac of VF140855-07. Yield of 284 to 396g tubers ranged from 0.4 for CV13010-4 to 4.7 for VF150091-01.

| | Yld of | Yld of 113- Y | Id of 170-284g | Yld of 284- | Yld of $>396g$ | Yld def |
|----------------|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| | <113g | 170g | | 396g | | |
| CV12202-2 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| CV12267-1 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
| CV13010-4 | 11.1 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| CV13010-5 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| F15019 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| RANGER RUSSET | 2.5 | 2.8 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 0.6 |
| RUSSET BURBANK | 2.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
| SHEPODY | 1.5 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 1.2 |
| VF140855-03 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.3 |
| VF140855-05 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 0.8 |
| VF140855-07 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 9.9 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 0.3 |
| VF140855-11 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| VF150081-01 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| VF150083-02 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| VF150086-02 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 0.3 |
| VF150091-01 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 1.1 |

Table 7: Estimated yield (ton/ac) in each size category (< 113g, 113 to 170g, 170 to 284g, 284 to 396g and > 396g, and deformed tubers) for each French fry cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. There were very few internal defects observed in the tubers examined. Hollow heart was only noted in s few tubers of CV12202-2. Common scab was not noted on any tubers and black scurf was present on some tubers of VF140855011 and CV12202-2.

Results – Fresh Market Cultivars

Sample hills of each cultivar were dug for a field day August 21, 2020. Photos of the yellow/white fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. AAFC yellow/white fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 21, 2020: a) F150128-01, b) F150130.04, c) F150919-03, and b) Yukon Gold.

Photos of the purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. AAFC purple/red-skinned fresh market cultivars at the CDCS field day August 21, 2020: a) FV16004-7 and b) Norland.

Yield data (total yield; ton/ac) and specific gravities of each of the fresh market cultivars are shown in Table 8. Total yield ranged from 14.2 ton/ac for F150128-1 to 23.1 ton/ac

for F150919-03. Specific gravity ranged from 1.081 for FV16004-7 and Norland to 1.101 for Yukon Gold.

Table 8: Estimated total yield (ton/acre) and specific gravity for each fresh market FM cultivar grown at CDCS in Brooks, AB (approximately 182 kg/ha nitrogen). Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | End Use | Yield (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Yellow/White-skinned | | | |
| F150128-01 | FM | 14.2 | 1.098 |
| F150130-04 | FM | 16.8 | 1.096 |
| F150919-03 | FM | 23.1 | 1.085 |
| Yukon Gold | FM | 21.1 | 1.101 |
| Red/Purple-skinned | | | |
| FV16004-7 | FM | 19.7 | 1.081 |
| Norland | FM | 20.2 | 1.081 |

The mean percentage of total tuber number in each size category is shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Percentage of total tuber number in each size category (< 1.5", 1.5 to 2.25", 2.25 to 3.5", 3.5 to 4.5", > 4.5, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

| | No. of <1.5" | No. of 1.5 to | No. of 2.25 to | No. of 3.5 to | No. of > 4.5" | No. of |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | | 2.25" | 3.5" | 4.5" | | deformed |
| Yellow/White | e-skinned | | | | | |
| F150128-01 | 15% | 61% | 23% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| F150130-04 | 12% | 47% | 37% | 1% | 0% | 3% |
| F150919-03 | 19% | 46% | 27% | 0% | 0% | 8% |
| Yukon Gold | 9% | 26% | 63% | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| FV16004-7 | 9% | 42% | 48% | 0% | 1% | 0% |
| Norland | 8% | 45% | 47% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

The yield of tubers (estimated ton/ac) of each fresh market cultivar is shown by size category in Table 10. Yield of tuber between 1.5 and 3.5" in diameter ranged from 13.5 for F150128-1 to 20.1 for Yukon Gold. FV16004-7 was similar in size distribution to Norland.

| approximeter | ., <u> </u> | | | or en o repris | | |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Yld of <1.5" | Yld of 1.5 to | Yld of 2.25 to | Yld of 3.5 to | Yield of > 4.5 " | Yld of def |
| | (ton/ac) | 2.25" (ton/ac) | 3.5" (ton/ac) | 4.5" (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) | (ton/ac) |
| Yellow/White | e-skinned | | | | | |
| F150128-01 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| F150130-04 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| F150919-03 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Yukon Gold | 0.2 | 2.5 | 17.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| FV16004-7 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 13.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Norland | 0.3 | 5.7 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 10: Estimated yield (Yld; ton/ac) in each size category (< 1.5", 1.5 to 2.25", 2.25 to 3.5", 3.5 to 4.5", > 4.5, and deformed) for each fresh market cultivar grown at approximately 182 kg/ha. Data shown is the mean of two replicates.

Tuber samples used to measure specific gravity were evaluated for hollow heart, other internal defects and scab. No hollow heart was observed in 2020 samples. Black scurf was present at a low percentage on all samples except F150919-03. No common scab was observed in 2020 samples.

Conclusions

The 2020 variety trial included a number of cultivars with potential in southern Alberta. Atlantic, AC Vigor and Snowden were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 6 chipping cultivars. Russet Burbank and Shepody were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare to 14 French fry cultivars. Yukon Gold and Norland were included in the trial as standard varieties to compare with 4 fresh market cultivars.

The trial was designed to provide regional data for a wide range of potato cultivars. All cultivars were planted at the same in-row spacing, the N rate was approximately 182 kg/ha, and harvest was scheduled for full-season varieties. Addressing the agronomic needs, such as plant density, fertility requirements, and harvest timing for each variety may well result in improvements to yield and size profiles when compared to the results in this trial.

References

- Love, SL, R. Novy, D. Corsini, and P. Bain. 2003. Variety Selection and management. In: Potato Production Systems (J.C. Stark and S.L. Love, eds.). University of Idaho Agricultural Communications, Moscow, ID. pp: 21-47.
- Westermann, D.T. 1993. Fertility management. In: Potato Health Management (R.C. Rowe, ed.). APS Press, St. Paul, MN. pp: 77-86.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to CDCS field staff and seasonal staff Rebecca Pemberton, Phoenix Henderson and Bernice Kruger for technical support throughout the trial. This project is generously funded through the Canadian Agri-Science Cluster for Horticulture 3, in partnership with Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Agri-Innovation Program, a Growing Forward 3 initiative, the Canadian Horticultural Council, Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, Lethbridge College, the Potato Growers of Alberta and through cash and in-kind contributions from potato industry partners:

Alberta Seed Producers Inc. ConAgra Foods, Lamb Weston Division Edmonton Potato Growers Old Dutch Foods Parkland Seed Potatoes Rockyview Seed Potatoes Tuberosum Technologies

> <u>Contact Information:</u> Michele Konschuh, Ph.D. Irrigated Crop Scientist University of Lethbridge 403-634-4940 phone <u>m.konschuh@uleth.ca</u>

> > and

Erica Fava. Industry Trial Coordinator and Liaison Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Potato Research Centre 850 Lincoln Road P.O. Box 20280 Fredericton, NB E3B 4Z7 506-451-5198

| | | - | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------|--------|------------|---|----|--------------|----|------------|--------|---|--|
| | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| A | AFC - 2020 - | Brook | s | | | | | | | Ν | |
| 24 | Seed pieces pe | er row | | | | Planted: | | | | | |
| 10" | spacing | | | | | 28-May | | 12 x 30 = | 360 m2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Guard = Atlantic | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Guard | | Guard | | | Guard | | Guard | | | |
| _ | 1021 | | 2021 | | | 1031 | | 2031 | | | |
| ` | VIGOR | | F150985-04 | 1 | | NORLAND | | F150130-04 | 4 | | |
| ` | 1022 | | 2022 | | | 1032 | | 2032 | | | |
| С | FV16475-16 | | FV16324-0 | 8 | | F150128-01 | | FV16004-7 | | | |
| ~ | 1023 | | 2023 | | | 1033 | | 2033 | | | |
| 0 | FV16324-08 | | SNOWDEN | | | FV16004-7 | | YUKON GC | DLD | | |
| ~ | 1024 | | 2024 | | | 1034 | | 2034 | | | |
| 9 8 7 | SNOWDEN | | ATLANTIC | | | F150919-03 | | F150919-0 | 3 | | |
| 8 7 6 | 1025 | | 2025 | | | 1035 | | 2035 | | | |
| | CV10028-1 | | F150992-06 | 3 | | YUKON GOLI |) | F150128-0 | 1 | | |
| ~ | 1026 | | 2026 | | | 1036 | | 2036 | | | |
| ,, | F150992-06 | | FV16475-1 | 6 | | F150130-04 | | NORLAND | | | |
| | 1027 | | 2027 | | | 1037 | | 2037 | | | |
| 7 6 5 | ATLANTIC | | WV10655-1 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| ~ | 1028 | | 2028 | | | 1038 | | 2038 | | | |
| | WV10655-1 | | VIGOR | | | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| <u>د</u> ، | 1029 | | 2029 | | | 1039 | | 2039 | | | |
| ~ | F150985-04 | | CV10028-1 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| N | 1030 | | 2030 | | | 1040 | | 2040 | | | |
| | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Guard | 3 m | Guard | | 3m | Guard | 3m | Guard | | | |
| | 6 m | | 6m | | | 6m | | 6 m | | | |
| | | | Chippers | | | Fresh Market | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix A Plot Plan

| ٨٨ | FC - 2020 - | Bro | oks | | | | | Ν | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------|---------------------|------|------------|-----|-----|-----------|-------|--------|--|
| ~~ 20 | S_{0} | | N N | | Diantadi | | | 1 4 | | | |
| 20 12 " | | | | | Fidnieu. | | | 12 v 37 - | - 111 | m2 | |
| 12 | spacing | | | | 20-iviay | | - | 12 × 57 - | | · 1112 | |
| | Cuard Atlantia | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Guard = Atlantic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ν | Guard | | Guard | | Guard | | | Guard | | | |
| <u> </u> | 1001 | | 1011 | | 2001 | | | 2011 | | | |
| _ | VF150081-01 | | VF140855-03 | | VF150091-0 | 01 | | CV13010-5 | | | |
| 2 | 1002 | | 1012 | | 2002 | | | 2012 | | | |
| J | SHEPODY | | VF140855-07 | | VF140855-0 | 07 | | VF140855- | 05 | | |
| G | 1003 | | 1013 | | 2003 | | | 2013 | | | |
| - | F15019 | | RANGER RUS | SSET | VF150081-0 | 01 | | RANGER R | USSE | T | |
| œ | 1004 | | 1014 | | 2004 | | | 2014 | | | |
| - | CV12267-1 | | VF150091-01 | | CV12202-2 | | | F15019 | | | |
| ~ | 1005 | | 1015 | | 2005 | | | 2015 | | | |
| | VF140855-11 | | VF150083-02 | | SHEPODY | | | VF140855- | 11 | | |
| 0 | 1006 | | 1016 | | 2006 | | | 2016 | | | |
| 0, | RUSSET BUR | RBAN | IK CV13010-4 | | VF140855-0 | 03 | | VF150083- | 02 | | |
| (1) | 1007 | | | | 2007 | | | | | | |
| 01 | CV12202-2 | | | | CV12267-1 | | | 0 | | | |
| ~ | 1008 | | | | 2008 | | | | | | |
| - | VF140855-05 | 5 | | | CV13010-4 | | | 0 | | | |
| 0 | 1009 | | | | 2009 | | | | | | |
| 5.0 | VF150086-02 | 2 | | | VF150086-0 | 02 | | 0 | | | |
| • • | 1010 | | | | 2010 | | | | | | |
| 10 | CV13010-5 | | | | RUSSET BU | JRB | ANK | | | | |
| - | Guard | 3 n | n Guard | | Guard | | 3m | Guard | | | |
| | 7 m | | | | | | | 7 m | | | |
| | | | French Fries | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

AAFC POTATO FIELD DAY -ALBERTA

Virtual Field Tour August 21, 2020 CDCS, Brooks, AB



CHIPPING POTATOES

Three-hill dig



Atlantic

| July | 17, 2020 | August 21, 2020 | | August 21, 20 | 20 |
|------|----------|------------------|-------|---------------|----|
| | Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score | |
| | Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 | |
| | | | | | |
| | Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 | |
| | Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 | |





July 17, 2020



Aug 21, 2020



August 21, 2020

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| CV10028-1 | 12.3 | 1.101 | 62.3 |
| Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

F150985-04



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| F150985-04 | 13.1 | 1.109 | 63.3 |
| Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

F150992-06

July 17, 2020

Aug 21, 2020

August 21, 2020

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| F150992-06 | 18.8 | 1.102 | 53.5 |
| Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

FV16324-08



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| FV16324-08 | 11.9 | 1.094 | 55.7 |
| Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

FV16475-16



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| FV16475-16 | 19.6 | 1.095 | 59.2 |
| Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

WV10655-1

July 17, 2020



Aug 21, 2020



August 21, 2020

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score |
|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Atlantic | 16.34 | 1.109 | 62.6 |
| WV10655-1 | 20.34 | 1.097 | 58.9 |
| Snowden | 17.78 | 1.105 | 63.8 |
| Vigor | 19.07 | 1.097 | 63.8 |

Snowden

| July | 17, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 20 | 20 |
|------|----------|------------------|-------|---------------|----|
| | Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score | |
| | Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 | |
| | | | | | |
| | Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 | |
| | Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 | |

Vigor

| July | 17, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 20 | 20 |
|------|----------|------------------|-------|---------------|----|
| | Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | Chip Score | |
| | Atlantic | 16.3 | 1.109 | 62.6 | |
| | | | | | |
| | Snowden | 17.8 | 1.105 | 63.8 | |
| | Vigor | 19.1 | 1.097 | 63.8 | |

FRENCH FRY POTATOES

Three hill dig



CV12202-2

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | August 21, 2020 |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) SG | USDA Color |

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| CV12202-2 | 14.0 | 1.092 | 2 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

CV12267-1

| Ju | uly 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|----|--------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| S | ept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| R | anger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| CV12267-1 | 17.8 | 1.108 | 0 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

CV13010-4

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|---------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| CV13010-4 | 10.0 | 1.094 | 1 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

CV13010-5

July 15, 2020



Aug 21, 2020



August 21, 2020

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| CV13010-5 | 13.1 | 1.091 | 1 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

F15019

Shepody

18.6

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| F15019 | 11.8 | 1.098 | 4 | |

1.096

3

VF140855-03

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | |
|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| VF140855-03 | 18.2 | 1.107 | 0 | |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

VF140855-05

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | 5 |
|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|---|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| VF140855-05 | 14.1 | 1.101 | 1 | |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

VF140855-07

Shepody

18.60

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| VF140855-07 | 21.3 | 1.114 | 2 | |

1.096

3
VF140855-11

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| VF140855-11 | 22.3 | 1.095 | 1 | |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

VF150081-01

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | August 21, 2020 |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|

| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| VF150081-01 | 17.4 | 1.098 | 1 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

VF150083-02

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|---------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |

| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
|----------------|------|-------|---|
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| VF150083-02 | 15.8 | 1.109 | 1 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

VF150086-02

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | Aug 21, 2020 | |
|----------------|------------------|-------|--------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| VF150086-02 | 17.6 | 1.107 | 1 | |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

VF150091-01

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|---------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |

| 00000 | | | |
|----------------|------|-------|---|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| VF150091-01 | 21.8 | 1.105 | 2 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

Ranger Russet



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color |
|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 |
| | | | 2 |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 |

Russet Burbank

| July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | |
|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| | | | 2 | |
| Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

Shepody

| The second se | July 15, 2020 | Aug 21, 2020 | | August 21, 2020 | 0 |
|---|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|---|
| | Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG | USDA Color | |
| | Ranger Russet | 18.2 | 1.099 | 2 | |
| | Russet Burbank | 20.7 | 1.096 | 2 | |
| | | | | 2 | |
| | Shepody | 18.6 | 1.096 | 3 | |

FRESH MARKET POTATOES – YELLOW AND WHITE

Three hill dig



F150128-01



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|--------------|------------------|-------|
| F150128-01 | 13.5 | 1.098 |
| Yukon Gold E | 20.1 | 1.101 |

F150130-04



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|--------------|------------------|-------|
| F150130-04 | 14.8 | 1.096 |
| Yukon Gold E | 20.1 | 1.101 |

F150919-03



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|--------------|------------------|-------|
| F150919-03 | 18.1 | 1.085 |
| Yukon Gold E | 20.1 | 1.101 |

Yukon Gold



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|------------|------------------|-------|
| | | |
| Yukon Gold | 20.1 | 1.101 |

FRESH MARKET POTATOES – RED

Three hill dig



FV16004-7



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|-----------|------------------|-------|
| FV16004-7 | 18.6 | 1.081 |
| Norland E | 19.9 | 1.081 |

Norland



| Sept 16 | Mkt Yld (ton/ac) | SG |
|---------|------------------|-------|
| | | |
| Norland | 19.9 | 1.081 |