PGA RESEARCH ARCHIVE

INSECT MANAGEMENT / CHEMICAL RESISTANCE





TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. 1999 Microbial Control / Insecticide Resistance
- 2. 2001 Microbial Cntrl Chemical Resistanced CPB AAFC
- 3. 2002 Chemical Resistance CPB AAFC
- 4. 2002 Development Microbial Control CPB AAFC



Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

Research

Branch

Direction générale de la recherche

Agriculture et

Research Centre P.O. Box 3000 Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1

Telephone: (403) 327-4561 Facsimile: (403) 382-3156

December 15, 1999

Fund Centre SPA A01802

Mr. E. Van Dellen Interim Manager/Technical Director Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 - 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Dear Mr. Van Dellen:

Enclosed for your file is one fully executed copy of the Research Support Agreement between Her Majesty the Queen as represented by the Minister and Potato Development Inc., for Dr. Goettel's study entitled, "Development of microbial control of insecticide resistance management of the Colorado Potato Beetle".

We gratefully acknowledge receipt of your cheque for payment in the above-mentioned agreement.

We are pleased to be involved with you in this investigation.

Sincerely,

S. D. Morgan Jones Ph.D. Director

:wd M. S. Goettel CC: Finance



RESEARCH SUPPORT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA as represented by the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food ("Canada")

AND:

Potato Development Inc., a company incorporated under the laws of the Province of Alberta, having its head office at 6008 - 46th Avenue, Taber, Alberta T1G 2B1 ("the Company")

THE PARTIES HERETO COVENANT AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Project

Canada will conduct the research project entitled "Development of microbial control of insecticide resistance management of the Colorado Potato Beetle" ("the *Project*"), described in detail in Appendix "A" hereto.

2. LOCATION AND DURATION

The *Project* will be carried out at Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Research Centre, Lethbridge, in the Province of Alberta, Canada, between the 1st day of January, 2000 and March 31, 2000.

3. CONTRIBUTION BY THE COMPANY

The Company's contribution for the *Project* shall comprise the items listed in Appendix "B" hereto and is estimated at CDN \$5,300 dollars.

As part of this contribution, the Company agrees to provide Canada with a cash contribution in the amount of CDN \$5,300 dollars, payable on signing to the Receiver General for Canada, to be deposited in a Specified Purpose Account and used by Canada to fund the items as listed in Appendix "B".

It is understood that upon termination of this **Agreement** any uncommitted funds (excluding any applicable interest) shall be returned to the Company. Upon expiration of this **Agreement**, any unexpended funds shall vest in Canada unconditionally.

All goods and services purchased by Canada in connection with the *Project* with funds from the Company shall remain the property of Canada.

4. CONTRIBUTION BY CANADA

Subject to the availability of funding from the Matching Investment Initiative, Canada's contribution will not exceed the value of the cash plus in-kind contribution from the Company's contribution as shown in Appendix "B".

It is understood that Canada's contribution will be in kind and that no payments will be required to be made by Canada to the Company under this *Agreement*.

5. REPORTS

Canada shall provide the Company with a copy of public reports arising from this Project.

6. RELATIONSHIP

Nothing contained in this **Agreement** shall be considered or construed as creating a partnership or the relationship of principal and agent, lessor and lessee, licensor and licensee or of employer and employee between the parties.

7. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

All technical information, inventions, designs, methods and processes and other intellectual property rights related to the *Project* that are conceived, developed, or first reduced to practice in the carrying out of the *Project* (collectively, the *"Intellectual Property"*) shall be the property of Her Majesty and, subject to the *Access to Information Act*, shall be treated as confidential.

8. TERMINATION

Canada may, by notice in writing to the Company, terminate this *Agreement* if it can no longer continue with the *Project*, or if in Canada's opinion, the circumstances surrounding the *Project* have changed and are such that further support by Canada to the *Project* is not warranted.

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise notified, the representative of the parties for the purpose of the *Agreement* shall be:

For Canada:	For the Company:
Dr. M. S. Goettel	E. Van Dellen
Research Scientist	Interim Manager/Technical Director
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada	Potato Growers of Alberta
Lethbridge Research Centre	6008 - 46 th Avenue
5403 1 st Avenue South	Taber, AB T1G 2B1
Box 3000	Telephone: (403) 223-2262
Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1	Facsimile: (403) 223-268
Telephone: (403) 317-2264	
Facsimile: (403) 382-3156	

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

Internet:goettel@em.agr.ca

This *Agreement* constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and sets forth all representations forming part of or in any way affecting or relating to this *Agreement*. The parties acknowledge that there are no representations, either oral or written, between Canada and the Company, relating to this *Agreement*, other than those expressly set out in this *Agreement*.

11. GENERAL

- a) This *Agreement* shall be governed, firstly, by applicable Canadian Federal laws, and secondly, by the laws of the Province of Alberta.
- b) All amendments to this **Agreement** shall be in writing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF this **Agreement** has been signed by duly authorized representatives of the parties.

Executed in duplicate this 3 day of <u>Recurb</u> 199<u>9</u>.

- For Her Majesty:

(Witness)

Signature)

S.D. Morgan Jones, Ph.D., Director Lethbridge Research Centre

- For Potato Development Inc.

anita **Vitness**)

All

(Signature)

ED VAN DELLEN

(Name in Block Letters)

TECHNICAL DERECTOR

(Title)

AGRICULTURE AND AGRI-FOOD CANADA

Fund Centre SPA No. A01802

APPENDIX "A"

(to the Research Support Agreement)

DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH PROJECT

Objective:

To determine the role that *Beauveria bassiana* could play in resistance management of chemical pesticides being used for control of the Colorado potato beetle.

This project addresses several key points. The immediate problem at hand is the development of resistance to chemical insecticides. Adoption of an alternative method in conjunction with the use of chemicals would defer development of resistance and provide the industry with a long term control method for this noxious pest. In the longer term, the fungus could be integrated into a more comprehensive IPM program for the beetle, eventually eliminating or reducing dependence on chemical pesticides. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato industry in Canada.

This project will be the beginning of the development and implementation of a much more comprehensive IPM strategy for diseases and pathogens of potatoes. Results of these studies would also have direct applicability to development of IPM strategies for the Colorado potato beetle in eastern Canada. Other benefits of the proposed research include the possible feasibility of using this fungus for control of other soil-dwelling insect pests such as wireworms. It is hoped that it will result in more involvement and financial contributions from the industry in the near future.

Work Plan

Dec '99 - March, 2,000. Preliminary assays under soil conditions, Monitor field persistence, Laboratory Transmission studies. Carry out field-cage efficacy trials against larvae pupae and emerging adults. Monitor emergence of overwintered adults, Continue transmission studies, Carry out larger scale field trials. Conduct bioassays under different soil conditions. This project is a part of the Lethbridge Research Centre study #387-2125-9706 entitled "Deveopment of new cultivars and sustainable disease and pest control strategies for the western Canadian potato industry". The principal investigator is Dr. M. Goettel.

APPENDIX "B"

(to the Research Support Agreement)

COMPANY'S CONTRIBUTION

Non-pay

.

NSERC PostDoc	\$4,609
Materials & supplies	0
Administrative services	<u> 691</u>

\$5,300

* Administrative costs will be deposited to a separate Specified Purpose Account reserved specifically for these expenditures.



RESEARCH SUPPORT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA as represented by the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food ("Canada")

AND:

Potato Growers of Alberta, a company incorporated under the laws of the Province of Alberta, having its head office at 6008 - 46th Avenue, Taber, Alberta T1G 2B1 ("the Company")

THE PARTIES HERETO COVENANT AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Project

Canada will conduct the research project entitled "Development of microbial control as a component of insecticide resistance management of the Colorado Potato Beetle" ("the *Project*"), described in detail in Appendix "A" hereto.

2. LOCATION AND DURATION

The *Project* will be carried out at Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Research Centre, Lethbridge, in the Province of Alberta, Canada, between the 1st day of June, 2000 and March 31, 2001.

3. CONTRIBUTION BY THE COMPANY

The Company's contribution for the *Project* shall comprise the items listed in Appendix "B" hereto and is estimated at CDN \$5,000 dollars.

As part of this contribution, the Company agrees to provide Canada with a cash contribution in the amount of CDN \$5,000 dollars, payable on signing to the Receiver General for Canada, to be deposited in a Specified Purpose Account and used by Canada to fund the items as listed in Appendix "B".

It is understood that upon termination of this **Agreement** any uncommitted funds (excluding any applicable interest) shall be returned to the Company. Upon expiration of this **Agreement**, any unexpended funds shall vest in Canada unconditionally.

All goods and services purchased by Canada in connection with the *Project* with funds from the Company shall remain the property of Canada.

4. CONTRIBUTION BY CANADA

It is understood that Canada's contribution will be in kind and that no payments will be required to be made by Canada to the Company under this *Agreement*.

5. REPORTS

Canada shall provide the Company with a copy of public reports arising from this Project.

6. **RELATIONSHIP**

Nothing contained in this *Agreement* shall be considered or construed as creating a partnership or the relationship of principal and agent, lessor and lessee, licensor and licensee or of employer and employee between the parties.

7. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

All technical information, inventions, designs, methods and processes and other intellectual property rights related to the *Project* that are conceived, developed, or first reduced to practice in the carrying out of the *Project* (collectively, the *"Intellectual Property"*) shall be the property of Her Majesty and, subject to the *Access to Information Act*, shall be treated as confidential.

8. TERMINATION

Canada may, by notice in writing to the Company, terminate this *Agreement* if it can no longer continue with the *Project*, or if in Canada's opinion, the circumstances surrounding the *Project* have changed and are such that further support by Canada to the *Project* is not warranted.

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise notified, the representative of the parties for the purpose of the *Agreement* shall be:

For Canada:	For the Company:
Dr. M. S. Goettel	E. Van Dellen
Research Scientist	Interim Manager/Technical Director
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada	Potato Growers of Alberta
Lethbridge Research Centre	6008 - 46 th Avenue
5403 1 st Avenue South	Taber, AB T1G 2B1
Box 3000	Telephone: (403) 223-2262
Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1	Facsimile: (403) 223-268
Telephone: (403) 317-2264	
Facsimile: (403) 382-3156	
Internet:goettel@em.agr.ca	

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This **Agreement** constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and sets forth all representations forming part of or in any way affecting or relating to this **Agreement**. The parties acknowledge that there are no representations, either oral or written, between Canada and the Company, relating to this **Agreement**, other than those expressly set out in this **Agreement**.

11. GENERAL

- a) This *Agreement* shall be governed, firstly, by applicable Canadian Federal laws, and secondly, by the laws of the Province of Alberta.
- b) All amendments to this *Agreement* shall be in writing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF this Agreement has been signed by duly authorized representatives of the parties.

Executed in duplicate this 33 day of May _, 2000.

- For Her Majesty:

(Witness)

(Signature)

S.D. Morgan Jones, Ph.D., Director Lethbridge Research Centre

- For Potato Growers of Alberta.

(Witness)

(Signature)

ED VAN PELLEN

(Name in Block Letters)

TECHNICAL DIR

(Title)

AGRICULTURE AND AGRI-FOOD CANADA

Fund Centre SPA No. A01802

APPENDIX "A"

(to the Research Support Agreement)

DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH PROJECT

Objectives

The Colorado potato beetle has developed resistance to almost all the insecticide classes used against it to date. It has become necessary to develop an insecticide resistance management program to help prolong the effectiveness of the only registered chemicals still effective against this pest and to allow producers to deal with the tremendous economic loss that this insect causes.

Our **objective** is to determine the effectiveness of an insect pathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in reducing beetle populations and to evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program. Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will cause minimal environmental damage, delay development of resistance to chemicals thus prolonging their efficacy, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides and eventually lead to an IPM strategy against this pest in Canada.

Research Plan

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host- pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships between fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions,

4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

Action Plan and Work Schedules

a) First year: 2000/2001

Virulence of the fungus will be determined. How many spores must the beetles contact in order to get infected? Persistence of the fungus under field conditions will be determined. Will enough spores remain viable from year to year to ensure continued efficacy? Will beetles be contaminated with an adequate amount of inoculum as they bury in the soil which contains spores?

This project is a part of the Lethbridge Research Centre study #387-2125-9706 entitled "Deveopment of new cultivars and sustainable disease and pest control strategies for the western Canadian potato industry". The principal investigator is Dr. M. Goettel.

APPENDIX "B"

(to the Research Support Agreement)

COMPANY'S CONTRIBUTION

Manpower

*

*

.

COOP Student	
Connie Mayer (0.17FTE)	\$3,650
FSWEP student (0.02 FTE)	450
Material/Supplies	250
Sub-Total	4,350
Administrative Services	<u>_650</u>
TOTAL	\$5,000

Administrative costs will be deposited to a separate Specified Purpose Account reserved specifically for these expenditures.

POTATO DEVELOPMENT, INC.

FUNDING APPLICATION

Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle

Submitted by

Mark S. Goettel Lethbridge Research Centre

7 February 2000

Note to applicants:

Applicants who receive funding from PDI must get approval from the PDI chairman before reporting any findings.

POTATO DEVELOPMENT, INC. FUNDING APPLICATION- SUMMARY PAGE

PROJECT TITLE: Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle

REASON FOR PROJECT (Objectives of project) (75 words.) Brief description of what this project is attempting to find out or accomplish.

In many parts of the world including most of eastern Canada, and most recently in Manitoba, the Colorado potato beetle has developed resistance to insecticides in almost all of the insecticide classes. Evidence has surfaced that resistance may also be developing in Alberta. With the increase in acreage and decrease in rotation intervals, it has become necessary to develop an insecticide resistance management program to help prolong the efficacy of the chemicals that are still effective against this pest and to allow producers to deal with the tremendous economic loss that this insect can cause in the absence of effective chemicals. The **objective** of the proposed study is to determine the role that *Beauveria bassiana* could play in suppression of beetle populations and especially in resistance management of chemical pesticides being used for control of the Colorado potato beetle.

PROJECT PLAN (What is going to be done - 50 words)

The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships between fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions.

BENEFITS TO ALBERTA'S POTATO INDUSTRY (50 words.)

This project addresses several key points. The immediate problem at hand is the development of resistance to chemical insecticides. Adoption of an alternative method in conjunction with the use of chemicals would defer development of resistance and provide the industry with a long term control method for this noxious pest. In the longer term, the fungus could be integrated into a more comprehensive IPM program for the beetle, eventually eliminating or reducing dependence on chemical pesticides. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato industry in Alberta.

DURATION OF PROJECT. The project will start April, 2000 and run until March, 2003

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

6 G	This year only	Total all years
Project cost	119,010	398,255
Amount requested from PDI	5,000	15,000
Amount from other sources	114,010	383,255

PRINCIPAL APPLICANT INFORMATION

Principal applicant's name	Phone
Mark Goettel	317-2264
Research agency or company	
Lethbridge Research Centre	
Lethbridge Research Centre Mailing Address	Postal code
Lethbridge Research Centre Mailing Address	Postal code

Location of research project.(Research farm name or legal location.)

Vauxhall Research Substation and Lethbridge Research Centre

3C) PROJECT CONTINGENCIES

a) If you do not get grant monies from sources can this project be conducted as submitted?

Yes ____ No ____ Yes, with changes __X__

b) Modifications necessary: Amount of research will be severely curtailed. AAFC resources do not include a post-doctoral fellow nor summer technical help, both very important aspects of the proposed programme. Instead of the 3 years proposed, this research could take as long as 10 years without outside funding. Priorities (i.e. Alberta or Ontario) will be determined depending on funding sources. If PDI is the only source of industry funding, it will be matched either through AARI or MII and used to hire summer technical help.

BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, AND PLAN (Maximum of 3 pages for items 5A - 5D.)

5A) Background to the Proposed Project

The most important insect pest problem faced by potato producers is the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say). This insect is considered the most destructive foliage feeding pest of potatoes in the world. Adults of this beetle emerge in the spring from diapause and begin feeding and laying eggs on young and newly emerged potato plants. The eggs hatch within one week and the larvae feed on the potato plant and pass through four instars. Once the fourth instar has completed development, it drops off and pupates in the soil at the base of the plant. After approximately 10 days, adults emerge and begin feeding on the plants in preparation for diapause. Later, these adults will either fly or walk out of the field, find a suitable location where they will dig into the soil to overwinter (Noronha and Cloutier 1999). In certain parts of the country, such as southern Ontario and Quebec, the insect undergoes 2 generations per season. Because both the adults and larvae feed on the same plants, they can cause extensive plant damage. If left uncontrolled they can destroy a producers crop. This beetle is known to attack not only potatoes but also tomato, eggplant and other solanaceous plants.

The Colorado potato beetle was first recorded in Canada in 1867 from Ontario. It is now present virtually everywhere potatoes are grown in Canada. Until recently, potato producers have relied solely on the use of insecticides to control this pest. However, this total dependence on insecticides has resulted in the rapid development of resistance. The first reports of Colorado potato beetle resistance in Canada were to organochlorine insecticides (Harris & Svec, 1979; McClanahan, 1975; McDonald, 1976). By 1981, populations showing resistance to organophosphates and carbamates were found in the province of Quebec (Harris & Svec, 1979). In 1979, most populations tested in Ontario were susceptible to pyrethroids, but by 1982 a 22-37 fold resistance was reported just two years after this class of insecticides started being used (Harris & Turnbull, 1986). In New Brunswick, an increase in population by 70% between 1974 and 1984 was attributed to the development of insecticide resistance in beetle populations (Boiteau et al., 1987). In the mid-90s, in some potato producing areas, control of the Colorado potato beetle had reached a critical point, with nearly all of the previously effective insecticides no longer able to reduce beetle populations and new insecticides losing their effectiveness within a few years because of cross resistance (Boiteau et al., 1987; Hilton et al., 1998; Stewart et al., 1997; Turnbull et al., 1988). This was especially true in southern Ontario where there are two and maybe three generations a year. A survey conducted in Ontario found populations that were resistant to all registered insecticides (Turnbull et al. 1988).

By 1995, beetle populations in Ontario and Québec were virtually uncontrollable which resulted in the emergency registration of a new insecticide, imidacloprid (Admire[®]). Although this product is 10 times more costly for a grower than previously used chemicals, the growers have had little choice but to use this, the only economically viable control method. Furthermore, there have already been reports of populations resistant to imidacloprid in the US (Grafius, 1999), and insecticide resistance will develop rapidly in a situation where only one chemical is used exclusively for control of this pest.

Until recently, in western Canada, potato beetle populations have been kept under control exclusively with the use of insecticides. However, in the last few years, problems began arising in Manitoba (Gavloski, 1997). In a recent survey conducted in western Canada, we found 90% of the populations tested from Manitoba were totally resistant to either one or more of the four insecticide classes (Noronha & Goettel, 1999). In Saskatchewan and Alberta, populations showing high levels of resistance to pyrethroids were found. Thus, if pyrethroids continue to be used, these populations will become totally resistant to this class of insecticide. There were also indications of low levels of resistance to organophosphates in Alberta. The situation in Manitoba has led to the registration of imidacloprid for use in that province. Consequently, although presently producers in eastern Canada and Manitoba have a reprieve, the beetle remains a major threat to the potato industry as development of insecticide resistant populations to this chemical is imminent, unless insecticide management strategies are immediately adopted.

In order to prolong the effective use of chemical methods for the economic control of the Colorado potato beetle, integrated pest management programs (IPM) must be implemented (Roush & Tingey, 1994). Several new products have become available for control of the Colorado potato beetle (i.e., imidacloprid and Bt transgenic potatoes), however, in order to delay the development of resistance to these new control agents, a resistance management strategy will also be needed. Several alternative strategies for control of the Colorado potato beetle are being developed (Cloutier et al., 1995). Some of the methods being implemented include propane flamers, plastic lined trenches, use of transgenic (Bt) cultivar borders, straw mulch, trap crops and crop rotation. Suppression of overwintering adults would provide another means for pest population management.

The Ontario Ministry of Agriculture vegetable production publication recommends: producers scout their fields and spray only when populations warrant by using the economic threshold of 14-24 overwintered adults per 50 plants or stems; use propane flamers (Weisz et al. 1994), plastic lined trenches (Boiteau et al., 1994), and trap crops (Hunt and Whitfield, 1996), which have been effective in reducing the population. However, often in Ontario the overwintering populations are especially large (Hilton et al., 1998) and threaten emerging potatoes and young tomato plants. Since a single female can lay between 350 and 400 eggs, it does not take long for a population to build up. Thus, control strategies implemented against the overwintering population would be beneficial. If we continue to have mild winters, survival within the Colorado potato beetle overwintering populations is expected to increase. This in turn will result in an increase in the number if spray applications needed to control this insect. Because of its adaptability and history of insecticide resistance a more balanced, sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to control the Colorado potato beetle is needed.

The entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, is pathogenic to the Colorado potato beetle and is capable of decimating Colorado potato beetle populations (Allee et al., 1990; Gaugler et al., 1989). It has recently been registered in the United States against a variety of pests, including the Colorado potato beetle. Foliar application for control of the Colorado potato beetle can be effective (Lacey et al., 1999, Poprawski et al., 1997) but the fungus is short lived when exposed to the sunlight on the leaf surface

(Hajek et al., 1987; Inglis et al., 1993). However, conidia persist much longer in the soil (Inglis et al., 1997) and a novel approach for its use against the Colorado potato beetle would be incorporation of conidia into the soil to target larvae pupating in the soil and adults as they emerge from the soil. Alternatively, since the fungal spores can germinate at temperatures as low as 2°C and in many areas adult beetles migrate out of the fields to high density overwintering sites (Noronha & Cloutier, 1999), treatment of the migrating adults or of the overwintering sites may be a method of contaminating the overwintering sites resulting in the long-term decimation of overwintering populations. Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle would cause minimal environmental damage, decrease the possibility or delay development of resistance to chemicals and eventually lead to the implementation of an IPM strategy against this pest, thus reducing overall use of chemical insecticides.

In order to meet the increased demand for potatoes in southern Alberta, the next few years will require a rapid expansion of potato acreage and a reduction of rotations from 5 to 3 years. This will provide conditions that will increase the risk posed by the Colorado potato beetle. Reduced rotations and large acreages are ideal conditions that favour beetle outbreaks. Widespread use of chemical applications to stem such outbreaks will increase the likelihood that resistant beetle populations will be quickly selected, as has occurred in eastern Canada and the U.S.. It is imperative that insecticide resistance management practices be implemented as soon as possible, to ensure the sustainability of potato production in southern Alberta.

b) Objectives

The Colorado potato beetle has developed resistance to almost all the insecticide classes used against it to date. It has become necessary to develop an insecticide resistance management program to help prolong the effectiveness of the only registered chemicals still effective against this pest and to allow producers to deal with the tremendous economic loss that this insect causes.

Our **objective** is to determine the effectiveness of an insect pathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in reducing beetle populations and to evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program. Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will cause minimal environmental damage, delay development of resistance to chemicals thus prolonging their efficacy, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides and eventually lead to an IPM strategy against this pest in Canada.

5C) Research Plan

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host- pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships between fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by

emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

5D) Action Plan and Work Schedules

a) First year: 2000/2001

Virulence of the fungus will be determined. How many spores must the beetles contact in order to get infected? Persistence of the fungus under field conditions will be determined. Will enough spores remain viable from year to year to ensure continued efficacy? Will beetles be contaminated with an adequate amount of inoculum as they bury in the soil which contains spores?

b) Second year: 2001/2002

How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the Spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions affect spore persistence and virulence?

b) Third year: 2002/2003

Do adults that succumbed in the soil produce adequate numbers of spores to ensure infection of the next generation? Should spores be reapplied yearly? Should we proceed with registration?

Final report and manuscripts will be prepared.

5E) RELATED RESEARCH (Literature review - Maximum of 2 pages.)

a) At your institution

The Lethbridge Research Station holds the mandate for potato research serving western Canada. Programs include breeding, genetic enhancement, pathology and pest management. This proposal will be undertaken under the pest management theme.

In addition to use of the fungus for management of the Colorado potato beetle, *Beauveria bassiana* has been evaluated as a potential microbial control agent of grasshoppers. It was found that the spores of the fungus were relatively short-lived on leaf surfaces, but long-lived in the soil. Contaminated grasshoppers exhibited a behavioural fever, whereby they basked in the sun and rose their body temperature to 42°C. Through this behaviour, they were able to eliminate infection.

Newer initiatives at LRC. In collaboration with Dr. Hector Carcamo, we are investigating the role that *Beauveria bassiana* may play in the management of the cabbage seedpod weevil, a new threat to the canola industry. Initial studies have found weevils overwintering in hedgerows succumbing to the fungus.

This raises interesting possibilities of developing a management strategy using this fungus that could benefit both canola and potato industries.

b) At other institutions

Other AAFC Centres:

Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island. Dr. Jeff Stewart has been conducting research on insecticide resistance management of Bt transgenic potato.

Fredericton, New Brunswick. Dr. Gilles Boiteau has been developing IPM of the Colorado potato beetle. He has also evaluated *Beauveria bassiana* as a foliar spray.

Harrow, Ontario. Dr. David Hunt has been studying control of the Colorado potato beetle as a pest of tomatoes in southern Ontario. He has demonstrated that planting trap crops is helpful. We are trying to team up with Dr. Hunt so that we can develop a collaborative effort between Ontario and Albertan producers and researchers to maximize limited resources and chances of success.

United States:

Weslaco, Texas & Ithaca, NY. Drs. Tad Poprawski and Steven Wraight, USDA/ARS are evaluating *Beauveria bassiana* as a foliar application against the beetle. Although they have obtained significant reductions in beetle numbers, we feel that this method is presently not economically feasible for Alberta, because effective low cost chemicals are still available

Orono, Maine. Dr. Ellie Groden, University of Maine is conducting extensive studies on IPM of the beetle. In her studies with *Beauveria bassiana*, she found that control increased with each subsequent yearly application of the fungus. One hypothesis is that this could be the result of contamination of overwintering sites.

c) References. (List references cited in the above literature review.)

- Allee, L.L., M.S. Goettel, A. Gol'berg, H.S. Whitney and D.W. Roberts. 1990. Infection by *Beauveria* bassiana on Leptinotarsa decemlineata larvae as a consequence of fecal contamination of the integument following per os inoculation. Mycopathologia 111: 17-24.
- Boiteau, G. R.H. Parry, and C.R. Harris. 1987. Insecticide resistance in New Brunswick populations of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. Entomol. 119: 459-463.
- Boiteau, G., Y. Peltier, G.C. Misener, and G. Bernard. 1994. Development and evaluation of a plastic lined barrier for protection of potato from walking adult Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 87: 1325-1331.
- Cloutier, C., C. Jean and F. Bauduin. 1995. More biological control for a sustainable potato pest management strategy. pp 15 - 52 in: M. Duschesne & G. Boiteau (eds.) Potato Insect Pest Control, Proceedings Symposium on Potato Insect Pest Control; Development of a sustainable approach, Quebec, 31 July - 1 August, 1995.
- Gaugler, R.S., S.D. Costa and J. Lashomb. 1989. Stability and efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* soil inoculations. Environmental Entomology 18: 412-417.

Gavloski J. 1997. Rotation, Rotation, Rotation. Potato perspectives 16: 2

Grafius, E.J. 1999. Personal Communication. Dept. of Entomology, Michigan State University.

- Hajek, A.E., R.S. Soper, D.W. Roberts, T.E. Anderson, K.D. Biever, D.N. Ferro, R.A. LeBrun and R.H. Storch. 1987. Foliar applications of *Beauveria bassiana* for control of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*: an overview of pilot test results from Northern United States. Canadian Entomologist 119: 959-974.
- Harris, C.R., and H. J. Svec. 1979. Susceptibility of the Colorado potato beetle in Ontario to insecticides. J. Econ. Entomol. 69 (5): 625-629.
- Harris, C.R., and H. J. Svec. 1981. Colorado potato beetle resistance to Carbofuran and several other insecticides in Quebec. J. Econ. Entomol. 74(4): 421-424.
- Harris, C.R., and S.A. Turnbull. 1986. Contact toxicity of some pyrethroids insecticides alone and in combination with piperonyl butoxide to insecticide-susceptible and pyrethroid-resistant strains of the Colorado potato beetle (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. Entomol. 118:1173-1176.
- Hilton, J.H. Tolman, and D.C. MacArthur. 1998. Toxicity of selected insecticides to several life stages of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say). Can. Entomol. 130: 187-194.
- Hunt, D.W.A., and G.Whitfield. 1996. Potato trap crops for control of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in Tomatoes. Can. Entomol. 128: 407-412.
- Inglis, G.D., G.M. Duke, P. Kanagaratnam, D.L. Johnson and M.S. Goettel. 1997. Persistence of *Beauveria bassiana* in soil following application of conidia through crop canopies. Microbial Control of Grasshoppers and Locusts (M.S. Goettel & D.L. Johnson, eds.) Memoirs Entomological Society of Canada 171: 253-263.
- Inglis, G.D., M. S. Goettel and D.L. Johnson. 1993. Persistence of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* on phylloplanes of crested wheatgrass and alfalfa. Biological Control, 3: 258-270.
- Lacey, L.A., D.R. Horton, R.L. Chauvin and J.M. Stocker. 1999. Comparative efficacy of *Beauveria* bassiana, Bacillus thuringiensis, and aldicarb for control of Colorado potato beetle in an irrigated desert agroecosystem and their effects on biodiversity. Enomol. Exper. Applic. 93: 189-200.
- McClanahan, R.J. 1975. Insecticides for the control of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can Entomol. 107: 561-565.
- McDonald, S. 1976. Evaluation of several new insecticides for the control of the Colorado potato beetle and the status of DDT resistance in Southern Alberta. J. Econ. Entomol. 69: 659-664.
- Noronha, C., and C. Cloutier. 1999. Dispersal by flight and walking in the Colorado potato beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Canadian entomologist 131: 521-538.
- Noronha, C., and M. Goettel. 1999. Colorado potato beetle gaining insecticides resistance across the Prairies. Pest management News 10(4): 4
- OMAFRA, 1998. Strategy to control the Colorado potato beetle in Potatoes. Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food Publication.
- Poprawski, T. J.; Carruthers, I.; Speese, J; Vacek, D.C. and Wendel, L. E. 1997. Early-season applications of the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* and introduction of the Hemipteran predator *Perillus bioculatus* for control of Colorado potato beetle. Biol. Control. 10: 48-57.
- Roush, R.T. & W.M. Tingey. 1994. Strategies for the management of insect resistance to synthetic and microbial insecticides. pp 237-254 in: G.W. Zehnder, M.L. Powelson, R.K. Jansson and K.V. Raman (eds.), Advances in potato pest biology and management. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul MN.
- Stewart, J.G., G.G. Kennedy, and A.V. Sturz. 1997. Incidence of insecticide resistance in populations of Colorado potato beetle, Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say) (Coleoptera Chrysomelidae), on Prince Edward Island. Can. Entomol. 129: 21-26.
- Turnbull, S.A., J.H. Tolman, and C.R. Harris. 1988. Colorado potato beetle resistance to insecticides in Ontario, Canada. Proc. Brighton Crop Prot. Conf. 1: 457-464.

Weisz, R., M. Saunders, Z. Smilowitz, H. Huang, and B. Christ. 1994. Knowledge-based reasoning in integrated resistance management: the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 87:1384-1399

6) **BENEFITS OF PROJECT**

What are the potential economic, marketing, and quality benefits to Alberta's potato producers and to Alberta's potato industry in general. Include economic analysis of increased production potential or changed management as a result of information found in this study.

a) To Alberta's potato producers.

This project addresses several key points. The immediate problem at hand is the development of resistance to chemical insecticides. On average, resistance to a newly registered insecticide for control of the Colorado potato beetle appears within 3.5 years. Adoption of an alternative control method in conjunction with the use of chemicals would defer development of resistance and provide the industry with a long term control method for this noxious pest. The only alternative to conventional chemicals, Admire, costs tens times more than the chemicals presently used. Reduced markets for transgenics, makes this alternate strategy not reliable in the short term at the very least, due to public concerns over transgenics. In the longer term, the fungus could be integrated into a more comprehensive IPM program for the beetle, eventually eliminating or reducing dependence on chemical pesticides. There is no guarantee that the newly registered pesticides now entering the market will stand the test of time as far as safety and long term sustainability is concerned. Exclusive reliance on any one method of control, as is presently the case in eastern Canada, be it chemical pesticides or transgenic potato, will eventually result in the development of resistance. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato industry in Canada.

b) To Alberta's potato industry.

Availability of potatoes is imperative for the continuation of the potato industry in southern Alberta. With the public outcry over transgenic potatoes, and industry acceptance to give in to these public concerns, it will become imperative to adopt IPM for control of not only the beetle, but other pests and diseases. Once concerns over transgenics subside, it may be very possible that the next target may be chemical insecticides. Alberta's potato industry has everything to gain by adopting as many chemical-free management practices as possible and will be in a very enviable position, should public acceptance of pesticide-treated products take a sudden nose-dive.

7) BUDGET AND MANPOWER NEEDS FOR 1 YEAR¹

NAME (If known)	POSITION	TIME REQUIRED	RATE OF PAY	AMOUNT REQUIRED
Professional and Technical manpower				
Dr. C. Noronha	Post-Doctoral	1 PY		38800
Technical	EG-02	1 PY		40280
Casual manpower				
Summer student	University Student	0.3 PY		8000
Summer students	Secondary students	0.6 PY		10000
		TOTAL LABC	OUR COSTS	97,080A

7A) MANPOWER TO BE HIRED WITH PDI/OTHER FUNDS

7B) TRAVEL EXPENSES TO BE PAID WITH PDI/OTHER FUNDS FOR 1 YEAR¹

DESTINATION	PERSON(S)	PURPOSE	NUMBER OF TRIPS	TRAVEL COSTS	MEALS AND ACCOM.	TOTAL COST
Annual Growers meetings	MSG CN DH	Convey results, updates	2	2000	1000	3000
Ontario/Alberta	MSG DH	Visit test sites in Ontario/ Alberta	1	750	500	1250

TOTAL TRAVEL COSTS 4,500B

¹ The budget presented above represents our attempt to undertake a collaborative programme with Dr. D.W.A. Hunt, at AAFC's Harrow Research Centre with joint funding from the the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), AAFC Matching Investment Initiative (MII), Stuart Cairns Memorial Potato Research Fund (for fiscal years 2000/2001 -), Ontario Department of Agriculture (OMAFRA), the Ontario Potato Board (OPB) and Potato Growers of Alberta through PDI.. Such a larger study would provide us with the resources that can be shared to address both Ontario and Alberta's needs. If funding from all sources is not available, the programme will be scaled down accordingly, with researchers from Alberta and Ontario separately addressing their priorities and commitments to their respective regions accordingly.

7C) MATERIALS, SUPPLIES AND SERVICES TO BE PAID WITH PDI/OTHER FUNDS

DESCRIPTION	COST
Disposable labware, microbiological media	1800
Cages, traps, bioassay chambers	2205
Greenhouse/field services; publication costs	3200
TOTAL COST OF MATERIALS, SUPPLIES AND SERVICES FOR 1 YEAR	7,205C

7D) OTHER EXPENSES TO BE PAID WITH PDI/OTHER FUNDS FOR 1 YEAR

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
AAFC overhead	10730
Other	
TOTAL OTHER EXPEN	NSES 10,730D

7E) SUMMARY OF FUNDS REQUIRED FROM PDI AND OTHER SOURCES FOR 1 YEAR

DESCRIPTION	
Professional, technical, and casual labour	97,080A
Travel and accommodation	4,250B
Materials, supplies and services	6,950C
Other expenses	10,730D
TOTAL COSTS FOR WHICH FUNDING IS REQUESTED FROM ALL FUNDING SOURCES (A+B+C+D)	119,010

7F) FUNDING SOURCE SUMMARY FOR 1 YEAR

FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
Amount requested from PDI in this application	5000
Other OMAFRA)(Ontario Department of Agriculture)	26000
other AARI (Alberta Agricultural Research Institute Direct funding)	47000
Other AARI Matching of OMAFRA	26000
Other OPB (Ontario Potato Board)	5000
Other AAFC MII (Agriculture Canada Matching of OPB and PDI	10000
TOTAL FUNDS APPLIED FOR (EQUAL TO E, ABOVE)	119,000

7G) VALUE OF "IN KIND" CONTRIBUTIONS BY RESEARCH AGENCY FOR 1 YEAR

.

Include estimated value of research staff time and operating budgets contributed by principal researcher's agency, or other cooperator's agency, towards this project in the period covered by this application. (Funding is not requested for these items.)

DESCRIPTION	PERSON YEARS	APPROX. VALUE
Professional, technical, and other staff	70,000	
Materials and supplies		125,000
Travel		4,000
Overhead (estimate)		30,000
	TOTAL VALUE "IN-KIND" COSTS	229,000F

ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST FOR 1 YEAR

ESTIMATED TOTAL COST OF PROJECT (1 YEAR) E & F	348,000

8) APPROVAL BY PRINCIPAL APPLICANT'S EMPLOYER

The undersigned declare the approval and support of their organization for the research project as describe in this application. Signatures confirm that space and basic facilities for carrying out the proposed research are available for use and that the applicant is authorized to participate in this research project.

Peter Burnett	PABurnett	Acting Director	Feb 10, 2000
Name	Signature	Position	Date

9) TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The applicant(s) agree that, upon acceptance of funding, a commitment is made to:

a) Conduct the research as laid out in the proposal, excepting changes mutually agreed upon by the applicant(s) and the Executive of the Alberta Potato Research Association.

b) Allow the Alberta Potato Research Association to use all information, data and results generated as a result of the research for extension purposes.

c) Not publish or present any data from this study without the written permission of the Chairman of the PDI.

2000

Principal Cooperator Signature

// Date

PDI Executive Committee Signature

Date

13



Agriculture and

Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

Research Branch

Direction générale de la recherche

Research Centre P.O. Box 3000 Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1

Telephone: (403) 327-4561 Facsimile: (403) 382-3156

Fund Centre SPA A01802

April 25, 2001

RECEIVED MAY 0 2 2001 Paid

Mr. V. Warkentin Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 - 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Dear Vern:

Enclosed are two original signed copies of the first Amendment to the Research Support Agreement signed May 23, 2000 between the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food for Canada and Potato Growers of Alberta for Dr. Goettel's study entitled, "Development of microbial control for insecticide resistance management of the Colorado Potato Beetle".

These amendments are as follows:

- 1. The Project will be expanded to cover the second year of the action plan as described in Appendix A (attached). The Company will contribute an additional \$5,000 for the Project, to be made payable to the Receiver General for Canada, deposited into Specified Purpose Account No. A01802, and used as detailed in Appendix B (attached).
- 2. The expiration date of the Agreement is extended to March 31, 2002.

In all other respects the Research Support Agreement remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

If you agree with these amendments, please have the appropriate Company authority sign both copies of this letter in blue ink in the space below, keep one original for your records, and return the other to us for our files, together with your cheque for \$5,000 CDN made payable to the Receiver General for Canada.

We are pleased to be involved with PGA in this project.



Recycled Paper / Papier recyclé

Appendix A (to the Research Support Agreement) Amendment #1 Project Description

Objectives

The Colorado potato beetle has developed resistance to almost all the insecticide classes used against it to date. It has become necessary to develop an insecticide resistance management program to help prolong the effectiveness of the only registered chemicals still effective against this pest and to allow producers to deal with the tremendous economic loss that this insect causes.

Our **objective** is to determine the effectiveness of an insect pathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in reducing beetle populations and to evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program. Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will cause minimal environmental damage, delay development of resistance to chemicals thus prolonging their efficacy, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides and eventually lead to an IPM strategy against this pest in Canada.

Research Plan

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host- pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships between fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

Action Plan and Work Schedules

b) Second year: 2000/2001

How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions affect spore persistence and virulence?

Appendix B (to the Research Support Agreement) Amendment #1 COMPANY'S CONTRIBUTION

	<u>2001-02</u>
Manpower	
Student (FSWEP)	
Loa Barendregt (0.18FTE)	\$4,100
Material/Supplies	250
Sub-Total	4,350
*Administrative Services	<u> 650 </u>
TOTAL	\$5,000

* Administrative costs will be deposited to a separate Specified Purpose Account reserved specifically for these expenditures.

Yours truly,

S.D. Margan Jone Director

:wd

Encl.

c.c. J.G. Stewart, Head, Crops Sciences M.S. Goettel, Research Scientist Finance

Accepted and agreed to by Potato Growers of Alberta

(signature) May 3/01

Date

Appendix A (to the Research Support Agreement) Amendment #1 Project Description

Objectives

The Colorado potato beetle has developed resistance to almost all the insecticide classes used against it to date. It has become necessary to develop an insecticide resistance management program to help prolong the effectiveness of the only registered chemicals still effective against this pest and to allow producers to deal with the tremendous economic loss that this insect causes.

Our **objective** is to determine the effectiveness of an insect pathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in reducing beetle populations and to evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program. Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will cause minimal environmental damage, delay development of resistance to chemicals thus prolonging their efficacy, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides and eventually lead to an IPM strategy against this pest in Canada.

Research Plan

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host- pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships between fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

Action Plan and Work Schedules

b) Second year: 2000/2001

How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions affect spore persistence and virulence?
Appendix B (to the Research Support Agreement) Amendment #1 COMPANY'S CONTRIBUTION

	<u>2001-02</u>
Manpower	
Student (FSWEP)	
Loa Barendregt (0.18FTE)	\$4,100
Material/Supplies	250
Sub-Total	4,350
*Administrative Services	<u>_650</u>
TOTAL	\$5,000

Administrative costs will be deposited to a separate Specified Purpose Account reserved specifically for these expenditures.

÷

1.00

Take 1

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Research Branch

Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada Research Direction générale

Direction générale de la recherche

Aariculture et

Lethbridge Research Centre 5403 - 1st Ave. S. P.O. Box 3000 Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1J 4B1 Tel.(general) 403-327-4561 Tel (direct): 403-317-2264 Fax.: 403-382-3156 Email: goettel@em.agr.ca

August 21, 2001

Mr. Vern Warkentin Potato Growers of Alberta 6008 - 46th Avenue Taber, AB T1G 2B1

Repurs to Jerry

Dear Vern

RE: Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle

For your perusal, enclosed please find a draft of a manuscript entitled "Damage potential and phenology of the Colorado potato beetle [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] in southern Alberta" which I plan to submit to the journal "Phytoprotection." I also plan to rewrite a shortened version for publication in "The Common Tater" in due course.

I would appreciate any comments you may wish to make on this paper before 6 September if at all possible.

This study was partially funded by PGA and this funding is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

hal

Mark Goettel Research Scientist Insect Pathology

CC. Christine Noronha

RECEIVED AUG 2 3 2001



Recycled Paper / Papier recyclé

Presubert Varian 71/08/01

Damage Potential and Phenology of the Colorado Potato Beetle [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] in Southern Alberta.

Christine Noronha,^{1,2} Grant M. Duke¹ and Mark S. Goettel^{1,3}

¹ Lethbridge Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, P.O. Box 3000, Lethbridge, Alberta T1J 4B1

² Present Address: Crops and Livestock Research Centre, Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada, P.O. Box 1210, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island (E-mail: NoronhaC@em.agr.ca)

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed; e-mail: goettel@em.agr.ca

Running Title: Colorado potato beetle in Alberta

1 .

RECEIVED AUG 2 3 2001

1	The phenology and damage potential of the Colorado potato beetle, Leptinotarsa decemlineata
2	was studied in the heart of the potato producing area in southern Alberta. Experimental plots
3	were established at two locations. At each site, one plot was protected against the beetle through
4	application of insecticides while the other was "unprotected." Natural potato beetle populations
5	quickly colonized unprotected plots in all years. Overwintered adults began colonizing the plots
6	by mid June with mean densities reaching between 0.27 and 0.65 per plant. Eggs were laid on
7	young emerging plants with mean densities reaching 2.14 egg batches per plant by late June.
8	Maximum larval densities per plant reached 9.5 for 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd instars and 13.6 for 4 th instars.
9	Maximum density for newly emerged adults was 57 per plant recorded at the 2000 Lethbridge
10	unprotected plot. Defoliation was very low at the beginning of the season but sharp increases
11	were noted when 3 rd and 4 th instar populations peaked and continued to rise when new adults
\bigcirc^2	emerged. Maximum defoliation occurred at the Lethbridge plot in 2000 with 100% defoliation
13	by 10 August. Total yields in all unprotected plots ranged from 10 to 40% lower than in the
14	protected plots. Densities of overwintering adults averaged 76 per m ² with a maximum of 232
15	per m^2 . Overwintering mortality averaged 22% and the mean depth of beetles was 12 cm, with
16	63% of the beetles at depths ≤ 10 cm. Our results indicate that the phenology of the beetle is
17	similar to areas where population buildups were rapid and devastating soon after insecticide
18	resistant populations appeared. Consequently the beetle must be considered as a serious threat to
19	potato production in southern Alberta.

t.

۱,

 \mathbb{S}^1

14.

INTRODUCTION

The Colorado potato beetle (CPB), Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say) [Coleoptera: 2 Chrysomelidae], is the most destructive foliage feeding pest of potatoes in North America (Hare 3 1990). In Canada and the northern part of the United States, overwintered adults emerge from 4 the soil in the spring and either walk or fly in search of food plants and oviposition sites. Eggs 5 6 are deposited on foliage of newly emerging plants in late spring. Larvae emerge and pass through four instars that feed voraciously on potato foliage. When ready, larvae burrow into the 7 8 soil around the base of the plant and pupate. Approximately 10-15 days later, adults of the new 9 generation emerge and begin feeding on the maturing potato plants. At this time the adults do 10 not lay eggs, but feed mainly to store reserves in preparation for diapause (Dortland and Kort 11 1978). When ready to diapause, beetles either enter the soil within potato fields, or move out by 12 walking or flying in search of peripheral overwintering sites offering potentially more protection such as forest borders and drainage ditches (Noronha and Cloutier 1998, 1999; Voss and Ferro 13 1990; Weber and Ferro 1993). 14

The CPB can cause heavy economic loss if left uncontrolled (Cranshaw and Radcliffe 1980; 15 Ferro et al. 1983; Hare 1980). Severe defoliation by beetles can not only result in total yield loss 16 17 but may also decrease the quality of potatoes (Senanayake and Holliday 1990; Shields and 18 Wyman 1984). Insecticides have been used extensively to control the Colorado potato beetle, 19 but their long term efficacy is threatened by multiple resistance (Roush et al. 1990). Widespread 20 economic losses become apparent only when insecticide control measures begin to fail. In 21 eastern Canada, loss of control efficacy due to resistance to all four chemical classes resulted in 22 the emergency registration of imidacloprid, an insecticide within a new class. In western 23 Canada, there have been recent reports of insecticide resistance from Manitoba (Gavloski 1997; 24 Noronha et al. in press). In Alberta, CPB populations are still susceptible to conventional 25 insecticides (Noronha et al. in press), making the cost of CPB control more economical as 26 compared to areas that rely on the more expensive, newer chemical insecticides.

Potato agroecosystems and production practices differ between regions. Factors, such as the
 crops used in a rotation, distance of rotation, irrigation and cultivation influence the colonization

and successful development of the beetle (Hough-Goldstein and Whalen 1996). There is also
growing evidence differences exist between beetle populations from different regions
(Senanayake *et al.* 2000). Potatoes in southern Alberta are grown principally for french fry and
chipping under irrigation on sandy loam soil. Rotations are typically with cereals and sugar
beets with potatoes grown every fourth year. The purpose of this study was to gain a better
understanding of the phenology and damage potential of the CPB in the heart of the potato
producing area in southern Alberta.

8

9

108

14

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental plots were established at two different locations in southern Alberta; the 10 11 Lethbridge Research Centre, approx 50 km from major potato producers and the Lethbridge 12 Research Centre's Vauxhall Substation, which is in the heart of the potato growing region of 13 southern Alberta. At each site, Russet Burbank potatoes were planted in two 10 x 10 m plots with 10 rows of 30 plants per row, with a 5 m buffer zone between plots. At each site one plot 14 15 was designated as the "protected" plot and the other as an "unprotected" plot. In the protected 16 plots, the seed was treated at planting with Thimet [™] (phorate), a systemic organophosphate 17 insecticide, at a rate of 45.6 kg/ha. CPB infestations were subsequently controlled as required 18 within these protected plots with foliar applications of an organophosphate, Monitor TM 19 (methamidophos) and a pyrethroid, Ambush [™] (permethrin) at rates of 3 L/ha and 172 ml/ha 20 respectively. The other plots (unprotected) received no insecticide treatment.

At both sites, plots were established and monitored in 1998 and 1999; the Lethbridge plot was established and monitored for a third year in 2000. In 1998, 2 unprotected plots were established in Lethbridge: Plot A was planted 24 April and plot B and all other plots at Vauxhall were planted on May 7. In 1999, all plots were planted on 7 May, and in 2000, the plots at Lethbridge were planted on 27 April. In all years, a natural beetle population was allowed to colonize the unprotected plots.

27

28

29

Sampling Procedure Each plot was divided into three blocks consisting of 10 plants per row x 10 rows. Sampling for beetles began as soon as the plants emerged and adults were visible.

Twice weekly, two randomly selected plants per row per block were examined to estimate CPB population density and defoliation. The number of eggs, first, second, third and fourth instar larvae and adults were counted and the extent of defoliation noted for each sample plant from mid June to mid August. A defoliation index (1<10%; 2. 11-25%; 3. 26-39%; 4. 40-50%; 5. 50%; 6. 51-74%; 7.> 75%; 8. 100%) was established to classify the extent of damage per plant over the summer (Boiteau 1994).

8 Yield At the end of August, potatoes from two plants per row from each block were harvested 9 (total of 60 plants per plot per location). The total weight of all potato tubers from each block 10 was determined. Tubers were then divided into unmarketable (<48 mm), marketable (48-88mm) 11 and large (<88 mm) sizes. All the marketable tubers harvested from a block were weighed again 12 to determine marketable yield.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14 Traps In 1998 and 1999, two 1 m long pitfall traps (Hunt and Vernon 2001) were placed 15 approximately 1 m from the edge of the plot, along all four borders of each plot at both sites. At 16 the Lethbridge site, two flight intercept traps per border were also set up. Each flight trap was 10 17 m high and 4 m wide and was placed 1 m above the surface of the soil, at approximately the 18 canopy level. The edges of the trap were bordered with a strip of tangle trap and a trough was 19 placed at the bottom of each side of the trap to catch any beetles that hit the trap and fell while 20 flying either into or out of the plot (Noronha and Cloutier 1998). Both pitfall and flight traps 21 were monitored bi-weekly throughout the summer. All adults caught in traps were returned to 22 the laboratory and verified if they were ready for diapause. Adults were placed in containers with soil and potato foliage in the greenhouse. There was no additional lighting in the greenhouse so 23 24 adults received natural day length. Adults that failed to feed (i.e., were satiated) or that entered 25 the soil within 24-48 hours were considered ready to enter diapause.

26

Overwintering population mortality, densities and depth Overwintered beetle densities were
 monitored in the 2000 Lethbridge plot. Between 11 and 17 May, 2001, determinations of the
 numbers of live and dead beetles within the soil were made. Ten quadrants of 37.5 x 49.4 cm

were excavated within each plot. Soil was carefully removed layer by layer until hard sub top soil clay was encountered (at approx 40 cm). Each centimetre of soil was sieved and presence of beetles was noted at each depth.

5 **RESULTS**

1.00

1.00

1 2

3

4

9

6 Protected plots Beetle densities in all protected plots remained under 2 per plant. In late
7 summer of 2000, beetles began moving from the unprotected plot to the protected plots as
8 defoliation of the unprotected plot reached 100%.

10 General phenology observed Natural potato beetle populations quickly colonized all 11 unprotected plots in all years with the exception of Lethbridge plot B in 1998 (Fig 1). The 12 phenology remained essentially the same in all these plots and years except for minor shifts in 13 time between plots and sites. Overwintered adults began colonizing the plots by mid June with mean densities reaching between 0.27 and 0.65 per plant, however, no colonizing beetles were 14 15 found in our traps at this time. Eggs were laid on young emerging plants with mean densities .6 reaching 2.15 egg batches per plant by late June. Hatch was recorded within the first week following egg laying. First and second instar larvae were present in the field from late June to 17 18 early July, third instar larvae were present in late June to mid July and 4th instar were present in 19 early July to the third week of July. Maximum densities reached per plant were as follows: 1st & 2nd instar, 9.5, 3rd instar, 9.5 and 4th instar, 13.6. A considerable overlap in larval instars was 20 21 observed from late June to mid July; at times all four larval instars were observed in the field. In 22 plot B at Lethbridge, there was a delay in colonization and in emergence of third and fourth 23 instars and new adults compared to plot A (Fig. 1 a & b).

New adults started emerging at the end of July to early August with a maximum density of 57 adults per plant recorded at the 2000 Lethbridge unprotected plot and 20 adults per plant at the 1999 Vauxhall plot. On emerging, these adults began feeding on the potato foliage. Very few eggs if any were laid by these females and by mid-August, the adults were observed leaving the plants. At this time, beetles were found in pitfall and flight intercept traps. In 1999, a total of 104 beetles were found in traps in plot A at the Lethbridge site; 73% of these beetles were

satiated and ready for diapause. In Vauxhall, 51 beetles were found in the traps; 91% were
satiated. In 1999, 34 beetles were collected from the traps at the Lethbridge site and 303 at the
Vauxhall site. Of these beetles, 9% at the Lethbridge site and 46% the Vauxhall site were found
to be satiated. Flight was not very extensive and in 1998, only 11 beetles were trapped and in
1999, only 5. All beetles trapped were satiated and were found to be moving out of the plots.
Although traps were not set out in 2000, adults were seen moving from the unprotected plot into
the protected plot in early August.

8

1.0

9 Defoliation Defoliation was very low at the beginning of the season but sharp increases were 10 noted when the third and fourth instar populations peaked and continued to rise when new adults 11 emerged from pupation (Fig.1). Defoliation was slower in Lethbridge plot B reaching only 30% 12 by the end of the summer as compared to 75% in plot A. Maximum defoliation occurred at 13 Lethbridge in 2000 with 100% defoliation by 10 August. Defoliation in protected plots was 14 observed mainly in outer rows and this was later in the season when new adults were moving into 15 these plots. However, defoliation did not exceed 10% in these plots.

Yield and Quality Total yields in all unprotected plots ranged from 10 to 49% lower than in the protected plots (Table 1). At the Vauxhall site, marketable yield was reduced by 38% and 24% in 1998 and 1999. At the Lethbridge site, a slight increase of 4% in marketable yield was recorded in plot B in 1998. Decreases in marketable yield of 13 and 17% were recorded in 1999 and 2000.

22

16

Overwintering population mortality, densities and depth A total of 141 beetles were collected from the soil in the protected plot and 25 from the unprotected plot. Densities of overwintering adults averaged 76 (±21.5 SEM) per m² with a maximum of 232 per m² in the protected plot and 13 (±3.4 SEM) per m² with a maximum of 27 per m² in the unprotected plot. Mortality in both plots averaged 22% with only a single beetle (3%) being diagnosed as infected by the fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. Mean depth of live beetles was similar to dead beetles with a mean of 12.3 (±0.95 SEM) cm for living beetles and 11.9 (±2.13 SEM) for dead beetles and

ranges of 0 to 39 cm for both groups. For living beetles (n = 131), 63% were found at depths of 1 ≤ 10 cm; 10 % between > 10 to 20 cm; 11 % between > 20 to 30 cm and 12 % at depths between 2 > 30 and 39 cm. For dead beetles (n = 36), 67% were found at depths of ≤ 10 cm; 8 % between > 3 10 to 20 cm; 14 % between > 20 to 30 cm and 11 % at depths between > 30 and 39 cm. 4 Minimum temperatures recorded at a turfed field at the Lethbridge Research Centre were as 5 follows: -31.4 °C at the soil surface on 11 December, 2000; -8.8 and -7.2 °C at 5 cm; -7.6 and -6 6.7 °C at 10 cm; and -5.3 and -5.5 °C at 20 cm on 16 December, 2000 and 27 February, 2001 7 respectively. There was no appreciable precipitation during the winter and the plots remained 8 essentially dry and without snow cover throughout the winter period. 9

10

11 **DISCUSSION**

12

Although the Lethbridge site was far removed from major potato production areas, while the Vauxhall site was in the heart of the potato growing area in southern Alberta, we found no difference in the timing of adult colonization in the spring between these two sites; overwintered adults were found at both sites by early to mid June. We suspect that home gardens and smaller potato plots around Lethbridge may have contributed to the density of colonizing beetles at this site. Hough-Goldstein and Whalen (1996) reported no linear correlation between distance to previous years' fields and colonization pressure.

19 The timing of plant emergence, however, played a role in beetle colonization. Plot B in 20 Lethbridge, which was planted one week after plot A, had delayed colonization which resulted in reduced defoliation. Mean beetle numbers reached 7 4th instars per plant in plot A and 2 per 21 22 plant in plot B and defoliation in these plots reached 60% and 30% respectively by the end of the 23 summer. The lower beetle pressure in plot B may explain the smaller difference in total yield 24 between the protected plot B and unprotected plot during this year. Thus a delay in planting date 25 which results in later plant emergence may actually result in a lower infestation. A study in New 26 Brunswick, however, found that beetles colonizing early emerging varieties will move into the 27 later emerging varieties resulting in large populations in these fields (Boiteau 1986). We found 28 no evidence of this in our small plots in the 3 years of this study.

29

8

In all 3 years we found an overlap of larval instars in the field, with overwintered adults,

eggs, and all four instars found in the field at the same time at the end of June early July. Early
in the season when 1st and 2nd instar larvae were present in the field, defoliation was found to be
generally low. However, when larvae molted to 3rd and 4th instars, a very rapid increase in
defoliation occurred, as was previously found in other areas (e.g. Boiteau, 1994). The economic
injury level for Manitoba was determined to be 0.14- 0.82 larvae per plant (Senanayake and
Holliday 1990). Populations in our plots were much higher with 3rd and 4th instars reaching over
6 larvae per plant.

1.4 1.1 2

8 Beetle infestations in all years resulted in a reduction in total yield. This decrease in yield 9 was smaller in the plot that had low beetle populations as seen in plot B in 1998 at the Lethbridge 10 site when compared to plot A. A decrease in marketable yield between 13 and 38% was 11 recorded at both sites except at the Lethbridge site in 1998, where the difference was less than 5%. The phenological age of the plant and the extent of defoliation at that stage influences yield 12 13 reduction. Boiteau (1994) found that defoliation during the bloom period plays a critical role in yield reduction. The difference in timing of major defoliation activity of the 4th instar larvae may 14 be the reason why in 1998 we found a greater yield loss at our Vauxhall site as compared to the 15 6 Lethbridge site (Fig 1).

17 Our overwintering survival data indicated that a lower number of beetles overwintered 18 directly in the plot where they had fed and completely defoliated the plot (with a mean of 13 per 19 m^2) than in an adjacent, protected plot (with a mean of 76 per m^2). This supports the findings of 20 Hunt and Tan (2000), who presented evidence suggesting that beetles would remain in a tomato 21 field if there was a food source available and of Noronha and Cloutier (1999), who demonstrated 22 that protected potato plots may act as a sink for diapausing adults emigrating from defoliated 23 plots. This is in contrast to the findings of Milner et al. (1992) who found that, in central 24 Wisconsin, adults attracted to trap potatoes in the fall, did not remain in these plots to overwinter.

All adults intercepted in flight traps and the majority of adults intercepted in the pitfall traps were satiated indicating that movement in late summer was mainly in search of overwintering sites. In eastern North America, large numbers of pre-diapause beetles walk or fly from potato or tomato fields to surrounding forested or wooded edges for overwintering, resulting in higher densities of overwintering adults along these edges than in their host fields (Hunt and Tan 2000,

Weber and Ferro 1993). Densities of overwintering beetles in our plots were much higher than those reported elsewhere in tomato fields in Ontario (2 - 5 per m², Hunt and Tan 2000) and in potato fields in Massachusetts (4.9 - 19.9 per m², Voss and Ferro 1992; Weber and Ferro 1993) suggesting that beetles on the prairies may have adapted to overwinter in the fields rather than emigrate to edges of wooded areas, which are scarce on the prairies. This hypothesis warrants further investigation.

5.6 F 8 F

7 Mean depth of beetles in our study was 12.3 cm with 63% being found at depths of ≤ 10 cm and this is similar to mean depths found for beetles overwintering in New Jersey (7.6-12.7 cm, 8 9 Lashomb et al. 1984) and central Wisconsin (80.4% in top 15 cm, Milner et. al. 1992). 10 However, this contrasts with the findings of Hunt and Tan (2000) who reported that 74-78% of 11 beetles overwintered at depths between 10 and 25 cm in Ontario and of Webber and Ferro (1993) 12 who reported 67.7% of the overwintering beetles at 10 to 20 cm depth with only 12% in the 0 -10 cm depth. Body size and soil temperature, density and moisture affect digging behavior and 13 14 depth of prediapausing Colorado potato beetles (Noronha and Cloutier 1998). The exceptionally 15 mild and dry winter of 2000/2001 in southern Alberta may have contributed to this shallower .6 overwintering depth.

17 Mean overwintering survival of beetles in our plot was 78%. Survival rates can vary 18 tremendously with survivorship of 14 to 75% recorded in New Jersey (Lashomb et al. 1984), 55 19 to 91% in Massachussets (Weber and Ferro1993), 17 to 29% in central Wisconsin (Milner et al. 20 1992) and 0 to 100% in Ontario (Hunt and Tan 2000). In these studies, a direct relationship 21 between decreasing mortality with increasing depth was found and is generally attributed with 22 thermal shock (Milner et al. 1992, Kung et al. 1992). In our study, a depth-mortality relationship 23 was not apparent, however, our sample size was relatively low. Kung et al. (1992) reported < 50% survival after exposure of diapausing beetles to 6 cold-shock exposures of \leq -4 °C, but much 24 25 higher survivals after single thermal shocks. In our study, only two thermal shocks were 26 experienced, temperature differences between the 5 cm and 20 cm depths differed by less than 4°C and temperatures never dropped below 8.8 °C. The 78% survival compares favorably with 27 the survival of 71% after a single shock of -8 °C reported by Kung et al. (1992) In 28 29 Massachusetts, Weber and Ferro (1993) reported that over 70% of the dead beetles exhibited

infection by *B. bassiana*. In our study, we found only one infected cadaver which represented less than 3% of the dead beetles.

3 In Alberta, beetle populations have been traditionally low, but with the presently expanding potato industry, an increase in the Colorado potato beetle population could result in the need for 4 increased insecticide applications and the rapid development of resistance. The large numbers of 5 6 beetles already demonstrating lower susceptibility to insecticides in southern Alberta are an indication that resistant populations are being selected (Noronha et al. in press). Our results 7 indicate that the phenology of CPB is similar to areas where population buildups were rapid and 8 9 devastating, soon after insecticide resistant populations appeared. Beetle populations rapidly increase following colonization by relatively few beetles and overwintering survival during the 10 winter of 2000/2001 was estimated at 78%. Thus, caution must be exercised and a resistance 11 12 management program should be implemented immediately in southern Alberta to prevent, or at 13 least delay, further selection of insecticide resistant populations.

14

. . .

1

2

15 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Pualele Atoa, Loa Barendregt, Jason Chinn, Kelly Holowka and Don Howard for
technical assistance. Bob Vernon, Pacific Agri-Food Research Centre, Agassiz, B.C. provided
pitfall traps and Sean McGinn, Lethbridge Research Centre, provided temperature data. The
Potato Growers of Alberta provided financial assistance. This is LRC publication number 387
01054

21

22 **REFERENCES**

- Boiteau G. 1986. Effects of planting date and plant spacing on field colonization by Colorado
 potato beetles *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say), in New Brunswick. Environ. Entomol. 15 :
 311-315.
- Boiteau, G. 1994. Visual index for the estimation of defoliation in the potato crop. Research
 Summary Bulletin, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Fredericton New Brunswick. Vol 3
- Cranshaw, W. S., and E.B. Radcliffe. 1980. Effect of defoliation on yield of potatoes. J. Econ.
 Entomol. 73 : 131-134.

11 RECEIVED AUG 2 3 2001

_1	Dortland, J.F., and A.D. de Kort. 1978. Protein synthesis and storage in the fat body of the
2	Colorado potato beetle. Insect Biochem. 8 : 93-98.
3	Ferro, D.N., B.J. Morzuch, and D. Margolies. 1983. Crop loss assessment of the Colorado
4	potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on potatoes in western Massachusetts. J. Econ.
5	Entomol. 76 : 349-356.
6	Gavloski, J. 1997. Rotation, rotation, rotation. Potato Perspectives 16:2
7	Hare, D. J. 1980. Impact of defoliation by the Colorado potato beetle on Potato yields. J. Econ.
8	Entomol. 73 : 369-373.
9	Hare, D. J. 1990. Ecology and management of the Colorado potato beetle. Ann. Rev. Entomol.
10	35 : 81-100.
11	Hough-Goldstein, J.A., and J.M. Whalen. 1996. Relationship between crop rotation distance
12	from previous potatoes and colonization and population density of Colorado potato beetle. J.
13	Agric. Entomol. 13 : 293-300.
14	Hunt, D.W.A. and C.S. Tan. 2000. Overwintering densities and survival of the Colorado potato
15	beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in and around tomato (Solanaceae) fields. The Can.
6	Entomol. 132 : 103-105.
17	Hunt, D. W. A. and R. S. Vernon. 2001. Portable trench barrier for protecting edges of tomato
18	fields from Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 94 :
19	204-207.
20	Kung, K-J.S., M.Milner, J.A. Wyman, J. Feldman and E. Nordheim 1992. Survival of
21	Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) after exposure to subzero thermal
22	shocks during diapause. J. Econ. Entomol. 85 : 1695-1700.
23	Lashomb, J.H., Y-S. Ng, G. Ghidiu and E. Green. 1984. Description of spring emergence by
24	the Colorado potato beetle, Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae),
25	in New Jersey. Environ. Entomol. 13: 907-910.
26	Milner, M., K-J.S. Kung, J.A. Wyman, J. Feldman and E. Nordheim. 1992. Enhancing
27	overwintering mortality of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) by
28	manipulating the temperature of its diapause habitat. J. Econ. Entomol. 85 : 1701-1708.
29	Noronha, C., and C. Cloutier. 1998. Effects of soil conditions and body size on digging by
0	

3560 H +

1	prediapausing Colorado potato beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. J. Zool. 76 :
2	1705-1713.

- Noronha, C., and C. Cloutier. 1999. Ground and aerial movement of adult Colorado potato
 beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in a univoltine population. The Can. Entomol. 131 :
 521-538
- Noronha, C., G.M. Duke, J.M. Chinn and M.S. Goettel. (in press) Differential susceptibility
 to insecticides by *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] populations from
 western Canada. Phytoprotection (in press).
- Roush, R.T., C.W. Hoy, D.N. Ferro, and W.M. Tingey. 1990. Insecticide resistance in the
 Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Influence of crop rotation and
 insecticide use. J. Econ. Entomol. 83 : 315-319.
- Senanayake D. G,and N. Holliday. 1990. Economic injury levels for Colorado potato beetle
 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on Norland potatoes in Manitoba. J. Econ. Entomol. 83 : 2058 2064.
- Senanayake D. G, E. G. Radcliffe, and N. J. Holliday. 2000. Oviposition and diapause
 behaviour in Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations from east
 central Minnesota and the valley of the Red river of the north. Environ. Entomol. 29 : 1123 1132.
- Shields, E. J., and J.A. Wyman. 1984. Effects of defoliation on specific growth stages on
 potato yields. J. Econ. Entomol. 77 : 1194-1199.
- Voss, R.H., and D.N. Ferro. 1990. Ecology of migrating Colorado potato beetles (Coleoptera:
 Chrysomelidae) in western Massachusetts. Ecol. Entomol. 19 :123-29.
- Voss, R.H., and D.N. Ferro. 1992. Population dynamics of the Colorado potato beetle
 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in western Massachusetts. Am. Potato J. 69 : 473-482.
- Weber, D.C., and D.N. Ferro. 1993. Distribution of overwintering Colorado potato beetles in
 and near Massachusetts potato fields. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 66 : 191-96.
- 27

1.1.1.1

Y. 6

3		
4	Table 1.	Yield of potato from protected and unprotected plots at Lethbridge and Vauxhaul,
5	Alberta.	

Percent Change Marketable Yield Percent Change Total Yield Unprotected Year/Site Protected Protected Unprotected Lethbridge Plot A Plot B - 10 + 3% - 21 -13% - 49 -17% Vauxhall - 43 -38% - 33 -24%

8. T. 17. A

Figure Caption

- 3 Figure 1. Mean beetle densities and defoliation index in unprotected potato plots. a) Lethbridge
- 4 Plot A in 1998; b) Lethbridge Plot B in 1998; c) Lethbridge Plot in 1999; d) Lethbridge Plot in
- 5 2000; e) Vauxhall Plot in 1998; f) Vauxhall plot in 1999. Defoliation index according to Boiteau
- 6 1994: 1. <10%; 2. 11-25%; 3. 26-39%; 4. 40-50%; 5. 50%; 6. 51-74%; 7.> 75%; 8. 100%.
- 7

eral deca

1 2

Figure Caption

Figure 1. Mean beetle densities and defoliation index in unprotected potato plots. a) Lethbridge
Plot A in 1998; b) Lethbridge Plot B in 1998; c) Lethbridge Plot in 1999; d) Lethbridge Plot in
2000; e) Vauxhall Plot in 1998; f) Vauxhall plot in 1999. Defoliation index according to Boiteau
1994: 1. <10%; 2. 11-25%; 3. 26-39%; 4. 40-50%; 5. 50%; 6. 51-74%; 7.> 75%; 8. 100%.

NOTÉ: FIGURES WILL BE AFRANCIED IN A ANATRIX OF 6 ON ONE PADE.

a)

1.1

.



Julian Date



Julian Date

FIELDG~1.PZM:LRC unprotected 1999 - Thu Jun 28 12:56:38 2001

C

1.



Julian Date

a tia p b

 $\left| \gamma \right|$



Julian date

4 V. 4 D. D



Julian Date

4 9 P A IP A

f

Vauxhall Unprotected Plot 1999 24 8 Eggs 22. -7 - 1-2 Instar 20 Number Per Plant **Defoliation Index** .6 18. - 3 Instar _5 16 - 4 Instar 14. - Adults 12. 4 - Defoliation -0 10. 3 8. 2 6 4 1 2 0. 0 195 215 225 235 165 175 185 205

August

July

Julian Date

June

			Hpproved
	Alberta Agricultur	ral Research Inst	titute (AARI)
N	Intching Grants Pr	ogram Annlica	tion - 2001/2002
14.	Tatening Orants 11	ogi am Applica	
Office Use Only:	Date Received	Appl	ication Number
. Project Title (ímaximum 15 words)		
Development of Mi	crobial Control as a Component	of Insecticide Resistance I	Management of the Colorado Potato Beeth
Соттансат	ant and Duration of Project		
Commenceme	ent una Daration of I roject		
Expected com	mencement date for this request	for funding <u>April 1, 20</u>	01
Anticipated du	uration of project is 3	year(s) Is this a renewa	al application? <u>yes</u>
If yes, state th	e first year the project was funde	ed <u>2000</u> and the currer	nt project # <u>2000M701</u>
Choice of Res	earch Committee		
Beef & Dairy		Pork, Poultry, Sheep &	Other Livestock
Cereals & Oil	seeds	Forage, Pulse, Vegetable	e & Other Crops X
Resource Con	servation	Policy, Economics & M	arketing
. Principal Res	earcher		
Name	Dr. Mark S. Goettel	Mailing Address	<u>P.O. Box 3000</u>
Title	Insect Pathologist		_Lethbridge, AB TIJ 4BI
Organization	Agric. & Agri-Food Canada		
Department	Crop Sciences Section	I elephone #	(403) 317-2264
		Fax #/Email	<u>(403)</u> 382-3156/ goettel(@em.agr.ca
Co-applicants			
Name	Dr. Christine Noronha	Mailing Address	P.O. Box 1210
Title	Research Scientist		Charlottetown, PE, CIA 7M8
Organization	_Agriculture & Agri-Food Cana	ada	
Department		Telephone #	902- 566-6844
	<u></u>	Fax #/Email	<u>902-566-6821/noronhac@em.agr.ca</u>
Name	Dr. David W.A. Hunt	Mailing Address	2585 Highwav 20 E
Title	Research Scientist		Harrow ON
Organization	Agriculture & Agri-Food		NOR 1G0
Department		Telephone #	519-738-2251 ext 427
-		Fax #/Email	519-738-2929/huntd@em.agr.ca

ii. Objectives

1. To determine the effectiveness of an insect pathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, in reducing beetle populations.

2. To evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program.

Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will delay development of resistance to chemicals, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides, and allow development of an IPM strategy against this pest in Alberta.

iii. Key Results Expected

The objective is to determine the role that the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* could play in the resistance management of the pesticides being used for control of the Colorado potato beetle. Virulence of the fungus towards the soil stages of the beetle will be determined. Furthermore, it will be determined to what extent the fungus can affect overwintering adult beetle populations. The strategy would be to contaminate migrating adults with spores of the fungus as they leave the potato fields in late summer in search of overwintering sites. Results on persistence, virulence and effects of contamination of overwintering sites will provide information for use of this fungus in IPM.

2000 -2001: Virulence of the fungus will be determined. How many spores must the beetles contact in order to get infected? Persistence of the fungus under field conditions will be determined. Will enough spores remain viable from year to year to ensure continued efficacy? Will beetles be contaminated with an adequate amount of inoculum as they bury in the soil which contains spores?

J01- 2002: How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions affect spore persistence and virulence?

2002-2003: Do adults that succumbed in the soil produce adequate numbers of spores to ensure infection of the next generation? Should spores be reapplied yearly? Should we proceed with registration? Final report and manuscripts will be prepared.

B. Progress to Date (renewal applications only)

Provide a concise report of the results achieved. It should contain a summary of the data collected and any preliminary conclusions made. The report should clearly state whether the results expected under the action plan for the preceding year have been achieved. If not, provide reasons. Include all changes or modifications to original expectations, citing reasons. One page may be added to this section if required.

We have been very fortunate to have been able to attract 2 excellent COOP students from the University of Lethbridge to work on this project during the last year. These students were highly motivated and are compensated at a very economical level. One of the students has since been hired under the Federal Student Work Experience programme and continues to work on the project on a part time basis (15 hrs/week). Consequently, we are able at this time to have proceeded more or less with the same basic research plan albeit at a reduced level. We have had to reduce some of our original studies as follows: 1) Field plots were not inoculated and monitoring for soil persistence was not carried out during the present summer season. 2) Field cage trials against larvae and summer generation emerging adults were not carried out. Nevertheless we have made much progress here in Alberta as well as with our studies in Prince Edward

land and Ontario. We were fortunate to have received funding from the Ontario Fresh Vegetable Producers Association, matched by AAFC's Matching Investment Initiative (Harrow), which funded our activities in Ontario. We have approached several new potential funding sources (McCain's, Ontario Potato Board, Potato Snackfood's Host-pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships

ween fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

1) Susceptibility of the soil stages.

Hypothesis: Spores within the soil will incite disease and cause mortality in the soil dwelling stages of the insect.

Spores of *B. bassiana* will be mixed uniformly into sterile soil at several concentrations (approximately 10^4 to 10^8 conidia/g dry weight of soil). Water will be added to obtain a moisture level of 9% (w/w). Each soil/dose combination will be dispensed into three plastic containers. Fifty mature larvae will be placed onto the surface of each container and allowed to enter the soil and pupate. After incubation, emergent adults will be transferred to clean containers and observed daily for mortality. The soil will be examined for presence of dead prepupae, larvae or adults. Cause of death will be determined by examination of hemolymph for fungal blastospores or by incubating surface sterilized cadavers under high humidity and observing emergent fungus. Spore viabilities will be quantified at the start and end of the experiment by removing cores of soil from the containers and by standard dilution/plating techniques on selective media. Dose-mortality results will be analysed using probit analyses.

2) Influence of soil type

pothesis: Soil type will influence persistence and pathogenicity.

The experimental design will be similar to the one described above except that only one dose will be used. Different soil types and moisture levels will be incorporated into the bioassay design. Soil types to be tested will be those characteristic of habitats where pupation and overwintering occurs. Comparisons will also be made between sterilized and non-sterilized soils.

3) Spore persistence

Conidia will be incorporated into the top 25 cm layer of soil in 3-m² field plots in Alberta in field and overwintering habitats (e.g., windbreaks). The persistence of conidia will be determined by taking soil cores and determining viability using standard dilution plating techniques. Monitoring will continue for up to 24 months if warranted.

4) Spore transmission

Hypothesis: Emigrating diapausing adults inoculated with spores will contaminate overwintering sites.

The experimental design will be similar to the one described above (2), except that the soil will not contain spores. Forty diapausing adults will be allowed to enter the soil. Subsequently, 10 spore -treated adults (using a spray tower) will be added and allowed to enter the soil. The experiment will be conducted under several different cool temperature conditions. Following termination of diapause, adults will be held at 25°C and observed daily for mortality. The soil will be examined for cadavers. Presence of *B. bassiana* in cadavers will be verified as described above (2).

method of control, be it chemical pesticides or transgenic potato, will eventually result in the development of resistance. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato dustry in Alberta.

This project will be the beginning of the development and implementation of a much more comprehensive IPM strategy for pests of potatoes. Other benefits of the proposed research include the possible feasibility of using this fungus for control of other soil-dwelling insect pests such as wireworms. Results of this project could also be used in the development and implementation of insecticide resistance management and IPM programs for other economically important pest insects in Alberta and elsewhere.

F. Related Research Performed in Your Organization

- 1) Development for IPM against the Colorado potato beetle. Dr. Gilles Boiteau, Fredericton Research Centre. Dr Boiteau is evaluating *B. bassiana* as a foliar application. There is no direct overlap, however, results from our proposed study would be most beneficial for IPM programs throughout Canada.
- 2) Development for IPM against the Colorado potato beetle. Dr. Bob Vernon, Aggasiz Research Centre. Dr. Vernon is monitoring the recent introduction of the potato beetle in the Okanagan Valley and testing several IPM protocols, none of which include *B. bassiana* at this time.
- 3) Development of *Beauveria bassiana* for control of grasshoppers. Drs. Doug Inglis, Dan Johnson and Mark Goettel. Lethbridge Research Centre. For the past 5 years we have been studying the potential of this fungus for use against grasshoppers. Part off the project included testing the fungus against ovipositing females under a variety of soil conditions. It was found that females become infected after ovipositing in spore augmented soils. This has given us considerable experience which will be used in the proposed research.

We will collaborate closely with Drs. Gilles Boiteau and Bob Vernon, and other scientists studying IPM of the Colorado potato beetle in Canada.

G. Related Research Performed in Other Agencies

- IPM of pests of potatoes in Maine. Drs. Ellie Groden and Frank Drummond, University of Maine, Orono. Beauveria bassiana has been studied extensively, but mainly as foliar application for use in IPM programs against the Colorado potato beetle. These researchers have found that populations drop significantly following the 3rd consecutive year of application. I am in close contact with this team and have discussed my proposed approach. Both Drs. Groden and Drummond have expressed interest and we will be working together so that there will not be any unnecessary duplication.
- 2) IPM of Colorado potato beetle. Dr. Tad Poprawski, Texas A & M University and USDA-ARS, Weslaco, Texas and Dr. S. Wraight, USDA/ARS, Ithaca, NY. *Beauveria bassiana* is being evaluated against the Colorado potato beetle when applied as a foliar microbial insecticide. Some very promising results have been obtained. This team has not studied the potential of this fungus as a soil amendment against pupating or diapausing populations.
- 3) Dr. Lerry Lacey, USDA, Yakima, WA, is evaluating *Beauveria bassiana* when used in conjunction with *Bacillus thuringiensis tenebrionis* as a foliar application against the Colorado potato beetle.
- 4) Commercialization of *Beauveria bassiana*. Mycotech Corp., Butte Montana. Mycotech Corporation has developed an innovative cost effective method for the mass production of this fungus. They will provide us with spores without cost, however, since they are a small company, they are unable to support our proposal financially at this time.

will also be used to obtain support for registration of the fungus in Canada. Results will be published in scientific journals for the benefit of the scientific community at large. It is expected that if the project demonstrates feasible use of fungus in a potato IPM program, private industry (e.g., Mycotech Corp., Butte MT and possibly other companies) will invest in the registration and commercialization of this fungus in Canada. Because registration requirements between the United States and Canada are being harmonized and the fungus is already registered in the United States, registration in Canada should be expedited requiring minimal new safety data. Once registration has been obtained, we will work closely with the Potato growers' association is to ensure that the technology is being adopted appropriately and effectively.

C. Supplies and Services

- i. Travel (includes travel and accommodation costs)
 - a. Project Travel

Traveller's Name	Mark Goettel
Destination(s)	PGA & Prairie Potato Council Annual Meetings
Number of Trips	2
Mode of Travel	car or air, as warranted
Purpose	to confer with growers and inform them of progress

Cost ____\$1,500

b. Conference Travel

Traveller's Name	<u> </u>
Destination(s)	m
Number of Trips	
Mode of Travel	
Purpose	
	Cost

Justification is required for requests over \$1,500:

ii. Materials/Supplies (if you have more than six items, please attach a list)

List Item	Quantity	<u>\$ Per Unit</u>	Cost
Disposable Petri dishes	<u>10 bx</u>	\$50/bx	\$500
Agar	5 kg	\$140/kg	\$700
Crop Sciences Greenhouse/Field Services			\$2,000
Miscellaneous laboratory supplies (glassware/disp)			1,500
	1.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Total:	\$4,700

Summary of Budgets for Anticipated Duration of Project

	RENEWALS A. Amount Approved in 2000-2001*	B. Amount Proposed for 2001-2002	C. Amount Proposed for 2002-2003	D. Amount Proposed for 2003-2004
Manpower	\$8,500	\$40,100	\$40,100	
Benefits	0	0	0	
Capital Assets	0	0	0	
Travel	0	1500	1500	
Materials/Supplies	440	4,700	4,700	
Computer Cost	0	0	0	
Publication Cost	0	0	0	
Rentals & Leases	0	0	0	
Contract Personnel	0	0	0	
Overhead Cost	1060	5,560	5,560	
TOTAL	\$10,000	\$51,860	\$51,860	

F. Total amount approved in previous years for this project <u>\$25,000</u>*

* Although \$25,000 in matching funds were approved for 2000/2001, we were only able to obtain \$5,000 of industry money to match. We are soliciting new industry funding and partners and are confident that we'll be able to obtain \$25,000 to match for 2001/2002, in order to get the project back on track and to full speed for the next field season.

G. Approval in any year does not guarantee funding for subsequent years. Provide substantive reasons to justify proposals requesting multiple year funding.

Evaluation under field conditions requires multiple years of study. At least 3 field seasons are required in

order to evaluate the proposed method of using this pathogen against the target insect. Laboratory studies will be conducted during the winter months.

12. Terms and Conditions

- A. This application is submitted, and will be evaluated, under the authority of the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute Act and the Farming for the Future Matching Grants Program Guidelines. The applicant accepts the conditions specified in the guidelines.
- B. All completed applications submitted to AARI become the property of AARI and will not be returned to the applicant. While every effort will be made to keep the information contained in the application form confidential, the application review procedures require that copies of the application be distributed to a number of reviewers. The contents of this application may also be subject to access under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.
- C. The decision of AARI's Board of Directors regarding this application is final.

had forthet

Principal Researcher's Signature

20-10-2000

Co-applicant's Signature

Co-applicant's Signature

Date

Date

Date

Principal Researcher - Biographical Data

This personal information is being collected for the purpose of assessing the researchers' qualifications under the authority of the AARI Act. It is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

Name (surname first)): GOETTE	L, Mark S.	
Post-Secondary Educ	ation and Training Relevant to P	roposal:	
Institution	Field Specialization	Degree/Diploma	Year Completed
Concertie	Dielerer		1075
Concordia	Biology	B.Sc. (Honors)	1975
Ottawa	Entomology	M.Sc.	1977
Alberta	Insect Pathology	Ph.D.	1987
Relevant Professiona	l Experience (begin with present p	oosition):	
Dates	Position or Function	Employer	Location
Nov. 1988-present	Research Scientist	Agric. & Agri-Food Canada	Lethbridge
Feb. 1987-Nov. 1988	Post-doctoral Fellow	al Fellow Boyce Thompson Inst.	
esearch Activities R	elated to Research Proposal (list	up to 4 projects):	
Title			Date
Investigations into pen	Feb. 1987-Nov. 1988		
Investigations into the	Jan. 1991 - present		
Study of environments	Aug. 1995- Sept., 1996		
Development of micro	Oct 1077 procent		

Relevant Articles Published in Refereed Journals and Other Relevant Works in the Last Three Years

Butt, T.M. & M.S. Goettel. 2000. Bioassays of Entomogenous Fungi in Bioassays of Entomopathogenic Microbes and Nematodes (A. Navon & K.R.S. Ascher, eds). CABI International Press, Wallingford, U.K. pp 141-195.

Inglis, G.D., D.L. Johnson, L.M. Kawchuk & M.S. Goettel. 1998. Effect of soil texture and soil sterilization on susceptibility of ovipositing grasshoppers to *Beauveria bassiana*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 71: 73-81.

Goettel, M.S. & D.L. Johnson (eds.).1997. Microbial Control of Grasshoppers and Locusts. Memoirs Entomological Society of Canada 171, 400 pp.

Fargues, J., A. Ouedraogo, M.S. Goettel & C.J. Lomer. 1997. Effects of temperature, humidity and inoculation method on susceptibility of *Schistocerca gregaria* to *Metarhizium flavoviride*. Biocont. Sci. Technol. 7: 345-356.

Inglis, G.D., D.L. Johnson & M.S. Goettel. 1997. Use of pathogen combinations to overcome the constraints of demperature on entomopathogenic Hyphomycetes against grasshoppers. Biological Control 8: 143-152.

Inglis, G.D., D.L. Johnson & M.S. Goettel. 1997. Field and laboratory evaluation of two conidial batches of *Beauveria* bassiana against grasshoppers. Canadian Entomologist 129: 171-186.

Co-applicant (2) - Biographical Data

This personal information is being collected for the purpose of assessing the researchers' qualifications under the authority of the AARI Act. It is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

Name (surname first)	: HUNT David W A		
	Holdi, David W.A.		
Post-Secondary Educa	ation and Training Relevant to Pr	oposal:	
<u>Institution</u>	Field Specialization	Degree/Diploma	Year Completed
University of Victoria	Biology	B.Sc.	1980
Simon Fraser Universit	y Pest Management	MPM	1983
Simon Fraser Universit	y Entomology	Ph.D.	1987
Relevant Professional	Experience (begin with present p	osition):	
Dates	Position or Function	Employer	Location
April 1989-present Research Scientist		Agric. & Agri-Food Canada Harrow, ON	
April 1987-April 1989	Postdoctoral Research Associate	University of Wisconsin	Madison, WI
Research Activities R	elated to Research Proposal (list u	ıp to 4 projects):	
<u>l'itle</u>			Date
Investigations into the	use of Beauveria bassiana for contro	ol of bark beetles	1980-1983
Study of the overwinte	1995-1999		
Development of a device	ce for trapping walking Colorado po	tato beetle	1995-1999
Potato trap crops for control of Colorado potato beetle			1993-1996

Relevant Articles Published in Refereed Journals and Other Relevant Works in the Last Three Years

Hunt, D.W.A, and C.S. Tan. 1999. Overwintering densities and survival of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in and around tomato (Solanaceae) fields. Canadian Entomologist. (In press).

Hunt, D.W.A. 1998. Reduced tillage practices for managing the Colorado potato beetle in processing tomato production. HortScience 33: 279-282.

Hunt, D.W.A., and G. Whitfield. 1996. Potato trap crops for control of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in tomatoes. Canadian Entomologist. 128: 407-412.

Hunt, D.W.A., A. Liptay, and C.F. Drury. 1994. Nitrogen supply during production of tomato transplants affects preference by Colorado potato beetle. HortScience 29: 1326-1328.

Co-applicant's	Name Dr. Christine Noronha		
A. Name	Dr. J.A. Ivany	Title	Research Scientist
Signature	Sh drang	Date	Oct. 23/00
B. Name	Dr. C. Deslauriers	Title	Director
Signature	c. dulannino	Date	10.23
C. Name	Dr. Y. Martel	Title	Director General, Eastern Region
Signature		Date	
Co-applicant's Organization			
Co-applicant's	Name Dr. David W.A. Hunt	_	
A. Name	Dr. G.H. Whitfield	Title	Director
Signature		Date	
B. Name	Dr. Y. Martel	Title	Director General, Eastern Region
Signature		Date	
C. Name		Title	
		_	
Signature		Date	

Co-applicant's Organization

*



Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Research Branch Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada

Direction générale de la recherche Lethbridge Research Centre PO Box 3000 LETHBRIDGE AB T1J 4B1 Telephone: (403) 327-4561 Facsimile: (403) 382-3156

January 24, 2001

POTATO GROWERS OF ALBERTA 6008 46 AVENUE TABER AB T1G 2B1

RECEIVED JAN 3 0 2001

To Whom it May Concern:

Application for funding: "Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle"

Enclosed please find an AARI Matching Grants Application form requesting funding from PDI in the amount of \$5,000 for 2001/2002. This form was submitted to AARI as a progress report for the first year of funding from PDI (\$5,000; SPA A01802) which was matched by the AARI Matching Grants Program (\$5,000), and as a request for a second year of funding.

In addition to AARI/PDI monies, we were fortunate to have received funding from the Ontario Fresh Vegetable Producers Association, which was matched by AAFC's Matching Investment Initiative (Harrow), which funded our activities in Ontario. We have approached several new potential funding sources and hope that this year, in addition to starting a research component in PEI, we will be able to significantly expand our activities at Lethbridge. Because winter weather is unpredictable and highly variable, we benefit greatly from performing overwintering mortality trials at 3 different ecozones.

Looking forward to receiving continued support from PGA/PDI for this important research on management of an important pest of potatoes worldwide.

Sincerely, Dr. P.A. Burne

Assistant Director

MSG:kg cc: Dr. J.G. Stewart, Section Head, Crop Science Section Dr. M.S. Goettel, Entomologist



Recycled Paper / Papier recyclé
Differential susceptibility to insecticides by Leptinotarsa decemlineata [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] populations from western Canada

Christine Noronha^{1,2}, Grant M. Duke¹, Jason M. Chinn¹, and Mark S. Goettel^{1,3}

Received 2001-06-03; accepted 2002-01-22

PHYTOPROTECTION 82 : 113-121

The susceptibility of Colorado potato beetles (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) (CPB) from three provinces in western Canada was measured using a filter paper bioassay to substantiate the reported insecticide resistance by the beetle in Manitoba, and to compare the situation there to beetle populations from Saskatchewan and Alberta. Susceptibility of beetles was measured against five insecticides: the organophosphates, azinphos-methyl (Guthion), and methamidophos (Monitor); the pyrethroid, permethrin (Ambush); the organochlorine, endosulfan (Endosulfan); and the carbamate, carbaryl (Sevin). All 12 populations tested from Manitoba were found to have resistance to one or more of the insecticides. All populations were classified as either having resistance or intermediate resistance to permethrin; two of the populations were classified as having resistance to azinphos-methyl and three to methamidophos. Two of four populations from Saskatchewan were classified as having intermediate resistance to azinphos-methyl and methamidophos. Intermediate resistance to permethrin was recorded in 12 of the 13 populations from Alberta, with only one being highly susceptible. Two populations showed evidence of intermediate resistance to azinphos-methyl and three to methamidophos. In all three provinces, survival rate from different egg masses within the susceptible populations ranged from 0-100%, indicating the presence of individuals with either resistance, intermediate or high susceptibility within these populations. With the expanding potato acreage in western Canada and the detection of the CPB populations with resistance to insecticides, a resistance management program must be implemented to prevent the rapid selection of resistant populations.

[Sensibilités variées aux insecticides de populations de *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [Coleoptera : Chrysomelidae] de l'Ouest canadien]

La sensibilité du doryphore de la pomme de terre (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) de trois provinces de l'Ouest canadien a été mesurée par bioessai sur

113

^{1.} Lethbridge Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, P.O. Box 3000, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1J 4B1

^{2.} Present address: Crops and Livestock Research Centre, Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada, 440 University Ave., Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada C1A 4N6

^{3.} To whom correspondence should be addressed; e-mail: goettel@em.agr.ca

papier filtre pour corroborer les comptes rendus faisant état de doryphores résistants aux insecticides au Manitoba, et pour comparer la situation au Manitoba à celles de la Saskatchewan et de l'Alberta. La sensibilité des doryphores a été mesurée pour cinq insecticides : les organophosphates azinphos-méthyl (Guthion) et méthamidophos (Monitor), le pyréthroïde perméthrine (Ambush), l'organochloré endosulfan (Endosulfan) et le carbamate carbaryl (Sevin). Les 12 populations du Manitoba examinées démontraient de la résistance à au moins un des insecticides. Toutes les populations ont été classées comme étant résistantes ou moyennement résistantes à la perméthrine; deux des populations ont été classées comme résistantes à l'azinphos-méthyl et trois au méthamidophos. Deux des quatre populations de la Saskatchewan ont été classées comme étant moyennement résistantes à l'azinphos-méthyl et au méthamidophos. Une résistance intermédiaire à la perméthrine a été trouvée dans 12 des 13 populations de l'Alberta, alors qu'une seule était très sensible. Deux populations se sont montrées moyennement résistantes à l'azinphos-méthyl et trois au méthamidophos. Dans les trois provinces, le taux de survie de diverses masses d'oeufs provenant de populations sensibles variait de 0 à 100 %, ce qui montre que des individus résistants, moyennement résistants et très sensibles coexistent dans ces populations. Avec l'expansion de la culture de la pomme de terre dans l'Ouest canadien et la détection d'une résistance aux insecticides dans les populations du doryphore de la pomme de terre, un programme de gestion de la résistance doit être mis en place pour éviter la sélection rapide de populations résistantes.

INTRODUCTION

Potato is the most important vegetable crop in Canada, making up 60% of all vegetable farm cash receipts (Statistics Canada 1999). The Colorado potato beetle (CPB), Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say) [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae] is considered one of the most destructive foliage feeding pests of potatoes. The application of chemical insecticides has been the primary method used to control this insect in North America (Hare 1990; Martel 1987; Roush et al. 1990). However, widespread and repeated use of chemicals as a control method have resulted in the selection of insecticide resistant populations. In some potato producing areas in North America, nearly all the previously effective insecticides are no longer able to reduce beetle populations and new insecticides lose their effectiveness within a few yr because of cross resistance (French et al. 1992; Harris and Turnbull 1986). Thus, the selection of insecticide resistant populations is a major threat to the potato industry and a continuing problem in Colorado potato beetle management (Forgash 1981; Grafius 1997; Martel 1987).

In Canada, insecticide resistant populations have been reported from most of the eastern provinces where potatoes are grown (Boiteau 1988; Boiteau et al. 1987; Harris and Svec 1976; Stewart et al. 1997). The first reports of resistance were to organochlorine insecticides (Harris and Svec 1976; Mc-Clanahan 1975; McDonald 1976). By 1981, populations showing resistance to organophosphates and carbamates were found in Quebec. In 1979, most populations tested in Ontario were susceptible to pyrethroids (permethrin, fenvalerate and cypermethrin), but by 1982, a 22-37 fold resistance was reported after just 2 yr of use of these insecticides (Harris and Turnbull 1986). In New Brunswick, there was a 70% increase in beetle populations between 1974 and 1980 which coincided with an increase in insecticide resistant populations (Boiteau et al. 1987). Some populations in these areas have developed resistance to insecticides in all classes, resulting in the emergency registration in 1995 of imidacloprid (Admire™),

NORONHA ET AL. : LEPTINOTARSA DECEMLINEATA SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INSECTICIDES

which belongs to the new chloronicotinyl class of insecticide.

In western Canada there have been recent reports of insecticide resistance to three of the four classes of insecticides tested from Manitoba (Gavloski 1997). In Alberta, the last report of the presence of resistance was to DDT (McDonald 1976) but since then there have been no surveys conducted.

Over the last 10 yr, Canadian potato production has increased by 55% and the area planted by 40% (Statistics Canada 1999). The future growth of the potato industry is expected to be in western Canada, including Alberta, as more potato processors establish there. This increasing demand for potatoes requires an increase in acreage, and may result in a decrease in rotation, factors that will favour Colorado potato beetle populations and the need for an increase in the use of insecticide treatments. This will consequently provide ideal conditions for the selection of insecticide resistant beetle populations.

The objective of this study was to measure the susceptibility to insecticides, of beetles from three western Canadian provinces, to substantiate the reported occurrence of insecticide resistance to the beetle in Manitoba, to compare the situation there to beetle populations from Saskatchewan and Alberta, and to provide base-line data for future survey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insecticide resistance in beetle populations from Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and Alberta was measured using a filter paper bioassay (French et al. 1992; Heim et al. 1990). Due to the low numbers of beetles found throughout parts of western Canada, we were unable to collect sufficient numbers of egg masses directly from the field. Consequently, during the summer of 1998, laboratory cultures of 35 egg-laying females and 15 males were established on potted "Russet Burbank" potato plants from field collected adults or fourth instar larvae from commercial fields in each province (Table 1). Beetles from each commercial field were considered separate populations. The egg masses from each population were collected over a 1 to 3-month period and used in the assays. For the most part, eggs were collected from adults that arose directly from the field collected larvae. However, for four populations (Portage la Praire, Selkirk 2, Winkler and Lake Diefenbaker), we had to add a few beetles from the first generation to the cage with the original population towards the end of experimentation because egg laying had slowed down considerably in these populations.

Each bioassay unit consisted of a filter paper (5.5 cm diam., Fisher P-5) that was pre-treated with a commercial insecticide dissolved in acetone using the diagnostic concentrations calculated by

Table 1. Collection localities for Leptinotarsa decemlineata from western Canada

No.	Alberta	Saskatchewan	Manitoba
1	Edmonton-1	Lake Dienfenbaker	Lauder
2	Edmonton-2	Outlook	Hartney
3	Nobleford	Saskatoon	Bagot
4	Lethbridge	Nipawin	Portage la Prairie-1
5	Cranford	·	Portage la Prairie-2
6	Enchant-1		Winkler
7	Enchant-2		Gretna
8	Taber-1		Selkirk-1
9	Taber-2		Selkirk-2
10	Vauxhall		Steinbach-1
11	Hays-1		Steinbach-2
12	Hays-2		Unknown
13	Grassy Lake		

Insecticide class	Insecticide	Diagnostic concentrationª (µg ai cm²)	Mean mortality of susceptible strain ^b (%)	Mean mortality of resistant strain ^b (%)
Organophosphate	Azinphos-methyl (Guthion™) Bayer	1.05	95 ± 5	61 ± 13
	Methamidophos (Monitor™) Bayer	1.05	97 ± 9	72 ± 10
Pyrethroid	Permethrin (Ambush™) Zeneca	0.16	93 ± 3	0
Organochlorine	Endosulfan (Endosulfan™) Aventis	0.11	100	0
Carbamate	Carbaryl (Sevin™) Aventis	30.00	100	92 ± 2

Table 2. Insecticides tested for resistance in Colorado potato beetle populations from western Canada using a filter paper bioassay

* As used by French et al. 1992.

^a Susceptible and resistant laboratory strains were obtained from S. Hilton, Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, London, Ontario (Hilton *et al.* 1998). Ten egg masses of each strain were tested against each insecticide.

French et al. (1992) (Table 2). A single egg mass, with the excess foliage removed, was placed on the insecticide treated filter paper in a Petri dish and incubated at 23°C and 16L:8D photoperiod. When at least 50% of the eggs hatched, the filter paper was moistened with about 0.3 mL water. The dish was sealed with parafilm, and the number of dead and live larvae were counted 24 h later. A larva that did not return to its upright position after having been placed on its dorsal side was considered dead. Egg masses for the controls were placed on acetone treated filter paper. Ten egg masses (> 15 eggs per egg mass) per population per insecticide were tested. We followed the classification scheme of Kennedy and French (1994); egg masses showing < 50% mortality were classified as resistant. The proportion of egg masses within each population showing resistance (i.e. < 50% mortality) to the diagnostic concentrations of French et al. (1992) was used to classify the populations according to their susceptibility as follows: resistant (> 80% of the egg masses had < 50% mortality); intermediate (between 20 to 79% of the equ masses had < 50% mortality); and susceptible (between 0 to 19% of the egg masses had < 50% mortality). Controls for all populations from the three provinces were run simultaneously.

To verify the diagnostic concentrations against known resistant and susceptible populations of the beetle, we tested 10 egg masses per insecticide each from susceptible and resistant populations originating from populations from southern Ontario (Hilton *et al.* 1998). The resistant population was resistant to organochlorine, pyrethroid and organophosphate insecticides, with the exception that it had lost its resistance to carbofuran and resistance to azinphos-methyl had decreased (S. Hilton, personal communication).

RESULTS

Populations from all three western provinces demonstrated presence of individuals with resistance to one or more of the insecticides (Table 3). Of the 12 populations tested from Manitoba, 6 were classified as having resistance and 6 showed intermediate susceptibility to permethrin (Fig. 1; Table 3). Popula-

NORONHA ET AL. : LEPTINOTARSA DECEMLINEATA SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INSECTICIDES

Table 3. Mean percent mortality and range per egg mass of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* for each insecticide and population tested. Numbers in bold representant resistant populations

Population	Azinnhos-				
number	methyl	Methamidophos	Permethrin	Endosulfan	Carbaryl
Alberta					
1	81 (5-100)	99 (93-100)	77 (11-100)	100	100
2	84 (60-100)	86 (54-100)	55 (14-100)	100	100
3	92 (77-100)	71 (3-100)	80 (40-95)	99 (96-100)	99 (92-100
4	97 (74-100)	99 (95-100)	82 (27-100)	100	100
5	78 (24-100)	74 (3-100)	27 (0-84)	80 (0-100)	92 (24-100
6	80 (38-100)	90 (18-100)	69 (14-100)	99 (96-100)	100
7	88 (66-100)	88 (45-100)	62 (15-100)	97 (85-100)	99 (96-100
8	86 (67-100)	93 (65-100)	68 (16-100)	99 (96-100)	100
9	83 (17-100)	70 (26-100)	49 (0-86)	76 (22-100)	98 (75-100
10	87 (33-100)	67 (28-100)	42 (0-95)	85 (11-100)	99 (95-100
11	92 (75-100)	82 (3-100)	73 (23-100)	98 (90-100)	97 (83-100
12	90 (72-100)	71 (19-100)	63 (9-100)	95 (50-100)	99 (87-100
13	83 (32-100)	74 (23-100)	54 (5-88)	93 (79-100)	97 (79-100
Saskatchewan					
1	74 (41-100)	84 (30-100)	87 (38-100)	100	99 (97-100
2	87 (60-100)	88 (66-100)	46 (0-100)	97 (83-100)	99 (96-100
3	77 (47-97)	88 (45-100)	48 (0-95)	95 (80-100)	94 (48-10)
4	82 (40-100)	98 (92-100)	76 (46-100)	100	99 (94-100
Manitoba					
1	87 (29-100)	92 (29-100)	64 (0-100)	82 (17-100)	99 (95-10)
2	57 (0-96)	69 (23-100)	39 (0-100)	85 (25-100)	95 (73-100
3	78 (4-100)	78 (9-100)	30 (0-69)	56 (9-100)	99 (91-100
4	78 (39-100)	69 (6-100)	26 (0-52)	17 (0-93)	83 (23-10)
5	74 (7-100)	82 (13-100)	14 (0-67)	28 (0-94)	97 (79-100
6	39 (0-100)	43 (15-90)	<1 (0-3)	88 (39-100)	43 (0-89)
7	57 (21-92)	73 (0-100)	32 (5-67)	35 (0-100)	57 (0-95)
8	19 (0-100)	17 (0-100)	48 (0-98)	50 (19-86)	29 (0-100)
9	28 (0-71)	30 (0- 67)	1 (0-7)	39 (6-82)	40 (0-89)
10	52 (0-100)	36 (0-93)	38 (0-100)	57 (13-100)	57 (8-100)
11	39 (0-80)	34 (0-100)	45 (4-100)	37 (0-100)	13 (0-71)
12	40 (0-81)	47 (8-92)	12 (0-67)	77 (53-100)	46 (6-100)

tions showed highest susceptibility to carbaryl, with only two of the 12 populations classified as having resistance to this insecticide. Four of the 12 populations were classified as having resistance and 7 showed an intermediate level of susceptibility to endosulfan. Two populations showed resistance to azinphos-methyl and 3 populations to methamidophos, with 7 and 8 showing intermediate levels of susceptibility. One population (population 1) of the 12 tested was classified as highly susceptible to all three chemicals, azinphos-methyl, methamidophos, and carbaryl; no populations were found to be highly susceptible to permethrin. Several populations demonstrated multiple resistance with population 8 demonstrating resistance to azinphos-methyl, methamidophos, and carbaryl and population 9 to azinphos-methyl, methamidophos, permethrin and endosulfan.

From Saskatchewan, only two populations of the four tested showed intermediate susceptibility to permethrin and azinphos-methyl (Fig. 1). All four populations were highly susceptible to the methamidophos, endosulfan and carbaryl.

In Alberta, an intermediate level of susceptibility to permethrin was recorded in 12 of the 13 populations tested (Fig. 1). Of the two organophosphates tested, two populations showed intermediate susceptibility to azinphosmethyl and four populations to metha-



Figure 1. Proportion of egg masses (%) of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* from the Canadian prairie provinces with less than 50% mortality after exposure to insecticides. Susceptibility categories are defined as follows: Resistant when > 80% of the egg masses had < 50% mortality; Intermediate when between 20 and 79% of the egg masses had < 50% mortality; and Susceptible when between 0 and 19% of the egg masses had < 50% mortality (see Kennedy and French 1994). Insecticide concentrations used were those previously determined as diagnostic for resistant and susceptible populations from North Carolina (French *et al.* 1992).

PHYTOPROTECTION 82 (3) 2001

NORONHA ET AL. : LEPTINOTARSA DECEMLINEATA SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INSECTICIDES

midophos. All 13 populations were classified as highly susceptible to endosulfan and carbaryl; 10 of the populations were highly susceptible to at least three insecticide classes.

Controls for all populations from the three provinces showed no mortality over the 24-hr assay period. The application of the French *et al.* (1992) diagnostic concentrations to laboratoryreared Canadian susceptible and resistant strains of potato beetles confirmed the suitability of these diagnostic concentrations used for this survey (Table 2). The intermediate resistance response of the resistant population to azinphos-methyl and methamidophos was as expected for this colony (S. Hilton, personal communication).

DISCUSSION

Populations from all three western provinces demonstrated some level of resistance to one or more of the insecticides tested. Resistance was most prevalent in populations from Manitoba, which is consistent with the results of a previous survey, where populations from 21 of 55 potato fields in Manitoba were found to be resistant to at least one of the nine insecticides tested (Gavloski 1997). Although the diagnostic concentrations used in this study were calculated for resistant and susceptible populations from North Carolina, they were verified by Stewart et al. (1997) for populations from Prince Edward Island and Ontario, and for a resistant and susceptible population from Ontario in this study. However, we have chosen to report our results according to level of susceptibility to French et al.'s (1992) diagnostic concentrations rather than to probability of field control (Kennedy and French 1994), because base-line data on the susceptibility of beetle populations from western Canada had not yet been determined and consequently, local resistant and susceptible populations were not available for us to verify these diagnostic concentrations. The results of the present study can now be used as a base-line to establish local dose: response regressions in order to fine

tune, if necessary, the diagnostic concentrations we used for further monitoring of the evolution of resistance in the prairie provinces. These results can also be used as a base to measure the future development of resistance to insecticides by Colorado potato beetles in the Canadian prairie provinces.

In our study, populations most commonly showed some level of resistance to the pyrethroid, permethrin. Resistance to pyrethroids can develop quite rapidly. Harris and Svec (1981) reported low levels of resistance to pyrethroids in field populations of CPB from Sherbrooke, Quebec in 1979, however by 1982, greater than 23-fold resistance was reported in this population (Harris and Turnbull 1986). In a laboratory bioassay, CPB selected sequentially for fenvalerate exhibited a 1700 fold increase in resistance to this insecticide within eight generations (Huang et al. 1994, 1995). The prevalence of populations with low susceptibility to permethrin throughout all three western provinces and especially in Manitoba, should be a warning signal to producers that pyrethroids should be used cautiously.

Our data also shows that the development of resistance to organophosphate insecticides may soon occur in western Canada. In Manitoba, 17% of the populations were classified as having resistance to azinphos-methyl and 25% to methamidophos. However, 58 and 67% of the populations were classified as having intermediate levels of susceptibility to these two insecticides in Manitoba, and 15 and 23% had intermediate susceptibility in Alberta. This is an indication that the selection of resistant beetles has already started and these populations typify a "mixed" population.

In all three provinces, there were populations classified as highly susceptible to at least one or more insecticides. The numbers of highly susceptible populations were much greater in Alberta and Saskatchewan than in Manitoba. However, even within these susceptible populations, the range of survival within the bioassay units varied considerably, ranging from 0-100% for some insecticides. Although such natural variations are common, it underscores that there is always the potential for the rapid development of resistance, even in a highly susceptible population and that the development of resistance can be very localized. A similar variation was observed in the populations classified as having intermediate levels of susceptibility. Continuous pressure by the use of insecticides within the same class, especially on these intermediate populations, would result in the eventual selection of a resistant population.

The presence of larger numbers of populations with low susceptibility in Manitoba as compared to Alberta and Saskatchewan may be attributed to the recent rapid increase in potato acreage in Manitoba (62% since 1992) (Statistics Canada 1999) resulting in an increase in Colorado potato beetle populations, and the need to control these populations using insecticides (J. Gavloski, personal communication). In Saskatchewan and Alberta, Colorado potato beetle populations have been traditionally low, but with the expanding potato industry, an increase in the Colorado potato beetle population is expected, which in turn could result in increased insecticide applications and the eventual rapid development of resistance. Thus caution must be exercised and a resistance management program should be implemented immediately in order to prevent, or at least delay, further selection of insecticide resistant populations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the many potato producers and the following for providing us with beetles, insecticide or information: Connie Achtymichuk, Lake Diefenbaker Potato Cooperative; Jackie Bantle, University of Saskatchewan; David Feindel, Bayer Inc.; John Gavloski, Manitoba Agriculture; Denis Kirkham, Canadian Food Inspection Agency; Claire Martin, Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada; Don Pittman, Southern Agri-Services Ltd.; and Stephanie Hilton and Jay Whistlecraft, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, London, Ontario. The Potato Growers of Alberta provided financial assistance. Drs. Gilles Boiteau and Jeff Stewart provided comments on the manuscript. This is LRC contribution number 3870033.

REFERENCES

- Boiteau, G. 1988. Timing of insecticide applications for the control of the Colorado potato beetle (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), on potatoes in New Brunswick. Can. Entomol. 120 : 587-591.
- Boiteau, G., R.H. Parry, and C.R. Harris. 1987. Insecticide resistance in New Brunswick populations of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. Entomol. 119 : 459-463.
- Forgash, A.J. 1981. Insecticide resistance in the Colorado potato beetle. Pages 33-52 *in* J.H. Lashomb and R. Casagrande (eds.), Advances in Potato Pest Management. Hutchinson Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.
- French, N., M. Heim, D. Craig, and G. Kennedy. 1992. Insecticide resistance patterns among Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations in North Carolina. Pesticide Sci. 36: 95-100.
- Gavloski, J. 1997. Rotation, Rotation, Rotation. Potato Perspectives 16 : 2.
- Grafius, E. 1997. Economic impact of insecticide resistance in the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on the Michigan potato industry. J. Econ. Entomol. 90 : 1144-1151.
- Hare, J.D. 1990. Ecology and management of the Colorado potato beetle. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 35 : 81-100.
- Harris, C.R., and H.J. Svec. 1976. Susceptibility of the Colorado potato beetle in Ontario to insecticides. J. Econ. Entomol. 69 : 625-629.
- Harris, C.R., and H.J. Svec. 1981. Colorado potato beetle resistance to carbofuran and several other insecticides in Quebec. J. Econ. Entomol. 74 : 421-424.
- Harris, C.R., and S.A. Turnbull. 1986. Contact toxicity of some pyrethroid insecticides alone and in combination with piperonyl butoxide to insecticide-susceptible and pyrethroid-resistant strains of the Colorado potato beetle (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. Entomol. 118 : 1173-1176.
- Heim, D.C., G.G. Kennedy, and J.W. Van Duyn. 1990. Survey of insecticide resistance among North Carolina Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations. J. Econ. Entomol. 83 : 1229-1235.

NORONHA ET AL. : LEPTINOTARSA DECEMLINEATA SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INSECTICIDES

- Hilton S.A., J.H. Tolman, D.C. MacArthur, and C.R. Harris. 1998. Toxicity of selected insecticides to several life stages of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say). Can. Entomol. 130 : 187-194.
- Huang, H., Z. Smilowitz, M.C. Saunders, and R. Weisz. 1994. Field evaluation of insecticide application strategies on development of insecticide resistance by Colorado potato beetle (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 87 847-957.
- Huang, H., Z. Smilowitz, M.C. Saunders, and R. Weisz. 1995. Field selection of esfenvalerate resistance by Colorado potato beetle. Am. Potato J. 72 : 1-12.
- Kennedy, G.G., and French, N.M. II. 1994. Monitoring resistance in Colorado potato beetle populations. Pages 278-293 in G. Zehnder, M. Powelson, R.K. Jansson, and K.V. Raman (eds.), Advances in Potato Pest Biology and Management. APS Press, St. Paul, MN.
- Martel, P. 1987. Chemical control and resistance development in potato pests. Pages 173-183 *in* G. Boiteau, R.P. Singh, and R.H. Parry (eds.), Potato pest management in Canada. Proceedings of the symposium on improving potato pest protection. Fredericton. N.B.
- McClanahan, R.J. 1975. Insecticides for the control of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Can. Entomol. 107 : 561-565.
- McDonald, S. 1976. Evaluation of several new insecticides for the control of the Colorado potato beetle and the status of DDT resistance in Southern Alberta. J. Econ. Entomol. 69 : 659-664.
- Roush, R.T., C.W. Hoy, D.N. Ferro, and W.M. Tingey. 1990. Insecticide resistance in the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Influence of crop rotation and insecticide use. J. Econ. Entomol. 83 : 315-319.
- Statistics Canada. 1999. Canadian Potato Crop Situation and Trends. Agriculture and Agri-food Canada, Market Industry and Services Branch Bulletin, Ottawa, ON.
- Stewart, J.G., G.G. Kennedy, and A.V. Sturz. 1997. Incidence of insecticide resistance in populations of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), on Prince Edward Island. Can. Entomol. 129 : 21-26.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

Direction générale de la recherche

Agriculture et

Lethbridge Research Centre 5403 1st Ave South PO Box 3000 LETHBRIDGE AB T1J 4B1 Telephone: (403) 327-4561 Facsimile: (403) 382-3156

February 13, 2002

Research

Branch

RECEIVED FEB \$ 2002

Fund Centre SPA A01802

MR. V. WARKENTIN POTATO GROWERS OF ALBERTA 6008 - 46TH AVENUE TABER AB T1G 2B1

Dear Vern:

Application for funding: "Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide **Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle"**

Enclosed please find an AARI Matching Grants Application form requesting funding from PDI in the amount of \$5,000 for 2002/2003. This form was submitted to AARI as a progress report for the second year of funding from PDI (\$5,000; SPA A01802) and Perry Produce (\$5,760 in kind) which was matched by the AARI Matching Grants Program (\$10,760), and as a request for a third year of funding.

In addition to AARI/PDI monies, this past year we were fortunate to have received an in kind contribution from Perry Produce Ltd., which we hope to renew this year. Working directly with as commercial producer has enabled us to monitor beetle populations, as per PDI's request, and to help evaluate potential control methods in a commercial setting.

Looking forward to receiving continued support from PGA/PDI for this important research on management of an important pest of potatoes worldwide.

Sincerely,

hi Herrehap

Peter Burnett, Ph.D. Assistant Director

MSG:kg Encl.

MARK GOETTEL CROP SCIENCES SECTION PO BOX 3000 LETHBRIDGE AB T1J 4B1 CANADA



Recycled Paper / Papier recyclé

Alberta Agricultural Research Institute (AARI) Matching Grants Program Renewal Application - 2002/2003

Principal Resea	rcher		
Name	Dr. Mark S. Goettel	Mailing Address	P.O. Box 3000
Title	Insect Pathologist		Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1
Organization	Agric. & Agri-Food Canada		
Department	Crop Sciences Section	Telephone #	403-317-2264
		Fax #/Email	403-382-3156/goettel@em.agr.ca
Co-applicants			
Name	Dr. Christine Noronha	Mailing Address	P.O. Box 1210
Title	Research Scientist	-	Charlottetown, PEI, C1A 7M8
Organization	Agriculture & Agri-Food		
Department		Telephone #	902-566-6844
		Fax #/Email	902-566-6821/noronhac@em.agr.c
Name	Dr. David W.A. Hunt	Mailing Address	2585 Highway 20 E
Title	Research Scientist	-	Harrow, ON
Organization	Agriculture & Agri-Food		N0R 1G0
Department		Telephone #	519-738-2251 ext 427
		Fax #/Email	519-738-2929/huntd@em.agr.ca

4. Outline of Research Proposal (one page may be added to this block if required)

A. Background, Objectives and Key Results Expected

i. Background (Provide a brief statement indicating what this research is about and why it is considered important)

The Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) is considered the most destructive foliage feeding pest of potatoes in the world. The beetle is now present virtually everywhere potatoes are grown in Canada. Until recently, potato producers have relied solely on the use of insecticides to control this pest. However, this total dependence on insecticides has resulted in the rapid development of resistance. By 1995, beetle populations in Ontario and Québec were virtually uncontrollable which resulted in the emergency registration of a new insecticide, imidacloprid (Admire[®]). Although this product is 10 times more costly for a grower than previously used chemicals, the growers have had little choice but to use this, the only economically viable control method. Furthermore, there have already been reports of populations resistant to imidacloprid in the US (Grafius, 1999), and insecticide resistance will develop rapidly in a situation where only one chemical is used

2. To evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program.

nplementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will delay development of resistance to chemicals, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides, and allow development of an IPM strategy against this pest in Alberta.

ii. Key Results Expected

The objective is to determine the role that the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* could play in the resistance management of the pesticides being used for control of the Colorado potato beetle. Virulence of the fungus towards the soil stages of the beetle will be determined. Furthermore, it will be determined to what extent the fungus can affect overwintering adult beetle populations. The strategy would be to contaminate migrating adults with spores of the fungus as they leave the potato fields in late summer in search of overwintering sites. Results on persistence, virulence and effects of contamination of overwintering sites will provide information for use of this fungus in IPM.

2000 -2001: Virulence of the fungus will be determined. How many spores must the beetles contact in order to get infected? Persistence of the fungus under field conditions will be determined. Will enough spores remain viable from year to year to ensure continued efficacy? Will beetles be contaminated with an adequate amount of inoculum as they bury in the soil which contains spores?

2001-2002: How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions ffect spore persistence and virulence?

2002-2003: Do adults that succumbed in the soil produce adequate numbers of spores to ensure infection of the next generation? Should spores be reapplied yearly? Should we proceed with registration? Final report and manuscripts will be prepared.

B. Progress to Date

Provide a concise report of the results achieved. It should contain a summary of the data collected and any preliminary conclusions made. The report should clearly state whether the results expected under the action plan for the preceding year have been achieved. If not, provide reasons. Include all changes or modifications to original expectations, citing reasons. One page may be added to this section if required.

Despite difficulties in obtaining funding that would have adequately supported our research efforts at 3 centres in 3 provinces, we've been able to make very good progress, and overall, the project remains on track, although it is apparent that larger field trials will no longer be warranted, as we have not yet progressed to that stage, and don't expect to by the end of next year. Larger field trials would require regulatory approvals which would consume too much of our time at this point. We feel that concentrating on field cage trials will be more fruitful, especially due to difficulties encountered during the last overwintering season.

1) Laboratory assays were carried out against pupating larvae at 3 soil moistures replicating the results obtained and reported last year. High mortality (>80%) was obtained at all spore concentrations at 7% soil moisture, but at greater soil moistures (12 and 14%), lower mortalities were obtained at the lower spore concentrations. However at the highest spore concentration, over 90% mortality was obtained at all soil moisture levels, demonstrating the important effect of soil moisture (greater moistures are detrimental to infection).

2) Field cage trials against larvae and summer generation emerging adults were carried out. Fourth instar larvae

We are once again approaching several potential funding sources (McCain's, Keystone Vegetable Producers Association, Potato Snackfood's Association, and the PEI Potato Board) and are confident that this year we'll be le to fully match AARI's allotted contribution of \$25,000. Full funding of this project will bring the program back on track and into full speed in the next year.

The benefits of the tripartite collaboration (PEI, Ontario, and Alberta) are evident. Evaluations with 3 different populations of beetles in 3 different soil types and under unique overwintering conditions provides us with information that will allow us to better understand the different parameters that are important in disease epizootiology and in development of this fungus as a management tool for the beetle. However, the potato wart hiatus in PEI prevented the PEI Potato growers from considering funding last year. Further studies at our field plots in Prince Edward Island, Ontario and Alberta will evaluate the effectiveness of *B. bassiana* for inducing mortality in overwintering beetle populations.

Laboratory assays will continue to evaluate the importance of soil type and moisture, dose, and temperature.

C. Research Plan

1.14

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host-pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships etween fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and noisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

1) Susceptibility of the soil stages.

Hypothesis: Spores within the soil will incite disease and cause mortality in the soil dwelling stages of the insect.

Spores of *B. bassiana* will be mixed uniformly into sterile soil at several concentrations (approximately 10⁴ to 10⁸ conidia/g dry weight of soil). Water will be added to obtain a moisture level of 9% (w/w). Each soil/dose combination will be dispensed into three plastic containers. Fifty mature larvae will be placed onto the surface of each container and allowed to enter the soil and pupate. After incubation, emergent adults will be transferred to clean containers and observed daily for mortality. The soil will be examined for presence of dead prepupae, larvae or adults. Cause of death will be determined by examination of hemolymph for fungal blastospores or by incubating surface sterilized cadavers under high humidity and observing emergent fungus. Spore viabilities will be quantified at the start and end of the experiment by removing cores of soil from the containers and by standard dilution/plating techniques on selective media. Dose-mortality results will be analysed using probit analyses.

2) Influence of soil type

Iypothesis: Soil type will influence persistence and pathogenicity.

The experimental design will be similar to the one described above except that only one dose will be used. Different soil types and moisture levels will be incorporated into the bioassay design. Soil types to be tested will be those characteristic of habitats where pupation and overwintering occurs. Comparisons will also be made between sterilized

term, the fungus could be integrated into a more comprehensive IPM program for the beetle, eventually eliminating or reducing dependence on chemical pesticides. There is no guarantee that the newly registered pesticides now entering the market will stand the test of time as far as safety and long term sustainability is concerned. Exclusive reliance on any the method of control, be it chemical pesticides or transgenic potato, will eventually result in the development of resistance. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato industry in Alberta.

1.1.2

1

This project will be the beginning of the development and implementation of a much more comprehensive IPM strategy for pests of potatoes. Other benefits of the proposed research include the possible feasibility of using this fungus for control of other soil-dwelling insect pests such as wireworms. Results of this project could also be used in the development and implementation of insecticide resistance management and IPM programs for other economically important pest insects in Alberta and elsewhere.

_	Computer Cost	NII
-		
	Justification is required for requests over \$500:	
iii. _	Publication Cost (specifically for this project ls results)	NI
-	Justification is required if request is over \$700:	
iv.	Rentals and Leases	NI
v.	Contract Personnel	NI
-	TOTAL C	\$6,20
	TOTAL A + B + C	\$46,30
Ov	erhead Cost	\$2 በና
770		\$2,00

Indicate how overhead costs were calculated : 9% of project costs, excluding benefits for AARI portion; %15 of project costs, excluding benefits for industry portion

Funding Support Applied for, Granted, or Promised for This Project From Sources Other Than Alberta Government Departments, Agencies or Programs

	Funding Agency	Amount of Grant in Cash	Amount of Grant In-kind	Total Amount Applied for, Granted or Promised	Date Grant Received or Expected
	Potato Growers of Alberta	\$5,000		\$5,000	Renewal expected April, 2002
	Регту Produce		\$6,000	\$6,000	Renewal expected April, 2002
•	McCain Foods	10,000		10,000	Spring, 2002
	Keystone Vegetable Producers Association	5,000		5,000	Spring, 2002
	Stuart Cairns Memorial Potato Reserch Fund	5,000		5,000	Applied for
ota	al Amount Requested From Ot	her Agencies (A + B +	+ C +D)	31,000	

8. Amount Requested From AARI

Total amount requested from AARI for the 2002-2003 fiscal year is \$__25,000___. This amount should not exceed one half of the total amount requested for 2001-2002 or the difference between the total shown in block 9 for 2002-2003 and the contributions from other sources, whichever is less.

9. Terms and Conditions

7.

- A. This application is submitted, and will be evaluated, under the authority of the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute Act and the Farming for the Future Matching Grants Program Guidelines. The applicant accepts the conditions specified in the guidelines.
- B. All completed applications submitted to AARI become the property of AARI and will not be returned to the applicant. While every effort will be made to keep the information contained in the application form confidential, the application review procedures require that copies of the application be distributed to a number of reviewers. The contents of this application may also be subject to access under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

C. The decision of AARIIs Board of Directors regarding this application is final.

Principal Researcherls Signature

Date

27/11/01

Co-applicant Is Signature

Date

Co-applicantls Signature

Date

Acknowledgement of Receipt

Please fill out the name, address and title information and submit this form with your original application (14 copies of this sheet are not required). The form will be returned to you to acknowledge receipt of your Matching Grants Program application by the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute.

Principal Researcher	Dr. Mark Goettel
Mailing Address	Box 3000
	Lethbridge, Alberta T1J 4B1
his is to acknowledge	receipt of your proposal entitled:
<i>For Office Use Only</i> Your application is:	
comple	te as received
incom	olete. Please forward immediately:
	14 photocopies of your application
	original signatures in Blocks 11, 12 & Employers[] Approval Form:
	animal care certificate
	completed biographical data for:

You will be provided with a written response regarding the status of your application when the evaluation process is upleted. We expect the evaluation process to be completed by March, 2002.

1.4

Date Received:

.

Agriculture and

1

Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada Agroalimentaire Canada

Research Branch

Direction générale de la recherche

February 13, 2002

RECEIVED FEB 3 5 2002

MR. V. WARKENTIN POTATO GROWERS OF ALBERTA 6008 - 46TH AVENUE TABER AB TIG 2B1

Pursed wheel

Fund Centre SPA A01802

Lethbridge Research Centre

LETHBRIDGE AB T1J 4B1 Telephone: (403) 327-4561 Facsimile: (403) 382-3156

5403 1st Ave South PO Box 3000

Dear Vem:

Application for funding: "Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide **Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle"**

Enclosed please find an AARI Matching Grants Application form requesting funding from PDI in the amount of \$5,000 for 2002/2003. This form was submitted to AARI as a progress report for the second year of funding from PDI (\$5,000; SPA A01802) and Perry Produce (\$5,760 in kind) which was matched by the AARI Matching Grants Program (\$10,760), and as a request for a third year of funding.

In addition to AARI/PDI monies, this past year we were fortunate to have received an in kind contribution from Perry Produce Ltd., which we hope to renew this year. Working directly with as commercial producer has enabled us to monitor beetle populations, as per PDI's request, and to help-evaluate potential control methods in a commercial setting.

Looking forward to receiving continued support from PGA/PDI for this important research on management of an important pest of potatoes worldwide.

Sincerely,

Herrichap

Peter Burnett, Ph.D. Assistant Director

MSG:kg Encl.



anadä

MARK GOETTEL CROP SCIENCES SECTION PO Box 3000 LETHBRIDGE AB T1J 4B1 CANADA

Alberta Agricultural Research Institute (AARI) Matching Grants Program Renewal Application - 2002/2003

Office Use Only: Date Received 1. **Project Title** Development of Microbial Control as a Component of Insecticide Resistance Management of the Colorado Potato Beetle Application Number: 2000M701 2. Principal Researcher Name Dr. Mark S. Goettel Mailing Address P.O. Box 3000 Title **Insect Pathologist** Lethbridge, AB T1J 4B1 Organization Agric. & Agri-Food Canada Department **Crop Sciences Section Telephone #** 403-317-2264 Fax #/Email 403-382-3156/goettel@em.agr.ca 3. **Co-applicants** Name Dr. Christine Noronha Mailing Address P.O. Box 1210 Title **Research Scientist** Charlottetown, PEI, C1A 7M8 Organization Agriculture & Agri-Food Department **Telephone #** 902-566-6844 Fax #/Email 902-566-6821/noronhac@em.agr.ca Name Dr. David W.A. Hunt Mailing Address 2585 Highway 20 E Title Harrow, ON **Research Scientist** Organization Agriculture & Agri-Food **NOR 1G0** Department Telephone # 519-738-2251 ext 427 Fax #/Email 519-738-2929/huntd@em.agr.ca

4. Outline of Research Proposal (one page may be added to this block if required)

A. Background, Objectives and Key Results Expected

i. Background (Provide a brief statement indicating what this research is about and why it is considered important)

The Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) is considered the most destructive foliage feeding pest of potatoes in the world. The beetle is now present virtually everywhere potatoes are grown in Canada. Until recently, potato producers have relied solely on the use of insecticides to control this pest. However, this total dependence on insecticides has resulted in the rapid development of resistance. By 1995, beetle populations in Ontario and Québec were virtually uncontrollable which resulted in the emergency registration of a new insecticide, imidacloprid (Admire[®]). Although this product is 10 times more costly for a grower than previously used chemicals, the growers have had little choice but to use this, the only economically viable control method. Furthermore, there have already been reports of populations resistant to imidacloprid in the US (Grafius, 1999), and insecticide resistance will develop rapidly in a situation where only one chemical is used

2. To evaluate its use in an insecticide resistance management program.

Implementation of such an alternative control method in the management of the Colorado potato beetle will use any development of resistance to chemicals, decrease dependency on use of chemical insecticides, and allow development of an IPM strategy against this pest in Alberta.

ii. Key Results Expected

 \in_{\bullet}

The objective is to determine the role that the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* could play in the resistance management of the pesticides being used for control of the Colorado potato beetle. Virulence of the fungus towards the soil stages of the beetle will be determined. Furthermore, it will be determined to what extent the fungus can affect overwintering adult beetle populations. The strategy would be to contaminate migrating adults with spores of the fungus as they leave the potato fields in late summer in search of overwintering sites. Results on persistence, virulence and effects of contamination of overwintering sites will provide information for use of this fungus in IPM.

2000 -2001: Virulence of the fungus will be determined. How many spores must the beetles contact in order to get infected? Persistence of the fungus under field conditions will be determined. Will enough spores remain viable from year to year to ensure continued efficacy? Will beetles be contaminated with an adequate amount of inoculum as they bury in the soil which contains spores?

2001-2002: How many of the inoculated adults that diapaused under natural conditions became infected and died before emergence in the spring? How many spores persisted over the season? Should beetles be inoculated directly, or can they pick up an adequate amount of inoculum from the soil? How do soil conditions fect spore persistence and virulence?

2002-2003: Do adults that succumbed in the soil produce adequate numbers of spores to ensure infection of the next generation? Should spores be reapplied yearly? Should we proceed with registration? Final report and manuscripts will be prepared.

B. Progress to Date

Provide a concise report of the results achieved. It should contain a summary of the data collected and any preliminary conclusions made. The report should clearly state whether the results expected under the action plan for the preceding year have been achieved. If not, provide reasons. Include all changes or modifications to original expectations, citing reasons. One page may be added to this section if required.

Despite difficulties in obtaining funding that would have adequately supported our research efforts at 3 centres in 3 provinces, we've been able to make very good progress, and overall, the project remains on track, although it is apparent that larger field trials will no longer be warranted, as we have not yet progressed to that stage, and don't expect to by the end of next year. Larger field trials would require regulatory approvals which would consume too much of our time at this point. We feel that concentrating on field cage trials will be more fruitful, especially due to difficulties encountered during the last overwintering season.

1) Laboratory assays were carried out against pupating larvae at 3 soil moistures replicating the results obtained and reported last year. High mortality (>80%) was obtained at all spore concentrations at 7% soil moisture, but at greater soil moistures (12 and 14%), lower mortalities were obtained at the lower spore concentrations. Iowever at the highest spore concentration, over 90% mortality was obtained at all soil moisture levels, demonstrating the important effect of soil moisture (greater moistures are detrimental to infection).

2) Field cage trials against larvae and summer generation emerging adults were carried out. Fourth instar larvae

We are once again approaching several potential funding sources (McCain's, Keystone Vegetable Producers Association, Potato Snackfood's Association, and the PEI Potato Board) and are confident that this year we'll be le to fully match AARI's allotted contribution of \$25,000. Full funding of this project will bring the program back on track and into full speed in the next year.

The benefits of the tripartite collaboration (PEI, Ontario, and Alberta) are evident. Evaluations with 3 different populations of beetles in 3 different soil types and under unique overwintering conditions provides us with information that will allow us to better understand the different parameters that are important in disease epizootiology and in development of this fungus as a management tool for the beetle. However, the potato wart hiatus in PEI prevented the PEI Potato growers from considering funding last year. Further studies at our field plots in Prince Edward Island, Ontario and Alberta will evaluate the effectiveness of *B. bassiana* for inducing mortality in overwintering beetle populations.

Laboratory assays will continue to evaluate the importance of soil type and moisture, dose, and temperature.

C. Research Plan

Hypothesis: Application of *Beauveria bassiana* to the soil at overwintering sites, or to adults as they emigrate from the fields will provide significant, longer term mortality to the overwintering populations, thereby contributing to overall population reductions and insecticide resistance management of the Colorado potato beetle.

Host-pathogen relationships and the effects of soil factors on fungal persistence and infectivity must be determined before larger scale field trials are initiated. The experiments are designed to obtain information on 1) the relationships tween fungal dose and infection/mortality under soil exposure conditions, 2) the influence of soil type, temperature and moisture on fungal viability, persistence and pathogenicity, 3) persistence of fungal spores under field conditions, 4) transmission of spores by emigrating adults and subsequent contamination of overwintering sites, 5) efficacy of spores in soil against first generation and overwintering beetles under field conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments will be arranged as complete randomised block designs with at least 3 replications of 50 beetles per treatment and control. Every experiment will be repeated and all field plot designs and statistical analyses will be performed in consultation with statisticians at the Lethbridge Research Centre.

1) Susceptibility of the soil stages.

Hypothesis: Spores within the soil will incite disease and cause mortality in the soil dwelling stages of the insect.

Spores of *B. bassiana* will be mixed uniformly into sterile soil at several concentrations (approximately 10⁴ to 10⁸ conidia/g dry weight of soil). Water will be added to obtain a moisture level of 9% (w/w). Each soil/dose combination will be dispensed into three plastic containers. Fifty mature larvae will be placed onto the surface of each container and allowed to enter the soil and pupate. After incubation, emergent adults will be transferred to clean containers and observed daily for mortality. The soil will be examined for presence of dead prepupae, larvae or adults. Cause of death will be determined by examination of hemolymph for fungal blastospores or by incubating surface sterilized cadavers under high humidity and observing emergent fungus. Spore viabilities will be quantified at the start and end of the experiment by removing cores of soil from the containers and by standard dilution/plating techniques on selective media. Dose-mortality results will be analysed using probit analyses.

2) Influence of soil type

Iypothesis: Soil type will influence persistence and pathogenicity.

The experimental design will be similar to the one described above except that only one dose will be used. Different soil types and moisture levels will be incorporated into the bioassay design. Soil types to be tested will be those characteristic of habitats where pupation and overwintering occurs. Comparisons will also be made between sterilized

term, the fungus could be integrated into a more comprehensive IPM program for the beetle, eventually eliminating or reducing dependence on chemical pesticides. There is no guarantee that the newly registered pesticides now entering the arket will stand the test of time as far as safety and long term sustainability is concerned. Exclusive reliance on any we method of control, be it chemical pesticides or transgenic potato, will eventually result in the development of resistance. Reduction on the reliance to chemical pesticides will contribute to the sustainability and competitiveness of the potato industry in Alberta.

÷

This project will be the beginning of the development and implementation of a much more comprehensive IPM strategy for pests of potatoes. Other benefits of the proposed research include the possible feasibility of using this fungus for control of other soil-dwelling insect pests such as wireworms. Results of this project could also be used in the development and implementation of insecticide resistance management and IPM programs for other economically important pest insects in Alberta and elsewhere.

ii. _	Computer Cost	1
_	Justification is required for requests over \$500:	
iii. (=	Publication Cost (specifically for this project[]s results)	1
-	Justification is required if request is over \$700:	
iv.	Rentals and Leases	ו
v.	Contract Personnel	3
	TOTAL C TOTAL A + B + C	<u>\$6,2</u> \$46,2
Ov 9%	of total AARI project costs, excluding benefits	\$2.
~ ~ ~		¢2,

Indicate how overhead costs were calculated : 9% of project costs, excluding benefits for AARI portion; %15 of project costs, excluding benefits for industry portion

\$51,860

7. Funding Support Applied for, Granted, or Promised for This Project From Sources Other Than Alberta Government Departments, Agencies or Programs

	Funding Agency	Amount of Grant in Cash	Amount of Grant In-kind	Total A Applic Grant Pron	amount ed for, ted or nised	Date Grant Received or Expected
۱.	Potato Growers of Alberta	\$5,000			\$5,000	Renewal expected April, 2002
3.	Perry Produce		\$6,000		\$6,000	Renewal expected April, 2002
2.	McCain Foods	10,000			10,000	Spring, 2002
).	Keystone Vegetable Producers Association	5,000		E	5,000	Spring, 2002
3.	Stuart Cairns Memorial Potato Reserch Fund	5,000		8	5,000	Applied for
ſot	al Amount Requested From Ot	her Agencies (A + B -	+ C +D)	31,000		

8. Amount Requested From AARI

Total amount requested from AARI for the 2002-2003 fiscal year is 25,000. This amount should not exceed one half of the total amount requested for 2001-2002 or the difference between the total shown in block 9 for 2002-2003 and the contributions from other sources, whichever is less.

9. Terms and Conditions

- A. This application is submitted, and will be evaluated, under the authority of the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute Act and the Farming for the Future Matching Grants Program Guidelines. The applicant accepts the conditions specified in the guidelines.
- B. All completed applications submitted to AARI become the property of AARI and will not be returned to the applicant. While every effort will be made to keep the information contained in the application form confidential, the application review procedures require that copies of the application be distributed to a number of reviewers. The contents of this application may also be subject to access under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.
- C. The decision of AARIIIs Board of Directors regarding this application is final.

Principal Researcherls Signature

27/11/01

Date

Co-applicant Ds Signature

Date

Co-applicant Signature

Date

Acknowledgement of Receipt

Please fill out the name, address and title information and submit this form with your original application (14 copies of this sheet are not required). The form will be returned to you to acknowledge receipt of your Matching Grants Program application by the Alberta Agricultural Research Institute.

Principal Researcher	Dr. Mark Goettel	
Mailing Address	Box 3000	
	Lethbridge, Alberta T1J 4B1	
1'his is to acknowledge	receipt of your proposal entitled:	
For Office Use Only		
Your application is:		
comple	te as received	
incomp	olete. Please forward immediately:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14 photocopies of your application	
5. 	original signatures in Blocks 11, 12 & Employers[] Approval Form:	
	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	animal care certificate	
)	completed biographical data for:	

You will be provided with a written response regarding the status of your application when the evaluation process is inpleted. We expect the evaluation process to be completed by March, 2002.

24

.

٩,

Date Received:

14

٠